CORRECTION





Correction to: Trocar site closure with a novel anchor-based (neoClose®) system versus standard suture closure: a prospective randomized controlled trial

Pouya Iranmanesh¹ · Angielyn R. Rivera¹ · Kulvinder S. Bajwa¹ · Mustafa Alibhai^{2,3} · Brad E. Snyder¹ · Todd D. Wilson¹ · Melissa M. Felinski¹ · Sheilendra S. Mehta⁴ · Kavita D. Chandwani¹ · Connie L. Klein¹ · Peter A. Walker⁵ · Shinil K. Shah^{1,6} · Erik B. Wilson¹

Published online: 4 September 2019 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Surgical Endoscopy

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-06891-y

After careful review, the authors have noticed the following mistakes in the article entitled "Trocar site closure with a novel anchor based (neoClose®) system versus standard suture closure: A prospective randomized controlled trial":

- Correct closure times are 19.9 s (SD 9.9) for the study group and 31.0 s (SD 20.1) for the control group (initial incorrect values were 20.2 s (SD 10.1) and 30 s (SD 19.1) respectively). The new correct *P*-value is < 0.0001 (initial incorrect *P*-value was 0.0002).
- Correct maximal needle depth values are 3.2 cm (SD 0.93) for the study group and 4.9 cm (SD 1.97) for the control group (initial incorrect values were 3.3 cm (SD 0.9) and 5.2 cm (SD 1.6) respectively). *P*-value remains unchanged at < 0.0001.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-06891-y.

- Shinil K. Shah shinil.k.shah@uth.tmc.edu
- Department of Surgery, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin Street, MSB 4.156, Houston, TX 77030, USA
- Surgical Group of North Texas, Grapevine, TX, USA
- Surgical Group of North Texas, Irving, TX, USA
- ⁴ Texas Laparoscopic Consultants, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁵ Health First Medical Group, Rockledge, FL, USA
- Michael E. DeBakey Institute for Comparative Cardiovascular Science and Biomedical Devices, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

For these two outcomes, some values of control group patients were mistakenly included in the study group. These errors only marginally affected the mean and standard deviation values. Statistical significance of the results was not affected and the conclusions of the study remain unchanged.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

