

Erratum to: Evaluation of serum HER2-ECD levels in patients with gastric cancer

Katsunobu Oyama · Sachio Fushida · Tomoya Tsukada · Jun Kinoshita · Toshifumi Watanabe · Masatoshi Shoji · Shinichi Nakanuma · Koichi Okamoto · Seisho Sakai · Isamu Makino · Keishi Nakamura · Hironori Hayashi · Masafumi Inokuchi · Hisatoshi Nakagawara · Tomoharu Miyashita · Hidehiro Tajima · Hiroyuki Takamura · Itasu Ninomiya · Hirohisa Kitagawa · Takashi Fujimura · Ryousuke Tajiri · Akishi Ooi · Tetsuo Ohta

Published online: 21 May 2014
© Springer Japan 2014

Erratum to: J Gastroenterol DOI 10.1007/s00535-014-0941-3

In Table 1 of this article, under the heading “Age”, “<66” should be “>66”. Also, under the final heading, “Tissue HER2 status”, the two values shown (two each) in the columns for “Serum HER2 negative ($n = 140$)” and “Serum HER2 positive ($n = 10$)” were transposed. The correct table is shown as follows.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s00535-014-0941-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-014-0941-3).

K. Oyama · S. Fushida (✉) · T. Tsukada · J. Kinoshita · T. Watanabe · M. Shoji · S. Nakanuma · K. Okamoto · S. Sakai · I. Makino · K. Nakamura · H. Hayashi · M. Inokuchi · H. Nakagawara · T. Miyashita · H. Tajima · H. Takamura · I. Ninomiya · H. Kitagawa · T. Fujimura · T. Ohta
Department of Gastroenterologic Surgery, Kanazawa University, 13-1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan
e-mail: fushida@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

K. Oyama
e-mail: oya-ma@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

R. Tajiri · A. Ooi
Department of Molecular and Cellular Pathology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan

Table 1 Correlation between serum HER2-ECD levels and clinico-pathologic variables

Variables	Serum HER2 negative ($n = 140$)	Serum HER2 positive ($n = 10$)	<i>P</i> value
Age (range 27–86)			0.054
>66 ($n = 75$)	67	8	
≤66 ($n = 75$)	73	2	
Gender			0.282
Male ($n = 107$)	98	9	
Female ($n = 43$)	42	1	
Clinical stage			0.610
Stage 1 ($n = 56$)	53	3	
Stage 2 ($n = 17$)	16	1	
Stage 3 ($n = 17$)	17	0	
Stage 4 ($n = 46$)	41	5	
Recurrence ($n = 14$)	13	1	
<i>T</i>			0.819
1 ($n = 51$)	47	4	
2 ($n = 11$)	11	0	
3 ($n = 27$)	26	1	
4 ($n = 47$)	43	4	
<i>N</i>			0.245
0 ($n = 61$)	58	3	
1 ($n = 21$)	21	0	
2 ($n = 25$)	23	2	
3 ($n = 29$)	25	4	
<i>M</i>			0.702
0 ($n = 120$)	114	6	
1 ($n = 16$)	13	3	
Lauren’s classification			0.526
Intestinal ($n = 73$)	67	6	
Diffuse ($n = 77$)	73	4	
Tissue HER2 status			<0.001
Negative ($n = 125$)	124	1	
Positive ($n = 25$)	16	9	

On the graph in Fig. 2, the labels for the symbols for “Non-responder” and “Responder” were transposed. The correct figure is shown as follows.

