#### REVIEW



# Urinary extracellular vesicles in childhood kidney diseases

#### Yutaka Harita<sup>1</sup>

Received: 1 September 2023 / Revised: 21 November 2023 / Accepted: 23 November 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

#### Abstract

Most biological fluids contain extracellular vesicles (EVs). EVs are surrounded by a lipid bilayer and contain biological macromolecules such as proteins, lipids, RNA, and DNA. They lack a functioning nucleus and are incapable of replicating. The physiological characteristics and molecular composition of EVs in body fluids provide valuable information about the status of originating cells. Consequently, they could be effectively utilized for diagnostic and prognostic applications. Urine contains a heterogeneous population of EVs. To date, these urinary extracellular vesicles (uEVs) have been ignored in the standard urinalysis. In recent years, knowledge has accumulated on how uEVs should be separated and analyzed. It has become clear how uEVs reflect the expression of each molecule in cells in nephron segments and how they are altered in disease states such as glomerular/tubular disorders, rare congenital diseases, acute kidney injury (AKI), and chronic kidney disease (CKD). Significant promise exists for the molecular expression signature of uEVs detected by simple techniques such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), making them more applicable in clinical settings. This review presents the current understanding regarding uEVs, emphasizing the potential for non-invasive diagnostics, especially for childhood kidney diseases.

Keywords Urinary extracellular vesicles · Exosome · Liquid biopsy · Chronic kidney disease · ELISA

# Introduction

Most biological fluids, including urine, plasma, serum, saliva, seminal fluid, amniotic fluid, and breast milk, contain extracellular vesicles (EVs) [1, 2]. EVs contain DNA, RNA, lipids and proteins, and are delimited by a lipid bilayer. They do not contain a functional nucleus and cannot replicate [3]. The physiological and molecular characteristics of EVs have the potential to provide valuable information into the cellular origin and pathophysiological condition. Consequently, they could be effectively utilized for diagnostic and prognostic applications [4]. Historically, their presence has been confirmed by electron microscopy since the 1960s [5]. Since then, there has been exponential growth in the research performed to characterize EVs and use them across various medical fields.

EVs are abundant in urine [2, 4]. However, they have been ignored in the standard urinalysis. Recent investigations have

✓ Yutaka Harita haritay-ped@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp developed various purification and analysis methods, demonstrating that using urinary extracellular vesicles (uEVs) to diagnose and treat kidney diseases holds great promise. In 2019, *Pediatric Nephrology* released a landmark review that compiled a wealth of information on the subject [6]. Subsequently, significant progress has been made in the field of research relevant to EVs or uEVs. This review presents the current advances on uEVs, emphasizing the potential for non-invasive diagnostics, especially for childhood kidney diseases.

# Variety of EVs in urine

EVs are a heterogeneous population that can vary in size, shape, composition, biogenic mechanisms, and specific biomarkers [3]. Urinary EV samples often contain a heterogeneous mixture of EVs, including exosomes, ectosomes (more commonly called microvesicles and microparticles), arrestin domain-containing protein 1-mediated microvesicles (ARMM), apoptotic bodies, autophagic extracellular vesicles, or even non-vesicular compartments such as exomeres [7].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatrics, The University of Tokyo Hospital, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan

Although the classification of EVs is continually evolving, our current knowledge of their biogenesis has broadly categorized classical EVs into two main types: exosomes and ectosomes/microvesicles [6–8].

- 1. Exosome
  - a. Origin: Intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) are released into the extracellular space as exosomes when endosomes known as a multivesicular bodies (MVB) carrying ILVs fuse with the plasma membrane [8].
  - b. Size: Exosomes are small with a size range of 40–150 nm.
  - c. Composition: Exosomes contain a diverse cargo of molecules, including proteins, lipids, RNAs (such as messenger RNAs, microRNAs, and long non-coding RNAs), and other signaling molecules. Classical exosomes do not natively contain dsDNA and do not associate with other particles or proteins that contain dsDNA [7].
  - d. Surface markers: classic tetraspanins such as CD63, CD81, and CD9.
- 2. Ectosome/microvesicle
  - a. Origin: Ectosomes/microvesicles arise from outward protrusions of plasma membrane. They are generated when they are excised and shed into the extracellular space. Membrane protrusions such as filopodia, cilia, and microvilli can shed small ectosomes.
  - b. Size: Ectosomes range in diameter from less than 100 nm to several micrometers and contain a variety of vesicle types, including microvesicles (typically 0.2–1 nm in diameter) and huge oncosomes (> 1  $\mu$ m) [8].
  - c. Composition: They contain a diverse range of molecules, including membrane proteins, lipids, RNAs, cytosolic proteins, and other signaling molecules. They may enclose free cytosolic DNA.
  - d. Surface markers: annexin A1 (ANXA1), tumor susceptibility gene 101 protein (TSG101), and arrestindomain-containing protein 1 (ARRDC1) [7].

Essentially, exosomes and ectosomes/microvesicles differ in their release mechanisms. However, it is not practical to capture the moment of release of each vesicle by live imaging to distinguish between them. Therefore, consensus on the classification based on a particular biogenesis pathway has yet to emerge. Note that although major EV cargo sorting mechanisms such as the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) machinery or classic tetraspanins have been linked to exosomes; similar biogenesis machinery might be engaged at different locations in the cell to create ectosomes/microvesicles. Therefore, the presence or absence of any particular tetraspanin in a mixture of EVs cannot be used to determine whether the EVs are exosomes or ectosomes [8].

As mentioned above, the terms "exosome" and "ectosome/microvesicle" have historically been burdened by multiple contradictory definitions and inaccurate expectations regarding their unique biogenesis [1]. The recent guideline by the *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles* recommended that authors utilize operational terms for different subtypes of EVs. These terms should be based on specific physical characteristics, such as size (referred to as "small EVs" (sEVs) and "medium/large EVs" (m/IEVs), with defined size ranges, for example, <100 nm or <200 nm for small EVs, and > 200 nm for medium/large EVs), or density (low, middle, high, with each range precisely defined) [1].

# Physical and molecular characterization of uEVs

The discovery of EVs in urine [9] opened a new, fastgrowing scientific field [10]. Previous studies have conducted detailed characterizations of the morphological or molecular properties of vesicles present in entire urine or uEVs [11–16]. It is important to note that these properties vary depending on their purification [10] or characterizing methods [14]. In order to optimize and standardize uEVs research, the Urine Task Force of the Rigor and Standardization Subcommittee of ISEV, consisting of nephrologists, urologists, cardiologists, and biologists, published a position paper in 2021 [10], which summarizes the recent method to separate and characterize uEVs and present challenges and gaps in current analyses.

uEVs contain vesicles of various sizes, but most are in the 30 to 200 nm range [14, 17]. We investigated the quantity and size distribution of uEVs derived from children using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). The average number of uEVs obtained from healthy control samples was determined to be about  $20 \times 10^9$  particles/mL. The mean size  $\pm SD$  of uEVs was  $137.9 \pm 2.5$  nm, peaking at  $117.4 \pm 1.0$  nm [18].

The size and shape of uEVs can undergo modifications in a pathological condition. In the previous study, we found no difference in the average size of uEVs from children diagnosed with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and from the control. Nonetheless, it was observed that the comparative density of peak size exhibited a notable decrease among patients with CKD in comparison to the control, implying a deviation from the typical relatively homogeneous population of vesicles [18]. It should also be noted that the size of uEVs varies with dilution [14].

Proteomic analysis indicates that a significant majority, notably 99.96%, of the proteins identified in urinary extracellular vesicles exhibit distinct characteristics that are commonly associated with cells originating from the urogenital tract [4], and the most prevalent sources of these vesicles are glomerular, tubular, prostate, and bladder cells [4]. The protein composition of uEVs from healthy children contains several thousands of molecules, including several common markers for different EV subpopulations (exosome (CD63 and CD9), classical microvesicles (ANXA1), and ARMM (TSG101)). Pathway analysis demonstrated that many pathways are enriched, including lysosomes, metabolic pathways, endocytosis, and proximal tubule transport [18]. The proteome data suggests that uEVs are released from several nephron segments [18].

In addition to proteins or lipids, uEVs contain RNAs. Among the classes of RNAs, microRNA (miRNA), consisting of roughly 22 nucleotides, has attracted significant attention. RNAs (mRNAs and miRNAs) in uEVs have been comprehensively reviewed in previous influential publications [4, 19–21].

### uEVs as biomarkers for kidney diseases

There is a growing body of research on the alterations of molecular compositions in uEVs in many renal and urological disorders [4, 6, 22-24].

#### **Glomerular disorders**

Nephrotic syndrome is the most studied pediatric disease for uEVs. Santorelli et al. analyzed uEV protein profiles of children with steroid-sensitive, steroid-dependent, and steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. They demonstrated that uEV protein profiles could differentiate steroid-resistant patients from other idiopathic nephrotic syndrome patients and controls [25]. As a protein biomarker in uEVs, Zhou et al. reported Wilms' tumor 1 (WT1) transcription factor, which is widely recognized as a marker for differentiated podocytes, as a potential biomarker for early progression and treatment-induced regression of podocyte injury in childhood focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) or steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome [26]. However, the other study questions its importance as a biomarker in childhood nephrotic syndrome [27]. Recently, podocyte-derived large EVs (annexin V- and podoplanin-positive) have been reported to be increased in pediatric idiopathic nephrotic syndrome [28]. A unique miRNA profile in uEVs has also been reported. A study analyzing adult patients found that miR-1915 and miR-663 were downregulated in patients with FSGS compared to minimal change disease and controls, whereas the urinary levels of miR-155 were upregulated in patients with FSGS [29]. Huang et al. analyzed pediatric patients and demonstrated that miR-193a is a potential

biomarker for primary FSGS [30]. By comparing miRNAs in uEVs from children with nephrotic syndrome and controls, Chen et al. found that miR-194-5p, miR-146b-5p, miR-378a-3p, miR-23b-3p, and miR-30a-5p were increased in patients, and some of them were positively correlated with the urine protein content [31].

For IgA nephropathy, Moon et al. demonstrated four proteins (aminopeptidase N, vasorin precursor,  $\alpha$ -1-antitrypsin, and ceruloplasmin) as protein biomarkers to differentiate IgA nephropathy from thin basement membrane nephropathy [32]. More recently, Feng et al. found that chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2) mRNA was upregulated in uEVs from patients with IgA nephropathy [33]. Exosomal CCL2 exhibited a significant correlation with tubulointerstitial inflammation and C3 deposition, and elevated levels of CCL2 mRNA at the timing of kidney biopsy were linked with the later decline in kidney function. Min et al. analyzed miRNAs in adult patients and demonstrated that miR-29c, miR-146a, and miR-205 may potentially serve as biomarkers for IgA nephropathy [34].

#### **Tubular disorders**

uEVs mirror the expression levels of renal tubular transporters in the kidney [35], making them applicable as a source of biomarkers for a wide range of renal tubular disorders. For instance, the uEVs derived from individuals diagnosed with Bartter syndrome type 1 and Gitelman syndrome have diminished or non-existent Na-K-2Cl cotransporter (NKCC2) and Na-Cl cotransporter (NCC) expression levels, reflecting its expression level in renal tissue [36, 37]. In contrast, a compensatory upregulation of  $Na^+/H^+$ exchanger 3 (NHE3),  $\beta$ -subunit of the epithelial Na<sup>+</sup> channel  $(\beta$ -ENaC), and pendrin was observed in uEVs and kidney biopsies obtained from individuals diagnosed with Gitelman syndrome [36]. It is also known that such pathologyrelated changes in molecular expression in the tubules can be detected by uEVs in cystinosis [38], primary aldosteronism [39, 40], Cushing syndrome with hypertension [41], preeclampsia [42], and renal tubular acidosis [43].

#### Other rare congenital kidney diseases

ADPKD is among the most studied rare diseases concerning its uEVs [44]. In uEVs from affected individuals, levels of polycystin-1 (PC1) and polycystin-2 (PC2) were significantly reduced, and transmembrane protein 2 (TMEM2), a protein with homology to fibrocystin, was upregulated. The PC1/TMEM2 ratio correlated inversely with heightadjusted total kidney volume [45]. In other reports, periplakin, envoplakin, villin-1, and complement C3 and C9 [46] or CD133 [47] were found to be overexpressed in uEVs in adult ADPKD patients, suggesting their possible role in the pathogenesis. Regarding miRNA, Magayr et al. found that miR-192-5p, miR-194-5p, miR-30a-5p, miR-30d-5p, and miR-30e-5p were downregulated in patients and were correlated with baseline eGFR and mean kidney length [48]. Noticeably, they confirmed altered expression of these microRNAs in a validation set of cystic kidney tissues at its early stage, suggesting that miRNA in uEVs reflect changes in renal tissue.

Medullary sponge kidney disease (MSK) is characterized by malformation of the terminal collecting ducts in the renal pyramids that results in nephrocalcinosis and recurrent kidney stones. The most significant biomarker of uEVs in MSK was laminin subunit  $\alpha 2$ , a major component of the basement membrane [49], which is thought to promote cyst formation. In the other analysis conducted on patients with MSK and patients with idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis as controls, Ficolin 1 and Complement component 4-binding protein were found to be upregulated while Mannan-binding lectin serine protease 2 was downregulated in MSK [50]. This study revealed that the lectin pathway may have a role in the abnormal polarization of the cells and the cystogenesis in MSK.

In uEVs from patients with autosomal dominant tubulointerstitial kidney disease associated with the *MUC1* gene (ADTKD-MUC1), proteins that are functionally linked to vesicular transport and membrane dynamics, such as vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein (VTA1), were significantly reduced [51].

As there are numerous unknowns surrounding the origins and progression of these rare diseases, the disease-specific signatures of uEVs will help us to identify unrecognized key biological components involved in their pathogenesis [44].

#### Acute kidney injury

Using animal models of acute kidney injury (AKI), activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) [52, 53] and Fetuin A [54] were identified as candidate protein biomarkers in uEVs, and they were also confirmed in human adult AKI. Interestingly, RNA levels of ATF3 in uEVs are also increased in a mouse AKI model and human AKI [55]. Likewise, NHE3 in urine membrane fraction was identified as a marker for critically ill patients with AKI [56]. Recently, this study was validated and NHE3 in uEVs was found to be a useful biomarker in various animal AKI models and sepsis-induced AKI [57].

#### Chronic kidney disease

Childhood CKD has a significant impact on morbidity and mortality and its association with many medical complications that extend beyond the pediatric age group. To date, plenty of research investigations have been undertaken to search for urinary (non-uEVs) biomarker candidates for CKD [58, 59]. These biomarkers reflect pathophysiologic processes of tubulointerstitial injury, inflammation, repair, and fibrosis [58].

There have been several reports on uEV biomarkers in adult CKD, without imposing restrictions on the underlying diseases [60-62]. In contrast to adult CKD, the primary causes of childhood CKD are congenital or genetic diseases characterized by a quantitative and qualitative decline in the number of functioning nephrons. The primary etiology is typically attributed to congenital abnormalities of the kidney or urinary tract (CAKUT) [63, 64]. CAKUT encompasses a diverse spectrum of congenital illnesses, such as kidney agenesis, hypo/dysplastic kidney, posterior urethral valves, and congenital obstructive uropathy. The diagnosis of CAKUT currently relies heavily on imaging studies such as ultrasound. The progression of childhood CKD has distinct characteristics compared to its adult counterpart, primarily characterized by less systemic and inflammatory manifestations [65]. Patients may not present alterations in serum creatinine levels due to compensatory hypertrophy of residual nephrons. They frequently do not present with hematuria, and creatinine and proteinuria increase relatively late during kidney damage [66, 67]. Childhood CKD can progress to kidney failure, from the early postnatal period to late adulthood [68]. Due to the distinct differences in the etiology and pathophysiology of CKD, there is a pressing need for targeted research in children.

We hypothesized that there may be common changes in uEVs when functional nephrons are reduced. In order to investigate the presence of differentially expressed proteins in uEVs derived from individuals diagnosed with bilateral kidney hypoplasia, a condition characterized by a congenital reduction in the number of nephrons, we conducted a quantitative proteomic analysis [18]. A total of 135 proteins exhibiting discriminatory characteristics were identified. One of the molecules that exhibited a decrease in uEVs in hypodysplasia was mucin1 (MUC1), whose expression is restricted to the distal tubule and collecting duct. MUC1 fulfills diverse roles in physiological and pathological states [69–71]. Another example of EV signature was proximal tubule-specific maltase-glucoamylase (MGAM), which was increased in uEVs in renal hypodysplasia. The uEV expression patterns of 135 molecules reflected decreased kidney function by not only renal hypoplasia, but also other forms of CAKUT or ciliopathies [18]. These data suggested that the uEV signature may reflect decreased kidney function in childhood CKD.

### Application of uEVs as a novel urine test

The application of uEVs to diagnostics of kidney and urogenital diseases is accelerating. Recently, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the initial uEV-based assay (ExoDx) in urology, which utilizes an RNA signature as a non-invasive screening technique for prostate cancer [72, 73]. The ExoDx Prostate assay has been shown to provide individualized risk assessment of clinically significant prostate cancer at initial biopsy [74, 75]. In this system, urine samples can be collected at home, shipped to a central laboratory, and stored. RNAs in uEVs are extracted, and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is performed. Despite its high cost, ExoDx may help avoid unnecessary biopsies.

We have been exploring the potential for utilizing the outcomes of quantitative proteome analysis of uEVs straightforwardly, practically, and cost-effectively. We developed an ELISA that quantifies substances on the surface of uEVs [76]. With the aid of this straightforward ELISA, we verified that MUC1 expression was reduced in children with CKD and that it helps to identify individuals with impaired kidney function [18]. As ELISA can be performed in a conventional clinical laboratory, the protein content of uEVs can be a robust biomarker candidate. This is especially true when screening for conditions for which standard urinalysis is not able to detect abnormalities.

# Promises and caveats of uEVs as a liquid biopsy of the kidney

As mentioned above, contents of uEVs can be affected by the physiological and pathophysiological renal conditions [4, 77]. However, whether uEVs faithfully reflect molecular changes in the kidney has been debated. In this regard, Wu et al. performed quantitative proteomics analyzing approximately 1000 proteins identified in uEVs and corresponding kidney tissue [78]. They demonstrated significant associations between the protein amounts in uEVs and those in whole kidneys. Noticeably, transmembrane proteins exhibited higher positive correlations compared to cytoplasmic proteins. Moreover, changes in protein expression levels in the kidney tissues can be detected as alteration in the composition of uEVs. These data offer compelling evidence to support the utilization of uEVs as reliable indicators of kidney disease.

Another crucial aspect to be considered related to the potential factors that may influence the content of uEVs is their excretion rate. As the cells in the kidney are the primary source of uEVs, nephron mass should determine uEV excretion. Blijdorp and colleagues [79] established a significant association between uEV excretion rate and renal parameters, including total kidney volume, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and creatinine clearance. By examining urine samples obtained from individuals who underwent donor nephrectomy, the researchers established that the excretion of compensatory uEV due to hypertrophy primarily took place in the proximal tubule. The rat models (uninephrectomy and 5/6 nephrectomy) have also confirmed that the extent of hypertrophy corresponds to the uEV excretion rate [79]. Hence, to facilitate the comparison of urine samples from several individuals or different time points within the same individual, it is imperative to consider the excretion rate and variability of uEVs, which are influenced by functional nephron mass and compensatory hypertrophy.

Furthermore, it should be noted that except for several articles [18, 25–28, 30, 31], most of the studies cited in this review analyzed uEVs from adult patients with kidney diseases. These data cannot be simply applied to childhood diseases due to various factors such as differences in urine concentrating capacity or the degree of progression of the disease.

# Conclusion

In the last few years, knowledge has accumulated on how uEVs should be separated and analyzed. In addition, it has become clear how they are related to composition in the renal tissues and how they are altered in disease states. uEVs have garnered significant attention as promising diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in renal or urogenital disease. As an example of uEVs in clinical practice, ExoDx has reportedly led to an approximately 30% reduction in prostate biopsies [74]. As kidney biopsy is the established and highly informative method to directly analyze damaged tissues, it is hard to imagine uEV analysis replacing it. Instead, uEVs may be utilized as a new source of kidney tissue-derived information to supplement urinalysis and blood tests. Many diseases underlying childhood CKD are hard to detect using conventional urinalysis, and the advantages of non-invasive testing techniques are especially significant for children.

Although this review focuses on their diagnostic aspect, uEVs have recently been discussed for their active roles in renal physiology [80] or pathology [24], such as AKI to CKD transition through intracellular communication. uEVs even hold great potential for therapeutic applications such as kidney regeneration [23, 81]. Further advances on new diagnostic tools or therapies using EVs are anticipated to improve outcomes for childhood kidney diseases.

Funding Open access funding provided by The University of Tokyo. This work is funded by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (KAKENHI; grant number JP16K15523), by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED; grant number JP20Im0203003, JP21Im0203003, JP22ym0126063h0001, and JP23ym0126063h0002), and by the University of Tokyo Gap Fund Program fifth period.

#### Declarations

Competing interests The author declares no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, Antoniou A et al (2018) Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles 7:1535750
- 2. Karpman D, Ståhl AL, Arvidsson I (2017) Extracellular vesicles in renal disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 13:545–562
- van Niel G, D'Angelo G, Raposo G (2018) Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 19:213–228
- Grange C, Bussolati B (2022) Extracellular vesicles in kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 18:499–513
- Couch Y, Buzàs EI, Di Vizio D, Gho YS, Harrison P, Hill AF, Lötvall J, Raposo G, Stahl PD, Théry C, Witwer KW, Carter DRF (2021) A brief history of nearly EV-erything - the rise and rise of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles 10:e12144
- Ståhl AL, Johansson K, Mossberg M, Kahn R, Karpman D (2019) Exosomes and microvesicles in normal physiology, pathophysiology, and renal diseases. Pediatr Nephrol 34:11–30
- Jeppesen DK, Fenix AM, Franklin JL, Higginbotham JN, Zhang Q, Zimmerman LJ, Liebler DC, Ping J, Liu Q, Evans R, Fissell WH, Patton JG, Rome LH, Burnette DT, Coffey RJ (2019) Reassessment of exosome composition. Cell 177:428-445.e418
- Dixson AC, Dawson TR, Di Vizio D, Weaver AM (2023) Context-specific regulation of extracellular vesicle biogenesis and cargo selection. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 24:454–476
- Pisitkun T, Shen RF, Knepper MA (2004) Identification and proteomic profiling of exosomes in human urine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:13368–13373
- 10. Erdbrügger U, Blijdorp CJ, Bijnsdorp IV, Borràs FE, Burger D, Bussolati B, Byrd JB, Clayton A, Dear JW, Falcón-Pérez JM, Grange C, Hill AF, Holthöfer H, Hoorn EJ, Jenster G, Jimenez CR, Junker K, Klein J, Knepper MA, Koritzinsky EH, Luther JM, Lenassi M, Leivo J, Mertens I, Musante L, Oeyen E, Puhka M, van Royen ME, Sánchez C, Soekmadji C, Thongboonkerd V, van Steijn V, Verhaegh G, Webber JP, Witwer K, Yuen PST, Zheng L, Llorente A, Martens-Uzunova ES (2021) Urinary extracellular vesicles: a position paper by the Urine Task Force of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles 10:e12093
- Dhondt B, Lumen N, De Wever O, Hendrix A (2020) Preparation of multi-omics grade extracellular vesicles by densitybased fractionation of urine. STAR Protoc 1:100073

- Merchant ML, Rood IM, Deegens JKJ, Klein JB (2017) Isolation and characterization of urinary extracellular vesicles: implications for biomarker discovery. Nat Rev Nephrol 13:731–749
- Wang N, Yuan S, Fang C, Hu X, Zhang YS, Zhang LL, Zeng XT (2021) Nanomaterials-based urinary extracellular vesicles isolation and detection for non-invasive auxiliary diagnosis of prostate cancer. Front Med (Lausanne) 8:800889
- 14. Blijdorp CJ, Tutakhel OAZ, Hartjes TA, van den Bosch TPP, van Heugten MH, Rigalli JP, Willemsen R, Musterd-Bhaggoe UM, Barros ER, Carles-Fontana R, Carvajal CA, Arntz OJ, van de Loo FAJ, Jenster G, Clahsen-van Groningen MC, Cuevas CA, Severs D, Fenton RA, van Royen ME, Hoenderop JGJ, Bindels RJM, Hoorn EJ (2021) Comparing approaches to normalize, quantify, and characterize urinary extracellular vesicles. J Am Soc Nephrol 32:1210–1226
- 15. Barreiro K, Dwivedi OP, Leparc G, Rolser M, Delic D, Forsblom C, Groop PH, Groop L, Huber TB, Puhka M, Holthofer H (2020) Comparison of urinary extracellular vesicle isolation methods for transcriptomic biomarker research in diabetic kidney disease. J Extracell Vesicles 10:e12038
- 16. Braun F, Rinschen M, Buchner D, Bohl K, Plagmann I, Bachurski D, Richard Späth M, Antczak P, Göbel H, Klein C, Lackmann JW, Kretz O, Puelles VG, Wahba R, Hallek M, Schermer B, Benzing T, Huber TB, Beyer A, Stippel D, Kurschat CE, Müller RU (2020) The proteomic landscape of small urinary extracellular vesicles during kidney transplantation. J Extracell Vesicles 10:e12026
- García-Flores M, Sánchez-López CM, Ramírez-Calvo M, Fernández-Serra A, Marcilla A, López-Guerrero JA (2021) Isolation and characterization of urine microvesicles from prostate cancer patients: different approaches, different visions. BMC Urol 21:137
- Takizawa K, Ueda K, Sekiguchi M, Nakano E, Nishimura T, Kajiho Y, Kanda S, Miura K, Hattori M, Hashimoto J, Hamasaki Y, Hisano M, Omori T, Okamoto T, Kitayama H, Fujita N, Kuramochi H, Ichiki T, Oka A, Harita Y (2022) Urinary extracellular vesicles signature for diagnosis of kidney disease. iScience 25:105416
- Barreiro K, Dwivedi OP, Rannikko A, Holthöfer H, Tuomi T, Groop PH, Puhka M (2023) Capturing the kidney transcriptome by urinary extracellular vesicles-from pre-analytical obstacles to biomarker research. Genes (Basel) 14:1415
- 20. Delrue C, De Bruyne S, Speeckaert R, Speeckaert MM (2023) Urinary extracellular vesicles in chronic kidney disease: from bench to bedside? Diagnostics (Basel) 13:443
- Oh S, Lee CM, Kwon SH (2023) Extracellular vesicle microRNA in the kidney. Compr Physiol 13:4833–4850
- Sun IO, Kwon SH (2020) Extracellular vesicles: a novel window into kidney function and disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 29:613–619
- Huang W, Zhu XY, Lerman A, Lerman LO (2022) Extracellular vesicles as theranostic tools in kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 17:1418–1429
- 24 Erdbrügger U, Hoorn EJ, Le TH, Blijdorp CJ, Burger D (2023) Extracellular vesicles in kidney diseases: moving forward. Kidney 360 4:245–257
- 25. Santorelli L, Morello W, Barigazzi E, Capitoli G, Tamburello C, Ghio L, Crapella B, Galimberti S, Montini G, Pitto M, Raimondo F (2021) Urinary extracellular vesicle protein profiles discriminate different clinical subgroups of children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Diagnostics (Basel) 11:456
- 26. Zhou H, Kajiyama H, Tsuji T, Hu X, Leelahavanichkul A, Vento S, Frank R, Kopp JB, Trachtman H, Star RA, Yuen PS (2013) Urinary exosomal Wilms' tumor-1 as a potential biomarker for podocyte injury. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 305:F553-559
- Lee H, Han KH, Lee SE, Kim SH, Kang HG, Cheong HI (2012) Urinary exosomal WT1 in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 27:317–320

- Myette RL, Xiao F, Geier P, Feber J, Burger D, Kennedy CRJ (2023) Urinary podocyte-derived large extracellular vesicles are increased in paediatric idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Nephrol Dial Transplant 38:2089–2091
- Ramezani A, Devaney JM, Cohen S, Wing MR, Scott R, Knoblach S, Singhal R, Howard L, Kopp JB, Raj DS (2015) Circulating and urinary microRNA profile in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: a pilot study. Eur J Clin Invest 45:394–404
- Huang Z, Zhang Y, Zhou J (2017) Urinary exosomal miR-193a can be a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in children. Biomed Res Int 2017:7298160
- Chen T, Wang C, Yu H, Ding M, Zhang C, Lu X, Zhang CY (2019) Increased urinary exosomal microRNAs in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. EBioMedicine 39:552–561
- 32. Moon PG, Lee JE, You S, Kim TK, Cho JH, Kim IS, Kwon TH, Kim CD, Park SH, Hwang D, Kim YL, Baek MC (2011) Proteomic analysis of urinary exosomes from patients of early IgA nephropathy and thin basement membrane nephropathy. Proteomics 11:2459–2475
- 33. Feng Y, Lv LL, Wu WJ, Li ZL, Chen J, Ni HF, Zhou LT, Tang TT, Wang FM, Wang B, Chen PS, Crowley SD, Liu BC (2018) Urinary exosomes and exosomal CCL2 mRNA as biomarkers of active histologic injury in IgA nephropathy. Am J Pathol 188:2542–2552
- 34. Min QH, Chen XM, Zou YQ, Zhang J, Li J, Wang Y, Li SQ, Gao QF, Sun F, Liu J, Xu YM, Lin J, Huang LF, Huang B, Wang XZ (2018) Differential expression of urinary exosomal microRNAs in IgA nephropathy. J Clin Lab Anal 32:e22226
- van Heugten MH, Hoorn EJ, Fenton RA (2022) Urinary extracellular vesicles: does cargo reflect tissue? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 31:464–470
- 36. Sung CC, Chen MH, Lin YC, Lin YJ, Yang SS, Lin SH (2021) Urinary extracellular vesicles for renal tubular transporters expression in patients with Gitelman syndrome. Front Med (Lausanne) 8:679171
- 37. Joo KW, Lee JW, Jang HR, Heo NJ, Jeon US, Oh YK, Lim CS, Na KY, Kim J, Cheong HI, Han JS (2007) Reduced urinary excretion of thiazide-sensitive Na-Cl cotransporter in Gitelman syndrome: preliminary data. Am J Kidney Dis 50:765–773
- Bourderioux M, Nguyen-Khoa T, Chhuon C, Jeanson L, Tondelier D, Walczak M, Ollero M, Bekri S, Knebelmann B, Escudier E, Escudier B, Edelman A, Guerrera IC (2015) A new workflow for proteomic analysis of urinary exosomes and assessment in cystinuria patients. J Proteome Res 14:567–577
- Wolley MJ, Wu A, Xu S, Gordon RD, Fenton RA, Stowasser M (2017) In primary aldosteronism, mineralocorticoids influence exosomal sodium-chloride cotransporter abundance. J Am Soc Nephrol 28:56–63
- 40. Wu A, Wolley MJ, Wu Q, Gordon RD, Fenton RA, Stowasser M (2021) The Cl<sup>-</sup>/HCO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> exchanger pendrin is downregulated during oral co-administration of exogenous mineralocorticoid and KCl in patients with primary aldosteronism. J Hum Hypertens 35:837–848
- 41. Salih M, Bovée DM, van der Lubbe N, Danser AHJ, Zietse R, Feelders RA, Hoorn EJ (2018) Increased urinary extracellular vesicle sodium transporters in cushing syndrome with hypertension. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 103:2583–2591
- 42. Hu CC, Katerelos M, Choy SW, Crossthwaite A, Walker SP, Pell G, Lee M, Cook N, Mount PF, Paizis K, Power DA (2018) Pre-eclampsia is associated with altered expression of the renal sodium transporters NKCC2, NCC and ENaC in urinary extracellular vesicles. PLoS One 13:e0204514
- 43. Pathare G, Dhayat NA, Mohebbi N, Wagner CA, Bobulescu IA, Moe OW, Fuster DG (2018) Changes in V-ATPase subunits of human urinary exosomes reflect the renal response to acute acid/

alkali loading and the defects in distal renal tubular acidosis. Kidney Int 93:871-880

- Panfoli I, Granata S, Candiano G, Verlato A, Lombardi G, Bruschi M, Zaza G (2020) Analysis of urinary exosomes applications for rare kidney disorders. Expert Rev Proteomics 17:735–749
- 45. Hogan MC, Bakeberg JL, Gainullin VG, Irazabal MV, Harmon AJ, Lieske JC, Charlesworth MC, Johnson KL, Madden BJ, Zenka RM, McCormick DJ, Sundsbak JL, Heyer CM, Torres VE, Harris PC, Ward CJ (2015) Identification of biomarkers for PKD1 using urinary exosomes. J Am Soc Nephrol 26:1661–1670
- 46. Salih M, Demmers JA, Bezstarosti K, Leonhard WN, Losekoot M, van Kooten C, Gansevoort RT, Peters DJ, Zietse R, Hoorn EJ, DIPAK Consortium (2016) Proteomics of urinary vesicles links plakins and complement to polycystic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 27:3079–309
- 47. Bruschi M, Granata S, Santucci L, Candiano G, Fabris A, Antonucci N, Petretto A, Bartolucci M, Del Zotto G, Antonini F, Ghiggeri GM, Lupo A, Gambaro G, Zaza G (2019) Proteomic analysis of urinary microvesicles and exosomes in medullary sponge kidney disease and autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 14:834–843
- 48. Magayr TA, Song X, Streets AJ, Vergoz L, Chang L, Valluru MK, Yap HL, Lannoy M, Haghighi A, Simms RJ, Tam FWK, Pei Y, Ong ACM (2020) Global microRNA profiling in human urinary exosomes reveals novel disease biomarkers and cellular pathways for autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Kidney Int 98:420–435
- 49. Fabris A, Bruschi M, Santucci L, Candiano G, Granata S, Dalla Gassa A, Antonucci N, Petretto A, Ghiggeri GM, Gambaro G, Lupo A, Zaza G (2017) Proteomic-based research strategy identified laminin subunit alpha 2 as a potential urinary-specific biomarker for the medullary sponge kidney disease. Kidney Int 91:459–468
- 50. Bruschi M, Granata S, Candiano G, Fabris A, Petretto A, Ghiggeri GM, Gambaro G, Zaza G (2019) Proteomic analysis of urinary extracellular vesicles reveals a role for the complement system in medullary sponge kidney disease. Int J Mol Sci 20:5517
- Staubach S, Wenzel A, Beck BB, Rinschen MM, Müller S, Hanisch FG (2018) Autosomal tubulointerstitial kidney disease-MUC1 type: differential proteomics suggests that mutated MUC1 (insC) affects vesicular transport in renal epithelial cells. Proteomics 18:e1700456
- 52. Panich T, Chancharoenthana W, Somparn P, Issara-Amphorn J, Hirankarn N, Leelahavanichkul A (2017) Urinary exosomal activating transcriptional factor 3 as the early diagnostic biomarker for sepsis-induced acute kidney injury. BMC Nephrol 18:10
- 53. Zhou H, Cheruvanky A, Hu X, Matsumoto T, Hiramatsu N, Cho ME, Berger A, Leelahavanichkul A, Doi K, Chawla LS, Illei GG, Kopp JB, Balow JE, Austin HA, Yuen PS, Star RA (2008) Urinary exosomal transcription factors, a new class of biomarkers for renal disease. Kidney Int 74:613–621
- 54. Zhou H, Pisitkun T, Aponte A, Yuen PS, Hoffert JD, Yasuda H, Hu X, Chawla L, Shen RF, Knepper MA, Star RA (2006) Exosomal Fetuin-A identified by proteomics: a novel urinary biomarker for detecting acute kidney injury. Kidney Int 70:1847–1857
- Chen HH, Lai PF, Lan YF, Cheng CF, Zhong WB, Lin YF, Chen TW, Lin H (2014) Exosomal ATF3 RNA attenuates pro-inflammatory gene MCP-1 transcription in renal ischemia-reperfusion. J Cell Physiol 229:1202–1211
- 56. du Cheyron D, Daubin C, Poggioli J, Ramakers M, Houillier P, Charbonneau P, Paillard M (2003) Urinary measurement of Na+/H+ exchanger isoform 3 (NHE3) protein as new marker of tubule injury in critically ill patients with ARF. Am J Kidney Dis 42:497–506

- 57. Yu Y, Ren Z, Xie A, Jia Y, Xue Y, Wang P, Ji D, Wang X (2022) Assessment of urinary exosomal NHE3 as a biomarker of acute kidney injury. Diagnostics (Basel) 12:2634
- Sandokji I, Greenberg JH (2021) Plasma and urine biomarkers of CKD: a review of findings in the CKiD study. Semin Nephrol 41:416–426
- 59. Greenberg JH, Abraham AG, Xu Y, Schelling JR, Feldman HI, Sabbisetti VS, Ix JH, Jogalekar MP, Coca S, Waikar SS, Shlipak MG, Warady BA, Vasan RS, Kimmel PL, Bonventre JV, Denburg M, Parikh CR, Furth S, CKD Biomarkers Consortium (2021) urine biomarkers of kidney tubule health, injury, and inflammation are associated with progression of CKD in children. J Am Soc Nephrol 32:2664–2677
- 60. Oshikawa-Hori S, Yokota-Ikeda N, Sonoda H, Ikeda M (2019) Urinary extracellular vesicular release of aquaporins in patients with renal transplantation. BMC Nephrol 20:216
- 61. Oshikawa-Hori S, Yokota-Ikeda N, Sonoda H, Sasaki Y, Ikeda M (2021) Reduced urinary release of AQP1- and AQP2-bearing extracellular vesicles in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. Physiol Rep 9:e15005
- 62. Lv LL, Cao YH, Ni HF, Xu M, Liu D, Liu H, Chen PS, Liu BC (2013) MicroRNA-29c in urinary exosome/microvesicle as a biomarker of renal fibrosis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 305:F1220-1227
- 63. Ishikura K, Uemura O, Ito S, Wada N, Hattori M, Ohashi Y, Hamasaki Y, Tanaka R, Nakanishi K, Kaneko T, Honda M, Pediatric CKD Study Group; Japan Committee of Measures for Pediatric CKD of the Japanese Society of Pediatric Nephrology (2013) Pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease in children: results of a nationwide survey in Japan. Nephrol Dial Transplant 28:2345–2355
- Harambat J, van Stralen KJ, Kim JJ, Tizard EJ (2012) Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in children. Pediatr Nephrol 27:363–373
- Greenberg JH, Kakajiwala A, Parikh CR, Furth S (2018) Emerging biomarkers of chronic kidney disease in children. Pediatr Nephrol 33:925–933
- 66. Levey AS, Cattran D, Friedman A, Miller WG, Sedor J, Tuttle K, Kasiske B, Hostetter T (2009) Proteinuria as a surrogate outcome in CKD: report of a scientific workshop sponsored by the National Kidney Foundation and the US Food and Drug Administration. Am J Kidney Dis 54:205–226
- 67. Jiang K, Greenberg JH, Abraham A, Xu Y, Schelling JR, Feldman HI, Schrauben SJ, Waikar SS, Shlipak MG, Wettersten N, Coca SG, Vasan RS, Gutierrez OM, Ix JH, Warady BA, Kimmel PL, Bonventre JV, Parikh CR, Mitsnefes MM, Denburg MR, Furth S, CKD Biomarkers Consortium (2023) associations of biomarkers of kidney tubule health, injury, and inflammation with left ventricular hypertrophy in children with CKD. Kidney 360 4:1039–1047
- 68. Ishikura K, Uemura O, Hamasaki Y, Ito S, Wada N, Hattori M, Ohashi Y, Tanaka R, Nakanishi K, Kaneko T, Honda M, Pediatric CKD Study Group in Japan; Committee of Measures for Pediatric CKD of Japanese Society of Pediatric Nephrology (2014) Progression to end-stage kidney disease in Japanese children with chronic kidney disease: results of a nationwide prospective cohort study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 29:878–884
- 69. Kirby A, Gnirke A, Jaffe DB, Barešová V, Pochet N, Blumenstiel B, Ye C, Aird D, Stevens C, Robinson JT, Cabili MN, Gat-Viks I, Kelliher E, Daza R, DeFelice M, Hůlková H, Sovová J, Vyleť al P, Antignac C, Guttman M, Handsaker RE, Perrin D, Steelman S, Sigurdsson S, Scheinman SJ, Sougnez C, Cibulskis K, Parkin M, Green T, Rossin E, Zody MC, Xavier RJ, Pollak MR, Alper SL, Lindblad-Toh K, Gabriel S, Hart PS, Regev A, Nusbaum C, Kmoch S, Bleyer AJ, Lander ES, Daly MJ (2013) Mutations causing medullary cystic kidney disease type 1 lie in a large VNTR

in MUC1 missed by massively parallel sequencing. Nat Genet 45:299–303

- 70. Hattrup CL, Gendler SJ (2008) Structure and function of the cell surface (tethered) mucins. Annu Rev Physiol 70:431–457
- 71. Dvela-Levitt M, Kost-Alimova M, Emani M, Kohnert E, Thompson R, Sidhom EH, Rivadeneira A, Sahakian N, Roignot J, Papagregoriou G, Montesinos MS, Clark AR, McKinney D, Gutierrez J, Roth M, Ronco L, Elonga E, Carter TA, Gnirke A, Melanson M, Hartland K, Wieder N, Hsu JC, Deltas C, Hughey R, Bleyer AJ, Kmoch S, Živná M, Barešova V, Kota S, Schlondorff J, Heiman M, Alper SL, Wagner F, Weins A, Golub TR, Lander ES, Greka A (2019) Small molecule targets TMED9 and promotes lysosomal degradation to reverse proteinopathy. Cell 178:521-535.e523
- 72. Tutrone R, Lowentritt B, Neuman B, Donovan MJ, Hallmark E, Cole TJ, Yao Y, Biesecker C, Kumar S, Verma V, Sant GR, Alter J, Skog J (2023) ExoDx prostate test as a predictor of outcomes of high-grade prostate cancer - an interim analysis. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 26:596–601
- 73. Tutrone R, Donovan MJ, Torkler P, Tadigotla V, McLain T, Noerholm M, Skog J, McKiernan J (2020) Clinical utility of the exosome based ExoDx Prostate(IntelliScore) EPI test in men presenting for initial biopsy with a PSA 2–10 ng/mL. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 23:607–614
- 74. McKiernan J, Noerholm M, Tadigotla V, Kumar S, Torkler P, Sant G, Alter J, Donovan MJ, Skog J (2020) A urine-based exosomal gene expression test stratifies risk of high-grade prostate cancer in men with prior negative prostate biopsy undergoing repeat biopsy. BMC Urol 20:138
- 75. McKiernan J, Donovan MJ, O'Neill V, Bentink S, Noerholm M, Belzer S, Skog J, Kattan MW, Partin A, Andriole G, Brown G, Wei JT, Thompson IM, Carroll P (2016) A novel urine exosome gene expression assay to predict high-grade prostate cancer at initial biopsy. JAMA Oncol 2:882–889
- Takizawa K, Nishimura T, Harita Y (2023) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect surface marker proteins of extracellular vesicles purified from human urine. STAR Protoc 4:102415
- Sun IO, Lerman LO (2020) Urinary extracellular vesicles as biomarkers of kidney disease: from diagnostics to therapeutics. Diagnostics (Basel) 10:311
- Wu Q, Poulsen SB, Murali SK, Grimm PR, Su XT, Delpire E, Welling PA, Ellison DH, Fenton RA (2021) Large-scale proteomic assessment of urinary extracellular vesicles highlights their reliability in reflecting protein changes in the kidney. J Am Soc Nephrol 32:2195–2209
- 79. Blijdorp CJ, Hartjes TA, Wei KY, van Heugten MH, Bovée DM, Budde RPJ, van de Wetering J, Hoenderop JGJ, van Royen ME, Zietse R, Severs D, Hoorn EJ (2022) Nephron mass determines the excretion rate of urinary extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles 11:e12181
- Blijdorp CJ, Burger D, Llorente A, Martens-Uzunova ES, Erdbrügger U (2022) Extracellular vesicles as novel players in kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 33:467–471
- Grange C, Papadimitriou E, Dimuccio V, Pastorino C, Molina J, O'Kelly R, Niedernhofer LJ, Robbins PD, Camussi G, Bussolati B (2020) Urinary extracellular vesicles carrying klotho improve the recovery of renal function in an acute tubular injury model. Mol Ther 28:490–502

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.