The Typical Cell of a Voronoi Tessellation on the Sphere

Zakhar Kabluchko¹ · Christoph Thäle²

Received: 17 November 2019 / Revised: 4 February 2021 / Accepted: 28 May 2021 /

Published online: 4 July 2021

© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

The typical cell of a Voronoi tessellation generated by $n + 1$ uniformly distributed random points on the d -dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ is studied. Its f -vector is identified in distribution with the f -vector of a beta' polytope generated by n random points in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Explicit formulas for the expected f -vector are provided for any d and the low-dimensional cases $d \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ are studied separately. This implies an explicit formula for the total number of k -dimensional faces in the spherical Voronoi tessellation as well.

Keywords Beta polytope · Beta' polytope · Spherical stochastic geometry · Typical cell · Voronoi tessellation

Mathematics Subject Classification 60D05 · 52A22 · 52B05

1 Introduction

Let E be a metric space and $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ a finite (or, more generally, locally finite) collection of points in E , where I is some index set. The *Voronoi cell* of a point x_i is the set of all points in E whose distance to x_i is not greater than the distance to any other point x_j with $i \neq j$. The *Voronoi tessellation* or Voronoi diagram associated with the set $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ is then just the collection of all such Voronoi cells. The study of Voronoi tessellations has attracted a lot of attention in computational as well as

---

Editor in Charge: Kenneth Clarkson

Zakhar Kabluchko
zakhar.kabluchko@uni-muenster.de

Christoph Thäle
christoph.thaele@rub.de

¹ Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Orléans-Ring 10, 48149 Münster, Germany

² Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany

in stochastic geometry. To a great extent this is because of their various applications ranging from the modeling of biological tissues or polycrystalline microstructures in metallic alloys to classification problems in machine learning. We refer the reader to the monographs [4,28–30] for details and many more references.

In this note we consider Voronoi tessellations of the unit sphere that are generated by a (finite) collection of uniformly distributed, independent random points. Unlike their Euclidean counterparts, for which there exists an extensive literature (see [29, 30,34,35] and the references cited there), the mathematical properties of spherical Voronoi tessellations are poorly understood. Just a few results for Voronoi tessellation on the 2-dimensional unit sphere are available in the classical reference [27]. On the other hand, Voronoi tessellations induced by points on a general manifold become increasingly important in computational geometry, see [4,11]. Our goal is to partially fill the resulting gap by considering the combinatorial structure of what is called the typical cell of a Voronoi tessellation on the d -dimensional unit sphere for general $d \geq 2$. More precisely, we shall study the f -vector of the typical spherical Voronoi cell. We do this by establishing and exploiting a new connection of such typical Voronoi cells with the classes of random beta and beta' polytopes. These have recently been under intensive investigation [6,7,10,14,20–25]. In fact, as it will turn out, the f -vector of the typical spherical Voronoi cell can be identified in distribution with the f -vector of (the dual of) a particular random beta' polytope. Also, explicit expected values can be determined from this distributional identity and some known results for beta' polytopes. We establish in addition a link between the expected f -vector of typical spherical Voronoi cells and that of a special beta polytope. Of special interest are the low-dimensional cases $d \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ which will be examined separately.

We would like to point out that our paper continues a recent line of research in stochastic geometry which focuses on the study of non-Euclidean geometric random structures. As examples we mention the studies of random convex hulls in spherical convex bodies or on half-spheres [3,5,21,23], the results on random tessellations by great hyperspheres [1,16,17,27], the central and non-central limit theorems for Poisson hyperplanes in hyperbolic spaces [15], the papers [12,18] on splitting tessellations on the sphere, the asymptotic investigation of Voronoi tessellations on general Riemannian manifolds [9], and the general limit theory for stabilizing functionals of point processes in manifolds [32].

2 The Typical Voronoi Cell and Its f -Vector

2.1 The Typical Voronoi Cell

We are now going to introduce our framework. Let $\mathbb{S}^d$ be the d -dimensional unit sphere, which we think of being embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ in such a way that it is centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. A generic point in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is denoted by $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$. The dimension of the sphere, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, is fixed once and for all. The normalized spherical Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{S}^d$ is denoted by σ_d . Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be $n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent random points sampled on $\mathbb{S}^d$ according to σ_d and defined over some underlying probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. The *binomial process* $\xi_n := \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is the point

Fig. 1 Simulations of spherical Voronoi tessellations on $\mathbb{S}^2$ with 50 cells (left) and 200 cells (right)

process on $\mathbb{S}^d$ with atoms at $X_1, \dots, X_n$. We can now construct the *spherical Voronoi tessellation* based on ξ_n as follows. If $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the geodesic distance on $\mathbb{S}^d$, we let $C_{i,n}$ be the *Voronoi cell* of a point $X_i \in \xi_n$, that is,

$$C_{i,n} := \{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(X_i, z) \leq \rho(X_j, z) \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

As in the Euclidean case (see [34, Chap. 10]), one shows that the sets $C_{1,n}, \dots, C_{n,n}$ are in fact spherical polytopes covering $\mathbb{S}^d$ and having disjoint interiors. Here, we recall that a spherical polytope is defined as an intersection of $\mathbb{S}^d$ and a polyhedral convex cone, and that the latter is defined as an intersection of finitely many closed half-spaces whose bounding hyperplanes contain the origin. The collection $\{C_{1,n}, \dots, C_{n,n}\}$ of all Voronoi cells of points of ξ_n is what we call the *spherical Voronoi tessellation* $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$, see Fig. 1 for two sample realizations.

In this note we are interested in the *typical cell* of such a spherical Voronoi tessellation. Roughly speaking, the typical cell arises by picking one of the cells $C_{i,n}$ uniformly at random and rotating it so that its “center” X_i becomes the north pole $e := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of $\mathbb{S}^d$. To make this precise, let $N = N_n$ be a random variable with uniform distribution on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and assume that N is independent of the binomial process ξ_n . Also, for every point $v \in \mathbb{S}^d$ we fix some orthogonal transformation $O_v: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $O_v v = e$ and assume that the matrix elements of O_v are Borel functions of v . Then, the typical cell of the Voronoi tessellation $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$ is a random spherical polytope $\mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{n,d} := O_{X_N} C_{N,n}. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are exchangeable, the tuple (ξ_n, X_N) has the same joint law as (ξ_n, X_1) and we arrive at the following distributional equality:

$$\mathcal{V}_{n,d} \stackrel{d}{=} O_{X_1} C_{1,n}.$$

In the following, it will be more convenient to consider a binomial process with $n+1$ rather than with n points. The next proposition states that the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}$ of the binomial process ξ_{n+1} has the same distribution as the Voronoi cell of the north pole e in the point process $\xi_n \cup \{e\}$. Note that it also proves that the distribution of the typical cell does not depend on the choice of the family of orthogonal transformations $(O_v)_{v \in \mathbb{S}^d}$.

Proposition 2.1 *We have the distributional equality*

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d} \stackrel{d}{=} \{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(e, z) \leq \rho(X_j, z) \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof Conditioning on $X_1 = v$ and integrating over all $v \in \mathbb{S}^d$, we can write the distribution of $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d} \in B] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \mathbb{P}[O_{X_1} C_{1,n+1} \in B \mid X_1 = v] \sigma_d(dv),$$

for every Borel set B in the space of compact subsets of $\mathbb{S}^d$ endowed with the usual Hausdorff distance. Recalling the definition of $C_{1,n+1}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[O_{X_1} C_{1,n+1} \in B \mid X_1 = v] &= \mathbb{P}\left[O_v \left\{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(v, z) \leq \min_{j=2, \dots, n+1} \rho(X_j, z)\right\} \in B\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[\left\{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(v, O_v^{-1}y) \leq \min_{j=2, \dots, n+1} \rho(X_j, O_v^{-1}y)\right\} \in B\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[\left\{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(e, y) \leq \min_{j=2, \dots, n+1} \rho(O_v X_j, y)\right\} \in B\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[\left\{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(e, y) \leq \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \rho(X_j, y)\right\} \in B\right], \end{aligned}$$

where we defined $y := O_v z$ and used that $(O_v X_2, \dots, O_v X_{n+1})$ has the same joint law as $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Since the right-hand side does not depend on $v \in \mathbb{S}^d$, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d} \in B] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left\{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(e, y) \leq \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \rho(X_j, y)\right\} \in B\right],$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

For stationary tessellations in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, where the number of cells is almost surely infinite, one usually defines the typical cell using the concept of Palm

distribution, which is a common device in stochastic geometry [34]. The Palm approach can be applied on the sphere, too. Following [33], the Palm distribution $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_{n+1}}^e$ of the binomial process ξ_{n+1} with respect to a fixed point on the sphere (which we choose to be the north pole e) can formally be defined as follows. For $v \in \mathbb{S}^d$ we let Θ_v denote the set of all orientation-preserving orthogonal transformations $O: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $Ov = e$. Note that Θ_e is a group which can be identified with $\text{SO}(d)$. By ν_e we denote the unique Haar probability measure on Θ_e and define the image measure $\nu_v(A) := \nu_e(\{O O_v^{-1} : O \in A\})$, $A \subset \Theta_v$, on Θ_v , where $O_v \in \Theta_v$ is arbitrary (in fact, the definition is independent of the choice of O_v , see [33]). The *Palm distribution* $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_{n+1}}^e$ with respect to the point e is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\xi_{n+1}}^e(\cdot) := \frac{1}{n+1} \mathbb{E} \sum_{v \in \xi_{n+1}} \int_{\Theta_v} \mathbf{1}(O^{-1}\xi_{n+1} \in \cdot) \nu_v(dO).$$

From [26, Lem. 6.14] it is known that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\xi_{n+1}}^e(\cdot) = \mathbf{P}_{\xi_n}(\xi_n \cup \{e\} \in \cdot),$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_n}$ denotes the distribution of the binomial process ξ_n . This is the analogue for binomial processes of the celebrated Slivnyak–Mecke theorem for Poisson processes [26, Lem. 6.15]. In particular, it shows that the definition of the typical cell given above coincides with the definition based on the Palm approach.

2.2 Total Number of Faces

Our goal is to describe the f -vector of the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n,d}$. More precisely, consider a spherical polytope $P \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ represented as an intersection of $\mathbb{S}^d$ and a polyhedral convex cone C . The k -dimensional faces of P are defined as intersections of $(k+1)$ -dimensional faces of C with $\mathbb{S}^d$, where $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_k(P)$ the set of k -dimensional faces of P and by $f_k(P) := |\mathcal{F}_k(P)|$ their number.¹ Here, $|A|$ stands for the number of elements of a set A . The d -dimensional vector $(f_0(P), f_1(P), \dots, f_{d-1}(P))$ is called the f -vector of P .

Before stating the results on the expected f -vector of the typical Voronoi cell, let us point out its connection to another natural quantity. The total number of k -dimensional faces of the tessellation $\mathbf{m}_{n,d}$ is denoted by

$$f_k(\mathbf{m}_{n,d}) := \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_k(C_{i,n}) \right|, \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}.$$

¹ If P is degenerate (that is, if it contains a pair of diametrically opposite points), the above definitions may lead to results which look unnatural. For example, if C is a half-plane, $d = 1$, and P is a semicircle, then C has one one-dimensional face and hence $f_0(P) = 1$ (rather than 2, which seems more natural). In the following, the reader may assume that $n \geq d + 2$, which implies that the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ is non-degenerate and these difficulties disappear. Another possibility is to consider conical tessellations instead of the spherical ones.

Note that even if some face F belongs to more than one cell $C_{i,n}$, it is counted only once in the above definition.

Proposition 2.2 *For all $n \geq d + 1$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}) = \frac{n}{d - k + 1} \mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d}).$$

Proof We use a double-counting argument. Let $M := \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(C_{i,n})$ be the number of pairs $(C_{i,n}, F)$, where $C_{i,n}$ is a cell of the tessellation $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$, and $F \subset C_{i,n}$ a k -dimensional face of $C_{i,n}$. On the one hand, the above definition (2.1) of the typical cell implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d}) &= \mathbb{E}f_k(O_{X_N}C_{N,n}) = \mathbb{E}f_k(C_{N,n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}f_k(C_{i,n}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(C_{i,n}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}M}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the spherical Voronoi tessellation is normal, that is, every k -dimensional face belongs to $d - k + 1$ cells of dimension d , with probability one (cf. [34, Thm. 10.2.3] for a similar statement in the Euclidean case). It follows that almost surely

$$M = (d - k + 1) f_k(\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}).$$

By taking the expectations and comparing both identities, we arrive at the claim. $\square$

2.3 Reduction to Beta' Polytopes

As anticipated above, our goal will be to identify the expected f -vector of the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}$ generated by $n + 1$ uniformly distributed random points on the d -dimensional unit sphere. We do this first in terms of the f -vector of random beta' polytopes, a notion we are going to explain next. For $\beta > d/2$ we define the probability density $\tilde{f}_{d,\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{d,\beta}(x) := \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} (1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}, \quad \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(\beta - d/2)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We let $\tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta := \text{conv}(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n)$ be the convex hull of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent random points $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$ distributed in $\mathbb{R}^d$ according to the density $\tilde{f}_{d,\beta}$. This random polytope is known as a so-called *beta' polytope*. In our notation we follow [22, 24, 25], where these polytopes were studied. As in the spherical case, we denote by $(f_0(P), f_1(P), \dots, f_{d-1}(P))$ the f -vector of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $f_k(P)$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, is the number of k -dimensional faces of P . Our main result relates the f -vector of $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}$ to that of $\tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta$ with $\beta = d$ and can be formulated as follows. The proof is postponed to Sect. 4.

Theorem 2.3 For each $n \geq d + 1$ we have that

$$(f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \stackrel{d}{=} (f_{d-k-1}(\tilde{P}_{n,d}^d))_{k=0}^{d-1},$$

where $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution of random vectors.

2.4 Reduction to Beta Polytopes

Recall that $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are independent and uniformly distributed random points on $\mathbb{S}^d$. Denote their convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ by $P_{n,d+1}^{-1} := \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. This random polytope is a particular case of a *beta polytope* with parameter $\beta = -1$ studied in [22, 24, 25]. We follow the notation used there. Our next theorem expresses the expected f -vector of $\mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ in terms of that of $P_{n,d+1}^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.4 For each $n \geq d + 1$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E} f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d}) = \frac{d - k + 1}{n} \mathbb{E} f_{d-k}(P_{n,d+1}^{-1}).$$

Remark 2.5 There is a duality between the faces of the spherical Voronoi tessellation $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$ and the faces of the convex hull of $X_1, \dots, X_n$, which was stated already in the work of Edelsbrunner and Nikitenko [13, pp. 3226–3227]. It says that for arbitrary $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ and $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$, the convex hull of $X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}$ is a face of the convex hull of $X_1, \dots, X_n$ if and only if the spherical Voronoi cells $C_{i_0,n}, \dots, C_{i_\ell,n}$ have a non-empty intersection. This intersection is then a common face of these cells of dimension $d - \ell$, with probability 1. In the proof given below, we provide a detailed explanation of this duality based on [34, pp. 472–473].

Proof of Theorem 2.4 First of all, let us provide a general representation for the faces of the spherical Voronoi tessellation $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$. Take some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and consider the cell

$$C_{i,n} = \mathbb{S}^d \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle y, X_i \rangle \geq \langle y, X_j \rangle \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. In order to represent the relative interiors of the faces of this cell, we need to turn some of the inequalities $\langle y, X_i \rangle \geq \langle y, X_j \rangle$ into equalities, while making the remaining inequalities strict; see, e.g., [31, 7.2(e) on p. 135]. Thus, the relative interiors of the faces of $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$ admit a representation of the form

$$S := \{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \langle y, X_{i_0} \rangle = \dots = \langle y, X_{i_\ell} \rangle > \langle y, X_j \rangle \text{ for all } j \notin \{i_0, \dots, i_\ell\}\} \quad (2.5)$$

for some $\ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$. Conversely, any set S of the above form (2.5) is a relative interior of a face of $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$ provided $S \neq \emptyset$. Observe that for $\ell > d$ the vectors $X_{i_1} - X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell} - X_{i_0}$ linearly span $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with probability 1 and hence the only solution of $\langle y, X_{i_0} \rangle = \dots = \langle y, X_{i_\ell} \rangle$ is $y = 0$ (implying that $S = \emptyset$).

Thus, we may assume that $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. Then, the vectors $X_{i_1} - X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell} - X_{i_0}$ are linearly independent almost surely and hence the dimension of the set S defined in (2.5) is $d - \ell$, provided $S \neq \emptyset$.

Let us now provide a description of the faces of the polytope $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. For $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ and $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$ let E be the affine subspace through the points $X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}$. In the following, we exclude an event of probability 0 and assume that E is ℓ -dimensional. Let E_0 be the translate of E passing through the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, that is, E_0 is the linear hull of $X_{i_1} - X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell} - X_{i_0}$. Put

$$F = E_0^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle y, X_{i_0} \rangle = \dots = \langle y, X_{i_\ell} \rangle\}$$

and note that F is a linear subspace of dimension $d + 1 - \ell$. The intersection of F with $\mathbb{S}^d$ is a $(d - \ell)$ -dimensional great subsphere of $\mathbb{S}^d$ consisting of all points $y \in \mathbb{S}^d$ having equal geodesic distances to $X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}$. For $y \in F \cap \mathbb{S}^d$ write $r(y) := \rho(y, X_{i_0}) = \dots = \rho(y, X_{i_\ell})$. Denote by $\text{Cap}(y, r(y)) := \{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(y, z) \leq r(y)\}$ the closed spherical cap centered at y with geodesic radius $r(y)$ and put

$$S := \{y \in F \cap \mathbb{S}^d : \text{Cap}(y, r(y)) \cap \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} = \{X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}\}\}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is just an equivalent form of (2.5).

We now claim that $S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{conv}(X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell})$ is a face of $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Indeed, if $S \neq \emptyset$, then there is $y \in \mathbb{S}^d$ such that $a = \langle y, X_{i_0} \rangle = \dots = \langle y, X_{i_\ell} \rangle$ and $\langle y, X_j \rangle > a$ for all indices $j \notin \{i_0, \dots, i_\ell\}$. Consider the hyperplane $H := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle z, y \rangle = a\}$. Then, H is a supporting hyperplane for $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\text{conv}(X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}) = \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \cap H$ is the corresponding face of $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, thus proving the forward direction of the claim. To prove the backward direction, one assumes that $\text{conv}(X_{i_0}, \dots, X_{i_\ell})$ is a face of $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ corresponding to some supporting hyperplane H which must be of the form $H := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle z, y \rangle = a\}$ for some $y \in \mathbb{S}^d$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $a = \langle y, X_{i_0} \rangle = \dots = \langle y, X_{i_\ell} \rangle$ and (without restriction of generality) $\langle y, X_j \rangle > a$ for all $j \notin \{i_0, \dots, i_\ell\}$. This proves our claim. Although we shall not need it, notice also the following consequence of (2.5) and (2.4): the closure of S coincides with $C_{i_0,n} \cap \dots \cap C_{i_\ell,n}$.

Summarizing, we proved that there is a bijective correspondence between the $(d - \ell)$ -dimensional faces of $\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}$, the ℓ -dimensional faces of $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, and the tuples $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$ for which the set S defined in (2.5) or (2.6) is non-empty. Thus, taking $\ell := d - k$ we conclude that

$$f_{d-k}(P_{n,d+1}^{-1}) = f_k(\mathfrak{m}_{n,d}) \quad \text{almost surely.} \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(\mathbf{m}_{n,d}) = \frac{n}{d-k+1} \mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d}).$$

Putting these results together completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

3 Explicit Formulas and Special Cases

3.1 Explicit Formula for the Expected f -Vector

The expected f -vectors of beta and beta' polytopes have been explicitly determined in the series of works [19–22, 25]. The main results we shall rely on are stated in [22, Thms. 7.1 and 7.3]. Combining these formulas with Theorems 2.3 or 2.4 we arrive at the following explicit expression for the f -vector of the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}$.

Theorem 3.1 *For all $d \geq 2$, $n \geq d+1$, and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_{d-\ell}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \right)^{n-\ell} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \tilde{I}_d(n, m)(md-1) \tilde{J}_d(m, \ell) \quad (3.1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \right)^{n-\ell} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} I_{d-1}(n, m)((m+1)(d-1)+1) J_{d-1}(m, \ell), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_d(n, m) &:= \binom{n}{m} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{dm-1} (\tilde{F}_d(x))^{n-m} dx, \\ \tilde{J}_d(m, \ell) &:= \binom{m}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh y)^{-dm+1} (\tilde{F}_d(iy))^{m-\ell} dy, \\ I_{d-1}(n, m) &:= \binom{n}{m} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{(d-1)(m+1)} (F_{d-1}(x))^{n-m} dx, \\ J_{d-1}(m, \ell) &:= \binom{m}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh y)^{-(d-1)(m+1)-2} (F_{d-1}(iy))^{m-\ell} dy, \\ \tilde{F}_d(z) = F_{d-1}(z) &:= \int_{-\pi/2}^z (\cos y)^{d-1} dy, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2 Note that the imaginary unit $i = \sqrt{-1}$ appears because the J -quantities are related to the analytic continuation of the I -quantities [22]. The integral in the definition of $\tilde{F}_d(z) = F_{d-1}(z)$ is taken along any contour connecting $-\pi/2$ and z .

Observe also that the quantities $\tilde{J}_d(m, \ell)$ and $J_{d-1}(m, \ell)$ are real-valued as one can see by making the substitution $y \mapsto -y$ in the defining integrals and using the fact that $\tilde{F}_d(iy)$ is the complex conjugate of $\tilde{F}_d(-iy)$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 We can give two proofs based on reduction of the spherical Voronoi tessellation to beta' and beta polytopes. These proofs yield (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. Let us start with the approach based on beta' polytopes. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\mathbb{E}f_{d-\ell}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}) = \mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(\tilde{P}_{n,d}^d).$$

By [22, Thm. 7.3] applied with $\alpha = \beta = d$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(\tilde{P}_{n,d}^d) &= \frac{2 \cdot n!}{\ell!} \left(\frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{d\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \right)^{n-\ell} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} \left(m - \frac{1}{d} \right) \tilde{a} \left[m - \frac{2}{d}, \ell - \frac{2}{d} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}\{n, m\} &= \frac{d^{n-m}}{(n-m)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{dm-1} (\tilde{F}_d(x))^{n-m} dx, \\ \tilde{a} \left[m - \frac{2}{d}, \ell - \frac{2}{d} \right] &= \frac{d^{m-\ell+1}}{(m-\ell)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh y)^{-dm+1} (\tilde{F}_d(iy))^{m-\ell} dy, \end{aligned}$$

and where $\tilde{F}_d$ is as above. After straightforward transformations, we arrive at (3.1).

On the other hand, we can give an alternative proof based on Theorem 2.4 which states that

$$\mathbb{E}f_{d-\ell}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}) = \frac{\ell+1}{n+1} \mathbb{E}f_{\ell}(P_{n+1,d+1}^{-1}).$$

The expected f -vector of the beta polytope is given explicitly in [22, Thm. 7.1]. Applying this theorem with $\beta = -1$ and $\alpha = d-1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{\ell}(P_{n+1,d+1}^{-1}) &= \frac{2 \cdot (n+1)!}{(\ell+1)!} \left(\frac{\Gamma((d-1)/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \right)^{n-\ell} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} b\{n+1, m+1\} \left(m+1 + \frac{1}{d-1} \right) \\ &\quad \times a \left[m+1 + \frac{2}{d-1}, \ell+1 + \frac{2}{d-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b\{n+1, m+1\} &= \frac{(d-1)^{n-m}}{(n-m)!} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{(d-1)(m+1)} (F_{d-1}(x))^{n-m} dx, \\ a\left[m+1+\frac{2}{d-1}, \ell+1+\frac{2}{d-1}\right] &= \frac{(d-1)^{m-\ell+1}}{(m-\ell)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\cosh y)^{-(d-1)(m+1)-2} (F_{d-1}(iy))^{m-\ell} dy. \end{aligned}$$

After some transformations, we arrive at (3.2). $\square$

Remark 3.3 In particular, we obtained an indirect proof that the right-hand sides of (3.1) and (3.2) are equal. Finding a direct proof of this equality seems non-trivial. Let us also mention that, according to our numerical computations, the individual summands in (3.1) and (3.2) are, in general, not equal.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $d \geq 2$, $n \geq d+2$, and $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$. If d is even, then $\mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d})$ is a rational number. If d is odd, then $\mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n,d})$ is a linear combination of the numbers π^{-2r} , where $r = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor (n-d+k-1)/2 \rfloor$, with rational coefficients.*

Proof This follows from Theorem 2.4 together with [22, Thm. 7.2]. The same result could be deduced by combining Theorem 2.3 with [22, Thm. 7.4]. $\square$

Remark 3.5 Along with the Voronoi tessellation it is natural to consider the so-called spherical hyperplane tessellation which is defined as follows. As before, let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be n independent, uniformly distributed random points on $\mathbb{S}^d$, where $n \geq d+1$. Let $X_i^\perp = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle z, X_i \rangle = 0\}$ be the hyperplane orthogonal to X_i . The hyperplanes $X_1^\perp, \dots, X_n^\perp$ dissect the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ into spherical polytopes which constitute the *spherical hyperplane tessellation*. The *spherical Crofton cell* $\mathcal{Z}_{n,d}$ is defined as the almost surely unique cell of this tessellation that contains the north pole e . We have $\mathcal{Z}_{n,d} = \mathbb{S}^d \cap (G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n)$, where G_i is the half-space bounded by $X_i^\perp$ and containing the north pole e . The expected f -vector of the spherical Crofton cell $\mathcal{Z}_{n,d}$ can be computed as follows. We observe that the dual of the convex cone $G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n$ is the positive hull $D_n := \text{pos}(X_1^-, \dots, X_n^-)$ of the points $X_i^- := -X_i \cdot \text{sgn} \langle X_i, e \rangle$. The points $X_1^-, \dots, X_n^-$ are independent and uniformly distributed on the lower half-sphere $\mathbb{S}_-^d := \{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \langle z, e \rangle \leq 0\}$. The corresponding f -vectors satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(\mathcal{Z}_{n,d}) = \mathbb{E}f_{k+1}(G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n) = \mathbb{E}f_{d-k}(D_n) = \mathbb{E}f_{d-k-1}(\mathbb{S}^d \cap D_n)$$

for all $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$. The expected face numbers of the random spherical polytopes $\mathbb{S}^d \cap D_n$ that appear on the right-hand side have been explicitly computed in [21]. These polytopes are also closely related to the beta' polytopes $\tilde{P}_{n,d}^\beta$, but this time with $\beta = (d+1)/2$, see [21, 23].

3.2 Low-Dimensional Cases

Let us consider the low-dimensional cases separately. For example, in dimension $d = 2$, if we take $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 3.1 we arrive at the following result of Miles [27].

Corollary 3.6 *For $d = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,2}) = \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,2}) = 6 \cdot \frac{n-1}{n+1} = 6 \left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof According to Theorem 3.1, $\mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,2}) = \tilde{I}_2(n, 2) \cdot 3 \cdot \tilde{J}_2(2, 1)/(\pi 2^{n-1})$. Moreover, $\tilde{F}_2(z) = 1 + \sin z$, which implies that $\tilde{J}_2(2, 1) = \pi$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \tilde{I}_2(n, 2) &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^3 (1 + \sin x)^{n-2} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos x)^3 (\sin x)^k dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k} \frac{2(1 + (-1)^k)}{(k+1)(k+3)} = \frac{2^{n+1}}{n(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,2}) = \frac{3}{2^{n-1}} \binom{n}{2} \frac{2^{n+1}}{n(n+1)} = 6 \cdot \frac{n-1}{n+1}. \quad \square$$

As observed already by Miles [27], it is not surprising that $\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,2}) \rightarrow 6$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is the expected number of vertices of the typical cell of a Poisson–Voronoi tessellation in the plane, see [34, Thm. 10.2.5].

Remark 3.7 We note that (3.3) can alternatively be obtained by purely combinatorial means. Indeed, by the Euler relation and the fact that the Voronoi tessellation on the sphere is almost surely simple (which, for $d = 2$, means that each vertex of the tessellation belongs to exactly three edges), we have

$$f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) - f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) + f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) = 3f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d})$$

almost surely. Also, $f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) = n + 1$ since each cell corresponds to its center. Altogether, it follows that

$$f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) = 2(n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,d}) = 3(n-1)$$

almost surely. Taking the expectations and recalling Proposition 2.2 yields (3.3).

Table 1 Exact and approximate values for the expected number of vertices of the typical Voronoi cell generated by $n \in \{4, \dots, 9\}$ random points on $\mathbb{S}^3$ and $n \in \{5, \dots, 9\}$ random points on $\mathbb{S}^4$

	$n = 4$	$n = 5$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3})$	4	$\frac{20}{3} - \frac{3289}{360\pi^2} \approx 5.74$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$	–	5
	$n = 6$	$n = 7$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3})$	$10 - \frac{3289}{120\pi^2} \approx 7.22$	$14 - \frac{23023}{360\pi^2} + \frac{569556559}{6048000\pi^4} \approx 8.49$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$	$\frac{18975}{2261} \approx 8.39$	$\frac{3835}{323} \approx 11.87$
	$n = 8$	$n = 9$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3})$	$\frac{56}{3} - \frac{23023}{180\pi^2} + \frac{569556559}{1512000\pi^4} \approx 9.57$	$24 - \frac{23023}{100\pi^2} + \frac{569556559}{504000\pi^4} - \frac{200082581646233}{118540800000\pi^6} \approx 10.52$
$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$	$\frac{340886}{22287} \approx 15.29$	$\frac{8124}{437} \approx 18.59$

On the other hand, in dimensions $d > 2$ the f -vector of $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,d}$ is not deterministic. For $d = 3$ and $d = 4$, we present exact formulas for the expected f -vector of the typical spherical Voronoi cell and refer to Table 1 for some exact and numerical values for small values of n .

Corollary 3.8 *For $d = 3$ and all $n \geq 4$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = \frac{256}{35\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n-3} \binom{n}{3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^8 (2x + \sin 2x + \pi)^{n-3} dx,$$

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}), \quad \mathbb{E}f_2(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) + 2.$$

Proof The first formula follows from Theorem 3.1 with $d = 3$ and $\ell = 3$:

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n-3} \tilde{I}_3(n, 3) \cdot 8 \cdot \tilde{J}_3(3, 3).$$

It remains to note that $\tilde{F}_3(z) = (2z + \sin 2z + \pi)/4$, which implies that $\tilde{J}_3(3, 3) = 32/35$ and

$$\tilde{I}_3(n, 3) = \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{n-3} \binom{n}{3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^8 (2x + \sin 2x + \pi)^{n-3} dx.$$

Since $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}$ is a simple polytope with probability one, we have that almost surely $2f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = 3f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3})$. Finally, the formula for $\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3})$ follows from Euler's relation, which says that almost surely $f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) - f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) + f_2(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,3}) = 2$. $\square$

Corollary 3.9 For $d = 4$ and all $n \geq 5$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) &= \frac{6435}{2048} \left(\frac{3}{48}\right)^{n-4} \binom{n}{4} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{15} (8 + 9 \sin x + \sin 3x)^{n-4} dx, \\ \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) &= 2\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}), \quad \mathbb{E}f_2(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) = 6\frac{n-1}{n+1} + \frac{6}{5} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}), \\ \mathbb{E}f_3(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) &= 6\frac{n-1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{5} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof The identity for $\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$ follows from Theorem 3.1. In fact, taking $d = 4$ and $\ell = 4$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{n-4} \tilde{I}_4(n, 4) \cdot 15 \cdot \tilde{J}_4(4, 4).$$

Moreover, $\tilde{F}_4(z) = (8 + 9 \sin z + \sin 3z)/12$, which in turn implies that $\tilde{J}_4(4, 4) = 429\pi/2048$ and

$$\tilde{I}_4(n, 4) = \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{n-4} \binom{n}{4} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos x)^{15} (8 + 9 \sin x + \sin 3x)^{n-4} dx.$$

To derive the other identities, we use the three linearly independent Dehn–Sommerville equations for simplicial 5-dimensional polytopes [8, Cor. 17.8]. Applied to $P_{n+1,5}^{-1}$ they say that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}2 &= f_0(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) - f_1(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) + f_2(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) - f_3(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) + f_4(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}), \\ 2f_1(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) &= 3f_2(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) - 6f_3(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) + 10f_4(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}), \\ 5f_4(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}) &= 2f_3(P_{n+1,5}^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.7) these identities translate into the almost sure relations

$$\begin{aligned}2 &= f_4(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) - f_3(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) + f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) - f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) + f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}), \\ 2f_3(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) &= 3f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) - 6f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) + 10f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}), \\ 5f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) &= 2f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})\end{aligned}$$

for the random Voronoi tessellation $\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}$ on $\mathbb{S}^4$. In addition, we have that almost surely $f_4(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) = n + 1$, since each cell of $\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}$ corresponds to its center. This implies that $f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})$, $f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})$, and $f_3(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})$ can be expressed in terms of $f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})$ only. In fact, we have that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) &= \frac{5f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})}{2}, \quad f_2(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) = 2(n-1) + 2f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}), \\ f_3(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4}) &= 3(n-1) + \frac{f_0(\mathbf{m}_{n+1,4})}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

We finally apply Proposition 2.2 to conclude that $5\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,4}) = (n+1)\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$ and

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) = \frac{4}{n+1} \mathbb{E}f_1(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,4}) = \frac{4}{n+1} \cdot \frac{5}{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,4}) = 2\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}).$$

The identities for $\mathbb{E}f_2(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$ and $\mathbb{E}f_3(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$ follow similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f_2(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) &= \frac{3}{n+1} (2(n-1) + 2\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,4})) = 6\frac{n-1}{n+1} + \frac{6}{5} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}), \\ \mathbb{E}f_3(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}) &= \frac{2}{n+1} \left(3(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1,4}) \right) = 6\frac{n-1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{5} \mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the argument. $\square$

Remark 3.10 It is interesting to note that if we applied the Dehn–Sommerville equations directly to the typical Voronoi cell $\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4}$ (which is almost surely a simple polytope), this would not yield enough relations to express all $\mathbb{E}f_i(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$ through $\mathbb{E}f_0(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,4})$. It is known [8, § 17] that both, in dimensions 4 and 5, the f -vectors of simplicial (and simple) polytopes depend on two free parameters. Applying the Dehn–Sommerville relations to the 5-dimensional beta polytope has the advantage that we know the number of vertices to be $n+1$, which reduces the number of free parameters to 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2.3

4.1 Preliminaries

Let us first introduce some notation. Recall that $\xi_n = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a binomial process on $\mathbb{S}^d$ induced by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent random points $X_1, \dots, X_n$ with the uniform distribution σ_d . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we let $h_i \in [-1, 1]$ be the projection of X_i onto the 0-th coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ which is shown as the vertical direction in Fig. 2. Also, we denote by $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ the angle between $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and X_i . Formally,

$$h_i = \langle X_i, e \rangle = \cos \theta_i,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We can then decompose X_i as follows:

$$X_i = e \cos \theta_i + U_i \sin \theta_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where U_i is a suitable unit vector in the d -dimensional hyperplane $e^\perp = \{x_0 = 0\}$ which we identify with $\mathbb{R}^d$. The next lemma, which is well known, characterizes the joint distribution of h_i and U_i . It is just a probabilistic restatement of the slice integration formula for spheres, see [2, Cor. A.5]. The density of h_i can be found in [23, Lem. 7.6] or deduced from [24, Lem. 4.4].

Fig. 2 Illustration of the construction used in the proof of Theorem 2.3

Lemma 4.1 For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the random variable h_i has density

$$f(h) = \frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} (1-h^2)^{d/2-1}, \quad h \in [-1, 1],$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[-1, 1]$. The random variable U_i is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in $\{x_0 = 0\} \equiv \mathbb{R}^d$. Finally, h_i and U_i are independent.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The starting point of our proof is the representation of the typical Voronoi cell on $\mathbb{S}^d$ given in Proposition 2.1:

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d} \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{z \in \mathbb{S}^d : \rho(e, z) \leq \rho(X_i, z)\}.$$

Recalling that the geodesic distance on $\mathbb{S}^d$ is given by $\rho(x, y) = \arccos \langle x, y \rangle$, $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d$, and using that the function $u \mapsto \arccos u$ is decreasing on $[-1, 1]$, we can write the above representation as

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d} \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} \bigcap_{i=1}^n (L_i^+ \cap \mathbb{S}^d), \quad (4.2)$$

Fig. 3 Illustration of the cones C_n and C_n° as well as the random polytope Q_n

where $L_1^+, \dots, L_n^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ are half-spaces defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^+ &:= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle e, z \rangle \geq \langle X_i, z \rangle\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle X_i - e, z \rangle \leq 0\}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The bounding hyperplane of L_i^+ is denoted by

$$L_i := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle X_i - e, z \rangle = 0\}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\};$$

see Fig. 2. Note that L_i passes through the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and that $e \in L_i^+$. Consider the convex random polyhedral cone

$$C_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1};$$

see Fig. 3. By definition, the k -dimensional faces of the spherical polytope $C_n \cap \mathbb{S}^d$ (which is the right-hand side of (4.2)) are in bijective correspondence with the $(k+1)$ -dimensional faces of the polyhedral cone C_n . Thus, we arrive at the distributional equality

$$(f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \stackrel{d}{=} (f_k(C_n \cap \mathbb{S}^d))_{k=0}^{d-1} = (f_{k+1}(C_n))_{k=0}^{d-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

The *dual* or *polar* of the convex cone C_n is defined as

$$C_n^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in C_n\}.$$

Since the $(k + 1)$ -dimensional faces of C_n are in bijective correspondence with the $(d - k)$ -dimensional faces of C_n° , it follows from (4.3) that

$$(f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \stackrel{d}{=} (f_{d-k}(C_n^\circ))_{k=0}^{d-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since C_n is defined as the intersection of the half-spaces $L_1^+, \dots, L_n^+$, the dual cone is the positive hull of the outward normal vectors of these half-spaces, that is

$$C_n^\circ = \text{pos}(X_1 - e, \dots, X_n - e).$$

Since the 0-th coordinates of the vectors $X_i - e$ are strictly negative almost surely, it follows that $C_n^\circ \setminus \{0\}$ is contained in $\{x_0 < 0\}$ almost surely. Recall from (4.1) that $X_i - e = e(\cos \theta_i - 1) + U_i \sin \theta_i$. We may ignore the case when some $\theta_i = 0$ because it has probability 0. Normalizing the vectors spanning C_n° in such a way that their 0-th coordinate becomes -1 , we get

$$C_n^\circ = \text{pos}\left(-e + \frac{U_1}{R_1}, \dots, -e + \frac{U_n}{R_n}\right),$$

where

$$R_i := \frac{1 - \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} = \tan \frac{\theta_i}{2}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

It follows from $C_n^\circ \setminus \{0\} \subset \{x_0 < 0\}$ that almost surely the $(d - k)$ -dimensional faces of C_n° are in one-to-one correspondence with the $(d - k - 1)$ -dimensional faces of the polytope obtained by intersecting C_n° with the tangent space to $\mathbb{S}^d$ at its south pole $-e$. Define the polytope

$$Q_n := (C_n^\circ \cap \{x_0 = -1\}) + e = \text{conv}\left(\frac{U_1}{R_1}, \dots, \frac{U_n}{R_n}\right) \subset \{x_0 = 0\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Recalling (4.4) we can write

$$(f_k(\mathcal{V}_{n+1,d}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \stackrel{d}{=} (f_{d-k-1}(Q_n))_{k=0}^{d-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3, it remains to verify that the random polytope Q_n has the same distribution as the beta' polytope $\tilde{P}_{n,d}^d$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter $\beta = d$.

Lemma 4.2 *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the random variable R_i has density*

$$g(r) = \frac{2^d \Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \cdot \frac{r^{d-1}}{(1+r^2)^d}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (4.7)$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$. Also, we have $R_i \stackrel{d}{=} 1/R_i$.

Proof The identity

$$R_i = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{1 + \cos \theta_i}$$

implies that

$$R_i^2 = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta_i}{1 + \cos \theta_i} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_i}{1 + \cos \theta_i} = \frac{1 - h_i}{1 + h_i}. \quad (4.8)$$

Since h_i has the same distribution as $-h_i$ by Lemma 4.1, we have $R_i \stackrel{d}{=} 1/R_i$. Furthermore, for each $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[R_i \geq r] &= \mathbb{P}\left[\sqrt{\frac{1 - h_i}{1 + h_i}} \geq r\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[h_i \leq \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{(1-r^2)/(1+r^2)} (1 - h^2)^{d/2-1} dh, \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity comes from Lemma 4.1. Differentiation with respect to r thus proves that the density of R_i is

$$\begin{aligned} g(r) &= \frac{\Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2}\right)^2\right)^{d/2-1} \frac{4r}{(1 + r^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{2^d \Gamma((d+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(d/2)} \cdot \frac{r^{d-1}}{(1 + r^2)^d}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the argument. $\square$

We are now in position to complete the proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall from Lemma 4.1 that $U_1, \dots, U_n$ are i.i.d. and uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The same Lemma 4.1 (see also (4.8)) states that this family is independent of the collection $R_1, \dots, R_n$ of random variables which are also i.i.d. and have density $g(r)$ given by (4.7). Altogether, recalling (4.5), it follows that

$$Q_n \stackrel{d}{=} \text{conv} \left(U_1 R_1, \dots, U_n R_n \right),$$

and that $U_1 R_1, \dots, U_n R_n$ are independent random points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lebesgue density

$$\tilde{f}_{d,d}(x) := \frac{\Gamma(d)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} (1 + \|x\|^2)^{-d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(The value of the constant follows from the Legendre duplication formula but is actually not needed for the argument). This is the beta' density from (2.3) with $\beta = d$. Hence, Q_n has the same distribution as the beta' polytope $\tilde{P}_{n,d}^d$, and the proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete.

Remark 4.3 As a byproduct of the above proof, note that the beta' distribution with $\beta = d$ stays invariant under inversion with respect to the unit sphere.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the unknown referee for numerous useful comments, which substantially improved the presentation, and Maïke Buchin (Bochum) for pointing us to the usage of Voronoi diagrams on manifolds in computational geometry. ZK has been supported by the German Research Foundation under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044–390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Arbeiter, E., Zähle, M.: Geometric measures for random mosaics in spherical spaces. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **46**(1–2), 63–77 (1994)
2. Axler, S., Bourdon, P., Ramey, W.: *Harmonic Function Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 137. Springer, New York (2001)
3. Bárány, I., Hug, D., Reitzner, M., Schneider, R.: Random points in halfspheres. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **50**(1), 3–22 (2017)
4. de Berg, M., van Kreveld, M., Overmars, M., Schwarzkopf, O.: *Computational Geometry*. Springer, Berlin (2000)
5. Besau, F., Thäle, C.: Asymptotic normality for random polytopes in non-Euclidean geometries. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **373**(12), 8911–8941 (2020)
6. Bonnet, G., Chasapis, G., Grote, J., Temesvari, D., Turchi, N.: Threshold phenomena for high-dimensional random polytopes. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **21**(5), # 1850038 (2019)
7. Bonnet, G., Grote, J., Temesvari, D., Thäle, C., Turchi, N., Wespi, F.: Monotonicity of facet numbers of random convex hulls. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **455**(2), 1351–1364 (2017)
8. Brøndsted, A.: *An Introduction to Convex Polytopes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 90. Springer, New York (1983)
9. Calka, P., Chapron, A., Enriquez, N.: Mean asymptotics for a Poisson–Voronoi cell on a Riemannian manifold (2017). [arXiv:1807.09043](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09043)
10. Chasapis, G., Skarmogiannis, N.: Affine quermassintegrals of random polytopes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **479**(1), 546–568 (2019)
11. Cheng, S.-W., Dey, T.K., Shewchuk, J.R.: *Delaunay Mesh Generation*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Computer and Information Science Series. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2013)
12. Deuss, C., Hörmann, J., Thäle, C.: A random cell splitting scheme on the sphere. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **127**(5), 1544–1564 (2017)
13. Edelsbrunner, H., Nikitenko, A.: Random inscribed polytopes have similar radius functions as Poisson–Delaunay mosaics. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **28**(5), 3215–3238 (2018)
14. Grote, J., Kabluchko, Z., Thäle, C.: Limit theorems for random simplices in high dimensions. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **16**(1), 141–177 (2019)
15. Herold, F., Hug, D., Thäle, C.: Does a central limit theorem hold for the k -skeleton of Poisson hyperplanes in hyperbolic space? *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **179**(3–4), 889–968 (2021)
16. Hug, D., Reichenbacher, A.: Geometric inequalities, stability results and Kendall's problem in spherical space (2017). [arXiv:1709.06522](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.06522)
17. Hug, D., Schneider, R.: Random conical tessellations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **56**(2), 395–426 (2016)

18. Hug, D., Thäle, C.: Splitting tessellations in spherical spaces. *Electron. J. Probab.* **24**, # 24 (2019)
19. Kabluchko, Z.: Recursive scheme for angles of random simplices, and applications to random polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00454-020-00259-z>
20. Kabluchko, Z.: Angle sums of random simplices in dimensions 3 and 4. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **148**(7), 3079–3086 (2020)
21. Kabluchko, Z.: Expected f -vector of the Poisson zero polytope and random convex hulls in the half-sphere. *Mathematika* **66**(4), 1028–1053 (2020)
22. Kabluchko, Z.: Angles of random simplices and face numbers of random polytopes. *Adv. Math.* **380**, # 107612 (2021)
23. Kabluchko, Z., Marynych, A., Temesvari, D., Thäle, C.: Cones generated by random points on half-spheres and convex hulls of Poisson point processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **175**(3–4), 1021–1061 (2019)
24. Kabluchko, Z., Temesvari, D., Thäle, C.: Expected intrinsic volumes and facet numbers of random beta-polytopes. *Math. Nachr.* **292**(1), 79–105 (2019)
25. Kabluchko, Z., Thäle, C., Zaporozhets, D.: Beta polytopes and Poisson polyhedra: f -vectors and angles. *Adv. Math.* **374**, # 107333 (2020)
26. Kallenberg, O.: *Random Measures, Theory and Applications. Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*, vol. 77. Springer, Cham (2017)
27. Miles, R.E.: Random points, sets and tessellations on the surface of a sphere. *Sankhyā Ser. A* **33**, 145–174 (1971)
28. Mitchell, T.: *Machine Learning*. McGraw-Hill, Frankfurt (1997)
29. Møller, J.: *Lectures on Random Voronoi Tessellations. Lecture Notes in Statistics*, vol. 87. Springer, New York (1994)
30. Okabe, A., Boots, B., Sugihara, K., Chiu, S.N.: *Spatial Tessellations: Concepts and Applications of Voronoi Diagrams. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics*. Wiley, Chichester (2000)
31. Padberg, M.: *Linear Optimization and Extensions. Algorithms and Combinatorics*, vol. 12. Springer, Berlin (1999)
32. Penrose, M.D., Yukich, J.E.: Limit theory for point processes in manifolds. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **23**(6), 2161–2211 (2013)
33. Rother, W., Zähle, M.: Palm distributions in homogeneous spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **149**, 255–263 (1990)
34. Schneider, R., Weil, W.: *Stochastic and Integral Geometry. Probability and its Applications* (New York). Springer, Berlin (2008)
35. Stoyan, D., Kendall, W.S., Mecke, J.: *Stochastic Geometry and Its Applications. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics*. Wiley, Chichester (1995)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.