ERRATUM



Erratum to: Connecting potential frost damage events identified from meteorological records to radial growth variation in Norway spruce and Scots pine

Susanne Suvanto¹ · Helena M. Henttonen² · Pekka Nöjd¹ · Samuli Helama³ · Tapani Repo⁴ · Mauri Timonen⁵ · Harri Mäkinen¹

Published online: 21 September 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Erratum to: Trees

DOI 10.1007/s00468-017-1590-y

In the original publication the captions of Figs. 7 and 8 had unfortunately been swapped. The correct figure captions are given below:

Fig. 7 Coefficients and statistical significance of the frost variables in the dummy model (Eq. 1). Small symbols represent statistically non-significant and large symbols significant coefficients (p < 0.05). The down-facing triangles represent negative and up-facing triangles positive coefficients. Note that some random variation has been added to the site coordinates so that symbols of nearby sites would not cover each other. See the exact locations of sites in Fig. 1. The non-significant symbols are always drawn on top of the significant ones.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00468-017-1590-y.

- Susanne Suvanto susanne.suvanto@luke.fi
- Bio-based Business and Industry, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Tietotie 2, 02150 Espoo, Finland
- Economics and Society, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Latokartanonkaari 9, 00790 Helsinki, Finland
- Bio-based Business and Industry, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Eteläranta 55, 96300 Rovaniemi, Finland
- Management and Production of Renewable Resources, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Yliopistokatu 6, 80100 Joensuu, Finland
- Management and Production of Renewable Resources, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Eteläranta 55, 96300 Royaniemi, Finland

Fig. 8 Results for the slope model (Eq. 2): Coefficients for the slope of the frost variables during extreme years. The size of the symbol describes whether the slope model was significantly improved compared with the dummy model (p < 0.05, likelihood ratio test results). The down-facing triangles represent negative and up-facing triangles positive coefficients. Note that some random variation has been added to the site coordinates so that symbols of nearby sites would not cover each other. See the exact locations of sites in Fig. 1.

