Hybrid bio-inspired algorithm and convolutional neural network for automatic lung tumor detection

Surbhi Vijh¹ · Prashant Gaurav² · Hari Mohan Pandey³

Received: 24 July 2020 / Accepted: 9 September 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

In this paper, we have proposed a hybrid bio-inspired algorithm which takes the merits of whale optimization algorithm (WOA) and adaptive particle swarm optimization (APSO). The proposed algorithm is referred as the hybrid WOA_APSO algorithm. We utilize a convolutional neural network (CNN) for classification purposes. Extensive experiments are performed to evaluate the performance of the proposed model. Here, pre-processing and segmentation are performed on 120 lung CT images for obtaining the segmented tumored and non-tumored region nodule. The statistical, texture, geometrical and structural features are extracted from the processed image using different techniques. The optimized feature selection plays a crucial role in determining the accuracy of the classification algorithm. The novel variant of whale optimization algorithm and adaptive particle swarm optimization, hybrid bio-inspired WOA_APSO, is proposed for selecting optimized features. The feature selection grouping is applied by embedding linear discriminant analysis which helps in determining the reduced dimensions of subsets. Twofold performance comparisons are done. First, we compare the performance against the different classification techniques such as support vector machine, artificial neural network (ANN) and CNN. Second, the computational cost of the hybrid WOA_APSO is compared with the standard WOA and APSO algorithms. The experimental result reveals that the proposed algorithm is capable of automatic lung tumor detection and it outperforms the other state-of-the-art methods on standard quality measures such as accuracy (97.18%), sensitivity (97%) and specificity (98.66%). The results reported in this paper are encouraging; hence, these results will motivate other researchers to explore more in this direction.

Keywords Medical imaging · Artificial intelligence · Feature extraction · Hybrid WOA_APSO · Convolutional neural network

1 Introduction

According to WHO statistics, the estimated worldwide death of people from cancer is 9.6 million in 2018. However, it is observed that 30–50% of people can be prevented from cancer by providing preventive measures and treatment at an early stage of cancer. Lung cancer is also referred to as lung carcinoma characterized by uncontrollable cell growth in tissues which generally have been categorized as small cell and non-small cell carcinoma on the basis of cellular structure [1]. On the basis of tumor lymph node location and tumor size, there are four stages of lung cancer from I to IV [2, 3].

Computed tomography (CT) imaging is considered the finest way of analyzing and visualizing the abnormalities present due to less distortion [4]. Image pre-processing

✉ Hari Mohan Pandey
Pandeyh@edgehill.ac.uk

Surbhi Vijh
surbhivijh428@gmail.com

Prashant Gaurav
prashantgaurav36@gmail.com

¹ Department of Information Technology, KIET Group of Institution, Ghaziabad, India

² Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Amity University, Sector 125, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

³ Department of Computer Science, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire, England, UK

consisting of normalization and enhancement is usually performed to improve the quality of the image and reduce the distortion. There are different types of filters applied for image enhancement techniques in spatial and frequency domain [5]. The Wiener filter minimizes the noise using a low pass filter and performs deconvolution using high pass filter (Inverse filtering) on lung CT image obtained from the Lung Image Database Consortium [6]. The segmentation is the most challenging task in medical imaging for appropriately extracting the features from the segmented tumor nodule region. There are various segmentation techniques [7] such as Watershed transform edge-based segmentation, Region-based segmentation [8] and Thresholding [9]. The global thresholding and morphological post-processing operation are performed for detecting the tumored region from surrounding and segmenting the lung region nodule. The different statistical features, textural features, shape and geometrical-based features are extracted from the segmented region [10]. The number of features is prominently more in neuroimaging, so the technique used for feature extraction is Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM), Gray-Level Run-Length Matrix (GLRLM), Histogram features, Gray-Level Dependence Matrix (GLDM) and Local Binary Pattern (LBP) [11, 12]. These sets of extracted features provide significant information from medical imaging which helps in evaluating the pattern and decision-making process. To reduce the dimensional features space of different modalities of the image, the hybrid WOA_APSO feature selection algorithm is proposed, which removes the redundant features [13, 14]. The feature selection technique optimizes the selection of extracted features and provides more dominant information. The extracted feature selection is grouped using a linear discriminant algorithm [15–18] for selecting the more dependent and relevant features on class values to improve the performance of classification. The subset of the best feature is selected to reduce the dimensionality of problem space and to maximize the performance of the learning algorithm (Fig. 1).

Classification is the most critical aspect in determining the performance parameters, i.e., accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of the model that helps in the process of

prognosis of lung cancer done by the experts. The comparative classification analysis is performed by considering techniques such as artificial neural network, support vector machine and convolutional neural network [19, 20]. Support vector machine uses the multiclass model learning technique to determine the prediction of medical imaging. The support vector machine (SVM) considers a linear function hypothetical space in higher dimension features which are instructed using a learning bias originated from statistical learning theory [21]. The artificial neural network represents a multilayer network consisting of three different layers with the backpropagation algorithm [22, 23]. Deep learning [24] is a promising field and provides enhanced performance in various medical imaging applications [24, 25]. The fully connected convolutional neural network consisting of a mesh connection of neurons comprises activation function and backpropagation for adjustment of weights [26, 27]. The presented state-of-art outperforms for the fully connected convolutional neural network in computer-aided diagnosis of lung cancer imaging by showing better performance.

1.1 Motivation and contributions

Metaheuristic hybridization is the recent trend in the field of optimization, achieved by combining one metaheuristic algorithm with a complementing metaheuristic algorithm. The novel variant of whale optimization algorithm and adaptive particle swarm optimization, hybrid bio-inspired WOA_APSO, is proposed for selecting optimized features. Hybrid WOA_APSO is implemented for the selection of the optimized features subset. The whale optimization algorithm is a recently developed metaheuristic algorithm with many good properties like few parameters, covers a broader area in the search space, and exploration of the search space is made efficient by using randomly selected search agents in place of the best search agent so far. Further, APSO uses additional adaptive parameters—adaptive factor f_a and perturbation factor G_a to improve the convergence speed of the algorithm and to achieve a balance between exploitation and exploration of the search space [28–32]. Thus, WOA_APSO performs better than other common algorithms in terms of convergence speed and balancing between exploration and exploitation [28, 29, 33]. So, the hybridization of WOA and APSO is proposed and is explained in detail in the paper.

The key contribution of this paper is highlighted as follows:

- Firstly, we propose an intelligent lung tumor segmentation algorithm for the detection of tumor and treatment of cancer patients.

Fig. 1 i Tumored lung CT image ii Non-tumored lung CT image

- Secondly, we show the hybridization of two meta-heuristic algorithms, namely, whale optimization algorithm (WOA) and adaptive particle swarm optimization (APSO). The proposed algorithm is referred as the hybrid WOA_APSO algorithm. Hybrid WOA_APSO is implemented for the selection of the optimized features subset. Here, feature selection grouping is performed by embedding linear discriminant analysis. Our proposed model utilizes a CNN for classification purposes.
- Thirdly, extensive computer simulations are performed to determine the effectiveness of the proposed model. We reported a twofold performance comparison. First, the performance of the proposed model is tested against different state-of-the-art classification techniques and evaluated accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. Secondly, the performance of the proposed algorithm is compared with the standard WOA and APSO algorithms based on the computational cost of convergence to the optimal results.

1.2 Organization

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 presents the related work; the proposed model is comprehensively discussed in Sect. 3; Sect. 4 represents the experimental results and computational performance measures; the conclusion and future avenue of the proposed work is given in Sect. 5.

2 Related work

Cancer is the deadly disease worldwide whose detection at an early stage provides preventive measures and treatment to increase the survival rate of patients. The research in medical imaging is growing rapidly to recognize the pattern of disease showing the development of computationally intelligent systems. Data visualization for medical image analysis is one of the most promising fields in the development of a robust expert system using artificial intelligence [34, 35], computer vision [36] and pattern recognition application [37–39]. The computer-aided medical diagnosis is the most challenging task in the interpretation of the decision-making process by a radiologist for extracting the abnormalities in the image [40, 41]. The related research work and findings are presented in this section.

Uzelaltinbulat et al. [42] presented a lung tumor segmentation technique using Otsu thresholding and morphological operations. Kumar et al. [43] proposed a hybrid of the 2D Otsu method and modified artificial bee colony method for the segmentation of the lung CT image. The

performance is computed by evaluating the correlation values. Joon et al. [44] showed the segmentation of cancerous and non-cancerous lung region nodule using k-mean clustering and fuzzy c-mean technique. The structural and texture extracted features are used in the classification performed by the support vector machine. Prabukumar et al. [45] proposed a hybrid segmentation technique comprising Fuzzy C-means (FCM) and region growing algorithm to segment the nodule. The statistical, texture and geometrical features are extracted from the segmented nodule, and the optimized features are selected using a cuckoo search algorithm. The optimal features are considered for evaluating the classification using a support vector machine while obtaining the overall accuracy of 98.5%. Mittal et al. [46] proposed a methodology for multilevel image thresholding for segmentation and introduced the exponential kbest gravitational search technique.

Shankar et al. [47] stated a methodology for Alzheimer detection in which various features are extracted using gray-level run-length matrix, histogram features, gray-level occurrence matrix, the local binary pattern features and scale-invariant transform. The feature selection is performed using the gray wolf optimization algorithm to attain the optimized features for performing the classification. The convolutional neural network classification technique is used to achieve the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity as 96.23%, 94% and 96%. Vijh et al. [48] proposed an approach for developing the computer-aided lung tumor segmentation system. The whale optimization algorithm is used for feature selection and support vector machine for classification. The performance of methodology is compared using different SVM kernels. However, the RBF support vector kernel provided the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of 95%, 100% and 92%.

Reddy et al. [49] presented algorithm consists of parallel thresholding, feature extraction and fuzzy neural network for identifying the lung tumor on CT imaging evaluating the accuracy of 96.5%. Zhang et al. [50] stated a novel approach for computer-aided diagnosis for lung tumor detection by implementing a multiscale mask region-based convolutional neural network on PET imaging. The performance computed by estimating recall, precision and F -value as 1, 0.90 and 0.95. Uçar et al. [51] presented the methodology for automatic detection of lung nodules using deep learning convolutional neural network architecture with Laplacian of gaussian filter model obtaining the accuracy of 72.97%. Naqi et al. [52] proposed a novel hybrid approach consisting of 3D neighborhood connectivity, active contour model (ACM) and geometric properties for 3D nodule candidate detection. The comparative analysis of classification is performed using Naïve Bayes, KNN, SVM and AdaBoost to evaluate the model effectiveness. Table 1 presents a summary of the existing works.

Fig. 2 Flow process of proposed methodology

Table 1 Comparative study of the existing techniques

Author	Dataset	Segmentation methods	Classification	Performance
Manikandan et al. [53]	Lung CT images	Fuzzy auto seed cluster	SVM kernel's	Specificity-93% Accuracy-94%
Kavitha et al. [54]	Lung CT images	Otsu's Thresholding and Morphological segmentation method	Artificial neural network	Accuracy-92.68% Precision-87.50% Recall-100%
Kumar et al. [55]	Brain MRI T1 weighted	Gradient vector flow model	Principal component analysis and Artificial neural network (PCA-ANN)	Accuracy-91.17%
El Abbadi et al. [56]	MRI brain images	Morphological segmentation	Probabilistic neural network	Accuracy-98%
Nibali et al. [57]	LIDC/IDRI dataset- Lung CT images	–	Residual network (ResNet)—deep residual network	Accuracy-89.90% Sensitivity-91.07% Specificity-88.64%
Ali et al. [58]	LIDC/IDRI dataset—lung CT images	–	Reinforcement learning convolutional neural network	–
Duarte et al. [59]	MIAS	Fisher discriminant analysis and texture features	–	Accuracy-0.94 ± 0.019
Esteva et al. [60]	Clinical images		Deep learning convolutional neural network architecture	Accuracy-72%
Vijh et al. [61]	Brain MRI	Otsu Thresholding + APSO, morphological operation	Convolutional neural network (CNN)	Accuracy-98% Loss function-4%

The comparison is done based on the dataset, segmentation methods, classification method and performance of the techniques. For performance standard, measures such as specificity, accuracy, precision and recall have been reported

3 Proposed methodology

This section sheds light on the proposed methodology. The simulated phases implemented in the proposed methodology of computer-aided automatic diagnosis system for the detection of lung tumor as shown in Fig. 2 are (1) Image Acquisition and Normalization, (2) Image pre-processing, (3) Image segmentation, (4) Mathematical morphological operations, (5) Feature extraction, (6) Feature selection with grouping and (7) Classification.

1. 120 samples of lung CT images are obtained from the NCI Lung Cancer Database Consortium [62]. After the acquisition of lung CT images, the normalization is performed on tumored and non-tumored CT images which are available in the cancer imaging archive.
2. Image pre-processing is considered an important phase in clinical research application of neuroimaging for improving the quality. Image enhancement is achieved by applying a Wiener filter for denoising the image with a minimum mean square error. The Wiener filtering is the statistical approach for reducing the blurring and smoothing effect in the image. $F[n]$ represents the outcome of the Wiener filter as depicted in Eq. (1).

$$F[n] = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k w[n-i] \quad (1)$$

3. Image segmentation is the process of partitioning the image in multiple regions consisting of a different set of the pixel. The global thresholding technique is projected to partition the image depending upon the intensity of the gray-level pixels for threshold T . The segmented image acquired from global thresholding can be represented as $H(x, y)$ and using Eq. (2). Here, $t(x, y)$ is the pixel value of the image.

$$H(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(x, y) > T \\ 0 & \text{if } t(x, y) \leq T \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

4. Mathematical morphological operations are estimated by applying the certain structuring element at all possible location for smoothing the region of interest. The mathematical operations are performed where D is binary image and F is the structuring element as depicted in Eqs. (3), (4), (5) and (6), respectively.

$$\text{Erosion : } D \ominus F = \{A|(F)_A \subseteq D\} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Dilation : } D \oplus F = \{A|(F)_A \cap D \neq \emptyset\} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Opening : } D \ominus F = D \ominus F \oplus DF \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Closing : } D \oplus F = D \oplus F \oplus F \quad (6)$$

5. Feature extraction is the most important phase in obtaining the pattern information of the segmented nodule. In the proposed methodology, the total 60 different geometrical, statistical, texture and structure features are extracted from each segmented nodule. The techniques used for extraction are Gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM), Gray-level run-length matrix (GLRLM), Histogram-oriented gradient features (HOG), Gray-level dependence matrix (GLDM) and Local binary pattern (LBP). GLCM is referred to as a second-order statistics method which considers the spatial relationship between a couple of pixels. GLRLM helps in obtaining higher-order statistical features consisting of a set of continuous pixels having similar gray levels [63]. GLDM extracts the features by computing a gray-level absolute difference method between two pixels separated by specific displacement [64]. Histogram-oriented gradient extracts feature by focusing on the structure of the image and uses the feature descriptor for counting the occurrence of gradient orientation in localized portion [65]. LBP considers the shaping-based LBP operator for the lung CT image which threshold the neighboring pixels based on the value of current pixels [66]. Table 2 shows the name of the features extracted from the segmented tumored and non-tumored lung images for the analysis.
6. Nature inspired metaheuristic optimization algorithms mimic a physical or biological phenomenon for solving real-world optimization problems. The novel hybrid algorithm comprising whale optimization algorithm and adaptive particle swarm optimization (WOA_APSO) is proposed for the selection of the optimized dimension of features and for providing effective results.
- 6.1 Mathematical formulation of the hybrid WOA_APSO optimization algorithm

Initially, the hybrid of WOA_APSO algorithm begins with a random solution. However, search agents modify their position with respect to specific agent behavior. The objective function Fit_{ob} used for each iteration is outlined in Eq. (7) and also 2D Matlab plot is depicted in Fig. 3

$$\text{Fit}_{\text{ob}} = E * (1 + \beta) / \text{RF} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{RF} = m/S \quad (8)$$

where E calculates the overall error, β is constant having value 0.5, m represents no of selected features, S is the no swarms. The parameters and the values used in hybrid bio-inspired algorithm are represented in Table 3.

The hunting functionality is performed with the help of the best search agent chasing the position of prey to encircle. This behavior can be mathematically represented using Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively.

$$\vec{E} = \left| \vec{L} \cdot \vec{Y}^*(i) - \vec{Y}(i) \right| \quad (9)$$

$$\vec{Y}(i+1) = \vec{Y}^*(i) - P \cdot \vec{E} \quad (10)$$

L and P are the coefficient vectors, i is the latest ongoing iteration, $\vec{Y}$ shows the position vector and Y^* represents the position vector of the best solution acquired. However, the coefficient vector is shown in Eqs. (11) and (12).

$$P = 2b \cdot r - b \quad (11)$$

$$L = 2 \cdot r \quad (12)$$

where b is linearly decreasing from 2 to 0 and r is random vector [0, 1].

The bubble net phase formulation for a spiral updating position to mimic the helix-shaped movement of humpback whales and prey is shown using Eq. (13).

$$Y(i+1) = E' \cdot e^{al} \cos(2\pi l) + Y^*(i) \quad (13)$$

The humpback whales revolve around the prey within a spiral-shaped and shrinking circle simultaneously. The prey updates their location by using Eqs. (14), (15) and (16).

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{Y}(i+1) = & (C_{ij}^i * v^{i+1}) + \left[f_1 * v_{1j}^i * (R_{\text{Best},t}^i - x_{ij}^i) \right] \\ & + \left[f_2 * v_{2j}^i * (R_{\text{Best},t}^i - x_{ij}^i) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here, R_{best} represents the local best search

$$y_{ij}(i+1) = y_{ij}(i) + fa * C_{ij}(i+1) \quad (15)$$

$$G_{\text{best}} = G_{\text{best}} + G_a = G_{\text{best}} + (\max(y_j) - \min(y_j)) \times \text{rand} \quad (16)$$

Here, fa represents Adaptive factor and G_{best} global best location

In the exploration phase, the coefficient vector P is used for searching the prey, and it can be shown through Eq. (17).

Algorithm 1: Hybrid WOA_APSO

1. Initialize the humpback whales population as $Y_i = (1, 2, \dots, n)$, initially $i=1$.
 2. Evaluate the fitness function Fit_{ob} for Y_i .
 3. *while* ($i < \text{maximum number of iterations}$)
 4. *for* each search agent
 5. Update b , P , L and m
 6. if_1 ($m < 0.5$)
 7. if_1 ($|P| < 1$)
 8. Modify the position of currents search agent by using Eq. (9).
 9. $elseif_2$ ($|P| > 1$)
 10. Select a random search agent ($\vec{Y}_{\text{random}}$)
 11. Modify the position of the current search agent by using the Eq. (17)
 12. Search for the minimum individual best position to determine the global best position.
 13. $endif_2$
 14. $elseif_1$ ($m > 0.5$)
 15. Upgrade the position of the current search by using the Eq. (13).
 16. By using Eq. (11) & (12) replace with the new as the global best position.
 17. $endif_1$
 18. *end for*
 19. Upgrade Y^* if better solution founds.
 20. $i=i+1$
 21. *end while*
 22. Return $Y^* = \text{best search agent}$.
-

Table 2 Extracted features

Feature	Name of feature	Formula	Feature	Name of feature	Formula
GLCM	Dissimilarity	$\sum_{i,j}^g i-j H(i,j)$	GLCM	Cluster prominence	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} H_{ij}(i-F_i+j-F_j)^3$
	Energy	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} -\log(H_{ij})^2$		Inverse difference moment normalized (IDM)	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} \frac{H_{ij}}{1+(i-j)^2}$
	Inverse difference normalized (IDN)	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} \frac{H_{ij}}{1+ i-j }$		Sum variance	$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{2g} (1-\mu)^2 IH(i,j)$
	Autocorrelation	$\sum_{i,j=0}^g (ij)H(i,j)$	LBP	Local binary Pattern	$\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} 2^g y(G_h - G(Z_{a1}Z_{a2}))$
	Contrast	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} -H(i,j)(i-j)^2$	GLDM	GLDM	$\text{prob}[K\alpha(e, r)]$
	Maximum probability	$\text{Max}(\text{GP}_{ij})$	HOG	Skewness	$\sum_{h=0}^{g-1} (o_i - \text{mean})^3 H(o_i)$
	Sum of squares	$\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} (i-\mu)^2 H(i,j)$		Kurtosis	$\sum_{h=0}^{g-1} (o_i - \text{mean})^4 H(o_i)$
	Sum average	$\sum_{i,j=2}^{2g-2} iH_{i+j}(i)$		Mean	$\sum_{h=0}^{g-1} o_i H(o_i)$
	IM of correlation 1	$\frac{X_{ij}-X_{ij1}}{\max\{X_i, X_j\}}$		Variance	$\sum_{h=0}^{g-1} (o_i - \text{mean})^2 H(o_i)$
	Sum entropy	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{2g-2} H_{i+j}(i) \log(H_{i+j}(i))$		Stand Deviation	$\sqrt{\sum_{h=0}^{g-1} (o_i - \text{mean})^2 H(o_i)}$
	IM of correlation 2	$\sqrt{1 - \exp(X_{ij2} - H_{ij})}$		High gray-level Run Emphasis	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_{i,j} i^2 H(i,j)$
	Cluster shade	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} H_{ij}(i-F_i+j-F_j)^4$	GLRLM	Run-length non-uniformity II	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_i \left(\sum_w H(i,j) \right)^2$
	Homogeneity	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} \frac{H_{ij}}{1+(i-j)^2}$		Run percentage	$\sum_{i,j} \frac{w}{H(i,j)w}$
	Correlation	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} H_{ij} \frac{(i-\mu)(j-\mu)}{1+(i-j)^2}$		Inertia	$\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} (i-j) * H(i,j)$
	Difference variance	$\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (1-\mu)^2 H(i,j)$		Long run emphasis I	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_{i,j} w^2 H(i,j)$
	Difference entropy	$-\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} H_{i+j}(i) \log(H_{i+j}(i))$		Low gray-level run emphasis	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_{i,j} \frac{X(i,w)}{i^2}$
	Angular second moment	$\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} H(i,j)^2$		Gray-level non-uniformity	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_i \left(\sum_w H(i,j) \right)^2$
	Energy	$\sum_{i,j=0}^{g-1} -\log(H_{ij})^2$		Short run emphasis	$\frac{1}{i} \sum_{i,j} \frac{H(i,j)}{i^2}$

$$\vec{Y}(i+1) = \overrightarrow{Y_{\text{random}}} - \vec{P} \cdot \vec{E} \quad (17)$$

Time Complexity The proposed hybrid bio-inspired algorithm has two inner loops for population ‘n’ and one outer loop for iteration ‘t’ where $n = 20$ and $t = 35$. The extreme case complexity of hybrid WOA_APSO can be $O(n^2)$. However, when the population size is large, the time complexity of the algorithm can be represented as follows: $T(n) = 2n + s + n \log(n) \cdot t = O(n \log(n) \cdot t)$.

6.2 Feature selection grouping is performed using linear discriminant analysis for reducing the dimensions and selecting the best-optimized subsets which enhance the classification performance effectively.

7. Convolutional neural network is a deep learning classification technique for training and testing the learning network [67, 68]. The neural network

Fig. 3 2D Matlab plot for $F(x, y) = E * (1 + \beta)/RF$

Fig. 4 Fully connected convolutional neural network

Table 3 Usage of hybrid bio-inspired algorithm parameters and values

Algorithm	Parameter	Values
WOA_APSO	Lb	1
	Ub	160
	Dim	20
	Max_iteration	35
	Gamma	0.5
	SearchAgents_no	25

comprises three densely connected layers consisting of activation function connecting one neuron to another neuron as depicted in Fig. 4. The backpropagation algorithm is used for updating the weights and deltas with a learning rate of 0.001. The testing is performed on different parameters to identify the best combination for determining the robustness of the experiment. The various parameter are as follows: Layer neurons: [5, 10] [10, 15], [15, 20]; Activation function: relu, softmax; Validation split: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3; Batch size: 1, 2, 3; Learning rate: 0.1, 0.01, 0.001; and Epochs: 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200. Table 4 represents the parameters and values used for the CNN.

Table 4 Parameter and values used in convolutional neural network

Algorithm	Parameter	Value
CNN	Layer	3
	Activation function	relu, softmax
	Optimizer	Adam
	LOSS	categorical_crossentropy
	Epochs	200
	validation_split	0.2
	BATCH SIZE	2

4 Experiment result and analysis

The 120 lung CT tumored and non-tumored images were acquired from the lung cancer database consortium for evaluation of experimental results. The optimized features that are taken as input for classification are segregated in 7:3 ratio for training and testing purpose.

The optimized threshold value achieved from the WOA_APSO algorithm is compared with the whale optimization algorithm (WOA) and adaptive particle swarm optimization (APSO). The achieved threshold value of WOA_APSO, WOA and APSO is 1.16, 2.09 and 1.9. So, the proposed bio-inspired hybrid WOA_APSO algorithm is giving precise informational optimized features subsets (Tables 5, 6).

The performance measures of intelligent lung tumor diagnosis systems are acquired by comparing the different classification algorithms. The evaluation analysis parameters used for determining the effectiveness of the model are accuracy, sensitivity and specificity shown in Eqs. (18), (19) and (20), respectively.

$$\text{Accuracy} : [\text{TP} + \text{TN} / \text{Total}] * 100 \quad (18)$$

$$\text{Sensitivity} : [\text{TP} / \text{TP} + \text{FN}] * 100 \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Specificity} : [1 - \text{FPR}] * 100 \quad (20)$$

where TP depicts the total number of correctly segmented images of true positives which are classified properly, FN depicts a total number of correctly segmented images of true negatives which are not classified properly, FPR is the number of incorrect segmented images which are classified properly.

The time complexity of the proposed algorithm (Algorithm 1) is presented in Sect. 3. The computational time of the proposed algorithm against the standard WOA and APSO is reported in Table 7. Table 7 reveals that the proposed algorithm takes less time to converge as compared to the other two existing algorithms.

Table 5 Optimized selected features subset

Sum average	Long run emphasis	Run-length non-uniformity	Low gray-level run emphasis	High gray-level run emphasis	Mean	Skewness	Kurtosis	Run-length non-uniformity	Class value
2.29	19,218.84	96.4479	100.4414	5893.224	1.1447	2.01957	5.0786	96.44796	0
2.1947	28,150.36	163.6982	97.12592	5331.39	1.0971	2.7202	8.3994	163.6982	0
2.2103	25,320.4	80.3332	95.31727	5074.938	1.1049	2.57784	7.6452	80.33326	0
2.1522	47,876.4	78.6185	83.83347	3964.353	1.0759	3.20094	11.2460	78.61852	0
2.5389	12,683.62	128.558	106.8538	6759.883	1.2689	1.04237	2.0865	128.5588	0
2.5003	13,692.13	118.406	106.0758	6529.926	1.2496	1.15684	2.3382	118.4067	0
2.2186	26,600.17	85.7822	95.54607	5105.718	1.1090	2.50816	7.2908	85.78228	0
2.2494	30,015.29	79.6508	92.85763	4770.801	1.1244	2.27514	6.1762	79.65085	0
2.2017	27,257.69	92.2708	95.26133	5067.48	1.1006	2.6546	8.0468	92.27081	0
2.0691	101,773.3	232.107	49.68066	2765.702	1.0345	5.10078	27.01794	2.0691	1
2.0328	121,067.6	330.5319	39.3437	2633.991	1.01636	7.62568	59.15096	2.0328	1
2.0493	104,101.7	285.0864	50.65326	2781.266	1.02461	6.13689	38.66141	2.0493	1
2.0441	107,260.8	293.685	47.32784	2730.529	1.02203	6.51332	43.42328	2.0441	1
2.0268	141,059.5	439.4875	27.46381	2541.249	1.01336	8.4763	72.84769	2.0268	1
Run-length non-uniformity	LBP 1	LBP 2	LBP 4	LBP 6	LBP 8	LBP 18	LBP 20	LBP 22	Class value
96.4479	0.6241	3.9027	5.6613	5.5266	9.4334	166.0049	178.3931	209.1352	0
163.6982	1.38394	14.4269	6.4487	14.8731	14.9557	187.4467	158.0956	183.8711	0
80.3332	0	2.6145	3.5119	2.0653	1.9645	120.6988	126.473	160.5806	0
78.6185	0	1.2176	0.5654	3.2268	2.6067	71.84998	70.3722	101.3662	0
128.5588	3.75799	8.1659	10.0845	8.1010	11.4551	203.7716	186.0544	235.3808	0
118.406	2.97823	9.1889	12.3220	8.2400	10.1207	201.6179	162.3591	225.4596	0
85.7822	0.68514	1.3914	5.8027	6.5657	4.9089	136.2018	135.387	166.0467	0
79.6508	0.97669	1.5798	4.3095	3.8455	1.6442	143.2166	137.4865	156.7091	0
92.2708	0	6.0355	3.6341	6.9010	4.4248	146.1222	153.3348	176.1286	0
2.0691	0.88508	0.9473	0.8688	2.6195	1.6197	30.97928	55.0529	32.1778	1
2.0328	0	0.8622	0	2.6543	0	52.63489	20.3920	39.4177	1
2.0493	0	1.3256	0.6096	0.6547	2.0256	14.30879	47.1313	16.4106	1
2.0441	0	0.884	1.6993	0.9474	1.4987	45.7859	38.8361	28.7902	1
2.0268	0	0.9572	0.9010	0	0	11.9131	15.9448	17.2959	1
LBP 24	LBP 34	LBP 36	LBP 38	LBP 40	LBP 50	LBP 52	LBP 54	LBP 56	Class value
195.0578	351.533	301.095	312.6975	319.8348	176.9504	203.6467	190.9865	161.6447	0
148.8005	302.4375	208.926	291.9901	216.2246	158.332	182.0684	151.3116	185.1942	0
155.4493	292.4331	207.4571	249.5617	208.6418	123.4223	158.4634	151.4662	119.5931	0
102.5716	181.7596	147.5484	147.1823	136.703	66.70948	101.6018	98.88786	72.21412	0
223.4218	395.9502	286.0657	329.443	339.4295	187.1072	235.5035	225.1967	199.3057	0
217.505	367.9648	290.6264	302.8536	318.8569	165.3334	224.8615	220.4193	198.9715	0
191.1737	366.9759	243.2482	293.0461	228.3787	132.0325	168.4901	186.2506	136.3982	0
161.79	332.7053	170.2044	315.1821	155.3123	136.9516	157.8566	162.9077	145.1957	0
169.3257	332.2018	213.7075	301.8197	231.957	151.542	176.2257	167.812	146.2633	0
55.4414	79.2554	54.8933	66.2689	56.7798	55.1357	31.49076	54.7443	30.1848	1
14.1459	61.3162	22.4648	82.7475	17.5012	18.6852	38.5865	12.4575	51.8421	1
58.1105	41.1567	50.6474	33.6050	52.2777	44.1412	15.8069	55.8905	12.3614	1
36.9702	52.3596	57.4833	62.0686	47.2138	38.1989	26.5194	36.2923	43.05667	1
22.5867	49.9930	18.9753	41.1125	18.3220	15.1034	16.4373	21.7003	11.0442	1

Table 6 Comparative performance analysis of classification

Parameters	SVM	ANN	CNN
Accuracy	80	95.79	97.18
Sensitivity	85	89.33	97
Specificity	95.12	95.45	98.66

Table 7 Comparison of efficiency in terms of time taken by different metaheuristic technique

Algorithm	WOA	APSO	WOA_APSO
Time taken in seconds	189.9583	193.55621	184.48222

4.1 Result and discussion

Figure 1 shows the sample of considered CT tumored and non-tumored lung images taken from the cancer imaging archives. The segmented image result analysis of the proposed algorithm at each successive for efficient detection of the nodule is shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. Table 5 contains the optimized and discriminant set of grouped features obtained by applying the proposed hybrid whale optimization algorithm and adaptive particle swarm optimization (WOA_APSO) grouped by applying linear discriminant analysis (LDA). The comparative performance analysis of different classification techniques is shown in Table 6. Figure 7 depicts that a convolutional neural network provides better accuracy, sensitivity and specificity in comparison to support vector machine and

Fig. 5 The sample result analysis on lung tumored image for detection of nodule

Fig. 6 The sample result analysis on lung non-tumored image for detection of nodule

artificial neural network. The achieved accuracy, sensitivity and specificity are 97.18, 97 and 98.66.

5 Conclusion and future work

In this paper, we have presented a novel approach for early detection, diagnosis and prediction to improve the treatment of patients and take preventative measures. Here, we implemented a hybrid WOA_APSO algorithm (see Algorithm 1). Image pre-processing and segmentation technique is applied for partitioning and segmenting the tumor region. The different features are extracted to gather the statistics information analysis which assists in the decision-making

process. The proposed state-of-art method provides a better consolidated optimized dimension of features selection grouping approach by implementing a hybrid WOA_APSO algorithm embedding LDA. The convolutional neural network classification technique outperforms by providing an accuracy of 97.5% in comparison to support vector machine and artificial neural network. The methodology demonstrates the effectiveness and promising results for clinical application as compared to existing algorithms. The proposed method limits the working for 3-dimensional medical imaging. In the future, the work can be extended by using different modalities of medical imaging, and other metaheuristic techniques can be incorporated for enhancing the system performance.

Fig. 7 Comparative performance analysis

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Sluimer I, Schilham A, Prokop M, Van Ginneken B (2006) Computer analysis of computed tomography scans of the lung: a survey. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 25(4):385–405
- Sivakumar S, Chandrasekar C (2013) Lung nodule detection using fuzzy clustering and support vector machines. *Int J Eng Technol* 5(1):179–185
- Dimililer K, Ever YK, Ugur B (2016) ILTDS intelligent lung tumor detection system on CT images. In: *The international symposium on intelligent systems technologies and applications*. Springer, Cham, pp 225–235
- Makaju S, Prasad PWC, Alsadoon A, Singh AK, Elchouemi A (2018) Lung cancer detection using CT scan images. *Procedia Comput Sci* 125:107–114
- Bari M, Ahmed A, Naveed S (2019) Lungs cancer detection using digital image processing techniques: a review. *Mehran Univ Res J Eng Technol* 38(2):351–360
- Perumal S, Velmurugan T (2018) Preprocessing by contrast enhancement techniques for medical images. *Int J Pure Appl Math* 118(18):3681–3688
- Srimani PK, Mahesh S (2013) A Comparative study of different segmentation techniques for brain tumour detection. *Int J Emerg Technol Comput Appl Sci* 2(4):192–197
- Mesanovic N, Grgic M, Huseinagic H, Males M, Skejic E, Smajlovic M (2011) Automatic CT image segmentation of the lungs with region growing algorithm. In: *18th international conference on systems, signals and image processing-IWSSIP*, pp 395–400
- John J, Mini MG (2016) Multilevel thresholding based segmentation and feature extraction for pulmonary nodule detection. *Procedia Technol* 24:957–963
- Novitasari DCR, Lubab A, Sawiji A, Asyhar AH (2019) Application of feature extraction for breast cancer using one order statistic, GLCM, GLRLM, and GLDM. *Adv Sci Technol Eng Syst J* 4(4):115–120
- Bala R (2017) Survey on texture feature extraction methods. *Int J Eng Sci* 3:10375
- Zu C, Wang Y, Zhou L, Wang L, Zhang D (2018) Multi-modality feature selection with adaptive similarity learning for classification of Alzheimer's disease. In: *2018 IEEE 15th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2018)*. IEEE, pp 1542–1545
- Mirjalili S, Lewis A (2016) The whale optimization algorithm. *Adv Eng Softw* 95:51–67
- Zhan ZH, Zhang J, Li Y, Chung HSH (2009) Adaptive particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern Part B (Cybern)* 39(6):1362–1381
- Sharma A, Paliwal KK, Imoto S, Miyano S (2014) A feature selection method using improved regularized linear discriminant analysis. *Mach Vis Appl* 25(3):775–786
- Balakrishnama S, Ganapathiraju A (1998) Linear discriminant analysis-a brief tutorial. *Inst Signal Inf Process* 18:1–8
- Anter AM, Hassenian AE (2018) Normalized multiple features fusion based on PCA and multiple classifiers voting in CT liver tumor recognition. In: *Hassanien AE, Oliva DA (eds) Advances in soft computing and machine learning in image processing*. Springer, Cham, pp 113–129
- Vijh S, Gaur D (2017) Secured biometric template matching by using linear discriminant analysis. In: *International conference on health information science*. Springer, Cham, pp 194–203
- De Bruijne M (2016) Machine learning approaches in medical image analysis: from detection to diagnosis. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Jindal A, Aujla GS, Kumar N, Chaudhary R, Obaidat MS, You I (2018) SeDaTiVe: SDN-enabled deep learning architecture for network traffic control in vehicular cyber-physical systems. *IEEE Netw* 32(6):66–73
- Nalepa J, Kawulok M (2019) Selecting training sets for support vector machines: a review. *Artif Intell Rev* 52(2):857–900
- Bertolaccini L, Solli P, Pardolesi A, Pasini A (2017) An overview of the use of artificial neural networks in lung cancer research. *J Thorac Dis* 9(4):924
- Ganesan N, Venkatesh K, Rama MA, Palani AM (2010) Application of neural networks in diagnosing cancer disease using demographic data. *Int J Comput Appl* 1(26):76–85
- Singh A, Aujla GS, Garg S, Kaddoum G, Singh G (2019) Deep learning-based SDN model for internet of things: an incremental tensor train approach. *IEEE Internet Things J* 7(7):6302–6311
- Shen D, Wu G, Suk HI (2017) Deep learning in medical image analysis. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 19:221–248
- Suzuki K (2017) Overview of deep learning in medical imaging. *Radiol Phys Technol* 10(3):257–273

27. Anwar SM, Majid M, Qayyum A, Awais M, Alnowami M, Khan MK (2018) Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review. *J Med Syst* 42(11):226
28. Pandey HM, Chaudhary A, Mehrotra D (2014) A comparative review of approaches to prevent premature convergence in GA. *Appl Soft Comput* 24:1047–1077
29. Pandey HM, Chaudhary A, Mehrotra D (2016) Grammar induction using bit masking oriented genetic algorithm and comparative analysis. *Appl Soft Comput* 38:453–468
30. Pandey H (2017) Performance review of harmony search, differential evolution and particle swarm optimization. In: *IOP conference series: materials science and engineering*, vol 225. IOP Publishing
31. Pandey HM (2016) Performance evaluation of selection methods of genetic algorithm and network security concerns. *Phys Procedia* 78:13–18
32. Dhiman G, Soni M, Pandey HM et al (2020) A novel hybrid hypervolume indicator and reference vector adaptation strategies based evolutionary algorithm for many-objective optimization. *Eng Comput*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-020-00986-0>
33. Pandey HM (2020) Secure medical data transmission using a fusion of bit mask oriented genetic algorithm, encryption and steganography. *Future Gener Comput Syst* 111:213–225
34. Jindal A, Aujla GS, Kumar N, Prodan R, Obaidat MS (2018) DRUMS: demand response management in a smart city using deep learning and SVR. In: 2018 IEEE global communications conference (GLOBECOM). IEEE, pp 1–6
35. Gulati A, Aujla GS, Chaudhary R, Kumar N, Obaidat MS (2018) Deep learning-based content centric data dissemination scheme for Internet of Vehicles. In: 2018 IEEE international conference on communications (ICC). IEEE, pp 1–6
36. Aujla GS, Jindal A, Chaudhary R, Kumar N, Vashist S, Sharma N, Obaidat MS (2019) DLRS: deep learning-based recommender system for smart healthcare ecosystem. In: ICC 2019–2019 IEEE international conference on communications (ICC). IEEE, pp 1–6
37. Teramoto A, Fujita H, Yamamuro O, Tamaki T (2016) Automated detection of pulmonary nodules in PET/CT images: ensemble false-positive reduction using a convolutional neural network technique. *Med Phys* 43(6Part1):2821–2827
38. Gore JC (2020) Artificial intelligence in medical imaging. *Magn Reson Imaging* 68:A1–A4, ISSN 0730–725X. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2019.12.006>
39. Jiang J, Trundle P, Ren J (2010) Medical image analysis with artificial neural networks. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 34(8):617–631
40. Chen CH (2015) Handbook of pattern recognition and computer vision. World Scientific, Singapore
41. Bi WL, Hosny A, Schabath MB, Giger ML, Birkbak NJ, Mehrtash A, Allison T, Arnaout O, Abbosh C, Dunn IF, Mak RH (2019) Artificial intelligence in cancer imaging: clinical challenges and applications. *CA Cancer J Clin* 69(2):127–157
42. Uzelaltinbulat S, Ugur B (2017) Lung tumor segmentation algorithm. *Procedia Comput Sci* 120:140–147
43. Kumar S, Sharma TK, Pant M, Ray AK (2012) Adaptive artificial bee colony for segmentation of CT lung images. *Int J Comput Appl iRAFIT* 5:1–5
44. Joon P, Bajaj SB, Jain A (2019) Segmentation and detection of lung cancer using image processing and clustering techniques. In: Saeed K, Chaki N, Pati B, Bakshi S, Mohapatra DP (eds) *Progress in advanced computing and intelligent engineering*. Springer, Singapore, pp 13–23
45. Prabukumar M, Agilandeeswari L, Ganesan K (2019) An intelligent lung cancer diagnosis system using cuckoo search optimization and support vector machine classifier. *J Ambient Intell Hum Comput* 10(1):267–293
46. Mittal H, Saraswat M (2018) An optimum multi-level image thresholding segmentation using non-local means 2D histogram and exponential Kbest gravitational search algorithm. *Eng Appl Artif Intell* 71:226–235
47. Shankar K, Lakshmanaprabu SK, Khanna A, Tanwar S, Rodrigues JJ, Roy NR (2019) Alzheimer detection using Group Grey Wolf Optimization based features with convolutional classifier. *Comput Electr Eng* 77:230–243
48. Vijh S, Gaur D, Kumar S (2019) An intelligent lung tumor diagnosis system using whale optimization algorithm and support vector machine. *Int J Syst Assur Eng Manag* 11:1–11
49. Reddy UJ, Reddy BRVR, Reddy BE (2019) Recognition of lung cancer using machine learning mechanisms with fuzzy neural networks. *Trait Signal* 36(1):87–91
50. Zhang R, Cheng C, Zhao X, Li X (2019) Multiscale mask R-CNN-based lung tumor detection using PET Imaging. *Mol Imaging* 18:1536012119863531
51. Uçar M, Uçar E (2019) Computer-aided detection of lung nodules in chest X-rays using deep convolutional neural networks. *Sakarya Univ J Comput Inf Sci* 2(1):41–52
52. Naqi SM, Sharif M, Lali IU (2019) A 3D nodule candidate detection method supported by hybrid features to reduce false positives in lung nodule detection. *Multimed Tools Appl* 78(18):26287–26311
53. Manikandan T, Bharathi N (2016) Lung cancer detection using fuzzy auto-seed cluster means morphological segmentation and SVM classifier. *J Med Syst* 40(7):181
54. Kavitha P, Ayyappan G (2018) Lung cancer detection at early stage by using SVM classifier techniques. *Int J Pure Appl Math* 119(12):3171–3180
55. Kumar V, Sachdeva J, Gupta I, Khandelwal N, Ahuja CK (2011) Classification of brain tumors using PCA-ANN. In: 2011 world congress on information and communication technologies. IEEE, pp 1079–1083
56. El Abbadi NK, Kadhim NE (2017) Brain cancer classification based on features and artificial neural network. *Brain* 6(1):123–134
57. Nibali A, He Z, Wollersheim D (2017) Pulmonary nodule classification with deep residual networks. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg* 12(10):1799–1808
58. Ali I, Hart GR, Gunabushanam G, Liang Y, Muhammad W, Nartowt B, Kane M, Ma X, Deng J (2018) Lung nodule detection via deep reinforcement learning. *Front Oncol* 8:108
59. Duarte MA, Pereira WC, Alvarenga AV (2019) Calculating texture features from mammograms and evaluating their performance in classifying clusters of microcalcifications. In: *Mediterranean conference on medical and biological engineering and computing*. Springer, Cham, pp 322–332
60. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, Thrun S (2017) Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature* 542(7639):115–118
61. Vijh S, Sharma S, Gaurav P (2020) Brain tumor segmentation using OTSU embedded adaptive particle swarm optimization method and convolutional neural network. In: Hemanth J, Bhatia M, Geman O (eds) *Data visualization and knowledge engineering*. Springer, Cham, pp 171–194
62. <https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/display/Public/LIDC-IDRI>
63. Preetha K, Jayanthi SK (2018) GLCM and GLRLM based feature extraction technique in mammogram images. *Int J Eng Technol* 7(2.21):266–270
64. Ahmadi N, Akbarizadeh G (2018) Iris tissue recognition based on GLDM feature extraction and hybrid MLPNN-ICA classifier. *Neural Comput Appl* 32:1–15
65. Dalal N, Triggs B (2005) Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. In: 2005 IEEE computer society conference on

- computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'05), vol 1. IEEE, pp 886–893
66. Dornaika F, Moujahid A, El Merabet Y, Ruichek Y (2017) A comparative study of image segmentation algorithms and descriptors for building detection. In: Samui P, Roy SS, Balas VE (eds) Handbook of neural computation. Academic Press, Cambridge, pp 591–606
67. Anthimopoulos M, Christodoulidis S, Ebner L, Christe A, Mougiakakou S (2016) Lung pattern classification for interstitial lung diseases using a deep convolutional neural network. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 35(5):1207–1212
68. Ker J, Wang L, Rao J, Lim T (2018) Deep learning applications in medical image analysis. *IEEE Access* 6:9375–9389

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.