ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Real-world safety experience of tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim and tbo-filgrastim, short-acting recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factors

Camille N. Abboud¹ · Nicole Lang² · Henry Fung³ · Andreas Lammerich² · Anton Buchner² · Patrick Liu⁴ · Udo Mueller² · Ruth Pettengell⁴ · Ingo J. Diel⁵ · Hartmut Link⁶ · Ashutosh Pathak⁷

Received: 29 January 2018 / Accepted: 19 October 2018 / Published online: 15 November 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Purpose Recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (rG-CSFs), such as filgrastim, are administered to prevent complications in patients receiving chemotherapy. In Europe, a biosimilar to filgrastim, tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim, was approved in 2008. In the USA, the same product was approved as tbo-filgrastim under a 351(a) biologic license application in 2012 with the brand name Granix[®]. Postmarket surveillance remains a priority for monitoring the safety of biologics and biosimilars to identify rare and immunogenicity-related events. We report the global and US pharmacovigilance data for tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim and tbo-filgrastim, respectively.

Methods Cumulative exposure and adverse event data from initial approval in Europe to December 31, 2016, were collected globally from spontaneous reports submitted by healthcare professionals and consumers, scientific literature, competent authorities, and solicited case reports from non-interventional studies. A separate search was conducted on the global data set to identify reports originating from the USA and Puerto Rico to describe the US experience.

Results Overall, the global safety profile of tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim in the postmarket, real-world setting was comparable to clinical trial experience. Postmarket safety experience of tbo-filgrastim in the USA was consistent with global data. The most common SAEs were febrile neutropenia and decreased white blood cell count. The most common non-serious event was bone pain. There was no evidence of immunogenicity.

Conclusions This pharmacovigilance analysis indicates that postmarket experience of tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim and tbo-filgrastim is consistent with clinical trials. Adverse reactions associated with the originator rG-CSF (capillary leak syndrome and glomerulonephritis) have not been observed with tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim or tbo-filgrastim during the postmarket period.

Keywords Tbo-filgrastim · Filgrastim · G-CSF · Pharmacovigilance · Postmarket surveillance · Febrile neutropenia

Camille N. Abboud cabboud@wustl.edu

- ¹ Department of Medicine, Division of Oncology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA
- ² Teva Ratiopharm/Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ulm, Germany
- ³ Department of Hematology/Oncology, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Temple Health, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- ⁴ Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., West Chester, PA, USA
- ⁵ Praxisklinik at the Rosengarten, Center for Gynecological Oncology, Mannheim, Germany
- ⁶ Private Practice, Hematology and Oncology, Kaiserslautern, Germany
- ⁷ Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Frazer, PA, USA

Introduction

Myelosuppressive chemotherapy provides substantial clinical benefit in patients with cancer, but chemotherapyinduced febrile neutropenia and the potentially increased risk of life-threatening infection may limit optimal dosing or treatment schedule, and compromise treatment outcomes [1]. Recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (rG-CSFs), such as filgrastim, are the standard of care for preventing febrile neutropenia in patients receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy. Filgrastim (Neupogen®) is a short-acting rG-CSF approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1991 as a prophylaxis for chemotherapy-induced neutropenia [2, 3]. A biosimilar for filgrastim, known as Tevagrastim®, Ratiograstim®, or Biograstim®, was approved in Europe by the European Medicines Agency in 2008 [4]. In the USA, the same product was approved as tbo-filgrastim (Granix®) in 2012 under an original biologic license application for reducing the duration of severe neutropenia in patients with nonmyeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive anticancer drugs associated with a clinically significant incidence of febrile neutropenia [2, 4–6]. Tbo-filgrastim is a hematopoietic growth factor that binds to G-CSF receptors and stimulates proliferation of neutrophils. The structure, mechanism of action, and toxicity profile of tbo-filgrastim are similar to the originator G-CSF product [3, 5].

Overall, the safety experiences among the different commercially available rG-CSFs are comparable [3, 5, 7]. In several pivotal phase 3 studies, tbo-filgrastim demonstrated a similar safety profile to the reference product filgrastim in patients with lung cancer, breast cancer, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma receiving chemotherapy [8-10]. Typical adverse effects reported for rG-CSFs in patients with cancer include bone pain, myalgia, asthenia, nausea, and fever [4, 11, 12]. However, due to the small size, controlled study environment, and short study duration of clinical trials, it can be potentially challenging to detect rare safety events. For this reason, postmarket pharmacovigilance remains a priority for biologic products [11]. Marketed therapeutic products are subject to periodic safety update reports (PSURs), which provide comprehensive worldwide safety assessments [13].

Here, we present the real-world, cumulative postmarket safety experience from the PSUR for tbo-filgrastim/ Tevagrastim/Ratiograstim/Biograstim (referred hereafter as tbo-filgrastim) collected through December 31, 2016, from 53 countries. Data from the US pharmacovigilance experience are also summarized, with an emphasis on pharmacovigilance data that resulted in safety updates in the product label since initial FDA approval of tbofilgrastim in 2012.

Methods

Cumulative estimated exposure and pharmacovigilance reports were collected globally from Teva and acquired companies from initial marketing approval in Europe in 2008 until December 31, 2016. A separate search was conducted to identify postmarket reports from the global data set that originated from the USA and Puerto Rico.

Adverse event (AE) data were received from spontaneous reports submitted by healthcare professionals and consumers, from 19 competent authorities out of 53 countries where products registered by Teva Group are marketed, and the scientific literature,¹ solicited case reports were received from organized data collection systems, excluding cases received from clinical trials.

Adverse events were tabulated from a) spontaneous source defined as events received from Health Care Professionals, consumers, competent authorities and literature, and b) solicited source defined as cases received from organized data collection systems as non-interventional studies, and patient support programs.

Duplicate checks were performed according to procedures given in the CHMP Guideline on detection and management of duplicate individual cases and Individual Case Safety Reports (ICSRs) [14]. AEs were categorized according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terms by system organ class, source, and seriousness for pharmacovigilance reporting. A serious adverse event (SAE) was defined as any adverse drug experience occurring at any dose that results in any of the following outcomes: death, a life-threatening adverse drug experience, inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, a persistent or significant disability/incapacity, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect. Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse drug experience when, based on appropriate medical judgment, they may jeopardize the patient or subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition [15].

As with all therapeutic proteins, there is a potential for immunogenicity [11]. A product-specific process for immunogenicity testing of filgrastim in the post-marketing period was established according to the risk management plan (RMP) as a part of routine pharmacovigilance activities. On request by clinicians or by Teva for suspected cases, assessment of binding antibodies to tbofilgrastim has been conducted on patient's serum samples collected from postmarketing setting using validated assays.

Results

Exposure

As of December 31, 2016, the estimated global cumulative exposure to tbo-filgrastim in the postmarket setting was 11,589,592 patient-days as calculated from sales data. Cumulative postmarket exposure in the USA and Puerto Rico was estimated to be 1,820,381 patient-days.

¹ Searches were made for PSUR preparation and weekly using Embase, Biosis, and Medline/PubMed. The following search terms were used: "BIOGRASTIM" or "filgrastim" or "tbo-filgrastim" or "XM02" or "XM-02" or "GRANIX" or "RATIOGRASTIM" or "TEVAGRASTIM" or "NEUTROVAL" or "NEUPOGEN" or "ZARZIO" or "ZARXIO" or "NIVESTIM" or "EP2006"

Cumulative global and US postmarket experience

The global safety database processed 720 case reports from 2008 to 2016. Postmarket data sources cumulatively reported 1432 AEs, of those 1164 were spontaneous event reports while the remaining were serious and nonserious events from solicited sources. From spontaneous sources, 582 events were received from healthcare professionals and consumers, 448 cases were received from Health Authorities and 124 cases were received from literature source. Five hundred sixtyseven events out of the cumulative spontaneous cases were serious, from solicited source, 77 events were serious. A summary of clinically relevant AEs and frequently occurring AEs identified from cumulative global spontaneous and solicited reports is provided in Table 1. The most common AEs reported from global experience were pyrexia, bone pain, and back pain, most of which were not serious. The most common SAE reported were hematologic; there were 21 cases of febrile neutropenia (21 of 567, 3.7%), 17 of neutropenia (17 of 567, 2.9%), 12 of increased white blood cell count (12 of 567, 2.1%), and 10 of increased neutrophils (10 of 567, 1.7%).

In the USA, a total of 168 spontaneous events were received in the postmarket setting including 39 SAEs. Only 1 serious case reporting death was received from solicited source. Clinically relevant events are summarized in Table 2. Postmarket experience in the USA is generally similar to the global profile, with nonserious bone pain being the most common nonhematologic event reported. The most common clinically relevant events reported in the USA that were considered serious were decreased white blood cell count and acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis (2 of 39 events each, 5.13%).

Reports of fatalities

Globally, 65 fatal outcomes were reported including 15 fatalities in the USA. In the USA, spontaneously reported cases of death are automatically considered "related" for reporting purposes. However, signal detection, analysis, and assessment according to Teva standard procedures revealed that the majority of fatalities are likely attributed to progression of underlying cancer or intercurrent disease and no new signal emerged based on available data. One caveat to this analysis is that it can be challenging to establish a causal relationship between tbo-filgrastim and fatal events in the USA because tbo-filgrastim is indicated for treatment of possible life-threatening complications of chemotherapy in a vulnerable patient population (Table 3).

Reports of immunogenicity

During the reporting period, 8 patients were tested for antidrug-antibodies. Majority of patients were cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment and reporting allergic symptoms after G-CSF administration. Anti-drug-antibody testing was performed by Teva, and all results were negative, i.e., no binding or neutralizing antibodies were detected.

Labeling updates to the US prescribing information

Global pharmacovigilance data for tbo-filgrastim have led to label updates related to safety since initial approval. There have been two updates to the US prescribing information (PI) for tbo-filgrastim from 2012 to 2016. An update was included per the originator drug in December 2014 for the occurrence of capillary leak syndrome (CLS) [16]. CLS was reported with a frequency of 4 of 2460 (0.16%) subjects in clinical trials and may be related to extreme leukocytosis and release of cytokines, such as interleukin-6. One SAE (1 of 567, 0.18%) of CLS was observed with tbo-filgrastim during the postmarket period.

A recent update to the PI in February 2017 included a contraindication for patients with a history of serious allergic reactions to filgrastim or pegfilgrastim products. This update also has additional information at the request of the FDA for the management of glomerulonephritis to align with updates to the PI of the originator drug. No case of glomerulonephritis has been observed to date with tbo-filgrastim treatment during the postmarket period. The FDA also requested the addition of other adverse reactions identified from postmarket experience including "Sweet's syndrome," also known as acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis (4 of 567, 0.71%), diarrhea (4 of 567, 0.71%), asthenia (1 of 567, 0.18%), and fatigue (1 of 567, 0.18%).

Discussion

Postmarket pharmacovigilance remains a priority for biologic products to evaluate worldwide safety assessments and benefit-risk analyses, especially in light of the limitations of clinical trials (small size, controlled study environment, and short study duration), which may be insufficient to detect rare safety events when evaluating biologics.

Overall, the safety profile of tbo-filgrastim/tevagrastim/ ratiograstim/biograstim observed in the global postmarket setting is comparable to clinical trial experience. The available data suggest that the postmarket experience in the USA is consistent with the global experience. In both global and US datasets, bone pain was a common, nonserious AE that is generally associated with rG-CSF use. Hematologic events, including febrile neutropenia and decreased white blood cell count, were the most common serious adverse reactions reported in the postmarket setting. These events are likely attributable to chemotherapy treatment or to underlying disease. No new signal emerged.

Table 1Number of commonly occurring events (≥ 10 cases) and selected clinically relevant adverse events associated with rG-CSFs identified from
global postmarket spontaneous reports for tbo-filgrastim/filgrastim from September 15, 2008, to December 31, 2016

MedDRA system organ class/preferred term	Worldwide spontaneous AEs: total no. (HCP and consumer/competent authorities/literature)		Worldwide solicited AEs:		Total
	Serious	Non-serious	Serious	Non-serious	
General disorders and administration site conditions	85	96	7	23	211
Asthenia	1 (0/1/0)	6(0/6/0)	0	2	9
Chest discomfort	5 (1/3/1)	1 (0/1/0)	0	0	6
Chest pain	8 (1/7/0)	8 (3/5/0)	0	0	16
Death	10 (8/2/0)	0	3	0	13
Drug ineffective	36 (17/19/0)	41 (28/7/6)	1	2	80
Fatigue	1 (0/0/1)	6 (5/1/0)	1	8	16
Malaise	4 (1/3/0)	5 (4/1/0)	1	0	10
Pyrexia	20 (7/10/3)	29 (22/7/0)	1	11	61
Musculoskeletal disorders	17	91	5	105	218
Arthralgia	2 (0/2/0)	15(9/6/0)	0	4	21
Back pain	4 (3/1/0)	23 (16/7/0)	1	20	48
Bone pain	7 (2/5/0)	37 (23/13/1)	3	54	101
Musculoskeletal pain ^a	2 (1/1/0)	5 (2/3/0)	0	19	26
Myalgia	2 (2/0/0)	5 (2/2/1)	1	8	16
Spinal pain	0	6 (2/4/0)	0	0	6
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	19	36	1	1	57
Acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis	4 (1/1/2)	0	0	0	4
Erythema	6 (2/4/0)	10 (4/4/2)	0	0	16
Rash; rash generalized	3 (2/1/0)	17 (12/5/0)	1	1	22
Urticaria	6 (3/3/0)	9 (4/4/1)	0	0	15
Investigations	43	16	0	0	59
Neutrophil count decreased; white blood cell count decreased		8 (7/1/0)	0	0	23
Neutrophil count increased; white blood cell count increased	22 (2/20/0)	6 (5/0/1)	0	0	28
Platelet count decreased	6 (5/1/0)	2 (2/0/0)	0	0	8
Gastrointestinal disorders	14	34	5	7	60
Abdominal pain	5 (0/5/0)	3 (1/2/0)	2	0	10
Diarrhea	4 (0/3/1)	6 (3/3/0)	3	1	14
Nausea	0 (0/0/0)	17 (13/4/0)	0	6	23
Vomiting	5 (1/3/1)	8 (5/3/0)	0	0	13
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	42	4	8	0	54
Febrile neutropenia	20 (7/11/2)	0	5	0	25
Leukocytosis ^a	5 (2/2/1)	3 (3/0/0)	1	0	9
Neutropenia	17 (9/7/1)	1 (0/1/0)	2	0	20
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders	32	4	2 3	0	20 39
Acute respiratory failure ^a	2 (1/0/1)	4 0	0	0	2
				0	22
Dyspnea	16 (5/10/1)	4 (0/3/1)	2		
Interstitial lung disease Respiratory failure ^a	10 (4/0/6)	0	1 0	0 0	11
	4 (3/1/0)	0			4
Nervous system disorders	7	9	2	2	20
Headache	2 (0/2/0)	8 (7/0/1)	0	2	12
Syncope	5 (0/5/0)	1 (0/1/0)	2	0	8
Immune system disorders	4	0	0	0	4
Anaphylactic reaction ^a	4 (1/3/0)	0	0	0	4
Infections and infestations	7	0	1	1	9
Pneumonia ^a	5 (2/1/2)	0	0	1	6
Septic shock	2(1/1/0)	0	1	0	3

MedDRA, medical dictionary for regulatory activities; rG-CSF, recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

^a Adverse events included reports of important identified and potential risks with a probability of being related to drug treatment

Total

 Table 2
 Selected clinically relevant adverse drug reactions reported for tbo-filgrastim in the USA since initial approval in 2012

MedDRA system organ class/preferred term
--

US spontaneous AEs: total no. (HCP and consumer/competent authorities/literature)

	Serious	Non-serious	
General disorders and administration site conditions	8	26	34
Adverse event	1 (1/0/0)	2 (2/0/0)	3
Death	5 (5/0/0)	0	5
Malaise	1 (1/0/0)	2 (2/0/0)	3
Pyrexia	1 (1/0/0)	1 (1/0/0)	2
Drug ineffective	0	7 (7/0/0)	7
Fatigue	0	3 (3/0/0)	3
Injection site pain	0	3 (3/0/0)	3
Injury associated with device	0	3 (3/0/0)	3
Treatment failure	0	3 (3/0/0)	3
Pain	0	2 (2/0/0)	2
Investigations	3	9	12
White blood cell count decreased	2 (2/0/0)	4 (4/0/0)	6
Neutrophil count increased, white blood cell count increased	1 (1/0/0)	3 (3/0/0)	4
Neutrophil count abnormal	0	2 (2/0/0)	2
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	5	7	12
Acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis	2 (1/0/1)	0	2
Pruritus	1 (1/0/0)	1 (0/1/0)	2
Urticaria	1 (1/0/0)	1 (0/1/0)	2
Rash	1 (1/0/0)	5 (5/0/0)	6
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	0	5	5
Bone pain	0	5 (4/1/0)	5
Gastrointestinal disorders	0	3	3
Nausea	0	3 (3/0/0)	3
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	2	1	3
Febrile neutropenia	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Leukocytosis	1 (1/0/0)	1 (1/0/0)	2
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	2	0	2
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Dyspnea	1(1/0/0)	0	1
Nervous system disorders	0	4	4
Headache	0	2 (2/0/0)	2
Tremor	0	2 (2/0/0)	2
Infections and infestations	3	0	3
Neutropenic sepsis	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Sepsis	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Septic shock	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Immune system disorders	1	1	2
Anaphylactoid reaction	1 (1/0/0)	0	1
Drug hypersensitivity	0	1 (1/0/0)	1

In the USA, additional safety updates were made to the tbofilgrastim PI for consistency with the originator product (CLS and glomerulonephritis) or were requested by the regulatory agency (Sweet's syndrome, asthenia, diarrhea, and fatigue). To date, there are few reported cases of these events in the global data set. Anaphylactic reaction that occurred during therapy was extremely rare. No events were reported for glomerulonephritis, splenic rupture, or pulmonary fibrosis with tbo-filgrastim treatment during the postmarket period. These labeling updates emphasize the need and value of ongoing safety surveillance after drug approval to assess emerging risks and improve patient care.

Limitations of this analysis include voluntary reporting in the spontaneous setting that may result in incomplete or missing medical information. Other confounding factors were (not reported) concomitant medications and comorbidities in this patient population that either limited signal detection or potentially introduced false positives in the data set.

Regarding the immunogenicity, no new cases were detected and no specific areas of concern have arisen from the postmarketing experience of filgrastim antibody testing.

For comparison, in three clinical trials of the previous clinical development program conducted in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, the overall incidence of immunogenicity of tbo-filgrastim was 1.6% (7/436). Three patients in a study with breast cancer patients, 3 patients in a study with lung cancer patients, and 1 patient in a study with non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients were identified to have antidrug antibody response after tbo-filgrastim treatment, representing immunogenicity incidences of 1.4% (3/213), 1.9% (3/160), and 1.6% (1/63), respectively. None of these 7 patients had cross-reactive antibodies to native G-CSF, evidence of hypersensitive or anaphylactic reactions, or loss of efficacy. All antibody responses were transient and of low titers. Neutralizing antibodies were not detected [17].

Comparisons of immunogenicity between tbo-filgrastim/ tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim and other products may be misleading because of differences in the sensitivity and specificity of a particular assay. Antibody positivity may be influenced by several factors, including assay methodology, sample handling, timing of sample collection, concomitant medications, and underlying disease. These challenges underscore the need for standardized immunogenicity assays.

Conclusions

This pharmacovigilance analysis of tbo-filgrastim/ tevagrastim/ratiograstim/biograstim indicates that the global postmarket safety experience is consistent with those of clinical trials and that the US experience with tbo-filgrastim does not significantly deviate from the known profile. Some adverse reactions associated with the originator rG-CSF, such as glomerulonephritis, have not been observed with tbofilgrastim during the postmarket period. Long-term safety data from large and heterogeneous populations in real-world

Appendix

Table 3	Listing of teva-sponsore	ed non-interventional	studies
---------	--------------------------	-----------------------	---------

Study	Population studied
Observational study with Ratiograstim® in patients with chemotherapy-induced neutropenia	Patients with chemotherapy-induced neutropenia, solid tumors or hema- tological malignancies
Tevagrastim® pharmaco-epidemiology study in real life prescription Strategies, for primary and secondary prophylaxis of chemotherapy-induced Neutropenia depending on medical specialties	Patients in oncology, hematology or pulmology department treated by cytotoxic chemotherapy, with primary or secondary prevention of febrile neutropenia
A multicenter, prospective, non-interventional observational study evaluat- ing the effect of Tevagrastim to the quality of life of cancer patients during chemotherapy using taxanes	Patients undergoing chemotherapy in breast cancer, ovarian cancer, bladder cancer and lung cancer
Observational, prospective and multicentric national study on the use of Tevagrastim® (filgrastim) for the prophylactic treatment of chemotherapy induced neutropenia in patients of 65 years old or over in real condition of medical practice in oncology and onco-hematology	Patients aged 65 and over with prophylactic treatment by Tevagrastim®
Drug use-results survey of filgrastim- Post-marketing surveillance with re- gard to efficacy, safety and proper use of drugs under actual use in routine medical practice. The Drug Use Investigation is conducted in the follow- ing indications: Mobilizing hematopoietic stem cells in to the peripheral blood, for stimulating to increase during hematopoietic stem cell transplant, chemotherapy-induced neutropenia and HIV associated neutropenia, as a treatment strategy for the patients with HIV.production of neutrophil	 Patient groups are as follows: Mobilizing hematopoietic stem cells into the peripheral blood (only autologous) Stimulating the increase of production of neutrophils during hematopoietic stem cell transplant Neutropenia (due to cancer chemotherapy and HIV infection)
Specified drug use-results survey under long term treatment of filgrastim	Patients with:

practice permit continuous monitoring for emerging risks to cancer patients treated with filgrastim and present an opportunity to improve patient care.

Funding information This study as well as the development of this publication was sponsored by Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc. Medical writing assistance was provided by Powered 4 Significance LLC, Annandale, NJ, USA, and was funded by Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc., Frazer, PA, USA. The data in this manuscript were presented in part at the 58th American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting and Exposition; December 3-6, 2016; San Diego, CA, USA.

Compliance with ethical standards

Camille Abboud reports research funding from Merck, Teva, Novartis, Pfizer, and Seattle Genetics (clinical trial support); and consulting for Gerson and Lehman Group, Agios, Jazz Pharma, Pfizer, and Cardinal Health. Anton Buchner reports employment of Teva ratiopharm, and reports equity ownership in Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc. Andreas Lammerich reports employment and equity ownership in Teva ratiopharm/Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Nicole Lang reports employment with Teva ratiopharm/Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Hartmut Link reports research funding from Amgen and Hexal and honoraria from Teva. Patrick Liu reports employment with Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Udo W. Mueller reports employment and equity ownership with Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Ashutosh Pathak reports employment with Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Henry Fung reports receiving honoraria from Amgen and Teva. Ruth Pettengell reports honoraria from CTI, Servier, Teva, Roche, Takeda and Pfizer.

Table 3 (continued)

Study	Population studied
 Post-marketing surveillance with regard to efficacy, safety and proper use of drugs under actual use in routine medical practice. The Special Investigation applies to the following indications which are expected to require long-term administration of the drug (for 6 months): Neutropenia associated with myelodysplastic syndrome, neutropenia associated with aplastic anemia and congenital/idiopathic neutropenia. 	-Neutropenia associated with myelodysplastic syndrome -Neutropenia associated with aplastic anemia -Congenital/idiopathic neutropenia

References

1. Katsuya H, Suzumiya J, Sasaki H, Ishitsuka K, Shibata T, Takamatsu Y, et al. Addition of rituximab to cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone therapy has a high risk of developing interstitial pneumonia in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Leukemia & Lymphoma 2009; 50:1818–23

2. Spencer BWJ, Williams HRJ, Gee SH, Whiskey E, Rodrigues JP, Mijovic A, et al. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) can allow treatment with clozapine in a patient with severe benign ethnic neutropaenia (BEN): a case report. J-Psychopharmacol 2012; 26(9) 1280–1282

3. Sakai T, Hatano Y, Abe I, Ishii K, Fujiwara S. A case of an SLE patient with febrile neutropenia who experienced exacerbation of cutaneous manifestations after the administration of G-CSF. Mod-Rheumatol 2013; 23(6) 1231–1236

4. Bracaglia R, D'Ettorre M, Gentileschi S, Mingrone G, Tambasco D. Multiple lipomatosis after stem cell transplant and chemotherapy: a case report. Eur-Rev-Med-Pharmacol-Sci 2014; 18(3) 413–415

5. Garcia-Moguel I, Fiandor A, Rivero D, Gomez-Traseira C, Martin-Munoz F. Drug desensitization in children. J-Allergy-Clin-Immunol 2015; 135 (Suppl.)(2):AB118 abstr. 382

6. Ogawa S, Fukunaga A, Kanata M, Kikuzawa A, Bito T, Otsuka Y, et al. Neurolymphomatosis associated with erythrodermic mycosis fungoides. Acta-Derm-Venereol 2014; 94(2):227–228

7. Ozaki S, Funasaka Y, Takubo M, Matayoshi T, Ueno T, Asayama T, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-induced granulomatous dermatitis with enlarged histiocytes clinically manifesting as painful edematous nodules with high fever similar to Sweet's syndrome. J-Dermatol 2015; 42(4):414–417

8. Jacob A, Saadoun S, Kitley J, Leite M, Palace J, Schon F, et al. Detrimental role of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor in neuromyelitis optica: clinical case and histological evidence. Mult-Scler-J 2012; 18(12):1801–3

9. Nunez-Acevedo B, Rodriguez-Jimenez B, Dominguez-Ortega J, Gonzalez-Montellano E, Ibanez-Heras N, Enrech-Frances S. Desensitization to lenograstim after a life- threatening reaction to filgrastim. J-Investig-Allergol-Clin-Immunol 2015; 25(2):158–60

10. Chan K, Farooq R. Hyperleucocytosis following G-CSF treatment for sulfasalazine-induced agranulocytosis. BMJ-Case-Rep 2015

11. Bersvendsen H, Holte H, Kolstad A, Blystad AK, Aurlien E, Fossa A, et. al.. Multimodal treatment with ALL-like chemotherapy, auto-SCT and radiotherapy for lymphoblastic lymphoma. Acta Oncol2014;53(5):680–687

12. Van Lier DPT, Janssen NAF, Snoeren MM, Verweij PE, Blijlevens NMA, Van Der Velden WJFM. Severe inflammation during recovery from neutropenia: the immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome following chemotherapy. Ned-Tijdschr-Geneeskd 2015; 159(34):A8968

13. Audemard A, De La Gastine B, Chantepie S, Verger H, Gac AC, Bergot E, et al. Dasatinib-related pneumonia? An example of pharmacovigilance survey. Rev-Mal-Respir 2015; 32(1):84–86

14. Dardis C. Acute motor axonal neuropathy in a patient with prolonged CD4 depletion due to HIV: a local variant of macrophage activation syndrome?. Oxf-Med-Case-Reports 2015;2015(2):200–202

15. Hayashi S, Maruoka S, Takahashi N, Hashimoto S. Carotidynia after anticancer chemotherapy. Singapore-Med-J 2014; 55(9):e142–4

16. Alkan A, Idemen C, Heper AO, Utkan G. Sweet syndrome after autologous stem cell transplant. Exp Clin Transplant 2016; 14(1): 109-111

17. Manabe M, Wada K, Momose D, Sugano Y, Hino M, Yamane T, et al. Therapy-related myelodysplastic syndrome: a case study. Am-J-Blood-Res 2015;5(2):91–94

18. Kilic SC, Alaygut D, Unal E, Koc E, Patiroglu T. Acute colchicine intoxication complicated with extramedullary hematopoiesis due to filgrastim in a child. J-Pediatr-Hematol-Oncol 2014;36(7):e460–2

19. Sato K. Immunosuppressive therapy or chemotherapy-induced reactivation of hepatitis B virus infection. [Japanese]. Gan-To-Kagaku-Ryoho 2011;38(2):161–168

20. Umeda M, Ikenaga J, Koga T, Michitsuji T, Shimizu T, Fukui S, et al. Giant cell arteritis which developed after the administration of granulocytecolony stimulating factor for cyclic neutropenia. Intern-Med 2016;55(16):2291–2294

21. Gil-Herrera J, Vicario JL, Garcia-Enguidanos A, Marban E, De Lucas V, Caballero P. Use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor as adjuvant therapy in patients with recurrent pregnancy failure. J-Reprod-Immunol 2016;115:69 abstr. P1–46

22. Tapia De Pedro G, Cabanes Higuero N, Marchan Martin E, Villalba Lorenzo E, Rubial Carvajal G, Senent Sanchez C. Filgrastim systemic reaction. Allergy 2016;71 (Suppl. 102):526 abstr. 1604

23. Iliakis T, Rougkala N, Diamantopoulos PT, Papadopoulou V, Kalala F, Zervakis K, et al. An adult patient with systemic mastocytosis and B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Case-Rep-Med 2014

24. Ioannou S, Vlahadami I, Voulgarelis M. Bone marrow necrosis and fat embolism syndrome presented as conus medullaris syndrome in a patient with primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. Leuk Res 2010 Jan; 34(1):116–8

25. Adrian T, Mihnea Z, Francoise Q, Jean-Richard E, Christian B, Jean-Christophe I. a cerebral hemorrhagic complication following PBSC collection. Ann Hematol 2011 Feb; 90(2):221–2

26. Wiseman DH, Das M, Poulton K, Liakopoulou E. Donor cell leukemia following unrelated donor bone marrow transplantation for primary granulocytic sarcoma of the small intestine. Am J Hematol 2011 Mar; 86(3):315–8

27. Preliminary experience on autologous stem cell mobilization with biosimilar G-CSF. Marrow Transplantation 2011 Apr; 46: Suppl1 (S345)

28. Sänger CF, Dietrich N, Pelivani N, Borradori L, de Viragh PA. Hairy pinnae after orchiectomy and chemotherapy for testicular cancer: acquired localized hypertrichosis of the ears. Dermatology 2011;222(4):289–91

29. Coward JI, Nathavitharana R, Popat S. True hypoglycaemia secondary to treatment with granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) in a diabetic patient with non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2012 Jan; 75(1):133–5

30. Akilov OE, Desai N, Jaffe R, Gehris RP. Bullous Sweet's syndrome after granulocyte colony-stimulating factor therapy in a child with congenital neutropenia. Pediatr Dermatol 2014 Mar-Apr;31(2):e61–2

31. Endocardite infecciosa: um elevado grau de suspeita clinica Minerva 2008;4 (7):21-25

32. A case of type C hepatitis complicated with drug-induced hepatic dysfunction caused by tazobactam/piperacillin (TAZ/PIPC). The 609th Kanto Regional Meeting of the Japanese Society of Internal Medicine.

33. G-CSF-associated adverse events in patients with multiple myeloma during stem cell mobilization. Haematologica 2013; 98 (1):667-668

34. A proven and probable case of pre-existing IgA nephropathy exacerbated by administration of G-CSF. Billen, Annelies et al. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 21 (2015); 21 (2) S272–273

35. A case of liver fibrosis regression in a young female. Modern Gastroenterology 2015 (2):119-123

36. Hypersensitivity reaction to filgrastim with tolerance to lenograstim in a patient with severe post-chemotherapy neutropenia. European J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 101 (547)

37. In the role of patient with heart failure - little bit different case-report. Cor et vasa 1/2015:75-77

38. Pegilated-filgrastim may prevent infections in whim syndrome: a case report. Haematologica 2015

39. Vilaplana BE, Gutiérrez JR, de Ibarguen BC, Manrique MM, Guerrero AS. Critically ill patient due to pneumonitis secondary to the use of filgrastim. Am J Ther. 2016 Nov/Dec;23(6):e1946-e1948

40. Lee JB, Billen A, Lown RN, Potter MN, Craddock CF, de Lavallade H, Shaw BE, Sharpe CC. Exacerbation of IgA nephropathy following G-CSF administration for PBSC collection: suggestions for better donor screening. Bone Marrow Transplant 2016 Feb; 51(2):286–7

41. The efficacy and safety of the use of certain growth factors granulocyte-colony factors. Onco Review 2015 (1)

42. Shirasawa M, Nakahara Y, Niwa H, Harada S, Ozawa T, Kusuhara S, Kasajima M, Hiyoshi Y, Sasaki J, Masuda N. Interstitial pneumonia following administration of pegfilgrastim during carboplatin and etoposide chemotherapy for small-cell lung cancer. Mol Clin Oncol 2016 Dec;5(6):714–716

43. Employment of G-CSF during dose-dense chemotherapy in routine care. Oncology and Research Treatment 2016; 39 Sup3: 292-293

44. Brumana MB, Russo I, Zambello R, Alaibac M. Pustular eruption associated with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor treatment. G Ital Dermatol Venereol 2018 Apr; 153(2):276–277

45. Vitolo U, Angrili F, DeCosta L, Wetten S, Federico M. G-CSF use in patients receiving first-line chemotherapy for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) and granulocyte-colony stimulating factors (G-CSF) as observed in clinical practice in Italy. Med Oncol 2016 Dec;33(12):139

References

- Kamioner D, Fruehauf S, Maloisel F, Cals L, Lepretre S, Berthou C (2013) Study design: two long-term observational studies of the biosimilar filgrastim Nivestim (Hospira filgrastim) in the treatment and prevention of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. BMC Cancer 13:547. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-547
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network (2016) Clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Myeloid growth factors. Version 2.2016
- 3. Neupogen® (filgrastim) [prescribing information] (2016) Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA
- Pettengell R, Bias P, Mueller U, Lang N (2016) Clinical safety of tbo-filgrastim, a short-acting human granulocyte colonystimulating factor. Support Care Cancer 24(6):2677–2684. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00520-015-3057-2
- 5. Granix® (tbo-filgrastim) [prescribing information] (2017) Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., North Wales, PA
- Tevagrastim® (filgrastim [rbe]) [summary of product characteristics] (2013) European Medicines Agency
- 7. Zarxio® (filgrastim-sndz) [prescribing information] (2017) Sandoz. Inc., Princeton, NJ

- del Giglio A, Eniu A, Ganea-Motan D, Topuzov E, Lubenau H (2008) XM02 is superior to placebo and equivalent to Neupogen in reducing the duration of severe neutropenia and the incidence of febrile neutropenia in cycle 1 in breast cancer patients receiving docetaxel/doxorubicin chemotherapy. BMC Cancer 8:332. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-8-332
- Engert A, Griskevicius L, Zyuzgin Y, Lubenau H, del Giglio A (2009) XM02, the first granulocyte colony-stimulating factor biosimilar, is safe and effective in reducing the duration of severe neutropenia and incidence of febrile neutropenia in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma receiving chemotherapy. Leuk Lymphoma 50(3):374–379. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10428190902756081
- Gatzemeier U, Ciuleanu T, Dediu M, Ganea-Motan E, Lubenau H, Del Giglio A (2009) XM02, the first biosimilar G-CSF, is safe and effective in reducing the duration of severe neutropenia and incidence of febrile neutropenia in patients with small cell or non-small cell lung cancer receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. J Thoracic Oncol 4(6):736–740. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO. 0b013e3181a52964
- 11. Abraham I, Tharmarajah S, MacDonald K (2013) Clinical safety of biosimilar recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating

factors. Expert Opin Drug Saf 12(2):235–246. https://doi.org/10. 1517/14740338.2013.770472

- Baldo BA (2014) Side effects of cytokines approved for therapy. Drug Saf 37(11):921–943. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0226-z
- ICH Expert Working Group (2012) Periodic benefit-risk evaluation report (PBRER) E2C(R2). International Council on Harmonisation (ICH), Geneva, Switzerland
- 14. (2012) CHMP Guideline on detection and management of duplicate individual cases and Individual Case Safety Reports (ICSRs)

- US Code of Federal Regulations (2016) Postmarketing reporting of adverse drug experiences. Fed Regist 21 CFR §314.80
- Granix® (tbo-filgrastim) [prescribing information] (2014) Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., North Wales, PA
- Zou L, Buchner A, Field J, Barash S, Liu P (2017) Immunogenicity assessment of Tbo-filgrastim in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. Blood 130:2274