



The Convex Hull of Random Points on the Boundary of a Simple Polytope

Matthias Reitzner¹ · Carsten Schütt^{2,3} · Elisabeth M. Werner^{3,4}

Received: 27 November 2020 / Revised: 2 March 2022 / Accepted: 24 March 2022 /
Published online: 31 January 2023

© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The convex hull of N independent random points chosen on the boundary of a simple polytope in \mathbb{R}^n is investigated. Asymptotic formulas for the expected number of vertices and facets, and for the expectation of the volume difference are derived. This is one of the first investigations leading to rigorous results for random polytopes which are neither simple nor simplicial. The results contrast existing results when points are chosen in the interior of a convex set.

1 Introduction and Statement of Results

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set of dimension n , $n \geq 2$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose N random points X_1, \dots, X_N uniformly distributed either in the interior of K or on the boundary ∂K of K . Write $[A]$ for the convex hull of a set A , and denote by $P_N = [X_1, \dots, X_N]$ the convex hull of the random points. The expected number of vertices $\mathbb{E}f_0(P_N)$, the expected number of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces $\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N)$, and the expectation of

Editor in Charge: János Pach

Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 1811146.

Matthias Reitzner
matthias.reitzner@uni-osnabrueck.de

Carsten Schütt
schuett@math.uni-kiel.de

Elisabeth M. Werner
elisabeth.werner@case.edu

¹ Universität Osnabrück, Institute for Mathematics, Albrechtstr. 28a, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany

² Mathematisches Seminar, Christian Albrechts Universität, 24098 Kiel, Germany

³ Department of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁴ UFR de Mathématiques, Université de Lille 1, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

the volume difference $V_n(K) - \mathbb{E}V_n(P_N)$ of K and P_N are of interest. Since explicit results for fixed N cannot be expected one investigates the asymptotics as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

If the vertices of the random polytopes are chosen *from the interior* of a convex set, there is a vast amount of literature. Investigations started with two famous articles by Rényi and Sulanke [26, 27] who obtained in the planar case the asymptotic behavior of the expected area $\mathbb{E}V_2(P_N)$ when the boundary of K is sufficiently smooth and when K is a polygon. In a series of papers these formulae were generalized to higher dimensions. In the case when the boundary of K is sufficiently smooth, we know by work of Wieacker [34], Schneider and Wieacker [30], Bárány [2], Schütt [32], and Böröczky et al. [8] that the volume difference behaves like

$$V_n(K) - \mathbb{E}V_n(P_N) = c_n \Omega(K) V_n(K)^{2/(n+1)} N^{-2/(n+1)} (1 + o(1)), \tag{1.1}$$

where P_N is the convex hull of uniform iid random points in the interior of K , $\Omega(K)$ denotes the affine surface area of K and c_n is a constant that depends only on n . The generalization to all intrinsic volumes is due to Bárány [2, 3] and Reitzner [23]. The corresponding results for random points chosen in a polytope P are much more difficult. In a long and intricate proof Bárány and Buchta [4] settled the case of polytopes $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$V_n(P) - \mathbb{E}V_n(P_N) = \frac{\text{flag}(P)}{(n + 1)^{n-1} (n - 1)!} N^{-1} (\ln N)^{n-1} (1 + o(1)),$$

where $\text{flag}(P)$ is the number of flags of the polytope P . A *flag* is a sequence of i -dimensional faces F_i of P , $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$, such that $F_i \subset F_{i+1}$. The phenomenon that the expression should only depend on this combinatorial structure of the polytope had been discovered in connection with floating bodies by Schütt [31].

Due to Efron’s identity [11] the results on $\mathbb{E}V_n(P_N)$ can be used to determine the expected number of vertices of P_N . The general results for the number of ℓ -dimensional faces $f_\ell(P_N)$ are due to Wieacker [34], Bárány and Buchta [4], and Reitzner [24]: if K is a smooth convex body and $\ell \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}f_\ell(P_N) = c_{n,\ell} \Omega(K) N^{(n-1)/(n+1)} (1 + o(1)), \tag{1.2}$$

and if P is a polytope, then, with a different constant, but still denoted $c_{n,\ell}$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_\ell(P_N) = c_{n,\ell} \text{flag}(P) (\ln N)^{n-1} (1 + o(1)). \tag{1.3}$$

Choosing random points from the interior of a convex body always produces a simplicial polytope with probability one. Yet often applications of the above mentioned results in computational geometry, the analysis of the average complexity of algorithms and optimization necessarily deal with non-simplicial polytopes and it became crucial to have analogous results for random polytopes without this very specific combinatorial structure. The only classical results for this question concern 0/1-polytopes in high dimensions [6, 10, 13, 14, 20], which have a highly interesting combinatorial

structure, yet in a very specific setting. And very recently Newman [21] used a somewhat dual approach to construct general random polytopes from random polyhedra.

In view of the applications it is also of high interest to show that the face numbers of most realizations of random polytopes are close to the expected ones, and thus to prove variance estimates, central limit theorems and deviation inequalities. There has been serious progress in this direction in recent years, and we refer to the survey articles [18, 19, 25].

In all these results there is a general scheme: if the underlying convex sets are smooth then the number of faces and the volume difference behave asymptotically like powers of N , if the underlying sets are convex polytopes then logarithmic factors show up. Metric and combinatorial quantities only differ by a factor N .

In this paper we are discussing the case that the random points are chosen from the boundary of a polytope P . In dimensions $n \geq 3$, this produces random polytopes which are neither simple nor simplicial with high probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$, although still most of the facets are simplices. Thus our results are a decisive step in taking into account the point mentioned above. The applications in computational geometry, the analysis of the average complexity of algorithms and optimization need formulae for the combinatorial structure of the involved random polytopes and thus the question on the number of facets and vertices are of interest.

From (1.3) it follows immediately that for random polytopes whose points are chosen from the boundary of a polytope the expected number of vertices is

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(P_N) = c_{n-1,0} \text{flag}(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + o(1))$$

with $c_{n-1,0}$ from (1.3), independent of P . Indeed, a chosen point is a vertex of a random polytope if and only if it is a vertex of the convex hull of all the random points chosen in the same facet of P . We define $\ln_+ x = \max\{0, \ln x\}$. By (1.3) we get that the expected number of vertices equals

$$c_{n-1,0} \sum_{F_i} \text{flag}(F_i) \mathbb{E}(\ln_+ N_i)^{n-2}(1 + o(1)),$$

where we sum over all facets F_i of P and N_i is a binomial distributed random variable with parameters N and $p_i = \lambda_{n-1}(F_i)/(\sum_{F_j} \lambda_{n-1}(F_j))$. Here λ_{n-1} is the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. It is left to observe that $\mathbb{E}(\ln_+ N_i)^{n-2} = (\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + o(1))$ and $\sum_{F_i} \text{flag}(F_i) = \text{flag}(P)$.

For our first main results we restrict our investigations to simple polytopes. We recall that a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n is called simple if each of its vertices is contained in exactly n facets.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 2$ and choose N uniform random points on the boundary of a simple polytope P in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. For the expected number of facets of the random polytope P_N , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = c_n f_0(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})),$$

with some $c_n > 0$ independent of P .

The case $n = 2$ is particularly simple. $\mathbb{E}f_1(P_N)$ is asymptotically, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, equal to $2f_0(P) = 2f_1(P)$. Note that for a simplicial polytope $\text{flag}(P) = n!f_0(P)$ and therefore Theorem 1.1 can also be written as

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = \frac{c_n}{n!} \text{flag}(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})).$$

We conjecture this formula to hold for general polytopes. Yet this seems to be much more involved. We are showing here that for $n \geq 3$ and for $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 2$

$$\mathbb{E}f_\ell(P_N) \geq c_{n-1,\ell} \text{flag}(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + o(1))$$

with $c_{n-1,\ell}$ defined in (1.3). For this we count those ℓ -dimensional faces which are contained in the facets F_i of P . Analogous to the case $\ell = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_\ell(P_N) &\geq \sum_{F_i} \mathbb{E}f_\ell(P_N \cap F_i) \\ &= c_{n-1,\ell} \sum_{F_i} \text{flag}(F_i) \mathbb{E}(\ln_+ N_i)^{n-2}(1 + o(1)) \\ &= c_{n-1,\ell} \text{flag}(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

For the case $\ell = n - 1$ and $n \geq 3$, we observe that each $(n - 2)$ -dimensional face of a polytope is contained in precisely two $(n - 1)$ -dimensional facets. Assume that not all random points are contained in the same facet of P which happens with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, each $(n - 2)$ -dimensional face of P_N in a facet F of P is contained in at least one facet of P_N not contained in F , and thus gives rise to a facet of P_N which is the convex hull of this face and one additional point in another facet of P . This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) &\geq \sum_{F_i} \mathbb{E}f_{n-2}(P_N \cap F_i)(1 - o(1)) + o(1) \\ &= c_{n-1,n-2} \text{flag}(P)(\ln N)^{n-2}(1 + o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

for general polytopes P in dimension $n \geq 3$.

This sheds some light on the geometry of P_N if P is a simple polytope. The number of those facets of the random polytope that are not contained in the boundary of P are already of the same order as all facets that have one vertex in one facet of P and all the others in another one. In fact it follows from our proof that for simple polytopes the main contribution comes from those facets of P_N whose vertices are on *precisely two facets* of P . We refer to the end of Sect. 3.5 for the details.

Surprisingly this is no longer true for the expectation of the volume difference. Here the main contribution comes from *all facets* of P_N . And—to our big surprise—the volume difference contains no logarithmic factor. This is in sharp contrast to the

results for random points inside convex sets and shows that the phenomenon mentioned above does not hold for more general random polytopes.

Theorem 1.2 *For the expected volume difference between a simple polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and the random polytope P_N with vertices chosen from the boundary of P , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(V_n(P) - V_n(P_N)) = c_{n,P} N^{-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))})$$

with some $c_{n,P} > 0$ depending on n and P .

Intuitively, the difference volume for a random polytope whose vertices are chosen from the boundary should be smaller than the one whose vertices are chosen from the body. Our result confirms this for N sufficiently large. The first one is of the order $N^{-n/(n-1)}$ compared to $N^{-1}(\ln N)^{n-1}$. It is well known that for uniform random polytopes in the interior of a convex set the expected missed volume is minimized for the ball for N large [7, 16, 17], a smooth convex set, and—in the planar case—maximized by a triangle [7, 9, 15] or more generally by polytopes [5]. Hence one should also compare the result of Theorem 1.2 to the result of choosing random points on the boundary of a smooth convex set. This clearly leads to a random polytope with N vertices. And by results of Schütt and Werner [33], see also Reitzner [22], the expected volume difference is of order $N^{-2/(n-1)}$ which is smaller as the order in (1.1) as is to be expected, but also surprisingly much bigger than the order $N^{-n/(n-1)}$ occurring in Theorem 1.2.

We give a simple argument that shows that the volume difference between the cube and a random polytope is at least of the order $N^{-n/(n-1)}$. We denote by e_1, \dots, e_n the unit vectors of the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^n . We consider the cube $C^n = [0, 1]^n$ and the subset of the boundary

$$\begin{aligned} \partial C^n \cap H_+ \left(\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{nN} \right)^{1/(n-1)}, (1, \dots, 1) \right) \\ = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{nN} \right)^{1/(n-1)} [0, e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n], \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $H_+(h, u) = \{x : \langle x, u \rangle \geq h\}$. These sets are the union of small simplices in the facets of the cube close to the vertices. Then

$$\frac{1}{N} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-1} \left(\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{nN} \right)^{1/(n-1)} [0, e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n] \right),$$

where λ_{n-1} denotes the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure, and the probability that none of the points x_1, \dots, x_N is chosen from this set equals

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right)^N \sim \frac{1}{e}.$$

Therefore, with probability approximately $1/e$ the union of the simplices in (1.4) is not contained in the random polytope and the difference volume is greater than

$$\frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{nN} \right)^{n/(n-1)} \sim \frac{N^{-n/(n-1)}}{n},$$

which is in accordance with Theorem 1.2.

The paper is organized in the following way. The next section contains a tool from integral geometry and two asymptotic expansions. The proof of the asymptotic expansions is rather technical and shifted to the end of the paper, Appendix A, B, and C. The third section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. There, first we evaluate two formulas for the quantities appearing in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and combine them with the necessary asymptotic results. These results are proven in Sects. 3.5–3.7, using computations for the moments of the volume of involved random simplices in Sect. 3.3.

Throughout this paper $c_n, c_{m,P,n}, \dots$ are generic constants depending on m, P, n , etc. whose precise values may differ from occurrence to occurrence.

2 Tools

We work in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. We write $H = H(h, u)$ for the hyperplane with unit normal vector $u \in S^{n-1}$ and signed distance h to the origin, $H(h, u) = \{x : \langle x, u \rangle = h\}$. We denote by $H_- = H_-(h, u) = \{x : \langle x, u \rangle \leq h\}$ and by $H_+ = H_+(h, u) = \{x : \langle x, u \rangle \geq h\}$ the the two closed halfspaces bounded by the hyperplane H . For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we write $[A]$ for the convex hull of A .

In this paper we need a formula for n points distributed on the boundary of a given convex body. A theorem of Blaschke–Petkantschin type which deals with such a situation is a special case of Theorem 1 in Zähle [35]. We state it here only for a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional set X , which is what we need in the following. Denote by \mathcal{H}_{n-1} the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. A set X is \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -rectifiable if it is the countable union of images of bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} under some Lipschitz maps, up to a set of \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -measure zero. Then, for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -almost all points $x \in X$ there exists a (generalized) tangent hyperplane T_x at x to X consisting of all approximate tangent vectors v at x . Essentially, v is an approximate tangent vector at x if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x' \in X$ with $\|x - x'\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|\alpha(x - x') - v\| \leq \varepsilon$. For the precise definition we refer to the book by Schneider and Weil [29, p. 634], and for a general introduction to Hausdorff measure, the existence of tangent hyperplanes and facts on geometric measure theory we refer to Federer [12].

For two hyperplanes H_1, H_2 let $J(H_1, H_2)$ be the length of the projection of a unit interval in $H_1 \cap (H_1 \cap H_2)^\perp$ onto H_2^\perp , or $J(H_1, H_2) = 0$ if $H_1 \parallel H_2$. Observe that $J(H(h_1, u_1), H(h_2, u_2))$ is just the length of the projection of u_2 onto H_1 , which equals $\sin \sphericalangle(u_1, u_2)$.

Note that theorem of Zähle is stated for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -rectifiable sets, although Zähle remarks that the result is true under the weaker assumption of \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -measurability.

Theorem 2.1 (Zähle [35]) *Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -rectifiable set and let $g : (\mathbb{R}^n)^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. Then there is a constant β such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{X \cap H} \cdots \int_{X \cap H} \mathbb{1}(x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ in general position}) g(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du \\ &= \frac{\beta}{(n-1)!} \int_X \cdots \int_X \mathbb{1}(x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ in general position}) g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & \quad \times \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H(x_1, \dots, x_n)) dx_1 \dots dx_n, \end{aligned}$$

with dx, du, dh denoting integration with respect to the Hausdorff measure on the respective range of integration, and where the hyperplane $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the affine hull of x_1, \dots, x_n .

In our case X is the boundary of a polytope P , and almost all $x \in \partial P$ are in the relative interior of a facet of P where T_x is simply the supporting hyperplane. Thus $J(T_{x_j}, H(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0$ if all points are on the same facet of P . To exclude this from the range of integration, we write $(\partial P)_{\neq}^n$ for the set of all n -tuples $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \partial P$ which are not all contained in the same facet. Also, ignoring sets of \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -measure zero, we may assume that x_1, \dots, x_n are in general position when integrating on $(\partial P)_{\neq}^n$. And, again ignoring sets of measure zero, a hyperplane $H(h, u)$ meets ∂P at least in d facets, or $\partial P \cap H(h, u) = \emptyset$. Thus Zähle’s result takes the following form useful in our context.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a continuous function. Then there is a constant β such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\partial P)_{\neq}^n} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \beta^{-1}(n-1)! \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{(\partial P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

with dx, du, dh denoting integration with respect to the Hausdorff measure on the respective range of integration.

One of the essential ingredients of our proof are two asymptotic expansions of the function

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} t_j \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-2-l_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n \tag{2.6}$$

of $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $l_i \in \mathbb{R}, l_i > 0$ for all i and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0$. We need it for the computation of the expectations of the number of facets and of the expected volume difference. The proof of these results is rather technical and lengthy, and will be found in Sects. A–C of the appendix.

Lemma 2.3 *Assume that $n \geq 2, 0 < \alpha < 1/n$, and that $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n), L = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i$, with $n - 1 > l_i > L/(n - 1) - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \alpha^{-n+L/(n-1)} (n - 1)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n - 1} + 1\right) \\ &\quad \times N^{-n+L/(n-1)} \left(1 + O\left(N^{-(\min_k l_k - L/(n-1)+1)/(n-2)}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit constant in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on α .

Lemma 2.4 *Assume that $n \geq 2, 0 < \alpha \leq 1/(2n)$, and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n), L = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i$, with $n - 1 > l_i \geq L/(n - 1) - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If for at least three different indices i, j, k we have the strict inequality that $l_i, l_j, l_k > L/(n - 1) - 1$, then*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = O\left(N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-3}\right)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit constant in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on α . If for exactly two different indices i, j we have the strict inequality that $l_i, l_j > L/(n - 1) - 1$ and equality $l_k = L/(n - 1) - 1$ for all other l_k , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= c_n \alpha^{-n+L/(n-1)} \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n - 1} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(l_j - \frac{L}{n - 1} + 1\right) \\ &\quad \times N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-2} \left(1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $c_n > 0$, where the implicit constant in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on α .

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

3.1 The Number of Facets

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simple polytope, and assume w.l.o.g. that the surface area satisfies $\lambda_{n-1}(\partial P) = 1$. As usual denote by $\mathcal{F}_k(P)$ the set of k -dimensional faces of P . Choose random points X_1, \dots, X_N on the boundary of P with respect to Lebesgue measure,

and denote by $P_N = [X_1, \dots, X_N]$ their convex hull. In general $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}(P_N)$ consists of facets contained in facets of P and facets which are formed by random points on different facets of P . The latter facets are simplices, almost surely. The number of facets contained in ∂P is bounded by the number of facets of P and thus by a constant. Hence we assume in the following that $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n$. The convex hull of such points $X_i, i \in I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$, forms a facet $[X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n}]$ of P_N if their affine hull does not intersect the convex hull of the remaining points $[\{X_j\}_{j \notin I}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |I|=n}} \mathbb{1}(\text{aff}[\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] \cap [\{X_j\}_{j \notin I}] = \emptyset, \\ &\quad \{X_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n) + O(1) \\ &= \binom{N}{n} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}(\text{aff}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \cap [X_{n+1}, \dots, X_N] = \emptyset, \\ &\quad \{X_i\}_{i \leq n} \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation we set $H = \text{aff}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. If the points X_1, \dots, X_n form a facet then their affine hull is a supporting hyperplane $H = H(h, u)$ of the random polytope P_N . The unit vector u is the unit outer normal vector of this facet. Then the halfspace $H_- = H_-(h, u) = \{x : \langle x, u \rangle \leq h\}$ bounded by the hyperplane H contains the random polytope P_N . The probability that X_{n+1}, \dots, X_N are contained in H_- equals

$$\lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_-)^{N-n} = (1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n},$$

thus

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = \binom{N}{n} \mathbb{E}((1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \mathbb{1}(\{X_i\}_{i \leq n} \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n)) + O(1).$$

Denote by $H(P, u)$ a support hyperplane with normal u , supporting P in $v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)$. Then the normal cone $\mathcal{N}(v, P)$ is defined as (see e.g., [28]),

$$\mathcal{N}(v, P) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : v \in H(P, u) \cup \{0\}\}.$$

With probability one the vector u is contained in the interior of one of the normal cones $\mathcal{N}(v, P)$ of the vertices $v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)$ of P because the boundaries of the normal cones have measure 0. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) \\
 &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \mathbb{E}((1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(v, P), \{X_i\}_{i \leq n} \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n)) \\
 &+ O(1) \\
 &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial P)_{\neq}^n} (1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(v, P)) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
 &+ O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix a vertex v . Since P is simple, v is contained in precisely n facets F_1, \dots, F_n . There is an affine transformation A_v which maps v to the origin and the n edges $[v, v_i]$ containing v onto segments $[0, s_i e_i]$ on the coordinate axis. Here we have a free choice for the n parameters $s_i > 0$ which we will fix soon. We assume $s_i \geq n, i = 1, \dots, n$, which implies

$$[0, 1]^n \subset A_v P.$$

The image measure λ_{n-1, A_v} of the Lebesgue measure λ_{n-1} on the facets of P under the affine transformation A_v is—up to a constant—again Lebesgue measure, where the constant may differ for different facets. We choose the n parameters $s_i \geq n$ in such a way that the constant equals the same $a_v > 0$ for the n facets F_1, \dots, F_n containing v ,

$$\lambda_{n-1}(F_i) = a_v \lambda_{n-1}(A_v F_i).$$

Note that the last condition means that for all such facets F_i and all measurable $B \subset A_v F_i$,

$$\lambda_{n-1, A_v}(B) = \lambda_{n-1}(A_v^{-1} B) = a_v \lambda_{n-1}(B). \tag{3.7}$$

Note also that $[0, 1]^{n-1} \subset A_v F_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, and thus by (3.7),

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-1}([0, 1]^{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{a_v} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-1}(F_i) \leq \frac{S(P)}{a_v} = \frac{1}{a_v}. \tag{3.8}$$

Such a uniform bound on a_v is needed in Sect. 3.5 so that $\alpha = a_v/(n - 1)! \leq 1/(2n)$.

To keep the notation short, we write $d_{A_v} x = d\lambda_{n-1, A_v}(x)$ for integration with respect to this image measure of the Lebesgue measure on ∂P under the map A_v . Equation (3.7) shows that for $x \in A_v F_i$,

$$d_{A_v} x = a_v dx \tag{3.9}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where dx is again shorthand for Lebesgue measure (or equivalently Hausdorff measure) on the respective facet F_i . This yields

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \int_{(\partial A_v P)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \times \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(0, A_v P)) d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n + O(1).$$

We use Zähler’s formula (2.5) which transforms the integral over the points $x_i \in \partial P$ into an integral over all the hyperplanes $H = H(h, u)$, $u \in S^{n-1}$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$, and integrals over $\partial P \cap H$:

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1}(n-1)! \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \times \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(0, A_v P)) d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du + O(1).$$

We have $\mathcal{N}(0, A_v P) = -S_+^{n-1}$, where we denote $S_+^{n-1} = S^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The condition $\mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(0, A_v P))$ will be taken into account in the range of integration in the form $u \in -S_+^{n-1}$. Now we fix u and split the integral into two parts. In the first one H_- contains all the unit vectors e_i . We write this condition in the form

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} u_i \leq h \leq 0.$$

Note that $h \leq 0$, since $u \in -S_+^{n-1}$. The second part is over $h \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, n} u_i$. Thus the expected number of facets is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1}(n-1)! \left(\int_{-S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\max u_i}^0 (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_+))^{N-n} \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du \\ &\quad + \int_{-S_+^{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\max u_i} (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du \right) \\ &\quad + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that in the first case $\partial A_v P \cap H_+ = \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_+$. The substitution $u \mapsto -u$ and $h \mapsto -h$ yields the more convenient formula

$$\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1}(n-1)! (I_v^1 + E_v^1) + O(1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_v^1 &= \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-))^{N-n} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du, \\
 E_v^1 &= \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^{N-n} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du.
 \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotically dominating term will be I_v^1 . Using (3.7) and (3.9) for I_v^1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_v^1 &= a_v^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} (1 - a_v \lambda_{n-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-))^{N-n} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In Sect. 3.5 we will determine the precise asymptotics. Equation (3.28) will tell us that

$$I_v^1 = c_n N^{-n} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1}))$$

with some constant $c_n > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The error term E_v^1 can be estimated by using the fact that there are constants \bar{a}, \underline{a} such that

$$\underline{a} \lambda_{n-1}(B) \leq \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(B) \leq \bar{a} \lambda_{n-1}(B) \tag{3.11}$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)$ and all $B \subset \partial P$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_v^1 &\leq (2\bar{a})^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\infty} (1 - \underline{a} \lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^{N-n} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In Sect. 3.6 we will show that this is of order $O(N^{-n}(\ln N)^{n-3})$, see (3.35). This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{n-1}(P_N) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1} (n-1)! c_n N^{-n} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})) \\ &= c_n f_0(P) (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})) \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

with some $c_n > 0$ which is Theorem 1.1.

3.2 The Volume Difference

We are interested in the expected volume difference

$$\mathbb{E}(V_n(P) - V_n(P_N)).$$

With probability one the random polytope P_N has the following property: For each facet $F \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(P_N)$ that is not contained in a facet of P there exists a unique vertex $v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)$, such that the outer unit normal vector u_F of F is contained in the normal cone $\mathcal{N}(v, P)$, or equivalently the hyperplane H containing F is parallel to a supporting hyperplane to P at v . Clearly all the sets $[F, v]$ are contained in $P \setminus P_N$ and they have pairwise disjoint interiors. This is immediate in dimension two, and holds in arbitrary dimensions because it holds for all two-dimensional sections through P and P_N containing two vertices of P . We set

$$C_N = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \bigcup_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(P_N) \\ u_F \in \mathcal{N}(v, P) \\ F \not\subseteq \partial P}} [F, v], \quad D_N = P \setminus (P_N \cup C_N), \tag{3.14}$$

where D_N is the subset of $P \setminus P_N$ not covered by one of the simplices $[F, v]$ with $u_F \in \mathcal{N}(v, P)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(V_n(P) - V_n(P_N)) &= \mathbb{E}V_n(C_N) + \mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(P_N)} V_n([F, v]) \mathbb{1}(u_F \in \mathcal{N}(v, P)) + \mathbb{E}V_n(D_N). \end{aligned}$$

For the first summand we follow the approach already worked out in detail in the last section. The convex hull $[X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n}]$ forms a facet of P_N if their affine hull does not intersect the convex hull of the remaining point, and to simplify notation we set $u = u_F$ and $H(h, u) = H = \text{aff}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The halfspace H_- contains the random

polytope P_N , and the probability that X_{n+1}, \dots, X_N are contained in H_- equals

$$\lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_-)^{N-n} = (1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_n(C_N) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \mathbb{E}((1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(v, P), \{X_i\}_{i \leq n} \in (\partial P)_{\neq}^n) V_n[X_1, \dots, X_n, v]) \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial P)_{\neq}^n} (1 - \lambda_{n-1}(\partial P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(v, P)) V_n[x_1, \dots, x_n, v] dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

We fix v and use the affine transformation A_v defined in the last section which maps v to the origin and the edges onto the coordinate axes. The transformation rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_n(C_N) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} \binom{N}{n} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial A_v P)_{\neq}^n} (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(0, A_v P)) \\ &\quad \times V_n[A_v^{-1}x_1, \dots, A_v^{-1}x_n, 0] d_{A_v}x_1 \dots d_{A_v}x_n. \end{aligned}$$

The volume of the simplex $[\{A_v^{-1}x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}, 0]$ is a constant $d_v = \det A_v^{-1}$ times the volume of $[\{x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}, 0]$ which equals n^{-1} times the height $|h|$ times the volume of the base $[\{x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}]$. By Zähle’s formula (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_n(C_N) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} d_v \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{n} \\ &\quad \times \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_+))^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^2 \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}(u \in \mathcal{N}(0, A_v P)) d_{A_v}x_1 \dots d_{A_v}x_n dh du. \end{aligned}$$

We split the integral into the two parts $\max_{i=1, \dots, n} u_i \leq h \leq 0$ and $h \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, n} u_i$ and substitute $u \mapsto -u, h \mapsto -h$. The main part of the expected volume difference

is

$$\mathbb{E}V_n(C_N) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} d_v \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{n} (I_v^2 + E_v^2)$$

with

$$I_v^2 = a_v^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} (1 - a_v \lambda_{n-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-))^{N-n} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\times h \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H)_{\neq}} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^2 \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du,$$

$$E_v^2 = \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_{n-1, A_v}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^{N-n} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\times h \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^2 \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} d_{A_v} x_1 \dots d_{A_v} x_n dh du.$$

The asymptotically dominating term will be I_v^2 . In Sect. 3.5 we determine the precise asymptotics. Equation (3.27) will tell us that

$$I_v^2 = c_n a_v^{-n/(n-1)} N^{-n-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))}))$$

with some constant $c_n > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The error term E_v^2 can be estimated by

$$E_v^2 \leq (2\bar{a})^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\infty} (1 - \underline{a} \lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^{N-n} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\times h \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^2 \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du,$$

where \bar{a}, \underline{a} are as in (3.11). In Sect. 3.6, (3.36), we show that

$$E_v^2 = O(N^{-n-(n-1)/(n-2)}).$$

It remains to estimate

$$\mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) = \mathbb{E} \left(P \setminus \left(P_N \cup \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(P_N)} [F, v_F] \right) \right).$$

The following argument is proved in detail in the paper of Affentranger and Wieacker [1, p. 302] and will only be sketched here.

If $y \in D_N$, then the normal cone $\mathcal{N}(y, [y, P_N])$ is not contained in any of the normal cones $\mathcal{N}(v, P)$ of P , $v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)$. Hence $\mathcal{N}(y, [y, P_N])$ meets at least two neighbouring normal cones $\mathcal{N}(v_1, P), \mathcal{N}(v_2, P)$, and thus the normal cone of the edge $e = [v_1, v_2] \in \mathcal{F}_1(P)$. This implies that there exists a supporting hyperplane H of P with $H \cap P = e$ with the property that the parallel hyperplane through y does not meet P_N .

We apply an affine map A_e similar to the one defined above which maps $e = [v, w]$ to the unit interval $[0, e_n]$, v to the origin, and the image of other edges containing v contain the remaining unit intervals $[0, e_i]$. After applying this map the situation described above is the following: for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = A_e y \in A_e D_N$ the supporting hyperplane $A_e H = H(0, u)$ to $A_e P$ intersects $A_e P$ in the edge $[0, e_n]$. The parallel hyperplane $H(\langle x, u \rangle, u)$ contains x and cuts off from $A_e P$ a cap disjoint from $A_e P_N$. This cap contains the simplex

$$[0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n].$$

Hence if $x \in A_e D_N$ then

$$[0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n] \cap A_e P_N = \emptyset.$$

The probability of this event is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}([0, x_1e_1, \dots, x_{n-1}e_{n-1}, e_n] \cap A_e P_N = \emptyset) \\ &= (1 - \lambda_{n-1}(A_e^{-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n])))^N \\ &\leq (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n]))^N, \end{aligned}$$

with some $\underline{a} > 0$. We denote by d_e the involved Jacobian of A_e^{-1} and by \bar{d} the maximum of d_e . This implies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) &= \int_P \mathbb{P}(x \in D_N) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}_1(P)} d_e \int_{A_e P} (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \\ &\quad \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n]))^N dx \\ &\leq f_1(P) \bar{d} \int_{[0, \tau]^n} (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \\ &\quad \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n]))^N dx \end{aligned}$$

assuming again that $A_e P \subset [0, \tau]^n$ for all e . In Sect. 3.7 we prove that

$$\mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) = O(N^{-(n-1)/(n-2)}). \tag{3.18}$$

Combining our results we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(V_n(P) - V_n(P_N)) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} d_v \binom{N}{n} \beta^{-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{n} (I_v^2 + E_v^2) + \mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) \\ &= c_n \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P)} d_v^2 a_v^{-n/(n-1)} N^{-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))}) \\ &= c_{n,P} N^{-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which is Theorem 1.2.

3.3 Random Simplices in Simplices

For $u \in S_+^{n-1}$, $h \geq 0$, and $H = H(h, u)$ we set

$$\mathcal{E}_k(h, u) = \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^k \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H(1, u))^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \tag{3.20}$$

which is the (not normalized) k -th moment of the volume of a random simplex in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(h, u)$ where the random points are chosen on the boundary of this simplex according to the weight functions $J(T_{x_j}, H(1, u))^{-1}$. Recall that for almost all x_j , T_{x_j} is the supporting hyperplane at x_j . In fact it is the coordinate hyperplane which contains x_j .

Lemma 3.1 *For $k \geq 0$, there are constants $\mathcal{E}_{k,f} > 0$ independent of u , such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_k(h, u) = h^{-(n+k)} n^{-k/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{h}{u_i} \right)^{n+k} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{u_{f_i}}{h} \mathcal{E}_{k,f}.$$

Proof For a point x_j in the coordinate hyperplane e_i^\perp , the weight function $J(T_{x_j}, H(1, u))^{-1}$ is the sine of the angle between e_i and u . Thus

$$J(T_{x_j}, H(1, u)) = \|u|_{e_i^\perp}\| = (1 - u_i^2)^{1/2} \tag{3.21}$$

and hence is independent of h as long as u is fixed. In (3.20) we substitute $x_j = hy_j$ with $y_j \in H(1, u)$. The $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume is homogeneous of degree $n-1$,

hence

$$\lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = h^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}([y_1, \dots, y_n]),$$

and since x_j are in the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional planes $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(h, u)$ we have $dx_j = h^{n-2} dy_j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_k(h, u) &= h^{(n-1)k+n(n-2)} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1,u))_{\neq}^n} \lambda_{n-1}([y_1, \dots, y_n])^k \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H(1, u))^{-1} dy_1 \dots dy_n \quad (3.22) \\ &= h^{(n-1)k+n(n-2)} \mathcal{E}_k(1, u). \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate $\mathcal{E}_k(1, u)$ we condition on the facets in $e_1^\perp, \dots, e_n^\perp$ of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, u)$ from where the random points are chosen. Thus for

$$f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n$$

we condition on the event $y_i \in e_{f_i}^\perp$. Because $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in (\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, u))_{\neq}^n$, which means that not all points are contained in the same facet, we may assume that $f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n$ where we remove all n -tuples of the form (i, \dots, i) and denote the remaining set by $\{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n$. Recalling (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_k(1, u) &= \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - u_{f_i}^2)^{-1/2} \quad (3.23) \\ &\quad \times \int \cdots \int_{(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1,u))_{\neq}^n} \lambda_{n-1}([y_1, \dots, y_n])^k \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(y_i \in e_{f_i}^\perp) dy_1 \dots dy_n. \end{aligned}$$

A short computation shows that $H(1, u)$ meets the coordinate axis in the points $(1/u_i)e_i$. We substitute $z = Ay$, $y = A^{-1}z$, where A is the affine map transforming $H(1, \mathbf{1}_n)$ into $H(1, u)$. Here $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the vector $(1, \dots, 1)^T$. The map is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.24)$$

The volume of the simplex $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-(1, u)$ is given by $(1/n!) \prod_{i=1}^n (1/u_i)$, thus the ‘base’ $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, u)$ of this simplex has $(n - 1)$ -volume $(1/(n - 1)!) \prod_{i=1}^n (1/u_i)$.

The regular simplex $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, \mathbf{1}_n)$ has $(n - 1)$ -volume $\sqrt{n}/(n - 1)!$. Hence

$$\lambda_{n-1}([A^{-1}z_1, \dots, A^{-1}z_n])^k = n^{-k/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right)^k \lambda_{n-1}([z_1, \dots, z_n])^k.$$

The $(n - 1)$ -volume of the simplex spanned by the origin and the facet of $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, u)$ in e_i^\perp is given by $(1/(n - 1)!) \prod_{j \neq i} (1/u_j)$, its height by $\|(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, u_n)\|^{-1} = (1 - u_i^2)^{-1/2}$ and hence the $(n - 2)$ -volume of the facet of $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, u)$ in e_i^\perp is

$$\lambda_{n-2}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap e_i^\perp \cap H(1, u)) = \frac{(1 - u_i^2)^{1/2}}{(n - 2)!} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{u_j}.$$

Comparing this to the volume $\sqrt{n - 1}/(n - 2)!$ of the facet of the simplex $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, \mathbf{1}_n)$ in e_i^\perp which equals the volume of $\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \cap H(1, \mathbf{1}_{n-1})$ shows that the Jacobian in $e_{f_i}^\perp$ of the map A is

$$\frac{\lambda_{n-2}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap e_i^\perp \cap H(1, u))}{\lambda_{n-2}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap e_i^\perp \cap H(1, \mathbf{1}_n))} = (n - 1)^{-1/2} (1 - u_{f_i}^2)^{1/2} \prod_{j \neq f_i} \frac{1}{u_j} \mathbb{1}(z_i \in e_{f_i}^\perp).$$

Combining these Jacobians with (3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_k(1, u) &= n^{-k/2} (n - 1)^{-n/2} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_\neq^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right)^{n+k} \prod_{i=1}^n u_{f_i} \\ &\quad \times \int \cdots \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H(1, \mathbf{1}_n)} \lambda_{n-1}([z_1, \dots, z_n])^k \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(z_i \in e_{f_i}^\perp) dz_1 \dots dz_n \\ &=: n^{-k/2} (n - 1)^{-n/2} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_\neq^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right)^{n+k} \prod_{i=1}^n u_{f_i} \mathcal{E}_{k, f}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{k, f}$ is independent of u . Together with (3.22) this finishes the proof. □

In Sect. 3.6 we need an estimate for $\mathcal{E}_0(h, u)$ in the case when there is a $k \leq n - 1$ such that

$$\frac{h}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{h}{u_k} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h}{u_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{h}{u_n} \geq 1, \tag{3.25}$$

see (3.29). Then H meets the coordinate axes in the points $(h/u_i)e_i \in [0, 1]^n$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the other points of intersection are outside of $[0, 1]^n$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0^1(h, u) &= \int_{(\partial[0,1]^n \cap H)^n} \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{f=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap e_f^\perp| \leq n - 1) dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let $k \leq n - 1$ be given such that (3.25) holds. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_0^1(h, u) \leq c_{n,k} h^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{h}{u_j}\right)^n \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{u_j}{h}\right)^{m_j}$$

with $m_j = m_j(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(f_i = j) \leq n - 1$ for $j \leq k$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \leq n$.

Proof First we compare the intersection of H with the facet of $[0, 1]^n$ in e_f^\perp to the intersection of H with the opposite facet of $[0, 1]^n$ in $e_f + e_f^\perp$, $f = 1, \dots, n$. For $i \neq f$ the hyperplane H meets the coordinate axes $\text{lin}\{e_i\}$ in e_f^\perp in points $(h/u_i)e_i$. It meets the shifted coordinate axes $e_f + \text{lin}\{e_i\}$ in the opposite facet in the points $e_f + ((h - u_f)/u_i)e_i$. Because $u \in S_+^{n-1}$ we have $u_f \geq 0$. This shows that the facet of $H \cap [0, 1]^n$ in e_f^\perp contains the simplex

$$\left[\left\{ \frac{h}{u_i} e_i \right\}_{i \leq k, i \neq f} \right]. \tag{3.26}$$

The opposite facet contains either the smaller simplex

$$\left[\left\{ \frac{h - u_f}{u_i} e_i \right\}_{i \leq k, i \neq f} \right]$$

if $f \geq k + 1$ and $h/u_f > 1$, and otherwise the intersection is empty, $(H \cap [0, 1]^n) \cap (e_f + e_f^\perp) = \emptyset$. The simplex (3.26) has volume

$$\frac{1}{(k - 2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{h(1 - u_f^2)^{-1/2}} \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq f} \frac{h}{u_i}$$

for $f \leq k$, and

$$\frac{1}{(k - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{h(1 - u_f^2)^{-1/2}} \prod_{i \leq k} \frac{h}{u_i}$$

for $f \geq k + 1$, the volume in the opposite facet clearly is smaller for $f \geq k + 1$ or vanishes for $f \leq k$. We use $J(T_x, H(h, u))^{-1} = J(T_x, H(1, u))^{-1} = (1 - u_f^2)^{-1/2}$ for $x \in e_f^\perp$. For $f \leq k$ this proves

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap e_f^\perp} J(T_x, H)^{-1} dx &= (1 - u_f^2)^{-1/2} \int_{([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap e_f^\perp} dx \\ &\leq \frac{(n - k)^{(n-k)/2}}{(k - 2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq f} \frac{h}{u_i}, \end{aligned}$$

since $(n - k)^{1/2}$ is the diameter of the $(n - k)$ -dimensional unit cube. In this case there is no simplex in the opposite facet. Analogously, for $f \geq k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap e_f^\perp} J(T_x, H)^{-1} dx &\leq \frac{(n - k - 1)^{(n-k-1)/2}}{(k - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \prod_{i \leq k} \frac{h}{u_i} \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap (e_f + e_f^\perp)} J(T_x, H)^{-1} dx &\leq \frac{(n - k - 1)^{(n-k-1)/2}}{(k - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \prod_{i \leq k} \frac{h}{u_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Again we condition on the facets $\partial[0, 1]^n \cap H(1, u)$ from where the random points are chosen. Because of the term $\mathbb{1}(|\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap e_f^\perp| \leq n - 1)$, it is impossible that all points are contained in one of the facets in e_f^\perp . Thus for $f \leq k$ we have at most $n - 1$ points in $([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap e_f^\perp$ and no point in $([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap (e_f + e_f^\perp)$ because this set is empty. For $f \geq k + 1$ we have at most $n - 1$ points in $([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap e_f^\perp$ and maybe some additional points in $([0, 1]^n \cap H) \cap (e_f + e_f^\perp)$.

Now for $j = 1, \dots, n$ there is some f_j such that x_j is either in the facet $[0, 1]^n \cap e_{f_j}^\perp$ or in the opposite facet $[0, 1]^n \cap (e_{f_j} + e_{f_j}^\perp)$. This defines a vector

$$f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n,$$

and we take into account that for $f \leq k$,

$$m_f = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}(f_j = f) \leq n - 1.$$

This yields

$$\mathcal{E}_0^1(h, u) = \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \prod_{j: f_j \leq k} \left(\int_{\partial[0, 1]^n \cap H} J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \mathbb{1}(x_j \in e_{f_j}^\perp) dx_j \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \prod_{j: f_j \geq k+1} \left(\int_{\partial[0,1]^n \cap H} J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \mathbb{1}(x_j \in e_{f_j}^{\perp} \cup (e_{f_j} + e_{f_j}^{\perp})) dx_j \right) \\ & \leq c_{n,k} h^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{h}{u_j} \right)^n \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{u_j}{h} \right)^{m_j} \end{aligned}$$

with $m_j = m_j(f) = \sum_i \mathbb{1}(f_i = j) \leq n - 1$ for $j \leq k$, and $\sum_1^k m_i \leq \sum_1^n m_i = n$.

□

3.4 The Crucial Substitution

In the next sections we will end up with integrals over $u \in S_+^{n-1}$ and where we split the integrals in the part where $h \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i$ and the part where $h \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i$. Then the following substitution is helpful.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f : (\mathbb{R}_+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function such that both sides of the following equation are finite. Then*

$$\int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f\left(\frac{h}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{h}{u_n}\right) h^{-(n+1)} dh du = \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^n} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{-2} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

In particular we will make extensive use of the following version where we use that the range of integration $0 \leq h \leq u_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, is equivalent to $t_i \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{u_i\}} \left(1 - a \frac{\sum u_i}{\prod u_i} h^{n-1}\right)^{N-n} h^{-(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{u_i}{h}\right)^{-(n+1+\varepsilon)+m_i} dh du \\ & = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - a \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} t_j\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-1+\varepsilon-m_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, N is the number of chosen points and the m_i are as in Lemma 3.2.

Proof The goal is to rewrite the integration $dh du$ over the set of hyperplanes into an integration with respect to t_1, \dots, t_n where these are the intersections of the hyperplane $H(h, u)$ with the coordinate axis. First, the substitution $r = h^{-1}$ leads to $dh = -r^{-2} dr$. Then we pass from polar coordinates (r, u) to the Cartesian coordinate system: for $h, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $u \in S_+^{n-1}$ this gives

$$h^{-(n+1)} dh du = r^{n-1} dr du = dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Now we substitute $x_i = 1/t_i$ and take into account that

$$h^{-1} = r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{t_i} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus finally we have

$$h^{-(n+1)} dh du = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{-2} dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

with $h^{-1}u_i = ru_i = x_i = t_i^{-1}$. □

3.5 The Main Term

By (3.10) and (3.15), for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ we have to investigate

$$\begin{aligned} I_v^{1+\varepsilon} &= a_v^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} (1 - a_v \lambda_{n-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-))^{N-n} h^\varepsilon \\ &\quad \times \int_{(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-)_{\neq}^n} \dots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^{1+\varepsilon} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du \\ &= a_v^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} (1 - a_v \lambda_{n-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-))^{N-n} h^\varepsilon \mathcal{E}_{1+\varepsilon}(h, u) dh du, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation from (3.20). Recall that $H = H(h, u)$ meets the coordinate axis in the points $t_i e_i = (h/u_i) e_i$, and hence

$$\lambda_{n-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap H_-) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{\sum u_i}{\prod u_i} h^{n-1}.$$

We plug this and the result of Lemma 3.1 into $I_v^{1+\varepsilon}$, set $m_i = \sum_j \mathbb{1}(f_j = i)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_v^{1+\varepsilon} &= n^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} a_v^n \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \mathcal{E}_{1+\varepsilon, f} \\ &\quad \times \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_0^{\min u_i} \left(1 - \frac{a_v}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{\sum u_i}{\prod u_i} h^{n-1} \right)^{N-n} \end{aligned}$$

$$h^{-(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{u_i}{h}\right)^{-(n+1+\varepsilon)+m_i} dh du.$$

Note that $\sum m_i = n$. We use the substitution introduced in Lemma 3.3 and use the notation from Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4, in particular we use the function $\mathcal{J}(\cdot)$ introduced in (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} I_v^{1+\varepsilon} &= n^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} a_v^n (n-1)^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \mathcal{E}_{1+\varepsilon, f} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{a_v}{(n-1)!} \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} t_j\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-1+\varepsilon-m_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= n^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} a_v^n (n-1)^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \mathcal{E}_{1+\varepsilon, f} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m} - (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbf{1}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. In the case $\varepsilon = 1$,

$$I_v^2 = n^{-2/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} a_v^n (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \mathcal{E}_{2, f} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m} - 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}),$$

and Lemma 2.3 (with $L = -n$) implies with a constant $c_{m,n}$ that depends on \mathbf{m} and n ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_v^2 &= c_n a_v^{-n/(n-1)} \sum_f \mathcal{E}_{2, f} c_{m,n} N^{-n-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))})) \\ &= c_n a_v^{-n/(n-1)} N^{-n-n/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-1/((n-1)(n-2))})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where the implicit constant in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on a_v . Because $c_{m,n} > 0$, all terms with $f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n$ contribute. Geometrically this means that *the contribution for the volume difference comes from all facets of P_N* .

In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ the asymptotic results from Lemma 2.4 (with $L = 0$) give

$$\begin{aligned} I_v^1 &= n^{-1/2} (n-1)^{-n/2} a_v^n \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}_{\neq}^n} \mathcal{E}_{1, f} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{1}) \\ &= c_n \sum_{f: \#\{m_i > 0\} = 2} \mathcal{E}_{1, f} d_{m,n} N^{-n} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})) \\ &\quad + c_n \sum_{f: \#\{m_i > 0\} \geq 3} O(N^{-n} (\ln N)^{n-3}) \\ &= c_n N^{-n} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where only those terms contribute for which f_i is concentrated on two values, and where the implicit constant in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on a_v . We can apply Lemma 2.4

as (3.8) holds. Geometrically this implies that *the main contribution comes from that facets of P_N whose vertices are on precisely two facets of P .*

3.6 The Error of the First Kind

Denote by $\text{diam}(K)$ the diameter of a convex set K . By (3.17) and (3.12), for the error term we have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_v^{1+\varepsilon} &\leq (2\bar{a})^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\text{diam}(A_v P)} (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^N h^\varepsilon \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H_-)_\neq} \cdots \int \lambda_{n-1}([x_1, \dots, x_n])^{1+\varepsilon} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du \\
 &\leq (2\bar{a})^n \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\min u_i}^{\text{diam}(A_v P)} (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-))^N h^\varepsilon \\
 &\quad \times \lambda_{n-1}(A_v P \cap H)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H_-)_\neq} \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Recall that the hyperplane $H = H(h, u)$ meets the coordinate axes in the points $(h/u_i)e_i$. Hence the halfspace H_- contains at least one unit vector since $h \geq \min u_i$. W.l.o.g. we multiply by $\binom{n}{k}$, assume that it contains e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n , and thus the points of intersection satisfy

$$\frac{h}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{h}{u_k} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h}{u_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{h}{u_n} \geq 1 \tag{3.29}$$

with some $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then the convex hull of $(h/u_1)e_1, \dots, (h/u_k)e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$ is contained in $A_v P \cap H_-$ and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-) &\geq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i \neq j} \min\left(1, \frac{h}{u_i}\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} \frac{h}{u_i}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

For $k = 0, 1$ we have $\lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-) \geq 1/(n-1)!$ and thus $E_\varepsilon = O(e^{-\underline{a}N/(n-1)!})$, so serious estimates are only necessary in the cases $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Next we use that

$A_v P \subset [0, \tau]^n$ for all A_v and for some $\tau > 0$. Thus

$$\lambda_{n-1}(A_v P \cap H) \leq \lambda_{n-1}([0, \tau]^n \cap H) \leq c_n h^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h}{u_i} \tau^{n-k} \tag{3.31}$$

because H meets the first k coordinate axes in $h/u_1, \dots, h/u_k$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_v^{1+\varepsilon} &\leq (2\bar{a})^n c_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \tau^{(n-k)(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &\times \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\substack{h \leq u_1, \dots, u_k \\ h \geq u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} \frac{h}{u_i} \right)^N h^{-1} \\ &\times \prod_1^k \left(\frac{h}{u_i} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n dh du. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the inner integration with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n . We want to replace $\partial A_v P \cap H$ by $\partial[0, 1]^n \cap H$. The main point here is to estimate $J(T_x, H)^{-1}$ for $x \notin \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

In general we have $J(T_x, H) \in [0, 1]$ by definition. Recall that $x \in H$. The critical equality $J(T_x, H) = 0$ can occur only if $T_x = H$, thus if H is a supporting hyperplane $H(h_{A_v P}(u), u)$ or $H(h_{A_v P}(-u), -u)$ to $A_v P$. Since $u \in S_+^{n-1}$, in the second case we have $h_{A_v P}(-u) = 0$ and $x \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

To exclude the first case we assume that $\lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-) \leq 1/2$. In this case H_- cannot contain the point $n^{-1/(n-1)}(1, \dots, 1)^T$ since otherwise $\partial A_v P \cap H_-$ would contain $\partial A_v P \cap n^{-1/(n-1)}[0, 1]^n$ (recall that $u \in S_+^{n-1}$) and this part has surface are 1. Now we claim that there is a constant $c_{A_v P} > 0$ such that

$$J(T_x, H) \geq c_{A_v P} \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad x \in \partial A_v P \setminus \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{3.32}$$

If such a positive constant would not exist then (by the compactness of $\partial A_v P$) there would be a convergent sequence $(x_k, H_k) \rightarrow (x_0, H_0)$ with $J(T_{x_k}, H_k) \rightarrow 0$, where $x_k \in H_k$ yields $x_0 \in H_0 = H(h_0, u_0)$, $u_0 \in S_+^{n-1}$. But in this case also

$$J(T_{x_k}, H_0) \rightarrow 0$$

and H_0 is a supporting hyperplane at x_0 . Since $u_0 \in S_+^{n-1}$ this leads to two cases. The first case is that

$$x_0 \in H_0 = H(h_{A_v P}(-u_0), -u_0), \quad x_0 \in \partial A_v P \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

but x_k is not in $\partial A_v P \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and thus contained in some other facet of $A_v P$. This implies $J(T_{x_k}, H_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $x_k \rightarrow x_0$, which is impossible. The second case is that x_0 is contained in $H_0 = H(h_{A_v P}(u_0), u_0)$ where $(1, \dots, 1)^T \in H_0$. Since this point is in $A_v P$, but all H_{k-} do not contain $n^{-1/(n-1)}(1, \dots, 1)^T$ this again contradicts the convergence $H_k \rightarrow H_0$. Hence such a sequence x_k cannot exist, and (3.32) holds with some constant $c_{A_v P} > 0$. Thus from now on we assume that $\lambda_{n-1}(\partial A_v P \cap H_-) \leq 1/2$, take into account an error term of order

$$\left(1 - \frac{a}{2}\right)^N = e^{-cN}, \tag{3.33}$$

and obtain by (3.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\partial A_v P \setminus \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap H} J(T_x, H)^{-1} dx \\ & \leq c_{A_v P}^{-1} \lambda_{n-2}(\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H) = c_{A_v P}^{-1} \int_{\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H} dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

because $A_v P$ is contained in the larger cube $[0, \tau]^n$.

In the following we denote by F_c the union of the facets of $A_v P$ contained in $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$, and by F_0 the union of the remaining facets which cover $\partial A_v P \setminus \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\partial A_v P = F_c \cup F_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n \leq \int_{(F_c \cap H)_{\neq}^n} \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \int_{(F_0 \cap H)^k \times (F_c \cap H)^{n-k}} \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (3.34) and using $F_c \subset \partial[0, \tau]^n$, we obtain the upper bounds

$$\int_{(F_0 \cap H)^k} \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^k J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_k \leq c_{A_v P}^{-n} \int_{\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H)^k} \dots \int dx_1 \dots dx_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(F_c \cap H)_{\neq}^{n-k}} \dots \int \prod_{j=k+1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n \\ & \leq \int_{(\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H)_{\neq}^{n-k}} \dots \int \prod_{j=k+1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $(F_c \cap H)^{n-k} \neq (F_c \cap H)^{n-k}$ for $k \geq 1$. Combining these we get for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{(F_0 \cap H)^k \times (F_c \cap H)^{n-k}} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ & \leq c_{A_v P}^{-n} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H)^n} \prod_{j=k+1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional polytope $[0, \tau]^n \cap H$ the area of each $(n - 2)$ -dimensional facet is bounded by the sum of the areas of all other facets. Hence excluding a facet from the range of integration of the inner integral with respect to x_1 can be compensated by a factor 2,

$$\int_{\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H} dx_1 \leq 2 \int_{\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H} \prod_{f=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap e_f^\perp| \leq n - 1)) dx_1.$$

Since $J(T_{x_j}, H)$ is always less or equal one, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)^n \neq} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ & \leq 2c_{A_v P}^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H)^n} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{f=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap e_f^\perp| \leq n - 1) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ & \leq 2^{n+1} c_{A_v P}^{-n} \int \cdots \int_{(\partial[0, \tau]^n \cap H)^n} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{f=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap e_f^\perp| \leq n - 1) dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting x_i by τx_i we obtain

$$\int \cdots \int_{(\partial A_v P \cap H)^n \neq} \prod_{j=1}^n J(T_{x_j}, H)^{-1} dx_1 \dots dx_n \leq 2^n \tau^{n(n-2)} c_{A_v P}^{-n} \mathcal{E}_0^1 \left(\frac{h}{\tau}, u \right)$$

with $\mathcal{E}_0^1(\cdot)$ defined in front of Lemma 3.2. We make use of Lemma 3.2 for \mathcal{E}_0^1 and the error term (3.33): for $m_i = \sum_j \mathbb{1}(f_j = i)$ we get

$$E_v^{1+\varepsilon} \leq c_{v,P} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \int_{\substack{h \leq u_1, \dots, u_k \\ h \geq u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} \frac{h}{u_i} \right)^N h^{-(n+1)} \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{h}{u_j} \right)^{n+1+\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{u_j}{h} \right)^{m_j} \right) dh du + O(e^{-cN})$$

with $m_i \leq n - 1$ for $j \leq k$, $\sum_1^k m_i \leq n$, and where $c_{v,P}$ depends on $n, c_{A_v P}, \max c_{n,k}$ and τ . Next we use the substitution from Lemma 3.3:

$$E_v^{1+\varepsilon} \leq c_{n,A_v P} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \int_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_k \leq 1 \\ t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n \geq 1}} \dots \int \left(1 - \frac{a}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} t_i \right)^N \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^k t_i^{n-1+\varepsilon-m_i} \prod_{i=k+1}^n t_i^{-2} dt_1 \dots dt_n + O(e^{-cN}).$$

The integrations with respect to t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n are immediate since the only terms occurring are t_i^{-2} , and we have

$$E_v^{1+\varepsilon} \leq c_{n,A_v P} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{f \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{a}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} t_i \right)^N \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^k t_i^{k-2-(m_i-(n-k+1+\varepsilon))} dt_1 \dots dt_k + O(e^{-cN}).$$

with $0 \leq m_i \leq n - 1$. We set $l_i = m_i - (n - k + 1 + \varepsilon)$. To apply Lemma 2.4 in the case $\varepsilon = 0$ we have to check that there are $i \neq j$ with $l_i, l_j > L/(k - 1) - 1$. Set $M = \sum_1^k m_i \leq n$. We have

$$l_i - \frac{L}{k-1} + 1 = m_i - (n - k + 1) - \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - (n - k + 1)) + 1 \\ = m_i + \frac{n - M}{k-1} \geq 0$$

and equality holds only if $M = n$ and $m_i = 0$. But $M = n$ and $m_i \leq n - 1$ imply that there are at least two different indices i, j with $m_i > 0$. Hence we may apply

Lemma 2.4 (and if $m_i \geq 1$ for all i even Lemma 2.3) which tells us that the integral is bounded by

$$O(N^{-k+L/(k-1)}(\ln N)^{k-2}) = O(N^{(M-nk)/(k-1)}(\ln N)^{n-3}) = O(N^{-n}(\ln N)^{n-3}).$$

This finally proves

$$E_v^1 = O(N^{-n}(\ln N)^{n-3}). \tag{3.35}$$

In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ we have $l_i = m_i - (n - k + 2)$, and with $M = \sum_1^k m_i \leq n$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} l_i - \frac{L}{k-1} + 1 &= m_i - (n - k + 2) - \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - (n - k + 2)) + 1 \\ &= m_i + \frac{n + 1 - M}{k-1} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $M \leq n$. Thus the integral is of order

$$O(N^{-k+L/(k-1)}) = O(N^{(M-k(n+1))/(k-1)}) = O(N^{-n-(n-1)/(n-2)})$$

and

$$E_v^2 = O(N^{-n-(n-1)/(n-2)}). \tag{3.36}$$

3.7 The Error of the Second Kind

Here we have to evaluate the following estimate of $\mathbb{E}V_n(D_N)$ of (3.18),

$$f_1(P) \bar{d} \int_0^\tau \dots \int_0^\tau (1 - \underline{a}\lambda_{n-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n]))^N dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

The integration with respect to x_n is immediate. We may assume without loss of generality that for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ precisely k of the coordinates of x are bounded by 1,

$$x_1, \dots, x_k \leq 1, \quad x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1} \geq 1.$$

For $k = 0, 1$ we have

$$\int_0^\tau \dots \int_0^\tau \left(1 - \frac{\underline{a}}{(n-1)!}\right)^N dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} = O(e^{-\underline{a}N/(n-1)!}).$$

So we assume $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then the volume of the boundary of the simplex is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_{n-1}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap [0, \min(1, x_1)e_1, \dots, \min(1, x_{n-1})e_{n-1}, e_n]) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{i \leq n, i \neq j} \min(1, x_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} x_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\tau \dots \int_0^\tau \left(1 - \frac{a}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} x_i\right)^N dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} \\ &\leq \tau^n \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{a\tau^{k-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i \leq k, i \neq j} t_i\right)^{N-k} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \\ &\quad \times \tau^n \left(\frac{a\tau^{k-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)^{-k/(k-1)} (k-1)^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^k N^{-k/(k-1)} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.3 with $l_i = k - 2$, $L = k(k - 2)$, which implies $l_i > L/(k - 1) - 1 = k - 2 - 1/(k - 1)$. As $k \leq n - 1$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}V_n(D_N) = O(N^{-(n-1)/(n-2)}).$$

Acknowledgements The authors want to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and various suggestions for improvement.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Appendix: Some Asymptotic Expansions

Appendix A: A Useful Substitution

Let \mathcal{S}_n is the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We start with the following observation.

Lemma A.1 Let $f : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)^n$ be defined by

$$f_j(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} x_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

(i) The inverse function to f is $g : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)^n$ given by

$$g_i(x) = \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

(ii) f maps the open set $(0, 1)^n$ bijectively onto

$$\left\{ y \in (0, 1)^n \mid \forall i = 1, \dots, n : \prod_{k=1}^n y_k < y_i^{n-1} \right\}. \tag{A.37}$$

(iii) The set

$$\left\{ x \in (0, \beta)^n \mid \forall i = 1, \dots, n : \prod_{k=1}^n x_k < \beta \cdot x_i^{n-1} \right\} \tag{A.38}$$

equals

$$\bigcup_{\pi \in S_n} \{ (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \mid x \in M \}, \tag{A.39}$$

where M is the set of all $x \in (0, \infty)^n$ with $x_n \leq x_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq x_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \cdot x_3 &> x_1 \cdot x_2, \\ \beta \cdot x_4^2 &> x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3, \\ &\vdots \\ \beta \cdot x_n^{n-2} &> x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.40}$$

Proof (i) For all $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$f_j(g(x)) = \prod_{i \neq j} g_i(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^{1/(n-1)} \right) = x_j$$

and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$g_i(f(x)) = g_i \left(\prod_{k \neq 1} x_k, \dots, \prod_{k \neq n} x_k \right) = \left(\prod_{k \neq i} x_k \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{k \neq j} x_k \right)^{1/(n-1)} = x_i.$$

(ii) We show that f maps an element $x \in (0, 1)^n$ to an element of the set defined by (A.37). Indeed, for all $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\prod_{j \neq i} x_j \in (0, 1).$$

Moreover,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n f_j(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{k \neq j} x_k = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^{n-1}.$$

Since for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $x_i \in (0, 1)$ we get for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n f_j(x) < \left(\prod_{j \neq i} x_j \right)^{n-1} = f_i(x)^{n-1}.$$

Thus f maps $(0, \infty)^n$ into the set defined by (A.37). Now we show that g maps an element y of the set defined by (A.37) to an element of $(0, 1)^n$. Since $\prod_{k=1}^n y_k < y_i^{n-1}$,

$$g_i(y) = \frac{1}{y_i} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_k \right)^{1/(n-1)} < 1.$$

(iii) We show that the set defined by (A.39) contains the set defined by (A.38). Let x be an element of the set defined by (A.38). There is a permutation π such that

$$x_{\pi(n)} \leq x_{\pi(n-1)} \leq \dots \leq x_{\pi(1)}$$

and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\prod_{k=1}^n x_{\pi(k)} < \beta x_{\pi(i)}^{n-1}. \tag{A.41}$$

We prove by induction that $(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \in M$. The last inequality of (A.41) follows from (A.38) for $i = n$. Suppose now that we have verified the last k inequalities, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta x_{\pi(n)}^{n-2} &> x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(n-2)} \cdot x_{\pi(n-1)}, \\ \beta x_{\pi(n-1)}^{n-3} &> x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(n-2)}, \\ &\vdots \\ \beta x_{\pi(n-k+1)}^{n-k-1} &> x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(n-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (A.40),

$$\beta x_{\pi(n-k)}^{n-1} > \prod_{j=1}^n x_{\pi(j)}.$$

We substitute for x_n, \dots, x_{n-k+1} using the above inequalities already obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\pi(n-k)} &> \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^n x_{\pi(j)} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \\ &> \left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)^{1+1/(n-2)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{\pi(j)}^{1+1/(n-2)} \right)^{1/(n-1)} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{\pi(j)} \right)^{1/(n-2)} \\ &> \left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)^{1+1/(n-3)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} x_j^{1+1/(n-3)} \right)^{1/(n-2)} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} x_{\pi(j)} \right)^{1/(n-3)} \\ &\vdots \\ &> \left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)^{1+1/(n-k-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} x_{\pi(j)}^{1+1/(n-k-1)} \right)^{1/(n-k)} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} x_{\pi(j)} \right)^{1/(n-k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$x_{\pi(n-k)}^{n-k-2} > \frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k-1} x_{\pi(j)},$$

as long as $n - k - 2 \geq 1$. Thus the last inequality is $x_3 > (1/\beta) \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2$. Now we show that (A.39) is contained in (A.38). It is enough to show that M is a subset of (A.38). Let $x \in M$. By the last inequality of (A.40)

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n < \beta x_n^{n-1}.$$

Since $x_n < x_{n-1} < \dots < x_1$ we get for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n < \beta x_n^{n-1} < \beta x_i^{n-1}. \quad \square$$

Recall the definition (2.6):

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} t_j \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-2-l_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Lemma A.2 *Let $\alpha > 0$, and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $L = \sum_1^n l_i$, with $l_i < n - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)}\right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \times \underbrace{\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \dots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)}}_{\forall i: \prod_1^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq (\alpha(N-n))^{1/(n-1)} s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1.$$

Proof By the assumption $l_i < n - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ the integral is finite. We use the transformation of Lemma A.1: For $i, j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$v_j = \prod_{i \neq j} t_i \quad \text{and} \quad t_i = \frac{1}{v_i} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k\right)^{1/(n-1)}. \tag{A.42}$$

The partial derivatives of t with respect to v are for $i \neq j$

$$\frac{dt_i}{dv_j} = \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_j v_i} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k\right)^{1/(n-1)}$$

and for $i = j$

$$\frac{dt_i}{dv_i} = \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - 1\right) \frac{1}{v_i^2} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k\right)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

This allows computation of the Jacobian

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \det \left(\frac{\partial t_i}{\partial v_j}\right)_{i,j=1}^n \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k\right)^{n/(n-1)} \begin{vmatrix} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \frac{1}{v_1^2} & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_1 v_3} & \dots & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_1 v_n} \\ \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} & \left(-1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \frac{1}{v_2^2} & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_2 v_3} & \dots & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_2 v_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_1 v_n} & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_2 v_n} & \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{v_3 v_n} & \dots & \left(-1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \frac{1}{v_n^2} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (n-1)^{-n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k\right)^{n/(n-1)-2} \begin{vmatrix} 2-n & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2-n & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 2-n \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining determinant can be calculated explicitly by use of the formula

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 + x_1 & 1 & 1 \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + x_2 & 1 \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \cdots & 1 + x_n \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i} x_j \right) \tag{A.43}$$

which yields, with $x_i = 1 - n$,

$$J = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n v_k \right)^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i \right)^{-(n-2)}. \tag{A.44}$$

Applying the transformation theorem gives

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{\forall i: \prod_1^n v_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq v_i} \left(1 - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} dv_n \dots dv_1.$$

In the last step we substitute $v_i = s_i / (\alpha(N - n))$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \underbrace{\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \cdots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)}}_{\forall i: \prod_1^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq (\alpha(N-n))^{1/(n-1)} s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \right)^{N-n} \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1. \end{aligned} \tag{A.45}$$

□

Lemma A.3 *Let $\alpha > 0$, and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $L = \sum_1^n l_i$, with $l_i < n - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \underbrace{\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{n-1}}}_{\forall i \geq 3: (s_1 \dots s_{i-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(i-2)} \leq s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_{\pi(i)} - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof By the assumption $l_i < n - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ the integrals are finite. The result follows from Lemmas A.1 and A.2. \square

Appendix B: Proof of Lemma 2.3

Our goal is to prove Lemma 2.3, which is the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \alpha \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} t_j\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-2-l_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= \alpha^{-n+L/(n-1)} (n-1)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (1 + O(N^{-(\min_k l_k - L/(n-1) + 1)/(n-2)})) \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $n \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha < 1/n$, and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $L = \sum_1^n l_i$, with $n - 1 > l_i > L/(n - 1) - 1$.

Proof By Lemma A.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)}\right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \\ & \quad \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \dots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\left((\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq s_i\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Because $e^t(1-t) \geq (1+t)(1-t) = (1-t^2)$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $(1-t^2)^m \geq 1 - mt^2$, we have

$$0 \leq e^{-x} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{N-n}\right)^{N-n} \leq e^{-x} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{(N-n)^2}\right)^{N-n}\right)$$

$$\leq e^{-x} \frac{x^2}{N - n} \tag{B.45}$$

for $|x| \leq N - n$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N - n)} \right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n - 1} \\ &\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \dots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1} \left((\alpha(N - n))^{-1/(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq s_i \right)^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \\ &\times \left(1 + O \left(N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 \right) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the terms containing $O(N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2)$ yields incomplete Gamma functions times a term $O(N^{-n+L/(n-1)-1})$. The main term gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \dots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1} \left((\alpha(N - n))^{-1/(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq s_i \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma \left(l_i - \frac{L}{n - 1} + 1 \right) - \int_{D_N} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1, \end{aligned}$$

where D_N is the set where at least one of the terms

$$\mathbb{1} \left((\alpha(N - n))^{-1/(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq s_i \leq \alpha(N - n) \right)$$

equals zero. Thus D_N is covered by the unions of the sets

$$D_{N,k} = \{s_k : s_k \geq \alpha(N - n)\}, \quad D'_{N,k} = \left\{ s_k : s_k^{n-2} \leq (\alpha(N - n))^{-1} \prod_{j \neq k} s_j \right\}.$$

Integration on the set $D_{N,k}$ gives

$$\int_{D_{N,k}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \prod_{i \neq k} \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) \int_{\alpha(N-n)}^{\infty} e^{-s_k} s_k^{l_k - L/(n-1)} ds_k \\ &= O\left(e^{-\alpha N} N^{l_i - L/(n-1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the contribution of the sets $D'_{N,k}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D'_{N,k}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &\leq (\alpha(N-n))^{-(l_k - L/(n-1) + 1)/(n-2)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\substack{s_i \geq 0 \\ s_k \leq \prod_{j \neq k} s_j^{1/(n-2)}}} \dots \int e^{-\sum_{j \neq k} s_j} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &= O\left(N^{-(l_k - L/(n-1) + 1)/(n-2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the error term of the integration over D_N is of order

$$O\left(N^{-(\min_k l_k - L/(n-1) + 1)/(n-2)}\right)$$

for $l_i - L/(n-1) + 1 > 0$. □

Appendix C: Proof of Lemma 2.4

Our next goal is to prove Lemma 2.4 which deals with the case when some of the l_i are extremal in the sense that $l_i = L/(n-1) - 1$. If for at least three different indices i, j, k we have the strict inequality that $l_i, l_j, l_k > L/(n-1) - 1$, then we want to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(1 - \alpha \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} t_j\right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{n-2-l_i} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= O\left(N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If for exactly two different indices i, j we have the strict inequality that $l_i, l_j > L/(n-1) - 1$ and equality $l_k = L/(n-1) - 1$ for all other l_k , then we will show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= c_n \alpha^{-n+L/(n-1)} \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma\left(l_j - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

with some $c_n > 0$. First we show that $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{I})$ is at least of order $N^{-n+L/(n-1)}(\ln N)^{n-2}$, and thus the strict inequality $c_n > 0$.

Lemma C.1 *There is a constant $c_{n,\alpha} > 0$ such that for all $n - 1 > l_i \geq L/(n - 1) - 1$ we have*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{I}) \geq c_{n,\alpha} N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{\#\{i \mid l_i = L/(n-1) - 1\}}$$

for N sufficiently large.

Proof We use Lemma A.2. Since the integrand is positive, for N sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{I}) &= c_{n,\alpha} (N - n)^{-n+L/(n-1)} \underbrace{\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \dots \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)}}_{\forall i : \prod_1^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq (\alpha(N-n))^{1/(n-1)} s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N - n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \right)^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &\geq c_{n,\alpha} (N - n)^{-n+L/(n-1)} \underbrace{\int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1}_{\forall i : \prod_1^n s_j^{1/(n-1)} \leq (\alpha(N-n))^{1/(n-1)} s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N - n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \right)^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &\geq c_{n,\alpha} (N - n)^{-n+L/(n-1)} \underbrace{\int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1}_{\forall i : 1 \leq (\alpha(N-n))^{1/(n-1)} s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N - n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \right)^{N-n} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &\geq c_{n,\alpha} (N - n)^{-n+L/(n-1)} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N - n} \right)^{N-n} \int_{(\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)}}^1 \dots \int_{(\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)}}^1 \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &= c_{n,\alpha} (N - n)^{-n+L/(n-1)} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N - n} \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{(\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)}}^1 s_i^{l_i - L/(n-1)} ds_i. \end{aligned}$$

For those i with $l_i - L/(n - 1) = -1$,

$$\int_{(\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)}}^1 s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_i = \frac{\ln \alpha(N - n)}{n - 1} \geq \frac{\ln N}{2(n - 1)},$$

and for those i with $l_i - L/(n - 1) > -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\alpha(N-n))^{-1/(n-1)}}^1 s_i^{l_i-L/(n-1)} ds_i &= \frac{1 - \alpha(N - n)^{-(l_i-L/(n-1)+1)/(n-1)}}{l_i - L/(n - 1) + 1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(l_i - L/(n - 1) + 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

both for N sufficiently large. □

To show that this yields in fact the correct order we introduce in the light of Lemma A.3 integrals of the type

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \dots \int_0^{s_{n-1}}}_{\forall i \geq 3 : (s_1 \dots s_{i-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(i-2)} \leq s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{q_i} ds_n \dots ds_1.$$

Lemma C.2 *Assume $\alpha \leq 1/(2n)$, and that $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $q_i \geq -1$, and there are $i \neq j$ with $q_i, q_j > -1$. Then there is a constant $c_{q,n} \geq 0$ independent of α such that*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}) = c_{q,n} (\ln N)^{n-2} + O((\ln N)^{n-3})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, if $q_1, q_2 > -1$ and $q_3 = \dots = q_n = -1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(q_1, q_2, -1, \dots) + \mathcal{S}(q_2, q_1, -1, \dots) & \tag{C.46} \\ = c_n \Gamma(q_1 + 1) \Gamma(q_2 + 1) (\ln N)^{n-2} + O((\ln N)^{n-3}) \end{aligned}$$

with some $c_n \geq 0$. If there exists an $m \geq 3$ with $q_m > -1$, then $c_{q,n} = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}) = O((\ln N)^{n-3}). \tag{C.47}$$

In other words, the only asymptotically contributing terms are those with $q_1, q_2 > -1$ and $q_3 = \dots = q_n = -1$. We will prove Lemma C.2 below and before this show that it implies Lemma 2.4.

Proof of Lemma 2.4 For $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $L = \sum_1^n l_i$, with $l_i < n - 1$, Lemma A.3 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)}\right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \\ &\times \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \underbrace{\int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \dots \int_0^{s_{n-1}}}_{\forall i \geq 3: (s_1 \dots s_{i-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(i-2)} \leq s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{l_{\pi(i)} - L/(n-1)} ds_n \dots ds_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)}\right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{l}_\pi - \frac{L}{n-1} \mathbf{1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $l_i \geq L/(n-1) - 1$ for all i , and there exists some tuple $i \neq j$ with $l_i, l_j > L/(n-1) - 1$. If $l_{\pi(1)}, l_{\pi(2)} > L/(n-1) - 1$ and $l_{\pi(i)} = L/(n-1) - 1$ for all $i \geq 3$, we have that

$$\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{l}_\pi - \frac{L}{n-1} \mathbf{1}\right) = c_{l_{\pi(1)}, l_{\pi(2)}, n} (\ln N)^{n-2} + O((\ln N)^{n-3})$$

where the constant is non-negative. If $l_{\pi(i)} > L/(n-1) - 1$ for some $i \geq 3$, then

$$\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{l}_\pi - \frac{L}{n-1} \mathbf{1}\right) = O((\ln N)^{n-3}).$$

Hence, depending on $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, there are two cases.

- We have $l_k = L/(n-1) - 1$ for all except two indices $i \neq j$: Then there are $(n-2)!$ permutations which bring l_i, l_j into the first two places with order (l_i, l_j) , resp. (l_j, l_i) and allow for an application of (C.46). All other permutations add terms of order $O((\ln N)^{n-3})$. Summing over these possibilities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(N-n)}\right)^{n-L/(n-1)} \frac{(n-2)!}{n-1} c_n \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) \\ &\times \Gamma\left(l_j - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c_n \alpha^{-n+L/(n-1)} \Gamma\left(l_i - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(l_j - \frac{L}{n-1} + 1\right) \\
 &\quad \times N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-2} (1 + O((\ln N)^{-1})).
 \end{aligned}$$

- There exist at least three different $l_i, l_j, l_k > L/(n-1) - 1$. This yields

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{l}) = O(N^{-n+L/(n-1)} (\ln N)^{n-3}).$$

The implicit constants in $O(\cdot)$ may depend on α . These estimates imply Lemma 2.4. □

Proof of Lemma C.2 The proof of the lemma is divided into four parts. Lemmata C.3 and C.5 give the crucial estimates. Equation (C.46) when $q_3 = \dots = q_n = -1$ follows from Lemma C.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{S}(q_1, q_2, -1, \dots, -1) \\
 &= c_n \ln(N-n)^{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N-n}\right)^{N-n} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &\quad + O((\ln N)^{n-3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We replace $(1 - (s_1 + s_2)/(N - n))^{N-n}$ by the exponential function using (B.45):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N-n}\right)^{N-n} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &= \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} e^{-(s_1+s_2)} (1 + O(N^{-1}(s_1 + s_2)^2)) s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}(s_2 \leq s_1) e^{-(s_1+s_2)} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &\quad - \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} e^{-(s_1+s_2)} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 + O(N^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the integral in the last line is of order $O(e^{-N} N^{q_1})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{S}(q_1, q_2, -1, \dots, -1) + \mathcal{S}(q_2, q_1, -1, \dots, -1) \\
 &= c_n \Gamma(q_1 + 1) \Gamma(q_2 + 1) (\ln N)^{n-2} + O((\ln N)^{n-3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(C.47) is proved in Lemma C.6. □

Lemma C.3 Assume $s_n \leq \dots \leq s_1 \leq \alpha(N - n)$, $3 \leq m \leq n$, and $s_{m-1} \leq 1$. Then for $\alpha \leq 1/(2n)$ and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_1^{m-1} s_i \right)^{N-n} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{m-2} \ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{m-1}}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{k+1} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - (-\ln s_{m-1})^{k+1} - 2\Gamma(k+2) \right) \\ & \leq \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_1^m s_i \right)^{N-n} s_m^{-1} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_1^{m-1} s_i \right)^{N-n} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{m-2} \ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{m-1}}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{k+1} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - (-\ln s_{m-1})^{k+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof We use the notation $S := (\sum_1^{m-1} s_i)/(N - n)$. By assumption $\alpha \leq 1/(2n)$. This implies

$$S = \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_1^{m-1} s_i \leq \frac{ns_1}{N-n} \leq n\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

And for $S \leq 1/2$ and $x \geq 0$ we have

$$(1 - S)(1 - 2x) \leq (1 - (S + x)) \leq 1 - S. \tag{C.48}$$

The essential observation is that for $a, b \in (0, 1)$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$\int_a^b (-\ln s)^k ds = \int_{-\ln b}^{-\ln a} t^k e^{-t} dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^k e^{-t} dt = \Gamma(k+1) \tag{C.49}$$

and

$$\int_a^b s^{-1} (-\ln s)^k ds = -\frac{(-\ln s)^{k+1}}{k+1} \Big|_a^b = \frac{(-\ln b)^{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{(-\ln a)^{k+1}}{k+1}. \tag{C.50}$$

Because of (C.48) and (C.50) we obtain

$$\int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - S - \frac{s_m}{N-n} \right)^{N-n} s_m^{-1} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - S)^{N-n} \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} s_m^{-1} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ &= \frac{(1 - S)^{N-n}}{k + 1} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{m-2} \ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{m-1}}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{k+1} - (-\ln s_{m-1})^{k+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Again by (C.48) and (C.50), by the elementary inequality $(1 - y)^k \geq (1 - ky)$ for $y \leq 1$ and by (C.49)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - S - \frac{s_m}{N-n} \right)^{N-n} s_m^{-1} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ &\geq (1 - S)^{N-n} \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} (1 - 2s_m) s_m^{-1} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ &= \frac{(1 - S)^{N-n}}{k + 1} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{m-2} \ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{m-1}}{\alpha(N-n)} \right)^{k+1} - (-\ln s_{m-1})^{k+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(k + 1)\Gamma(k + 1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

With the help of this lemma we determine the asymptotic behavior of the dominant terms.

Lemma C.4 *There is a constant c_n , such that for $q_1, q_2 > -1$ and $\alpha \leq 1/(2n)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}(q_1, q_2, -1, \dots, -1) \\ &= c_n (\ln(N - n))^{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N-n} \right)^{N-n} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \\ &\quad + O((\ln N)^{n-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof We denote the range of integration of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q})$ by I and dissect this along the sets

$$I_k := \{0 \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq 1 \leq s_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq s_3\},$$

for $k = 3, \dots, n$, and

$$I_{n+1} := \{1 \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_3\}.$$

The dominant term is the one with $I \cap I_3 = I \cap \{0 \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_3 \leq 1\}$ as range of integration. Hence in the first part of the proof we assume $s_i \leq 1$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$. For $m = 2, \dots, n - 1$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{n-m}(s_1, \dots, s_m) \\ &= \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_m / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-1)}}^{s_m} \cdots \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(n-2)}}^{s_{n-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=m+1}^n s_i^{-1} ds_n \cdots ds_{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

and claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{n-m}(s_1, \dots, s_m) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^m s_i\right)^{N-n} [P_{n-m}(\ln(N-n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_m) \\ & \quad + E_{n-m}(\ln(N-n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_m)] \end{aligned} \tag{C.51}$$

where P_{n-m} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n - m$ independent of α , and the error term E_{n-m} is a function whose absolute value is bounded by a polynomial Q_{n-m-1} of degree at most $n - m - 1$ whose coefficients may depend on α . To shorten the following formulae we suppress the arguments of P_{n-m} , E_{n-m} , and Q_{n-m-1} from now on.

We use induction in m , starting with $m = n - 1$ and going down to $m = 2$. For $m = n - 1$ and $P_0 = 1$ in the first step we obtain $\mathcal{S}_1 = (1 - (N - n)^{-1}(\sum s_i))(P_1 + E_1)$ by Lemma C.3 (where $k = 0$) with

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{n-2} \ln \frac{1}{\alpha} - 2 &= -Q_0 \leq E_1 \leq -\frac{1}{n-2} \ln \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \\ P_1 &= -\frac{1}{(n-2)} \ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}}{N-n} + \ln s_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that (C.51) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{n-m+1}(s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}) \\ &= \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^m s_i\right)^{N-n} (P_{n-m} + E_{n-m})s_m^{-1} ds_m \end{aligned}$$

with

$$P_{n-m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (-\ln s_m)^k p_{n-m-k} \tag{C.52}$$

where the coefficients p_{n-m-k} are polynomials in $\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_{m-1}$ of degree $n - m - k$ independent of α . And the absolute value of E_{n-m} is bounded by a polynomial Q_{n-m-1} of degree $n - m - 1$.

In Lemma C.3 both bounds are—up to the term $(1 - S)^{N-n}$ —polynomials of degree $k + 1$ where the sum of the monomials of top degree $k + 1$ is denoted by H_{k+1} and is independent of α . We have

$$H_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k + 1} \left(\left(\frac{-1}{m - 2} \right)^{k+1} \left(\ln \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{m-1}}{N - n} \right)^{k+1} - (-\ln s_{m-1})^{k+1} \right).$$

Thus by Lemma C.3 the integration of P_{n-m} yields homogeneous polynomials H_{k+1} of degree $k + 1$, and hence a homogeneous polynomial P_{n-m+1} of degree $n - m + 1$:

$$P_{n-m+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} H_{k+1} p_{n-m-k},$$

independent of α . The other terms of lower degree and the error term in Lemma C.3 produce error terms which can be bounded by a polynomial of degree k . Multiplied by the polynomials p_{n-m-k} from the representation (C.52) this yields an error term E'_{n-m+1} bounded by a polynomial Q'_{n-m} in $\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_{m-1}$ of order $n - m$,

$$|E'_{n-m+1}| \leq Q'_{n-m}.$$

For the absolute value of the integration over E_{n-m} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E''_{n-m+1}| &= \left| \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / \alpha(N-n))^{1/(m-3)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \right)^{N-n} E_{n-m} s_m^{-1} ds_m \right| \\ &\leq \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / \alpha(N-n))^{1/(m-3)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \right)^{N-n} |E_{n-m}| s_m^{-1} ds_m \\ &\leq \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{m-1} / \alpha(N-n))^{1/(m-3)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \right)^{N-n} Q_{n-m-1} s_m^{-1} ds_m \leq Q''_{n-m}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third line we used Lemma C.3 again which leads to a polynomial Q''_{n-m} of degree $n - m$. Hence $E_{n-m+1} := E'_{n-m+1} + E''_{n-m+1}$ is bounded by $Q'_{n-m} + Q''_{n-m}$, a polynomial of degree $n - m$. This proves (C.51). On $I \cap I_3$ we take $\min(s_2, 1)$ as the upper limit of integration with respect to s_3 . Thus we obtain on $I \cap I_3$ that

$$\mathcal{S}_{n-2}(s_1, s_2) = \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N - n}\right)^{N-n} (P_{n-2}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1))) + E_{n-2}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1)))).$$

It remains to consider the last two integrations with $q_1, q_2 > -1$. The dominating term in Lemma C.4 is the term of P_{n-2} with $(\ln(N - n))^{n-2}$,

$$(\ln(N - n))^{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N - n}\right)^{N-n} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1. \tag{C.53}$$

For the terms $(\ln(N - n))^k (\ln s_1)^{j_1} (\ln(\min(s_2, 1)))^{j_2}$ with $k = n - 2 - j_1 - j_2 < n - 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N - n}\right)^{N-n} |(\ln(N - n))^k (\ln s_1)^{j_1} (\ln(\min(s_2, 1)))^{j_2} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2}| ds_2 ds_1 \\ & \leq (\ln(N - n))^k \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-s_1 - s_2} |\ln s_1|^{j_1} |\ln s_2|^{j_2} s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 = O((\ln(N - n))^k) \end{aligned} \tag{C.54}$$

with $k \leq n - 3$, since integrals of the form $\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^k |\ln t|^j dt$ are convergent. For the integral over the error term we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N - n}\right)^{N-n} E_{n-2}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln s_2) s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N - n}\right)^{N-n} \times \\ & Q_{n-3}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1))) s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2} ds_2 ds_1 = O((\ln(N - n))^{n-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates yields Lemma C.4 for $s_3 \leq 1$, i.e., on $I \cap I_3$.

It remains to show that the integration over $I_4 \cup \dots \cup I_{n+1}$ is of order $O((\ln N)^{n-3})$. Consider the range of integration $I \cap I_k, k \geq 4$, with

$$I_k := \{0 \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq 1 \leq s_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq s_3\}.$$

Then the integrations up to s_k just yield (C.51) and in the remaining integrations we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{I \cap I_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i \right)^{N-n} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{n-k+1}(s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) ds_{k-1} \dots ds_1 \right| \\ & \leq \int_1^\infty \dots \int_1^\infty \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i \right\} |\mathcal{S}_{n-k+1}(s_1, \dots, s_{k-1})| ds_{k-1} \dots ds_1 \quad (C.55) \\ & = O((\ln(N-n))^{n-k+1}) = O((\ln(N-n))^{n-3}), \end{aligned}$$

since \mathcal{S}_{n-k+1} is bounded by polynomials in $\ln(N-n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_{k-1}$ of order $n-k+1$, and all occurring integrals

$$\int_1^\infty \dots \int_1^\infty \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i \right\} (\ln s_1)^{j_1} \dots (\ln s_{k-1})^{j_{k-1}} ds_{k-1} \dots ds_1$$

are finite. This finishes the proof of Lemma C.4. □

For the second part of Lemma C.2, i.e., for (C.47), we investigate the terms with $q_m > -1$ for some $m \in \{3, \dots, n\}$. We start by restating the following simple analogue of Lemma C.3. We recall that $S = (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} s_i)/(N-n)$.

Lemma C.5 *For $q_m > -1, k \geq 0, s_{m-1} \leq 1$, and $s_{m-1} \leq s_2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(s_1 \dots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - S - \frac{s_m}{N-n} \right)^{N-n} s_m^{q_m} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ & \leq c_{k,q_m} (1-S)^{N-n} s_2^{q_m+1} (-\ln s_{m-1})^k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We use that the antiderivative of $e^{-t}t^k$ is given by $e^{-t}P_k(t)$ where P_k is a polynomial of degree k :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(s_1 \dots s_{m-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-2)}}^{s_{m-1}} \left(1 - S - \frac{s_m}{N-n} \right)^{N-n} s_m^{q_m} (-\ln s_m)^k ds_m \\ & \leq (1-S)^{N-n} \int_{-\ln s_{m-1}}^\infty e^{-t(q_m+1)} t^k dt = (q_m+1)^{-(k+1)} (1-S)^{N-n} \int_{-(q_m+1)\ln s_{m-1}}^\infty e^{-t} t^k dt \\ & = (q_m+1)^{-(k+1)} (1-S)^{N-n} e^{-t} P_k(t) \Big|_{-(q_m+1)\ln s_{m-1}}^\infty \\ & \leq c_{k,q_m} (1-S)^{N-n} s_{m-1}^{q_m+1} (-\ln s_{m-1})^k \leq c_{k,q_m} (1-S)^{N-n} s_2^{q_m+1} (-\ln s_{m-1})^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma C.6 Assume that $q_n, \dots, q_{m+1} = -1$, $q_m > -1$ for some $m \geq 3$, and $q_{m-1}, \dots, q_1 \geq -1$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}(q_1, \dots, q_n) = O((\ln(N - n))^{n-3}).$$

Proof We proceed precisely as in the previous proof of Lemma C.4. We denote the range of integration by I and dissect this set by

$$I_k := \{0 \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq 1 \leq s_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq s_3\},$$

for $k = 3, \dots, n + 1$. First we deal with the term with $I \cap I_3 = I \cap \{\dots \leq s_3 \leq 1\}$ as range of integration, hence we assume $s_i \leq 1$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{n-m}(s_1, \dots, s_m) \\ &= \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_m / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(m-1)}}^{s_m} \dots \int_{(s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} / (\alpha(N-n)))^{1/(n-2)}}^{s_{n-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\right)^{N-n} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=m+1}^n s_i^{-1} ds_n \dots ds_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We know from the proof of Lemma C.3 that

$$|\mathcal{S}_{n-m}(s_1, \dots, s_m)| \leq P_{n-m}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_m).$$

Because $q_m > -1$, the next integration by Lemma C.5 yields as a bound a polynomial of again degree $n - m$ in $\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \dots, \ln s_{m-1}$ times $s_2^{q_m+1}$.

Proceeding in this way, each integration with respect to s_i with $q_i = -1$ increases the degree of the polynomial bound by one, and each integration with respect to s_m with $q_m > -1$ leads to a polynomial bound again of the same degree and multiplies this new polynomial bound by $s_2^{q_m+1}$. Thus we obtain on $I \cap I_3$ that

$$\mathcal{S}_{n-2}(s_1, s_2) = P_{q_-}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1))) s_2^{q_+}$$

where we put $q_- = \sum_{l=3}^n \mathbb{1}(q_l = -1)$ and $q_+ = \sum_{l=3}^n (q_l + 1) \mathbb{1}(q_l > -1)$. This now yields

$$\int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} \left(1 - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{N-n}\right)^{N-n} P_{q_-}(\ln(N - n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1))) s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2+q_+} ds_2 ds_1$$

as a bound for $\mathcal{S}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$. By our assumption $q_- \leq n - 3$, $q_+ > 0$, thus

$$q_2 + q_+ > -1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 + q_2 + q_+ + 1 > -1.$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\alpha(N-n)} \int_0^{s_1} e^{-(s_1+s_2)} P_{q_-}(\ln(N-n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_2, 1))) s_1^{q_1} s_2^{q_2+q_+} ds_2 ds_1 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{q_2 + q_+ + 1} \int_0^\infty e^{-s_1} P_{q_-}(\ln(N-n), \ln s_1, \ln(\min(s_1, 1))) s_1^{q_1+q_2+q_++1} ds_1 \\ & = O((\ln(N-n))^{q_-}) = O((\ln(N-n))^{n-3}) \end{aligned}$$

on $I \cap I_3$. On $I \cap I_k$ with $k \geq 4$, the term $\mathcal{S}(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ is by monotonicity (observe that $s_k, \dots, s_n \leq 1$) bounded by $\mathcal{S}(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}, -1, \dots, -1)$ which in turn is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I \cap I_k} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i \right\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i^{q_i} \right) |P_{n-k-1} + E_{n-k-1}| ds_{k-1} \dots ds_1 \\ & \leq O((\ln(N-n))^{n-3}) \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Lemma C.4, (C.55). Hence the integration over $I_4 \cup I_5 \cup \dots$ leads to a term of order $O((\ln(N-n))^{n-3})$. This proves our lemma. \square

References

1. Affentranger, F., Wieacker, J.A.: On the convex hull of uniform random points in a simple d -polytope. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **6**(4), 291–305 (1991)
2. Bárány, I.: Random polytopes in smooth convex bodies. *Mathematika* **39**(1), 81–92 (1992)
3. Bárány, I.: Random polytopes in smooth convex bodies: corrigendum. *Mathematika* **51**(1–2), 31 (2004)
4. Bárány, I., Buchta, Ch.: Random polytopes in a convex polytope, independence of shape, and concentration of vertices. *Math. Ann.* **297**(3), 467–497 (1993)
5. Bárány, I., Larman, D.G.: Convex bodies, economic cap coverings, random polytopes. *Mathematika* **35**(2), 274–291 (1988)
6. Bárány, I., Pór, A.: On 0-1 polytopes with many facets. *Adv. Math.* **161**(2), 209–228 (2001)
7. Blaschke, W.: Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie und geometrische Grundlagen von Einsteins Relativitätstheorie. II. Affine Differentialgeometrie. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. 7. Springer, Berlin (1923)
8. Böröczky, K.J., Jr., Hoffmann, L.M., Hug, D.: Expectation of intrinsic volumes of random polytopes. *Period. Math. Hungar.* **57**(2), 143–164 (2008)
9. Dalla, L., Larman, D.G.: Volumes of a random polytope in a convex set. In: *Applied Geometry and Discrete Mathematics. DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 4, pp. 175–180. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1991)
10. Dyer, M.E., Füredi, Z., McDiarmid, C.: Volumes spanned by random points in the hypercube. *Random Struct. Algorith.* **3**(1), 91–106 (1992)
11. Efron, B.: The convex hull of a random set of points. *Biometrika* **52**, 331–343 (1965)
12. Federer, H.: *Geometric Measure Theory. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. 153. Springer, New York (1969)
13. Gatzouras, D., Giannopoulos, A., Markoulakis, N.: Lower bound for the maximal number of facets of a $0/1$ polytope. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **34**(2), 331–349 (2005)

14. Gatzouras, D., Giannopoulos, A., Markoulakis, N.: On the maximal number of facets of 0/1 polytopes. In: *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (Israel 2004–2005)*. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1910, pp. 117–125. Springer, Berlin (2007)
15. Giannopoulos, A.A.: On the mean value of the area of a random polygon in a plane convex body. *Mathematika* **39**(2), 279–290 (1992)
16. Groemer, H.: On some mean values associated with a randomly selected simplex in a convex set. *Pacific J. Math.* **45**, 525–533 (1973)
17. Groemer, H.: On the mean value of the volume of a random polytope in a convex set. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **25**, 86–90 (1974)
18. Hug, D.: Random polytopes. In: *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields (Hirschegg 2009)*. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2068, pp. 205–238. Springer, Heidelberg (2013)
19. Hug, D., Reitzner, M.: Introduction to stochastic geometry. In: *Stochastic Analysis for Poisson Point Processes*. Bocconi Springer Math., vol. 7, pp. 145–184. Springer, Cham (2016)
20. Mendelson, S., Pajor, A., Rudelson, M.: The geometry of random $\{-1, 1\}$ -polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **34**(3), 365–379 (2005)
21. Newman, A.: Doubly random polytopes. *Random Struct. Algorith.* **61**(2), 364–382 (2022)
22. Reitzner, M.: Random points on the boundary of smooth convex bodies. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **354**(6), 2243–2278 (2002)
23. Reitzner, M.: Stochastic approximation of smooth convex bodies. *Mathematika* **51**(1–2), 11–29 (2004)
24. Reitzner, M.: The combinatorial structure of random polytopes. *Adv. Math.* **191**(1), 178–208 (2005)
25. Reitzner, M.: Random polytopes. In: *New Perspectives in Stochastic Geometry*, pp. 45–76. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010)
26. Rényi, A., Sulanke, R.: Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **2**, 75–84 (1963)
27. Rényi, A., Sulanke, R.: Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. II. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **3**, 138–147 (1964)
28. Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: The Brunn–Minkowski Theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
29. Schneider, R., Weil, W.: *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Berlin (2008)
30. Schneider, R., Wieacker, J.A.: Random polytopes in a convex body. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete* **52**(1), 69–73 (1980)
31. Schütt, C.: The convex floating body and polyhedral approximation. *Israel J. Math.* **73**(1), 65–77 (1991)
32. Schütt, C.: Random polytopes and affine surface area. *Math. Nachr.* **170**, 227–249 (1994)
33. Schütt, C., Werner, E.: Polytopes with vertices chosen randomly from the boundary of a convex body. In: *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (Israel 2001–2002)*. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1807, pp. 241–422. Springer, Berlin (2003)
34. Wieacker, J.A.: *Einige Probleme der polyedrischen Approximation*. Diploma work, Freiburg im Breisgau (1978)
35. Zähle, M.: A kinematic formula and moment measures of random sets. *Math. Nachr.* **149**, 325–340 (1990)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.