ORIGINAL PAPER





Separating Polynomial χ -Boundedness from χ -Boundedness

Marcin Briański¹ · James Davies² · Bartosz Walczak¹

Received: 3 February 2023 / Revised: 14 July 2023 / Accepted: 19 July 2023 / Published online: 9 August 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Extending the idea from the recent paper by Carbonero, Hompe, Moore, and Spirkl, for every function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with f(1) = 1 and $f(n) \ge {\binom{3n+1}{3}}$, we construct a hereditary class of graphs \mathcal{G} such that the maximum chromatic number of a graph in \mathcal{G} with clique number *n* is equal to f(n) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we prove that there exist hereditary classes of graphs that are χ -bounded but not polynomially χ -bounded.

Keywords Graph colouring \cdot clique number $\cdot X$ -bounded \cdot polynomially X-bounded

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C15

Marcin Briański marcin.brianski@doctoral.uj.edu.pl

> James Davies jgd37@cam.ac.uk

Bartosz Walczak bartosz.walczak@uj.edu.pl

- ¹ Department of Theoretical Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland
- ² Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

M. Briański: partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant (BEETHOVEN; UMO-2018/31/G/ST1/03718) B. Walczak: partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre Grant No. 2019/34/E/ST6/00443.

1 Introduction

Given a class of graphs C its χ -bounding function is the function $\chi_C \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined as

$$\chi_{\mathcal{C}}(n) = \sup\{\chi(G) \colon G \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \omega(G) = n\},\$$

where $\chi(G)$ and $\omega(G)$ denote, respectively, the chromatic number and the clique number of *G*. A class of graphs *C* is χ -bounded if there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every graph $G \in C$, or equivalently if $\chi_{\mathcal{C}}(n)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A class *C* is *polynomially* χ -bounded if such a function *f* can be chosen to be a polynomial. A class *C* is *hereditary* if it is closed under taking induced subgraphs.

A well-known and fundamental open problem, due to Esperet [6], has been to decide whether every hereditary class of graphs which is χ -bounded is polynomially χ -bounded. We provide a negative answer to this question. More generally, we prove that χ -bounding functions may be arbitrary, so long as they are bounded from below by a certain cubic function.

Theorem 1 Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that f(1) = 1 and $f(n) \ge {\binom{3n+1}{3}}$ for every $n \ge 2$. Then there exists a hereditary class of graphs \mathcal{G} such that $\chi_{\mathcal{G}}(n) = f(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, χ -bounding functions are not entirely arbitrary. For instance, Scott and Seymour [11] proved that every hereditary class of graphs C with $\chi_C(2) = 2$ satisfies $\chi_C(n) \leq 2^{2^{n+1}}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is heavily based on the idea used by Carbonero, Hompe, Moore, and Spirkl [2] in their very recent solution to another well-known problem attributed to Esperet [12]. They proved that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a graph *G* with $\omega(G) = 3$ and $\chi(G) \ge k$ such that every triangle-free induced subgraph of *G* has chromatic number at most 4. Their proof, in turn, relies on an idea by Kierstead and Trotter [8], who proved in 1992 that the class of oriented graphs excluding a directed path on four vertices as an induced subgraph is not χ -bounded. We further generalise the aforesaid result of Carbonero, Hompe, Moore, and Spirkl [2] to higher clique numbers. Specifically, we prove the following general bound, which we use to derive Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 For every pair of integers n and k with $k \ge n \ge 2$, there exists a graph G with clique number n and chromatic number k such that every induced subgraph of G with clique number m < n has chromatic number at most $\binom{3m+1}{3}$.

In case that *n* is a prime number, we prove a better bound, which matches the bound of 4 from [2] when n = 3.

Theorem 3 For every pair of integers p and k with p a prime and $k \ge p$, there exists a graph G with clique number p and chromatic number k such that every induced subgraph of G with clique number m < p has chromatic number at most $\binom{m+2}{3}$.

In the first version of this paper [1], we proved a weaker version of Theorem 3 with $\binom{m+2}{3}$ replaced by m^{m^2} . Despite the worse bound obtained, that alternative proof may still be of interest. The mere qualitative statement that for every prime p, there are graphs with clique number p and arbitrarily large chromatic number whose induced subgraphs with clique number less than p have bounded chromatic number suffices to imply the negative answer to Esperet's question.

After [1] appeared, Girão et al. [7] proved another generalisation of the aforesaid qualitative version of Theorem 3. Namely, they proved that for every graph F with at least one edge, there are graphs of arbitrarily large chromatic number and the same clique number as F in which every F-free induced subgraph has chromatic number at most some constant c_F depending only on F. They also showed the analogous statement where clique number is replaced by odd girth.

See [12] and [9] for recent surveys on χ -boundedness and polynomial χ -boundedness.

2 Proof

First, we show that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 Assuming Theorem 2 Fix a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that f(1) = 1 and $f(n) \ge {3n+1 \choose 3}$ for every $n \ge 2$. By Theorem 2, for every pair of integers n and k with $k \ge n \ge 2$, there exists a graph $H_{n,k}$ with clique number n and chromatic number k such that every induced subgraph of $H_{n,k}$ with clique number m < n is ${3m+1 \choose 3}$ -colourable.

We now consider two cases. If f(n) is finite, we put $\mathcal{H}_n = \{H_{n,f(n)}\}$. Otherwise $f(n) = \infty$, and we put $\mathcal{H}_n = \{H_{n,k} : k \ge n\}$. Finally, we let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$, and we let \mathcal{G} be the hereditary closure of \mathcal{H} , i.e., the family of all induced subgraphs of the graphs in \mathcal{H} .

We now argue that $\chi_{\mathcal{G}}(n) = f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim holds trivially for n = 1, so assume $n \ge 2$. If $f(n) = \infty$, then the sequence of graphs $\{H_{n,k} : k \ge n\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ all have clique number equal to n and have unbounded chromatic number, thus showing that $\chi_{\mathcal{G}}(n) = \infty$, as claimed. Otherwise, f(n) is finite. The graph $H_{n,f(n)} \in \mathcal{G}$ shows that $\chi_{\mathcal{G}}(n) \ge f(n)$. For the reverse inequality, let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $\omega(G) = n$. Then there exist integers k and n^* with $k \ge n^* \ge n$ such that G is an induced subgraph of $H_{n^*,k} \in \mathcal{H}$. The unique graph of \mathcal{H} with clique number n is $H_{n,f(n)}$. So if $n^* = n$, then $\chi(G) \le \chi(H_{n,f(n)}) = f(n)$, and if $n^* > n$, then $\chi(G) \le {3n+1 \choose 3}$. Combining these inequalities, we conclude that

$$f(n) \leq \chi_{\mathcal{G}}(n) \leq \max\{\binom{3n+1}{3}, f(n)\} = f(n),$$

and the theorem follows.

The rest of the paper is devoted to proving Theorem 2. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4 For every positive integer k, there is a graph G_k and an acyclic orientation of its edges with the following properties:

- (1) $\chi(G_k) = k;$
- (2) for every pair of vertices u and v, there is at most one directed path from u to v in G_k;
- (3) there is a directed path in G_k on k vertices;
- (4) there is a k-colouring ϕ of G_k such that $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ for any two distinct vertices u and v such that there is a directed path from u to v in G_k .

Various well-known constructions of triangle-free graphs with arbitrarily high chromatic number, such as Zykov's [13] and Tutte's [3, 4], satisfy the condition of Lemma 4 once the edges are oriented in a way that follows naturally from the construction. See [2] and [8] for an explicit construction of the graphs G_k with the appropriate acyclic orientations, based on Zykov's construction. It is only implicit that the acyclic orientations of the graphs in [2] and [8] satisfy all of the properties in the conclusion of Lemma 4, so for the sake completeness we provide a proof based on Tutte's construction.

Proof of Lemma 4 We proceed by induction on k. The base case k = 1 follows by taking a single-vertex graph as G_1 . For the induction step, assume G_{k-1} is an acyclically oriented graph satisfying conditions (1)–(4) for k - 1. To construct G_k , begin with a stable set S with $|S| = (k-1)(|V(G_{k-1})| - 1) + 1$, and for every subset X of S with $|X| = |V(G_{k-1})|$, add an isomorphic copy G_X of G_{k-1} (with the same orientation as in G_{k-1}) and an arbitrary perfect matching between the vertices in X and the vertices of G_X , oriented from X to G_X . This clearly preserves acyclicity of the orientation. Since the vertices in S have in-degree zero, either every directed path is contained in some copy G_X of G_{k-1} , or the starting vertex u is contained in S and every other vertex is contained in some copy G_X of G_{k-1} . As every vertex in S has at most one edge to each copy G_X of G_{k-1} , the induction hypothesis implies that condition (2) is preserved. Any directed path on k - 1 vertices in G_X extends to a directed path on k vertices in G_k by adding a vertex from S, so (3) holds. Any colouring of the copies G_X of G_{k-1} with a common palette of k-1 colours extends to a k-colouring of G_k by using a single new colour on S, which shows that $\chi(G_k) \leq \chi(G_{k-1}) + 1$ and condition (4) is preserved. Finally, suppose there exists a (k-1)-colouring of G_k . Then, since $|S| > (k-1)(|V(G_{k-1})| - 1)$, there is a monochromatic set $X \subset S$ with $|X| = |V(G_{k-1})|$. Since X and G_X are connected by a perfect matching, at most k-2colours are used on G_X , which contradicts the fact that $\chi(G_X) = \chi(G_{k-1}) = k - 1$. Hence $\chi(G_k) = k$, as claimed in (1).

For the rest of the argument, we fix an arbitrary sequence $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of graphs given by Lemma 4. Now, for every pair of positive integers k and p, where p is a prime number, we construct a graph $G_{k,p}$ by adding edges to G_k as follows.

Let \leq be the directed reachability order of the vertices of G_k , that is, $u \leq v$ if and only if there is a (unique) directed path from u to v in G_k . Since the orientation of G_k given by Lemma 4 is acyclic, \leq is indeed a partial order. For every pair of vertices uand v in G_k such that $u \leq v$, let d(u, v) be the length of the unique directed path from u to v in G_k (i.e., the number of edges in that path). The graph $G_{k,p}$ has the same vertex set as G_k and has the set $\{uv : u < v \text{ and } d(u, v) \neq 0 \pmod{p}\}$ as the edge set. We consider each such edge uv as oriented from u to v. Since the original (oriented) edges uv of G_k satisfy u < v and d(u, v) = 1, the graph $G_{k,p}$ contains G_k as a subgraph. Furthermore, every edge of $G_{k,p}$ connects vertices with different colours in a k-colouring ϕ of G_k claimed in Lemma 4. Therefore, $\chi(G_{k,p}) = k$. Furthermore, $G_{k,p}$ is acyclic since G_k is acyclic.

Next, we examine cliques in $G_{k,p}$ (and its induced subgraphs). Since $G_{k,p}$ is acyclic, every clique of $G_{k,p}$ induces a transitive tournament. Given a clique *C* of an acyclic oriented graph, we let t(C) be the unique in-degree zero vertex of the transitive tournament induced by *C*. We call t(C) the *tail* of *C*. Given a clique *C* of $G_{k,p}$, we let r(C)be the subset of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $r(C) \equiv \{d(t(C), v) : v \in C\} \pmod{p}$. We call r(C)the *residue* of the clique *C*. Note that 0 is always contained in r(C) since $t(C) \in C$. Furthermore, |C| = |r(C)|, otherwise there would exist two distinct vertices $u, v \in C$ such that $d(t(C), u) \equiv d(t(C), v) \pmod{p}$, and so $d(u, v) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which would contradict the fact that *u* and *v* are adjacent. This observation allows us to determine the clique number of $G_{k,p}$.

Lemma 5 For every positive integer k and every prime $p \leq k$, the graph $G_{k,p}$ has clique number p.

Proof Since G_k contains a directed path on k vertices and $p \leq k$, the graph $G_{k,p}$ contains a clique of size p. Conversely, if C is a clique in $G_{k,p}$, then $|C| = |r(C)| \leq |\mathbb{Z}_p| = p$.

A *rotation* of a subset X of \mathbb{Z}_p is a subset of \mathbb{Z}_p of the form $X + a = \{x + a : x \in X\}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. A subset of \mathbb{Z}_p is *rooted* if it contains 0. The rotation X + a of a rooted subset X of \mathbb{Z}_p is rooted if and only if $-a \in X$. Let \sim_p be the equivalence relation on the rooted subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $X \sim_p Y$ whenever Y is a rotation of X. Let $[X]_p$ denote the equivalence class of X in \sim_p . For every proper rooted subset Xof \mathbb{Z}_p (such that $X \neq \mathbb{Z}_p$), since p is a prime, all rotations X + a of X with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are distinct. (Indeed, if X + a = X, then $\sum_{x \in X} x \equiv \sum_{x \in X} (x + a) \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x + a \cdot |X|$ (mod p), so $a \cdot |X| \equiv 0$ (mod p), which yields $a \equiv 0$ (mod p).) In particular, we have $|[X]_p| = |X|$. Order every equivalence class arbitrarily, and for every proper rooted subset X of \mathbb{Z}_p , let $c(X) \in \{1, ..., |X|\}$ denote the position of X in this ordering.

Lemma 6 For every positive integer k, every prime p, and every induced subgraph G of $G_{k,p}$ with clique number m < p, we have $\chi(G) \leq \binom{m+2}{3}$.

Proof We will colour the vertices of G by triples of integers (a, b, c) with $m \ge a \ge b \ge c \ge 1$. Since there are $\binom{m+2}{3}$ choices for such a triple, this will be a $\binom{m+2}{3}$ -colouring of G.

For each vertex v of G, let a(v) be the maximum size of a clique in G with tail v. Thus $m \ge a(v) \ge 1$. Let B(v) be the intersection of the residues of all cliques of size a(v) with tail v in G. Since 0 belongs to the residue of every clique, we have $0 \in B(v)$. Let b(v) = |B(v)|, so that $a(v) \ge b(v) \ge 1$. Let c(v) = c(B(v)), so that $b(v) \ge c(v) \ge 1$, as $|[B(v)]_p| = |B(v)| = b(v)$. Finally, let $\psi(v) = (a(v), b(v), c(v))$. We have

 $m \ge a(v) \ge b(v) \ge c(v) \ge 1$ for every v, so it remains to show that ψ is a proper colouring of G.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that some two vertices u and v of G with $\psi(u) = \psi(v)$ are connected by an edge of G oriented from u to v. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be such that $d(u, v) \equiv d \pmod{p}$. Since u and v are adjacent in G, we have $d \neq 0$. Observe that if C is a clique in G with residue X and tail v, then prepending u to C and possibly removing the unique vertex w in C with $d(v, w) \equiv -d \pmod{p}$ (if it exists) gives us a clique with residue $(X + d) \cup \{0\}$ and tail u. Therefore, since a(u) = a(v), the residue of every clique of size a(v) with tail v must contain -d. Thus $-d \in B(v)$, and if X is the residue of a clique of size a(v) with tail v, then X + d is the residue of a clique of the same size with tail u. Hence $B(u) \subseteq B(v) + d$, and since b(u) = b(v), we further conclude that B(u) = B(v) + d. Since 0 belongs to the residue of every clique, both B(u) and B(v) are rooted and $B(u) \sim_p B(v)$. Thus B(u) = B(v), as c(u) = c(v). However, since $b(u) = b(v) \leqslant m < p$ and $d \neq 0$, we have $B(u) = B(v) + d \neq B(v)$, which is a contradiction. This shows that ψ is a proper colouring of G, as desired.

By combining Lemmas 5 and 6, we have so far proven Theorem 3. Next, we extend the construction to non-primes in order to prove Theorem 2.

For every triple of positive integers k, n, p with p prime and p > 2n, we construct a subgraph $G_{k,n,p}$ of $G_{k,p}$ by including the edge uv if and only if $d(u, v) \equiv x \pmod{p}$ where $x \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm (n-1)\}$. We will now determine the clique number and the chromatic number of $G_{k,n,p}$.

Lemma 7 Let k, n, and p be positive integers with p prime, p > 2n, and $k \ge n$. Then $G_{k,n,p}$ has clique number n and chromatic number k.

Proof We have $\chi(G_k) = \chi(G_{k,p}) = k$. Since G_k is a subgraph of $G_{k,n,p}$ and $G_{k,n,p}$ is a subgraph of $G_{k,p}$, it follows that $\chi(G_{k,n,p}) = k$. Next, we determine the clique number of $G_{k,n,p}$.

Let $I = \{n, n + 1, ..., p - n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that uv is an edge of $G_{k,n,p}$ if and only if u < v and $d(u, v) \notin \{0\} \cup I \pmod{p}$. Since G_k contains a directed path on k vertices and $n \leq k$, the graph $G_{k,n,p}$ has clique number at least n. It remains to show that $G_{k,n,p}$ has clique number at most n.

Let *C* be a clique in $G_{k,n,p}$, and let v = t(C). If there are vertices $x, y \in C$ with $d(v, y) \in I + d(v, x) \pmod{p}$, then either y < x and $d(y, x) = d(v, x) - d(v, y) = -(d(v, y) - d(v, x)) \in -I = I \pmod{p}$, or x < y and $d(x, y) = d(v, y) - d(v, x) \in I \pmod{p}$. In either case, xy is not an edge of $G_{k,n,p}$, contradicting the assumption that *C* is a clique. Thus the set r(C) is disjoint from the set $\bigcup_{x \in C} (I + d(v, x)) = I + r(C)$, which implies that r(C) contains at most one of *i* and p - n + i for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. Since $r(C) \subset \{0, 1, ..., n-1, p-n+1, p-n+2, ..., p-1\}$, we conclude that $|C| = |r(C)| \leq n$.

Next we examine the maximum size of a clique in an induced subgraph of $G_{k,n,p}$ that is induced by the vertices of a clique in $G_{k,p}$. This will allow us to compare the chromatic number of induced subgraphs of $G_{k,p}$ and $G_{k,n,p}$ that have the same vertex set.

Lemma 8 Let k, n, and p be positive integers with p prime, p > 2n, and $k \ge n$. Then for every clique C of $G_{k,p}$, the induced subgraph $G_{k,n,p}[C]$ of $G_{k,n,p}$ contains a clique of size at least $\frac{n}{p}|C|$.

Proof Let *C* be a clique in $G_{k,p}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $J_i = \{i, i+1, ..., i+n-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is contained in exactly *n* of the *p* sets $J_0, ..., J_{p-1}$, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $|r(C) \cap J_i| \ge \frac{n}{p}|r(C)|$. Let $C_i = \{v \in C : d(t(C), v) \in J_i \pmod{p}\}$. It follows that $|C_i| = |r(C) \cap J_i| \ge \frac{n}{p}|r(C)| = \frac{n}{p}|C|$. It remains to show that C_i is a clique in $G_{k,n,p}$.

Let *x* and *y* be distinct vertices in C_i . Since *x*, $y \in C$, they are adjacent in $G_{k,p}$, so $d(t(C), x) \neq d(t(C), y) \pmod{p}$, and we can assume without loss of generality that x < y. It follows that $d(x, y) = d(t(C), y) - d(t(C), x) \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm (n-1)\} \pmod{p}$, as d(t(C), x), $d(t(C), y) \in J_i \pmod{p}$. Hence *x* and *y* are adjacent in $G_{k,n,p}$.

Lemma 9 Let k, n, and p be positive integers with p prime, p > 2n, and $k \ge n$, and let G be an induced subgraph of $G_{k,n,p}$ with $m = \omega(G) < n$. Then $\chi(G) \le {\lfloor mp/n \rfloor + 2 \choose 3}$.

Proof Let $G' = G_{k,p}[V(G)]$. Lemma 8 yields $\omega(G') \leq \lfloor mp/n \rfloor$. The fact that G is a subgraph of G' and Lemma 6 yield $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G') \leq {\lfloor mp/n \rfloor + 2 \choose 3}$.

Theorem 2 now follows from Lemma 7, Lemma 9, and the following theorem of Schur [10] on the gaps between prime numbers.

Theorem 10 For every integer $n \ge 2$, there is a prime p such that 2n .

3 Concluding Remarks

To better understand χ -bounding functions, it is of course of interest to improve the bound of $\binom{3m+1}{3}$ in Theorem 2 (and equivalently this same lower bound function for *f* in Theorem 1).

A slight tweak to the last step of the proof improves this bound slightly to $\binom{2m}{3} + o(m^3)$. To do this, instead of using Theorem 10, we can use the fact that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a n_{ϵ} such that for every $n \ge n_{\epsilon}$, there is always a prime p with 2n . This follows from the prime number theorem that the number of primes at most <math>n is asymptotically equal to $n/\ln n$. For a more recent and explicit result on the gaps between primes, see [5].

One may hope that another way to further improve this bound would be to improve the bound of $\binom{m+2}{3}$ in Lemma 6. However, in our construction, Lemma 6 is in some sense best possible. For every prime p, we have been able to construct a graph G'_k (with k large enough) that satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4, and such that for every positive integer m < p, the graph $G'_{k,p}$ (as constructed from G'_k) contains an induced subgraph with clique number m and chromatic number $\binom{m+2}{3}$. So any improvements would require an entirely new construction.

In the other direction, the only result restricting χ -bounding functions is that of Scott and Seymour [11] stating that if a hereditary class of graphs C satisfies $\chi_C(2) \leq 2$, then C is χ -bounded. We conjecture the following generalisation.

Conjecture 11 For every integer $k \ge 2$, if C is a hereditary class of graphs such that $\chi_C(n) \le k$ for every positive integer $n \le k$, then the class C is χ -bounded.

Data Availability: There is no dataset associated with this manuscript.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Briański, M., Davies, J., Walczak, B.: Separating polynomial χ-boundedness from χ-boundedness, arXiv:2201.08814v1, (2022)
- Carbonero, A., Hompe, P., Moore, B., Spirkl, S.: A counterexample to a conjecture about triangle-free induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. J. Combinatorial Theor. Ser. B 158, 63–69 (2023)
- 3. Descartes, B.: A three colour problem. Eureka 9(21), 24–25 (1947)
- 4. Descartes, B.: Solution to advanced problem no. 4526. Am. Math. Mon. 61, 352 (1954)
- 5. Dusart, P.: Explicit estimates of some functions over primes. Ramanujan J. 45, 227–251 (2018)
- Esperet, L.: Graph colorings, flows and perfect matchings, Habilitation thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes, (2017)
- Girão, A., Illingworth, F., Powierski, E., Savery, M., Scott, A., Tamitegama, Y., Tan, J.: Induced subgraphs of induced subgraphs of large chromatic number, arXiv:2203.03612, (2022)
- 8. Kierstead, H.A., Trotter, W.T.: Colorful induced subgraphs. Discret. Math. 101, 165-169 (1992)
- Schiermeyer, I., Randerath, B.: Polynomial χ-binding functions and forbidden induced subgraphs: a survey. Graphs Combinatorics 35(1), 1–31 (2019)
- Schur, I.: Einige Sätze über Primzahlen mit Anwendungen auf Irreduzibilitätsfragen, I (Some theorems about prime numbers with applications to irreducibility questions, I). Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Physikalisch-Mathematische Klasse 14, 125–136 (1929)
- Scott, A., Seymour, P.: Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. I. Odd holes. J. Combinatorial Theor. Ser. B 121, 68–84 (2016)
- 12. Scott, A., Seymour, P.: A survey of χ -boundedness. J. Graph Theor. 95(3), 473–504 (2020)
- Zykov, A.A.: O nekotorykh svoystvakh lineynykh kompleksov (On some properties of linear complexes). Matematicheskii Sbornik 24(66), 163–188 (1949)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.