ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Correlates of physical activity among colorectal cancer survivors: results from the longitudinal population-based profiles registry

Margreet van Putten¹ · Olga Husson² · Floortje Mols^{1,2} · Misha D. P. Luyer³ · Lonneke V. van de Poll-Franse^{1,2} · Nicole P. M. Ezendam^{1,2}

Received: 10 March 2015 / Accepted: 25 May 2015 / Published online: 16 July 2015 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Purpose Physical activity can improve health of cancer survivors. To increase physical activity levels among colorectal cancer (CRC) survivors, we need to understand which factors affect physical activity. Therefore, this study examined the longitudinal relationship between symptom-related, functioning-related, and psychological barriers and sociodemographic and clinical factors with physical activity among CRC survivors.

Methods CRC survivors identified from the population-based Eindhoven Cancer Registry (ECR) diagnosed between 2000 and 2009 were included. Survivors completed validated questionnaires measuring moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and barriers in 2010(T1), 2011(T2), and 2012(T3). Linear-mixed models and linear regression techniques were used.

Results Response rates were 74 % (N=2451, T1); 47 % (N= 1547, T2); and 41 % (N=1375, T3). Several factors were negatively associated with MVPA: symptom-related barriers (e.g., fatigue, dyspnea, chemotherapy side effects, pain, appetite loss, and weight loss); psychological barriers (i.e., depressive symptoms and anxiety); functioning-related barriers (e.g., low physical or role functioning, unfavorable future

Nicole P. M. Ezendam N.P.M.Ezendam@uvt.nl

- ² CoRPS Center of Research on Psychology in Somatic diseases, Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands
- ³ Department of Gastrointestinal and Oncological Surgeon, Catharina Hospital, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

perspective); socio-demographic (i.e., older age, female, no partner); and clinical factors (i.e., obesity). However, no within-subject effects were significantly associated with MVPA. Groups of functioning-related barriers, sociodemographic factors, symptom-related barriers, psychological barriers, and clinical factors explained 11, 3.9, 3.8, 2.4, and 2.2 % of the variance in MVPA at T1, respectively. *Conclusions* Several functioning-related and symptomrelated barriers and few socio-demographic factors were associated with physical activity among CRC survivors. Future interventions to promote physical activity among CRC survivors could benefit by taking into account functioning aspects and symptoms of cancer and its treatment, and assess the causal direction of these associations.

Keywords Colorectal cancer · Cancer survivorship · Physical activity · Exercise · Population based · Longitudinal study

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide and the third most common cancer type in the Netherlands, with 13,300 new cases diagnosed in 2013 [1]. Physical activity is important for CRC survivors because it decreases the risk of recurrence and comorbidities and has beneficial effects on certain health-related quality of life (HRQoL) domains [2–6]. However, less than one third of the CRC survivors comply with the physical activity guide-lines (>2.5 h/week moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA)) [3, 7].

Many social cognitive models of human behavior, including the widely used Theory of Planned Behavior and Health Belief Model (HBM) [8, 9], contain constructs related to behavior change barriers. The HBM identifies "perceived

¹ Department of Research, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organization, P.O. Box 231, 5600 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands

barriers," which refer to the patient's assessment of obstacles to change physical activity. These perceived barriers may act as obstacles to undertake the recommended level of physical activity and could be classified into symptom-related barriers (e.g., fatigue, diarrhea, nausea, and having a stoma) [10–13]; functioning-related barriers (e.g., physical and social functioning) [14]; and psychological barriers (e.g., anxiety and depressive symptoms) (Table 1) [8, 10–13, 15]. Furthermore, physical activity behavior could also be affected by socio-demographic and clinical factors. For instance, studies show that

 Table 1
 Classification of possible factors associated with physical activity among CRC survivors, according to the Health Belief Model

Perceived control beliefs	Symptom-related barriers	Fatigue
		Pain
		Nausea
		Dyspnea
		Insomnia
		Appetite loss
		Financial problems
		Micturition problems
		Chemotherapy side effects
		Gastro-intestinal problems
		Stoma-related problems
		Defecation problems
		Weight loss
	Functioning-related barriers	Physical functioning
		Role functioning
		Social functioning
		Emotional functioning
		Cognitive functioning
		Global quality of life
		Body image
		Future perspective
	Psychological barriers	Anxiety
		Depressive symptoms
Socio-demographic factors		Gender
		Age
		Partner
		Educational level
Clinical factors		Years since diagnosis
		Localization of cancer
		Tumor stage
		Treatment
		Stoma
		Number of comorbidities
		Body mass index (BMI)

CRC survivors who were younger, male, have a partner, a lower BMI, who were diagnosed with colon cancer, treated with chemotherapy, with a longer time since diagnosis and no comorbidities were more physically active [10, 12].

Nevertheless, previous studies had low response rates or cross-sectional designs, highlighting the need for larger studies and longitudinal designs. Longitudinal analysis allows to examine the relationship between barriers and MVPA levels over time. Hence, a longitudinal study has the potential to inform future intervention development to increase physical activity by understanding what barriers of change need to be targeted in a growing proportion of people surviving CRC. Although a longitudinal study is insufficient to distinguish true causality, it aids studying causal associations.

Therefore, the aims of the current study were to examine (1) the longitudinal relationship between symptom-related, functioning-related, and psychological barriers and sociodemographic and clinical factors with physical activity among CRC survivors and (2) which group of factors contained the largest barriers of physical activity. Besides the individual associations between aforementioned barriers and MVPA, it seems plausible that some barriers are related with each other and together influence MVPA [10–13]. In this study, effects of groups of barriers are defined as "conjoint effects." Examining the conjoint effects of symptom-related, functioning-related, and psychological barriers and socio-demographic and clinical factors on physical activity could be used to investigate which group of factors contains the largest barriers for survivors.

We hypothesized that the following factors are negatively associated with physical activity: symptom-related barriers (e.g., fatigue, dyspnea, stoma-related problems, nausea, insomnia, chemotherapy side effects, pain, appetite loss, micturition problems, gastro-intestinal problems, defecation problems, weight loss, and financial problems); psychological barriers (i.e., depressive symptoms and anxiety); functioning-related barriers (e.g., low physical role; social, emotional, and cognitive functioning; unfavorable future perspective, body image and a low global quality of life).

Methods

Setting and participants

A longitudinal population-based cohort study was performed among CRC survivors registered within the Eindhoven Cancer Registry (ECR) of the Comprehensive Cancer Centre, The Netherlands. The ECR records data on all newly diagnosed cancer patients in the Southern part of the Netherlands, an area with 2.4 million inhabitants, ten hospital locations, and two large radiotherapy institutes. Individuals diagnosed between 2000 and 2009 with colon or rectal cancer stage I–III (N=3323) as registered in the ECR, were eligible for participation (see flowchart: http://www.profilesregistry.nl/ dataarchive/study_units/view/22). CRC survivors with stage IV were excluded (N=114) from the analyses to obtain a more homogeneous study population. This study was approved by the local Medical Ethics committee of the Maxima Medical Centre. All included participants signed an informed consent.

Data collection

Data collection took place in December 2010 (T1), 2011 (T2), and 2012 (T3) by using questionnaires. Survivors received a letter from their (ex-) attending specialist to inform them about the study. Data collection was done within Patient Reported Outcomes Following Initial treatment and Long term Evaluation of Survivorship (PROFILES). PROFILES is a registry for the study of the physical and psychosocial impact of cancer and its treatment from a dynamic, growing population-based cohort of both short- and long-term cancer survivors. PRO-FILES contains a large web-based component and is linked directly to clinical data from the ECR [16].

Measures

Physical activity was assessed with the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC) Physical Activity Questionnaire [17]. Participants were asked how many hours per week they spent on average on walking, bicycling, gardening, housekeeping, and sports in summer and winter. Six sports could be reported. First, the mean duration spent on these activities in summer and winter were computed. Second, to include an estimate of intensity, metabolic equivalent intensity values (1 MET=4.184 kJ/kg body weight/h) were assigned to each activity, according to the compendium of physical activities [18, 19]. Finally, the duration of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was assessed as time (h/week) spent on walking, bicycling, gardening and sports (\geq 3 MET). Housekeeping and light intensity sports were excluded from calculating the duration of MVPA [18, 19].

Clinical information was obtained from the ECR (i.e., gender, date of birth, years since diagnosis, localization of cancer, tumor stage, and primary treatment). Other relevant sociodemographic and clinical factors were obtained via questions concerning marital status/partner and educational level. Furthermore, comorbidity in the last 12 months was assessed with the Self-administered Comorbidity Questionnaire (SCQ) [20].

Anxiety and depressive symptoms were assessed with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [21]. The questionnaire consist of 14 items which can be answered on a 4-point scale. The HADS yields separate scale scores for anxiety and depressive symptoms. The total score for each scale can range from 0 to 21. Cut-off values for anxiety or depressive symptoms were indicated by a score of ≥ 8 . [21, 22].

Participants' functioning and symptoms were assessed with the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLQ)-C30 [23]. This questionnaire consists of 30 items and questions can be answered on a 4-point scale. The following scales were included in the analysis: physical, social, emotional, cognitive, and role functioning scales; global quality of life scale; pain and nausea scales; and the single items dyspnea, insomnia, appetite loss, and financial problems.

Fatigue was measured with the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) [24]. The questionnaire consists of 10 items which can be answered on a 5-point scale. The total score can range from 10 to 50. Cut-off values for fatigue were indicated by a score of \geq 22 [25].

Colorectal cancer-specific symptoms and two functioning scales were measured with the EORTC QLQ-CR38 [26]. This questionnaire consists of 38 items and contains the following symptom scales: micturition problems, chemotherapy side effects, gastro-intestinal problems, defecation problems, having a stoma and stoma-related problems, weight loss, and two functioning scales: body image and future perspective. Scores for scales and items from the EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-CR38 were linearly transformed to a 0 to 100 scale according to the guidelines [26]. High functioning scores indicate better functioning, while high symptom scores indicate higher symptom burden.

Statistical analyses

Differences in socio-demographic and clinical characteristics between respondents, non-respondents, and patients with unverifiable addresses, and between patients who completed one and those who completed more than one questionnaire were compared with a chi-square or ANOVA where appropriate to assess the representativeness of the sample. Slopes were made of MVPA over time for nine independent variables to investigate the change in MVPA over time for participants who completed all three questionnaires. Further analyses were based upon all participants and included all variables. Outliers of MVPA (>95th percentile) were imputed by the 95th percentile value. Missing items from multi-item scales were imputed according to the questionnaire guidelines [20, 21, 23, 24, 27].

The first part of the analyses included longitudinal data analyses. The individual association between each independent variable (Table 1) and MVPA over time was analyzed by using linear-mixed models. Linear-mixed models were used to adjust for the dependence of observations. Continuous independent variables were grand-mean centered to reduce

Table 2	Socio-demographic and clinical	characteristics of the respondents,	non-respondents and patients	with unverifiable addresses at T1
---------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------

	N (%) All respondents	N (%) Non-respondents	<i>N</i> (%) Patients with unverifiable addresses	p value
	N=2451	<i>N</i> =566	N=306	
Socio-demographic factors				
Gender				< 0.01
Male	1339 (54.6)	275 (47.7)	148 (48.4)	
Female	1112 (45.4)	301 (52.3)	158 (51.6)	
Age at time of survey: mean (SD)	69.6 (9.5)	72.8 (9.4)	68.9 (12.4)	< 0.01
<55 years	186 (7.6)	31 (5.4)	42 (13.7)	< 0.01
55–74 years	1470 (60.0)	267 (46.4)	144 (47.1)	
\geq 75 years	795 (32.4)	278 (48.3)	120 (39.2)	
Partner (yes)	1848 (76.1)			
Educational level ^a				
Low	1184 (48.8)			
Medium	773 (31.9)			
High	466 (19.2)			
Clinical factors				
Years since diagnosis/mean (SD)	5.3 (2.8)	5.3 (2.9)	5.7 (2.9)	0.02
Localization				0.02
Colon cancer	1510 (61.6)	390 (67.7)	191 (62.4)	
Rectal cancer	941 (38.4)	186 (32.3)	115 (37.6)	
Tumor stage				0.05
Ι	780 (31.8)	156 (27.1)	91 (29.7)	
II	947 (38.6)	258 (44.8)	132 (43.1)	
III	724 (29.5)	162 (28.1)	83 (27.1)	
Treatment				< 0.01
Surgery only	1215 (49.6)	343 (59.6)	176 (57.9)	
Surgery and RT	565 (23.1)	95 (16.5)	52 (17.1)	
Surgery and CT	497 (20.3)	97 (16.8)	51 (16.8)	
Surgery, RT and CT	171 (7.0)	38 (6.6)	23 (7.6)	
Comorbidities				
No comorbidities	673 (25.0)			
1 comorbid condition	659 (28.8)			
2 or more comorbid conditions	1060 (46.3)			
BMI/mean (SD)	26.7 (4.3)			
Normal	800 (33.5)			
Overweight	1159 (48.5)			
Obesity	430 (18.0)			

RT radiotherapy, CT chemotherapy, BMI body mass index

^a Educational level: High University or high education, Medium Vocational training, Low secondary, primary or less

multicollinearity. In the first step, we developed a longitudinal model, using linear-mixed models, by putting MVPA as dependent variable in the regression equation and one variable of interest, two dummies for time (T2 vs. T1 and T3 vs. T1) and the possible confounders as independent variables. A priori chosen possible confounders were the following: age, gender, having a partner, educational level, years since diagnosis, tumor stage, number of comorbidities, and BMI.

In the second step of the longitudinal data analyses, we examined the between-subject and within-subject effect for each continuous independent variable separately. The between-subject estimate was used to see if differences in the independent variable between participants resulted in differences in MVPA and was represented by a participants' average amount of MVPA reported during the study across the three measurements. The within-subject estimate was used to study potential causal relations, by assessing if changes in the independent variable within a participant were related to changes in MVPA and was represented by the difference between a participants' MVPA at a certain point in time and his/her average MVPA during the study. The between-subject and within-subject estimates were simultaneously entered in the linear-mixed models together with the possible confounders and two dummies for time. Statistically (non-)significant beta's for the between-subject and within-subject estimates were presented for the clinical important difference (CID) in scale scores of the independent variables, based on the guidelines for interpretation of the EORTC QLQ-C30 [28]. The CID in EORTC QLQ-CR38, FAS, and HADS scores was obtained by Norman's "rule of thumb," whereby a ±0.5 SD difference in scores indicates a threshold for discriminating change in HRQoL scores of a chronic illness [29]. In the third step of the longitudinal data analyses, we included an interaction term to investigate if associations with MVPA were different for CRC survivors with stage I, II, or III. Due to these different treatments, i.e., surgery or adjuvant chemotherapy, CRC survivors may have different symptoms which influenced MVPA.

The second part of the analyses included crosssectional data analyses, using multiple linear regression techniques to assess the conjoint association between multiple independent variables and MVPA at T1. The explained variance at T1 was assessed for the following domains: socio-demographic factors, clinical factors, psychological barriers, all functional-related barriers, and all symptom-related barriers. Linear regression analyses were more appropriate than linear-mixed models to assess the explained variance and therefore, we examined the conjoint association at a time point instead of over time. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3 (Statistical Analysis System); p values of <0.01 were considered statistically significant as multiple associations were tested.

Results

Characteristics of respondents and non-respondents

At T1, the response rate was 74 % (N=2451), 47 % (N=1547) completed the questionnaire at T2, and 41 % (N=1375) at T3. Respondents were 69.6 (SD=9.5) years, 55 % were male and years since diagnosis was on average 5.3 (SD=2.8) and there were minimal 1.4 year since diagnosis at T1. Respondents were 3 years younger, more often male, and underwent more often surgery only compared with non-respondents (all p<0.01; Table 2).

CRC survivors who completed only one questionnaire were older at time of first enrollment (71.4 vs. 68.4; p<0.01), were more often female (49 vs. 43 %; p=0.01) and had a lower educational level (27 vs. 17 %; p<0.01) compared with CRC survivors who completed more then one questionnaire. No differences were found in years since diagnosis, BMI, and number of comorbid conditions. Furthermore, CRC survivors who completed only one questionnaire often did not meet the guidelines of MVPA (25 vs. 10 %; p<0.01) and spent on average less hours per week on MVPA (9.1 vs. 12.0 h/week; p<0.01).

Socio-demographic and clinical factors and MVPA

Levels of MVPA were relatively stable over time; however, they were different between male and female CRC survivors (Fig. 1). Male survivors reported, on average, 1.90 h/week more MVPA than female survivors over time (Table 3). Furthermore, survivors who were 55–74 years old reported 3.34 (p<0.01)h/week more MVPA then survivors who were \geq 75 years old. CRC survivors who had a partner reported 1.11 (p<0.01)h/week more MVPA than CRC survivors without a partner. Other significant differences in MVPA over time were found for normal weight vs. obesity (B=2.38) and overweight vs. obesity (B=1.67) (Table 3). In addition, no associations were found for having a stoma, educational level, years since diagnosis, treatment, localization of cancer, and number of comorbidities.

Psychological barriers and MVPA

Figure 1 shows that the levels of MVPA were relatively stable over time; however, they were different between CRC survivors who were anxious or reported depressive symptoms and their counterparts. Furthermore, statistically significant between-subject estimates were found for anxiety (B=-0.29) and depressive symptoms (B=-0.73), meaning that a 1.8 point (±0.5 SD) higher score on these scales compared with another participant was associated with 0.29 or 0.73 h/week less MVPA (Table 3).

Functioning-related barriers and MVPA

Statistically significant differences were found for physical functioning (B=0.66; between-subject estimate), meaning that a 5-point higher score on this scale compared with another participant was associated with 0.66 h/week more MVPA. Other significant differences were found for role functioning (B=0.38), future perspective, and global quality of life (all between-subject estimates, Table 3).



Fig. 1 Longitudinal changes in mean score of MVPA (h/week) over time for gender, having a stoma, BMI, fatigue, anxiety, depressive symptoms, physical functioning, global quality of life, and dyspnea among participants who completed all three questionnaires (N=1274). *MVPA*

moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. Higher mean scores of physical functioning and global quality of life implicate better functioning, whereas higher mean scores of dyspnea indicate a higher symptom burden

Symptom-related barriers and MVPA

Levels of MVPA were lower for CRC survivors who were fatigued vs. those who were not fatigued or reported above vs. below average scores on the dyspnea scale during the whole study (Fig. 1). Furthermore, statistically significant differences were found for fatigue (B=-0.79; between-subject estimate) meaning that a 3.4 point (±0.5 SD) higher score on this scale compared with another participant was associated with 0.79 h/week less MVPA. Other significant results were found for chemotherapy side effects, micturition problems, appetite loss, weight loss, pain, and dyspnea (all between-subject estimates, Table 3).

Table 3Adjusted linear-mixed models estimating the individual associations between each independent factor and MVPA (h/week) over time (T1 N=2451; T2 N=1547; T3 N=1375)

		Number in analysis*	CID	B**	95 % CI Lower limit	95 % CI Upper limit	p value
Socio-demographic factors							
Gender (male vs. female)		4768		1.90	1.23	2.58	< 0.01
Age		4768					
<55 vs. ≥75 years				1.10	-0.22	2.20	0.08
55–74 vs. ≥75 years				3.34	2.73	4.04	< 0.01
Partner (yes vs. no)		4768		1.11	0.36	1.87	< 0.01
Educational level ^a		4768					
Low vs. high				-0.19	-1.01	0.64	0.65
Medium vs. high				0.65	-0.02	1.59	0.06
Medium vs. low				0.97	0.35	1.60	< 0.01
Clinical factors							
Years since diagnosis		4768		0.05	-0.05	0.17	0.37
Colon vs. rectal cancer		4768		0.37	-0.30	1.04	0.28
Tumor stage		4768					
II vs. I				-1.11	-1.88	-0.34	< 0.01
III vs. I				-0.58	-1 40	0.23	0.16
Treatment		4926		0120		0.20	0110
Surgery $+ CT + BT$ vs surg	erv only	1920		-0.79	-2.13	0.54	0.24
Surgery and RT vs. surgery	only			-0.31	-1.12	0.49	0.24
Surgery and CT vs. surgery	only			0.58	-0.46	1.62	0.27
CT ve RT ^b	omy	2482		0.37	-0.76	1.02	0.52
Stoma (ves vs. no)		2482		-1 24	-2.10	-0.38	<0.02
Comorbidities		207 4 4768		1.27	2.10	0.56	-0.01
No $v_{S} > 2$ comorbid condition	me	4708		0.70	0.03	1 36	0.04
$1 \text{ vs.} \ge 2$ comorbid condition	5 5			0.70	-0.07	1.50	0.04
$1 \text{ vs.} \ge 2 \text{ comototic condition}$	5	1726		0.49	0.07	1.05	0.09
Divil Normal weight vo. abagity		4720		2 20	1.51	2.24	<0.01
Overweight vo. obesity				2.30	0.80	2.44	<0.01
Summtom related horriors ^c				1.07	0.89	2.44	<0.01
Symptom-related barriers	Deterror	4609	2.4	0.70	0.07	0.(1	<0.01
Fangue	Between	4698	3.4	-0.79	-0.96	-0.61	< 0.01
D.1	Within	17.10	3.4	-0.25	-0.50	0.01	0.05
Pain	Between	4742	6	-0.22	-0.32	-0.13	< 0.01
Х.	Within	1705	6	0.01	-0.08	0.11	0.75
Nausea	Between	4725	3	-0.15	-0.25	-0.05	< 0.01
_	Within		3	-0.08	-0.16	-0.01	0.05
Dyspnea	Between	4698	4	-0.21	-0.27	-0.15	< 0.01
	Within		4	-0.04	-0.11	0.03	0.24
Insomnia	Between	4715	4	-0.07	-0.13	-0.02	< 0.01
	Within		4	-0.03	-0.09	0.02	0.28
Appetite loss	Between	4720	5	-0.29	-0.40	-0.17	< 0.01
	Within		5	-0.08	-0.18	0.03	0.14
Financial difficulties	Between	4706	3	-0.08	-0.14	-0.02	< 0.01
	Within		3	-0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.81
Micturition problems	Between	4669	8.7	-0.39	-0.57	-0.20	< 0.01
	Within		8.7	-0.03	-0.22	0.16	0.76
Chemo side effects	Between	4693	7.9	-0.41	-0.60	-0.22	< 0.01
	Within		7.9	-0.04	-0.23	0.14	0.65
Gastro-intestinal problems	Between	4655	7.2	-0.12	-0.30	0.06	0.20

Table 3 (continued)

		Number in analysis*	CID	B**	95 % CI Lower limit	95 % CI Upper limit	p value
	Within		7.2	-0.03	-0.18	0.23	0.80
Defecation problems	Between	3609	6.0	-0.21	-0.41	-0.01	0.04
	Within		6.0	-0.03	-0.27	0.22	0.83
Stoma-related problems	Between	967 ^d	10.4	-0.04	-0.41	0.34	0.85
	Within		10.4	-0.10	-0.45	0.65	0.71
Weight loss	Between	4716	7.8	-0.26	-0.46	-0.07	< 0.01
	Within		7.8	-0.12	-0.27	0.02	0.09
Functioning-related barriers ^e							
Physical functioning	Between	4741	5	0.66	0.58	0.75	< 0.01
	Within		5	0.10	-0.03	0.24	0.13
Role functioning	Between	4726	6	0.38	0.29	0.46	< 0.01
	Within		6	0.04	-0.05	0.12	0.41
Social functioning	Between	4721	5	0.26	0.18	0.35	< 0.01
	Within		5	0.05	-0.04	0.13	0.29
Emotional functioning	Between	4722	4	0.20	0.13	0.28	< 0.01
	Within		4	0.01	-0.09	0.08	0.93
Cognitive functioning	Between	4728	3	0.09	0.04	0.15	< 0.01
	Within		3	0.06	0.00	0.12	0.07
Global quality of life	Between	4739	4	0.37	0.29	0.45	< 0.01
	Within		4	0.02	-0.06	0.11	0.57
Body image	Between	4692	10.9	0.19	0.01	0.36	0.03
	Within		10.9	0.02	-0.23	0.18	0.82
Future perspective	Between	4728	13.7	0.38	0.20	0.57	< 0.01
	Within		13.7	0.02	-0.20	0.17	0.87
Psychological barriers							
Anxiety	Between	4685	1.8	-0.29	-0.46	-0.12	< 0.01
	Within		1.8	-0.08	-0.31	0.15	0.51
Depressive symptoms	Between	4704	1.8	-0.73	-0.90	-0.55	< 0.01
	Within		1.8	-0.21	-0.45	0.03	0.09

Linear-mixed models are adjusted for gender, age, educational level, having a partner, years since diagnosis, tumor stage, number of comorbidities, and BMI

MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; *CID* clinical important difference in scores of the scales and items of the EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-CR38, FAS, and HADS; *CI* confidence interval; *CT* chemotherapy; *RT* radiotherapy

^a Educational level: High university or high education, Medium vocational training, Low secondary, primary or less

^b Patients underwent surgery as primary treatment

^c Higher scores implicate higher symptom burden

^d Only patients with a stoma were asked to fill in items concerning stoma-related problems

^e Higher scores implicate better functioning

*Each row in the analyses represents a patient at one time point, resulting in a maximum of three rows per patient in a long data file used for the linearmixed models

**B is calculated for the clinical important difference (CID) in scale scores of the independent variables

An interaction term was added to investigate if associations with MVPA were different for CRC survivors with stage I, II, or III. Solely, the interaction term for cognitive functioning was significant (p<0.01). Subgroup analysis demonstrated that there was a significant association between cognitive functioning and MVPA for stage I survivors (B=0.06; between-subject estimate), whereas not for stage II and III survivors.

Conjoint associations with MVPA

At T1, 10.6 % of the differences in MVPA could be explained by differences in functioning-related barriers (Table 4). Differences in functioning-related barriers could explain the highest variance in MVPA compared with other groups of factors. Of the functioning-related barriers, physical functioning

Table 4Linear regression techniques estimating the explained variancefor groups of factors in MVPA at T1

Domains	Number ^a	R^2	
Socio-demographic factors	2407	0.039	
Clinical factors	2061	0.022	
Symptom-related barriers ^b	1680	0.038	
Functioning-related barriers ^c	2340	0.106	
Psychological barriers	2345	0.024	

MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, R^2 explained variance ^a Number of patients included in the analysis

 ${}^{b}R^{2}$ of all symptom-related scales in one model (EORTC QLQ-30, EORTC QLQ-CR38, and FAS)

^c R² of all functioning scales and global quality of life in one model (EORTC QLQ-30 and EORTC QLQ-CR38)

explained the highest variance in MVPA. Differences in symptom-related barriers explained 3.8 % of the differences in MVPA and most variance could be attributed to differences in fatigue, dyspnea, and chemotherapy side effects. Because of the large number of variables and some variables being correlated, we could not include all variables of interest in one linear regression analysis to assess the explained variance. Therefore, we decided to analyze groups of related barriers to assess explained variance per group. As a consequence, these explained variances cannot be summed, since they could have overlapping variance.

Discussion

This longitudinal population-based cohort study examined the individual and conjoint association of factors with physical activity among CRC survivors. According to our results, several factors were negatively associated with physical activity over time: symptom-related barriers (e.g., fatigue, dyspnea, chemotherapy side effects, pain, appetite loss, and weight loss); psychological barriers (i.e., depressive symptoms and anxiety); functioning-related barriers (e.g., low physical or role functioning, unfavorable future perspective, low global quality of life); socio-demographic (i.e., being \geq 75 years old, being female, having no partner); and clinical factors (i.e., being overweight or obese). Furthermore, conjoint associations indicated that most differences in physical activity can be attributed to differences in functioning aspects and experienced symptoms of cancer and its treatment.

Our results regarding individual associations with physical activity are in line with previous studies. Courneya et al. [11] found that fatigue was an important barrier for physical activity among CRC survivors. Furthermore, previous studies have also found that being female, having a higher BMI and being anxious were associated with a lower physical activity among CRC survivors [10, 15]. In contrast with previous studies, in the present study, the number of comorbidities and tumor stage were not significantly associated with physical activity [10, 12].

In the present study, conjoint associations demonstrated that differences in functioning-related barriers and symptomrelated barriers seem to be important in explaining differences in MVPA, whereas socio-demographic and clinical factors seem to be less important. These results are in accordance with results of Lynch et al. [13], who found that disease-specific side effects are perceived as the greatest barriers to physical activity for CRC survivors.

A few results require further explanation. Besides significant between-subject effects, no within-subject effects were significant which indicates that the factors included in the analyses were significantly associated with MVPA over time between respondents but not within respondents. This could be caused by the fact that factors assumed to be related with MVPA were relatively stable over time within participants, which could be related to the fact that respondents were, on average, 5 years after diagnosis. Second, there was no association between having a stoma or stomarelated problems and MVPA. An explanation could be that the severity of stoma-related problems was generally low among the CRC survivors with a stoma in the present study and therefore may not affect MVPA. The low severity of stoma-related problems reported by CRC survivors with a stoma could be explained by the high number of long-term survivors (more than 5 years after diagnosis) and a decrease of stoma-related problems over time [13, 30, 31]. Third, our results demonstrated that survivors who were 55-74 years old were more physically active then survivors who were <55 years old. This relatively high level of physical activity among survivors who were 55-74 years old could be caused by early retirement or not having a paid job at the time of study whereby patients have more leisure time to be physically active [32]. Finally, this study showed that survivors who had surgery and chemotherapy were more physically active then survivors who only had surgery. An explanation could be that patients who were treated with chemotherapy received more advice on the health benefits of physical activity from health care professionals [33].

Besides socio-demographic factors, clinical factors, and perceived barriers, other behavioral factors could also affect physical activity among CRC survivors [9]. Such behavioral factors could be the intention to be physically active, the subjective norm (a person's own estimate of the social pressure to be physically active), the instrumental attitude (the expected benefits of being physical active), and the affective attitude (the expected enjoyment of being physical active) [34, 35]. Furthermore, lack of time or enjoyment; facilities to be physically active; encouragement from family, friends, and health professionals could affect physical activity [13]. Future research should assess these factors and relate them to important correlates found in the present study.

Response rates decreased during the present study because respondents stopped participating or deceased. The decrease in response rates may have influenced the results. Respondents who completed only one questionnaire were less physically active compared with patients who completed two or three questionnaires which may led to an overestimation of MVPA at T2 and T3. Due to selection bias, respondents may be a more homogenous group than the target population, which could lead to underestimations of the effect estimates. However, this should not affect the direction of the associations. Incentives might have improved the compliance [36].

The current study has some limitations. There were differences between respondents and non-respondents, which may decrease the generalizability of our results. Furthermore, this study presented statistically significant results, whereas it was not possible to present clinically relevant results because no guidelines were available for the minimal clinically significant difference in MVPA. Another limitation is the use of selfreport measures to assess MVPA. This may have led to systematic overestimation of MVPA levels [37]. Nevertheless, this study is one of the few available studies that reported a comprehensive view on factors associated with physical activity among CRC survivors. Moreover, this study is a large longitudinal population-based cohort study.

In conclusion, multiple individual factors from all domains were negatively associated with physical activity over time among CRC survivors. However, barriers and MVPA were relatively stable over time and therefore, this study found no association between changes in barriers and changes in MVPA (within-subject effects). Furthermore, conjoint associations indicated that most differences in physical activity can be attributed to differences in functioning aspects and experienced symptoms of cancer and its treatment. Future interventions to increase physical activity levels among CRC survivors should take into account functioning aspects and symptoms of cancer and its treatment.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the patients and their doctors for their participation in the study. This study would not have been possible without their valuable time and willingness to share personal information. We also thank Dr. W. Zijlstra (Center of Research on Psychology in Somatic diseases, Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Tilburg University, the Netherlands) for feedback on our statistical approach to the analyses.

Funding The present research was supported by a VENI grant (#451-10-041) from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (The Hague, The Netherlands) awarded to Floortje Mols. Dr. N.P.M. Ezendam is supported by a grant from the Dutch Cancer Society, and Prof. Dr. L.V. van de Poll-Franse is supported by a Cancer Research Award from the Dutch Cancer Society (#UVT-2009-4349). These funding agencies had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and

interpretation of data; in the writing of the report and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Conflict of interest All authors declare that they have no financial or non-financial conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- 1. Cijfers over kanker. (2014). www.cijfersoverkanker.nl.
- Denlinger CS, Engstrom PF (2011) Colorectal cancer survivorship: movement matters. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 4(4):502–511. doi:10. 1158/1940-6207.capr-11-0098
- Irwin ML (2009) Physical activity interventions for cancer survivors. Br J Sports Med 43(1):32–38. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.053843
- Lynch BM (2010) Sedentary behavior and cancer: a systematic review of the literature and proposed biological mechanisms. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev Publ Am Assoc Cancer Res Cosponsored Am Soc Prev Oncol 19(11):2691–2709. doi:10. 1158/1055-9965.epi-10-0815
- Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Geigle PM, Berlanstein DR, Topaloglu O, Gotay CC, Snyder C (2012) Exercise interventions on healthrelated quality of life for cancer survivors. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 8:CD007566. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007566.pub2
- Peddle CJ, Au HJ, Courneya KS (2008) Associations between exercise, quality of life, and fatigue in colorectal cancer survivors. Dis Colon Rectum 51(8):1242–1248. doi:10.1007/s10350-008-9324-2
- Courneya KS, Katzmarzyk PT, Bacon E (2008) Physical activity and obesity in Canadian cancer survivors: population-based estimates from the 2005 Canadian community health survey. Cancer 112(11):2475–2482. doi:10.1002/cncr.23455
- Champion VL, Skinner CS (2008) The health belief model. Health Behav Health Educ Theory Res Pract 4:45–65
- Montano DE, Kasprzyk D (2008) Theory of reasoned action, theory of planned behavior, and the integrated behavioral model. Health Behav Health Educ Theory Res Pract 4:67–95
- Buffart LM, Thong MS, Schep G, Chinapaw MJ, Brug J, van de Poll-Franse LV (2012) Self-reported physical activity: its correlates and relationship with health-related quality of life in a large cohort of colorectal cancer survivors. PLoS One 7(5):e36164. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0036164
- Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM, Quinney HA, Fields AL, Jones LW, Vallance JK, Fairey AS (2005) A longitudinal study of exercise barriers in colorectal cancer survivors participating in a randomized controlled trial. Ann Behav Med 29(2):147–153. doi:10.1207/ s15324796abm2902_9
- D'Andrea AP, Fernandez CA, Tannenbaum SL, Clarke TC, McClure LA, Leblanc WG, Lee DJ (2014) Correlates of leisure time physical activity compliance in colorectal cancer survivors. Prev Med 62:78–82. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.01.032
- Lynch BM, Owen N, Hawkes AL, Aitken JF (2010) Perceived barriers to physical activity for colorectal cancer survivors. Support Care Cancer Off J Multinatl Assoc Support Care Cancer 18(6):729–734. doi:10.1007/s00520-009-0705-4
- 14. Fong DY, Ho JW, Hui BP, Lee AM, Macfarlane DJ, Leung SS, Cerin E, Chan WY, Leung IP, Lam SH, Taylor AJ, Cheng KK

(2012) Physical activity for cancer survivors: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 344:e70. doi:10.1136/bmj.e70

- Chambers SK, Lynch BM, Aitken J, Baade P (2009) Relationship over time between psychological distress and physical activity in colorectal cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol 27(10):1600–1606. doi:10.1200/jco.2008.18.5157
- 16. van de Poll-Franse LV, Horevoorts N, Eenbergen M, Denollet J, Roukema JA, Aaronson NK, Vingerhoets A, Coebergh JW, de Vries J, Essink-Bot M-L, Mols F (2011) The patient reported outcomes following initial treatment and long term evaluation of survivorship registry: scope, rationale and design of an infrastructure for the study of physical and psychosocial outcomes in cancer survivorship cohorts. Eur J Cancer 47(14):2188–2194. doi:10.1016/j. ejca.2011.04.034
- Pols MA, Peeters PH, Ocke MC, Slimani N, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Collette HJ (1997) Estimation of reproducibility and relative validity of the questions included in the EPIC physical activity questionnaire. Int J Epidemiol 26(Suppl 1):S181–S189
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, Jacobs DR Jr, Montoye HJ, Sallis JF, Paffenbarger RS Jr (1993) Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. Med Sci Sports Exerc 25(1):71–80
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, Irwin ML, Swartz AM, Strath SJ, O'Brien WL, Bassett DR Jr, Schmitz KH, Emplaincourt PO, Jacobs DR Jr, Leon AS (2000) Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. Med Sci Sports Exerc 32(9 Suppl):S498–S504
- Sangha O, Stucki G, Liang MH, Fossel AH, Katz JN (2003) The self-administered comorbidity questionnaire: a new method to assess comorbidity for clinical and health services research. Arthritis Rheum 49(2):156–163. doi:10.1002/art.10993
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 67(6):361–370
- Olsson I, Mykletun A, Dahl AA (2005) The hospital anxiety and depression rating scale: a cross-sectional study of psychometrics and case finding abilities in general practice. BMC Psychiatry 5: 46. doi:10.1186/1471-244x-5-46
- Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, Filiberti A, Flechtner H, Fleishman SB, de Haes JC et al (1993) The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 85(5):365–376
- Michielsen HJ, De Vries J, Van Heck GL (2003) Psychometric qualities of a brief self-rated fatigue measure: the fatigue assessment scale. J Psychosom Res 54(4):345–352
- Michielsen HJ, Drent M, Peros-Golubicic T, De Vries J (2006) Fatigue is associated with quality of life in sarcoidosis patients. Chest 130(4):989–994. doi:10.1378/chest.130.4.989
- Sprangers MAG, te Velde A, Aaronson NK (1999) The construction and testing of the EORTC colorectal cancer-specific quality of

life questionnaire module (QLQ-CR38). Eur J Cancer 35(2):238-247. doi:10.1016/S0959-8049(98)00357-8

- 27. Sprangers MA, te Velde A, Aaronson NK (1999) The construction and testing of the EORTC colorectal cancer-specific quality of life questionnaire module (QLQ-CR38). European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer study group on quality of life. Eur J Cancer (Oxford, England: 1990) 35(2):238–247
- Cocks K, King MT, Velikova G, Martyn St-James M, Fayers PM, Brown JM (2011) Evidence-based guidelines for determination of sample size and interpretation of the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire core 30. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol 29(1):89–96. doi: 10.1200/jco.2010.28.0107
- Norman GR, Sloan JA, Wyrwich KW (2003) Interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life: the remarkable universality of half a standard deviation. Med Care 41(5):582–592. doi:10. 1097/01.mlr.0000062554.74615.4c
- Choueiri TK, Rini B, Garcia JA, Baz RC, Abou-Jawde RM, Thakkar SG, Elson P, Mekhail TM, Zhou M, Bukowski RM (2007) Prognostic factors associated with long-term survival in previously untreated metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Annals Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol/ESMO 18(2):249–255. doi:10. 1093/annonc/mdl371
- Jaeck D, Bachellier P, Guiguet M, Boudjema K, Vaillant JC, Balladur P, Nordlinger B (1997) Long-term survival following resection of colorectal hepatic metastases. Association Francaise de Chirurgie. Br J Surg 84(7):977–980
- Barnett I, van Sluijs EM, Ogilvie D (2012) Physical activity and transitioning to retirement: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med 43(3):329–336. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.05.026
- Husson O, Thong MS, Mols F, Oerlemans S, Kaptein AA, van de Poll-Franse LV (2013) Illness perceptions in cancer survivors: what is the role of information provision? Psycho-Oncology 22(3):490– 498. doi:10.1002/pon.3042
- Forbes CC, Blanchard CM, Mummery WK, Courneya KS (2013) A comparison of physical activity correlates across breast, prostate and colorectal cancer survivors in Nova Scotia, Canada. Support Care Cancer Off J Multinatl Assoc Support Care Cancer. doi:10. 1007/s00520-013-2045-7
- Speed-Andrews AE, McGowan EL, Rhodes RE, Blanchard CM, Culos-Reed SN, Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS (2013) Identification and evaluation of the salient physical activity beliefs of colorectal cancer survivors. Cancer Nurs. doi:10.1097/NCC. 0b013e3182813972
- Brueton VC, Tierney JF, Stenning S, Meredith S, Harding S, Nazareth I, Rait G (2014) Strategies to improve retention in randomised trials: a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 4(2):e003821. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003821
- Sallis JF, Saelens BE (2000) Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limitations, and future directions. Res Q Exerc Sport 71(2 Suppl):S1–S14