Correction to: Speed and directedness predict colonization sequence post-disturbance

John V. Gatto^{1,2} · Joel C. Trexler¹

Published online: 14 July 2020
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: Oecologia
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04689-7>

Authors would like to update the incorrect version of Fig. 4. The axes were not labeled correctly in panels A and B. The correct Fig. 4 appears below. Panel A is corrected to show increasing speed and directedness and panel B is corrected to show decreasing speed and directedness.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04689-7>.

✉ John V. Gatto
jvgatto89@gmail.com

¹ Department of Biological Science, Florida International University, 3000 NE 151st Street, North Miami, FL 33181, USA

² Great Rivers Field Station, Illinois Natural History Survey, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 918 Union St, Alton, IL 62002, USA

Fig. 4 Three-dimensional plot describing arrival probabilities based on speed/directedness combinations from the ABM's for **a** arriving first and **b** arriving fourth. The horizontal axes for Fig. 4b were rotated 180° to highlight differences between fast/directed and slow/non-directed. Arrival probabilities were calculated based on arrival time at the end of each simulated run. **c** Observed field probabilities

of arriving first based on a species estimated U_{CRIT} and observed directedness in the field. U_{CRIT} was calculated from endurance tests. Directed species were assigned a value of 1 and non-directed species a value of 0. Observed field probabilities were calculated based on species' arrival times following re-inundation of the habitat (Table 4)