ORIGINAL ARTICLE – CANCER RESEARCH



Using ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction to enhance radiotherapy of glioblastoma

Chanjuan Peng^{1,6} · Yong Wu^{2,6} · Yang Yang^{3,6} · Ningning Li^{4,6} · Xi Chen^{1,6} · Linhui Gu^{5,6} · Dong Xu^{1,6} · Chen Yang^{1,6}

Received: 29 August 2020 / Accepted: 19 January 2021 / Published online: 6 February 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Objective To investigate the efficacy and mechanism of ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction (UTMD) combined with radiotherapy (XRT) on glioblastoma.

Methods The enhanced radiosensitization by UTMD was assessed through colony formation and cell apoptosis in Human glioblastoma cells (U87MG). Subcutaneous transplantation tumors in 24 nude mice implanted with U87MG cells were randomly assigned to 4 different treatment groups (Control, UTMD, XRT, and UTMD + XRT) based on tumor sizes (100– 300 mm^3). Tumor growth was observed for 10 days after treatment, and then histopathology stains (HE, CD34, and γ H2AX) were applied to the tumor samples. A TUNEL staining experiment was applied to detect the apoptosis rate of mice tumor samples. Meanwhile, tissue proteins were extracted from animal specimens, and the expressions of dsDNA break repair-related proteins from animal specimens were examined by the western blot.

Results When the radiotherapy dose was 4 Gy, the colony formation rate of U87MG cells in the UTMD + XRT group was $32 \pm 8\%$, lower than the XRT group $(54 \pm 14\%, p < 0.01)$. The early apoptotic rate of the UTMD + XRT group was $21.1 \pm 3\%$ at 48 h, higher than that of the XRT group $(15.2 \pm 4\%)$. The tumor growth curve indicated that the tumor growth was inhibited in the UTMD + XRT group compared with other groups during 10 days of observation. In TUNEL experiment, the apoptotic cells of the UTMD + XRT group were higher than that of the XRT group (p < 0.05). The UTMD + XRT group had the lowest MVD value, but was not significantly different from other groups (p > 0.05). In addition, γ H2AX increased due to the addition of UTMD to radiotherapy compared to XRT in immunohistochemistry (p < 0.05).

Conclusions Our study clearly demonstrated the enhanced destructive effect of UTMD combined with 4 Gy radiotherapy on glioblastoma. This could be partly achieved by the increased ability of DNA damage of tumor cells.

Keywords Ultrasound \cdot Microbubbles \cdot Ultrasound therapy \cdot Radiation therapy \cdot Glioblastoma

Chanjuan Peng and Yong Wu contributed equally to this work.

Dong Xu xudong@zjcc.org.cn

Chen Yang yangchen@zjcc.org.cn

- ¹ Department of Ultrasound in Medicine, Cancer Hospital of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhejiang Cancer Hospital), Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China
- ² Department of Medical Engineering, Cancer Hospital of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhejiang Cancer Hospital), Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China
- ³ Department of Radiation Oncology, Cancer Hospital of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhejiang Cancer Hospital), Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China

- ⁴ Department of Pathology, Cancer Hospital of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhejiang Cancer Hospital), Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China
- ⁵ Department of Core Facility Service, Cancer Hospital of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhejiang Cancer Hospital), Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China
- ⁶ Institute of Cancer and Basic Medicine (IBMC), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310022, Zhejiang, China

Introduction

Glioma is the most common and fatal type of primary brain tumors (Gusyatiner and Hegi 2018). Glioblastoma (GBM) accounts for 60–70% of these malignancies (Yang 2015) and has an average survival time of 12–15 months (Alexander and Cloughesy 2017). Due to the unique anatomical position and moderate radiosensitivity of tumors, radiotherapy (XRT) has been playing a leading role in the treatment of tumors. Nevertheless, it is well known that radiotherapy does not work well in glioma, especially in advanced-stage tumor GBM (Sulman 2017; Lieberman 2019), due to radiation resistance triggered by increasing dsDNA break (DSB) repair of cells (Carruthers (2018)) and angiogenesis (Garcia-Barros 2003). Therefore, many studies aim to optimize various methods to enhance sensitization of tumor radiotherapy.

To reduce radiation resistance and improve survival rate, many researchers have focused on developing innovative radiation sensitizers. Recent studies (Jing 2019; Shen 2016) have revealed that ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction (UTMD) could cause damage to vessels in tumors. The ultrasound-guided local treatment is provided by microbubbles to increase the tumor kill and, therefore, allows potential dose de-escalation and normal tissue sparing (Czarnota 2015; Kaffas and Czarnota 2015; Cui 2017). The recent result suggests that the UTMD could effectively inhibit the growth of colon cancer in nude mice by blood vessel disruption and tumor tissue impairment (Huang 2013). According to the studies on the sensitivity of endothelial cells, the UTMD could considerably enhance the radiotherapy of various kinds of tumors, such as prostate, nasopharyngeal and breast cancers (Klein 2020; Deng 2018; Eisenbrey 2018). Apart from the broad spectrum of radiosensitization approach, we introduce a novel approach that can noticeably increase cell death when it is combined with radiotherapy. Physical forces generated by microbubbles combined with pulsed ultrasound were used to induce tumor death, instead of molecular biology techniques (Lin 2018).

This study aims to investigate the enhanced radiosensitization of UTMD in human malignant glioblastoma and its possible mechanism. More specifically, we hypothesized that it was effective to use lower dose of XRT and microbubbles by considering the potential evidence of biochemical and physiological mechanisms. To test this hypothesis, we managed to determine the best biophysical parameters of UTMD by examining the combined effects of UTMD and radiotherapy on tumor cell death. Because the tumoricidal effect of radiotherapy is copiously attributed to the induction of DSBs, we examined the expression of DSB-related proteins and microvascular densities (MVD) after the UTMD treatment or its combination with radiotherapy.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and animal tumor model

Cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas VA, USA). Human malignant glioblastoma U87MG cell lines were cultured in Eagle's minimum essential medium (ATCC) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and were exposed to $5\% \text{ CO}^2$ hepa-filtered air at 37 °C. 1.0×10^7 U87MG cells were then injected subcutaneously to the right hind leg of the male BALB/c nude mice (aged 4-6 weeks, SLAC, Shanghai, China). Tumor size was continuously blindly determined by periodic caliper every 2-4 days and calculated using a modified ellipsoidal formula (volume = length \times width²/2). It took nearly 4 weeks for the tumors to be ready for experiments by reaching a diameter of 8 mm (volume 100–300 mm³). Four experimental groups were compared: (A) no treatment (Control), (B) UTMD, (C) XRT, (D) UTMD + XRT (XRT was conducted immediately after UTMD). 24 nude mice were assigned to 1 of 4 groups in a stratified random-sampling manner according to the tumor diameter size. Animals were anesthetized during imaging and treatment. All research procedures were operated to minimize the nude mice' suffering.

Microbubble preparation

SonoVueTM (Bracco, Milan, Italy) microbubbles encapsulating sulfur hexafluoride gas (SF6) were made by following the manufacturer's guideline, with an average yield of 2.5 µm in diameter and a concentration between 1 and 5×10^8 microbubbles/mL (SF6 8 µl/ml) (Lammertink 2016). In vitro, prior to ultrasound treatment, 500 µl of freshly made microbubbles were mixed with medium (0.01%, v/v) and was inverted for several minutes to make the microbubbles rise into the cells, thereby ensuring a close touch between the cell and the microbubbles. In vivo, microbubbles were resuscitated by jiggling the vial before injection each time and administered as a bolus injection of 0.1 mL (fresh made) through tail vein.

Ultrasound treatment and radiation

An ultrasound treatment system (IntelectTranSport[®] Ultrasound, Chattanooga, USA) with a planar 1 MHz probe was used to insonify tumor cells or tissues in to stimulate microbubbles in the sonoporation experiments. In vitro, after microbubbles were added to the six-well plate, cells for each group were treated with the 1 MHz probe for 1 min under the condition of duty cycle of 20% and peak negative pressure of 0.3 w/cm². And then, cells were immediately irradiated using an irradiation cabinet (Siemens, Primus H, USA) after the ultrasound treatment. For in vitro experiments, X-rays were delivered at doses of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 Gy at a dose rate of 300 cGy/min and an energy of 6 MV. In vivo, microbubbles were irrigated with normal saline through tail-vein catheter, and the tumors were promptly exposed to ultrasound for 1 min for the total treatment time of each sample. Peak negative pressure of 0.3 w/cm^2 was applied by using a calibrated ultrasound transducer resulting in an average duty cycle of 20%, the same condition with the in vitro experiment. Tumors were immediately irradiated with ionizing irradiation right after the UTMD treatment.

Clonogenic assay

The cells were located in 60 mm petri dish (1000/dish) and irradiated with different doses, with or without UTMD. Plates were incubated without interference for 2 weeks. Stained colonies were counted and recorded while consisting of > 50 cells. For the combinational therapy of UTMD and radiotherapy, the surviving fraction was normalized to the control group. A multi-target click mathematical model was used to simulate the surviving fraction (SF) curve of cells, with its associated equations and radiological parameters (Huaying 2016). Cell survival was plotted using the irradiation dose as the abscissa axis and the SF as the vertical axis. The average lethal dosage of cells (D_0) and the quasi-field dosage (D_{a}) , which specified the repair ability of cells to sublethal injury, and extrapolation number (N) values were calculated according to the curve. SF was calculated using $SF = 1 - (1 - exp - [D/D_0])N; D_q = InN/(1/D_0)$, and SER was calculated as SER = control group D_0 /treatment group D_0 . The software CalcuSyn2 (USA) was used to evaluate whether to sensitize radiotherapy. A general equation for dose-effect relationship was derived by Chou (1994) through mathematical induction using hundreds of enzyme kinetic models. It correlates the "Dose" and the "Effect" in the simplest possible form. We used the recommended symbols for describing synergism or antagonism in drug combination studies and analyzed with the combination index (CI) method to determine whether to sensitize radiotherapy.

Apoptosis assay

Log-phase growing cells were treated with radiation with or without UTMD for 48 h. The cells were then mixed with staining buffer that contained Annexin V-FITC and PI on the basis of manufacturer's instruction (BD Pharmingen), and flow cytometry was used to quantify apoptotic cells (FITC + /PI-).

Western blot analysis

Proteins were extracted using RIPA buffer (Beyotime, China) from the tumor tissues. 50 µg tissue protein with loading buffer was loaded onto sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). All primary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA) including ATM, ATR, CHK1, CHK2, H2AX, p53, Phospho-BRCA1, Phospho-ATR, Phospho-CHK1, Phospho-CHK2, γ H2AX, and Phospho-P53 were used at 1:500 to 1:1000 dilutions in this experiment, and beta-actin was used as the internal reference. Then, ImageJ software was used to analyze the bands.

Immunohistochemistry

From each sample, sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), γ H2AX (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA), and cluster of differentiation 34 (CD34). The MVDs quantified by endothelial-specific CD34 staining was realized by counting the number of stained blood vessels in 4–5 fields of view, as described previously (Chabowski 2018). All immunohistological quantifications were performed by the same person for consistency.

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick and labeling (TUNEL) assay

According to the manufacturer's instructions, we used In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit-Fluorescein (beyotime, China) to quantify TUNEL-positive cells of the xenograft tumor tissues through light and fluorescence microscopy. Percentage apoptosis was the ratio of the number of TUNEL-positive cells to the total number of nuclei (per 1000 tumor cells coming from 4 fields of view).

Statistical analysis

Numerical results were reported as mean \pm SD and analyzed in SPSS 17.0 soft package. All data analysis was demonstrated using Graph Pad Prism. Student's *t* test and one-way analysis of variance were used to analyze inter-group and intra-group results, respectively.

Results

UTMD enhanced radiosensitivity in GBM cells

To determine the potential radiosensitization effect of UTMD, the U87MG cells were irradiated with a dose of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10 Gy of XRT, and the cell survival was assessed using clonogenic assays. When XRT was higher

than or equal to 4 Gy, the clonogenic rates of the U87MG cells in the UTMD + XRT group substantially decreased, and even became negligible (Fig. 1a). When the radio-therapy dose was 4 Gy, the clonogenic rate of cells in the UTMD + XRT group was statistically different from that of the XRT group (p < 0.01, Fig. 1b). The result revealed that UTMD combined with XRT could significantly increase the radiosensitivity of the U87MG cells (Fig. 1c). SF2, D0, and Dq decreased in the UTMD + XRT group, compared to the

XRT group. The results calculated by CalcuSyn2 showed that when the dose was 4 Gy, CI was equal to 0.716, implying moderate synergism. Flow cytometry was used to detect the effect of UTMD on U87MG cell apoptosis. We found that U87MG cell apoptosis significantly increased after 48 h of treatment with XRT or UTMD + XRT. The average early apoptosis rate was $21.1 \pm 3\%$ at 48 h in the UTMD + XRT group and $7.8 \pm 1\%$ in the UTMD group (Fig. 1d). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant



Fig. 1 Clonogenic survival and cell apoptosis in U87MG cells. **a** Clonogenic survival of different irradiation doses for the XRT and UTMD+XRT groups. Representative images showed the surviving colonies. **b** Graphs showed the changes of clonogenic survival fraction. *p < 0.01 versus XRT group. **c** Cell survival curve and click

multi-target fitting curve. Data present average results from three independent experiments (n=3). **d** Representative images showing apoptosis in U87MG cells at 48 h after treatment. Percentage of the bottom right quadrant showed the average value of early apoptosis

(p = 0.01). In the XRT group, the early apoptosis rate is $15.2 \pm 4\%$, which is lower than the combined group but with no statistical difference.

UTMD enhanced radiosensitivity in a GBM mouse model

Figure 2 demonstrates the tumor volume growth difference among different treatment groups at day 1, 4, 7, and 10 after treatment. We found no significant difference in weight among the groups. Comparing to the control group, the overall tumor growth was greatly decreased when UTMD was administered jointly with radiotherapy (Fig. 2). By day 10, the tumor volume treated with UTMD + XRT was reduced to 8% of tumors treated by UTMD only and 18% of tumors treated by radiation only. However, the differences are not statistically significant among the four groups (p=0.61) due to the large differences in each group.

Figure 3a exhibits representative images of tunnel, HEand CD34-stained tumor cross-sections. Qualitative reactions were observed in the treated and non-treated samples. MVD detection was based on quantitative CD34 staining. As shown in Fig. 3b, both radiation and UTMD increased CD34



Fig. 2 Effects of UTMD and radiation on the growth of U87MG xenografts in nude mice. **a** Tumor growth curves of different groups after treatment. **b** Photographs of excised xenografts from inoculated mice in different groups. Data are mean \pm SD of the six mice per group (n=6)

expression, while the joint treatment reduced it. Analysis of CD34 expression indicated that the numbers of vessel significantly decreased under the joint treatment. However, no significant difference was observed in the expressions of CD34 among different groups (p > 0.05).

As presented in Fig. 3c, the percent of apoptosis cells per field of tumor tissue in the UTMD + XRT group was $22 \pm 4\%$, while the XRT group was $12 \pm 3.6\%$. The apoptosis rate in the UTMD + XRT group was significantly higher than that of the XRT group, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Effect of radiation and UTMD on the expression of DNA damage and repair-related proteins

Figure 4a, b shows that the expression of CHK2 of tumor tissue increased, while H2AX and P53 decreased in the UTMD + XRT group, which was statistically different from the XRT group. Figure 4c, d demonstrates that the phosphorylation expression of BRCA1, CHK1, and P53 significantly decreased when the U87MG cells were treated by UTMD combined with radiation, compared with treated by XRT alone. Meanwhile, we added γ H2AX immunohistochemical index of tissue samples. A significant increase in γ H2AX labeling index was also examined when comparing single 4 Gy treatment with the joint treatment of UTMD with XRT (Fig. 5).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated whether UTMD can enhance radiotherapy on the GBM model. We found that both levels of tumor cell death and damage to blood vessels increased when tumors were exposed to UTMD combined with radiation, which was consistent with previous studies in bladder and prostate tumor (Tran 2012; Kim 2013). As to radiotherapy, cytotoxicity or targeting drugs in a variable period of treatments tends to induce drug resistance on account of genetic heterogeneity and tumor instability. Regarding local responses, UTMD has been proved to be an adjuvant therapy of antitumor that can improve the utility of chemotherapy and boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) in GBM (Dong 2018; Fan 2019). Previous research found that sensitization of GBM cells to radiation was regulated through glucose metabolism (Shen 2015). In a study on Asmase +/+ astrocytes, Nofiele found that cell survival decreased from 56% after 2 Gy XRT alone and from 17% after UTMD alone, to 5% when they are combined (Nofiele 2013). In our study, using animal models in conjunction with U87MG, we observed that adjuvant UTMD had an additive effect on radiotherapy and established a significant new perspective on UTMD in GBM.



Fig.3 Histopathological findings of XRT combined with UTMD on tumor tissues. **a** Top row: the H&E staining of excited xenograft tissues showed typical glioblastoma with all otypic nuclear division and tumor giant cells; second row: representative images of CD34 stained tumour cross-sections, The scale bars represent 50 µm; Third row:

representative images of apoptosis cells in tunnel experiment (× 100). **b** MVD (microvasculature density) comparison in different groups (n=6). **c** Comparison of cell apoptosis in tissues of four groups of rats (n=6), *p < 0.05 versus XRT groups

We also observed that radiosensitization was effective even with the clinically recommended microbubble concentration of 10 mL/kg, which is consistent with previous research (Briggs 2014). Based on our experimental results, the optimal microbubble concentration is 5 ml/kg with ultrasound pressure at 1 MHz and radiation dose at 4 Gy, while most previous studies suggested not only at 8 Gy (Lai 2016; Kaffas 2018a), but also at 2–6 Gy (Lammertink 2016; Kaffas 2014; Daecher 2017). Whereas the results from the experiments demonstrated the effects of the UTMD in combination with single doses of radiation, uncertainty remains with regard to the mechanism underlying sensitive tumor responses. Recent studies have suggested that large single doses of radiation (8-10 Gy) cause endothelial cell death through a ceramide-dependent mechanism (Kolesnick and Fuks 2003). The effects of ceramide dependence may lead to the lethal damage that accounts for tumor destruction. However, joint effects of low radiation doses and UTMD are likely relevant to other microbubbles or radiation-based tumor damage. More specifically, while high doses (> 8 Gy) release sufficient quantities of ceramide to cause endothelial cell death, radiation doses lower than 6 Gy do not activate adequate ceramide to prompt ceramide-induced cell death (Czarnota 2012). When ceramide is released following UTMD, it is not sufficient to quickly activate and wide spread cell death for vascular shut-down when XRT is used alone. Effects of 4 Gy treatment combined with UTMD were obvious compared with either treatment alone, as shown in the previous studies (Daecher 2017; Kaffas et al. 2013). As regard to clinical study, ultrasound pressure and microbubble concentration would need to be adjusted according to the lowest fixed radiation required.

The primary mechanism of ionizing radiation is to trigger cancer cell death directly by DNA disruption. The second one is involved in endothelial cell injury and death induced by radiation within the tumor microvasculature, which indirectly leads to cancer cell death (Kaffas 2018b). Previous studies have indicated that when the ionized radiation was used alone, the up-regulation of proteins associated with the DNA damage would be induced, leading to less apoptosis (Santivasi and Xia 2014; Xiao 2019). The yH2AX, a histone subtype related with DNA damage (Al-Mahrouki 2014), was up-regulated, while phospho-BRCA1, phospho-CHK1, and phospho-P53 were down-regulated by UTMD combined with radiotherapy. It indicates that the radiotherapy sensitization effect of UTMD on GBM was at least partially achieved by inhibiting the DSB repair ability of tumor cells. The expression of CD34, an endothelial cell marker, was the same as the existence of endothelial cells, and was utilized to define the structure and properties of the vasculature (Deng 2018). MVD assessments



Fig. 4 Western blot analysis showing the effects of UTMD combined with XRT on DSB repair-related proteins on xenograft tumor tissues. a Representative western blots showing the effects of UTMD on expression of ATM, ATR, CHK1, CHK2, H2AX, P53. b Graphs showing changes of protein expression in different groups. c Representative western blots showing the effects of UTMD on expression

of CD34 cannot distinguish the perfused vessels from the nonperfused ones (Chabowski 2018). Using electron microscopy, the absence of nucleus membrane, chromatin condensation, and mitochondrial vacuolation were also observed in the UTMD+XRT group as pathological changes, whereas other groups rarely happen. These findings imply that the oscillation, collapse, and inertial of bubbles in microvessels or immediate cavitation in the process of US sonication can disrupt the tumor microvessels and cause tumor cell injury and lysis. Our study suggested that the tumor tissue from intravenous microbubbles combined with ultrasonic exposure treatment decreased expression of CD34 compared with other groups, and the treatment of intravenous microbubbles combined with ultrasonic exposure may inhibit angiogenesis. However, it showed no significant difference from other groups in our study. It is possibly because the animal scale was too small. Moreover, the check time point warrants further research since previous studies found that the MVD was different from other

of Phospho-ATR, Phospho-BRCA1, Phospho-CHK1, Phospho-CHK2, γ H2AX and Phospho-P53 (d) Graphs showing changes of protein expression among different groups. β -action was included as a loading control. Data represent average results from three independent experiments; SD signifies standard deviation (*n*=3), **p*<0.05 versus XRT groups

groups in mouse tumor model after 12 h and 24 h (Lai 2016; Kaffas 2018a).

Some limitations and future research directions are worth discussing. Our experiments were conducted with xenografts in nude mice. It demands adjustments to amplification for nude mice owing to vascularity can be heterogeneous. Therefore, further studies are needed to optimize the type of ultrasonic contrast agent and injection time in animal model in situ. In addition, we aim to expand this research in the future to discover the mechanisms of both ultrasonic acoustic cavitation and ceramide-dependent entendothelial cell death.

Conclusions

This research revealed that the UTMD could be a safe and feasible technique to slow the GBM growth in vitro and in vivo. Although the application of UTMD in GBM tumor



Fig. 5 γ H2AX labeling of tumor sections. **a** Representative images of γ H2AX stained tumour cross-sections, The scale bars represent 200 μ m. **b** γ H2AX labeling comparison in different groups (n=6), *p<0.05 versus XRT groups

therapy is still in the experimental stage, we believe that this new technology would potentially help in conducting radiation treatments with lower doses of radiation, and sparing patients from normal tissue damage.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-021-03542-5.

Author contributions CJP, YW and CY conceived and designed the experiments; CJP, YY, NNL, XC and LHG performed the experiments, collected and analyzed the data; CJP, YW and YY draft the manuscript; YW, DX and CY provided critical comments on the design of the study, analysis, and data interpretation; all authors supplied data, critically revised, and gave final approval of the article.

Funding This work was supported by the fund of National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81702968) and Zhejiang Province Medical and Health Science and Technology Project (no. 2017ZD009 and no. 2019KY334).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval All experiments were performed in accordance with the institutional and national guidelines and regulations, and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Zhejiang Cancer Hospital.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Alexander BM, Cloughesy TF (2017) Adult glioblastoma. J Clin Oncol 35(21):2402–2409
- Al-Mahrouki AA et al (2014) Cellular characterization of ultrasoundstimulated microbubble radiation enhancement in a prostate cancer xenograft model. Dis Model Mech 7(3):363–372
- Briggs K et al (2014) Non-invasive monitoring of ultrasound-stimulated microbubble radiation enhancement using photoacoustic imaging. Technol Cancer Res Treat 13(5):435–444
- Carruthers RD et al (2018) Replication stress drives constitutive activation of the DNA damage response and radioresistance in glioblastoma stem-like cells. Cancer Res 78(17):5060–5071

- Chabowski M et al (2018) Comparison of microvessel density using nestin and CD34 in colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 38(7):3889–3895
- Chou TC et al (1994) Computerized quantitation of synergism and antagonism of taxol, topotecan, and cisplatin against human teratocarcinoma cell growth: a rational approach to clinical protocol design. J Natl Cancer Inst 86(20):1517–1524
- Cui H et al (2017) Ultrasound mediated microbubbles destruction augmented sonolysis: an in vitro and in vivo study. Biomed Res Int 2017:7021929
- Czarnota GJ (2015) Ultrasound-stimulated microbubble enhancement of radiation response. Biol Chem 396(6–7):645–657
- Czarnota GJ et al (2012) Tumor radiation response enhancement by acoustical stimulation of the vasculature. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(30):E2033–E2041
- Daecher A et al (2017) Localized microbubble cavitation-based antivascular therapy for improving HCC treatment response to radiotherapy. Cancer Lett 411:100–105
- Deng H et al (2018) Ultrasound-stimulated microbubbles enhance radiosensitization of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cell Physiol Biochem 48(4):1530–1542
- Dong Q et al (2018) Opening the blood-brain barrier and improving the efficacy of temozolomide treatments of glioblastoma using pulsed, focused ultrasound with a microbubble contrast agent. Biomed Res Int 2018:6501508
- Eisenbrey JR et al (2018) Sensitization of hypoxic tumors to radiation therapy using ultrasound-sensitive oxygen microbubbles. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 101(1):88–96
- El Kaffas A, Czarnota GJ (2015) Biomechanical effects of microbubbles: from radiosensitization to cell death. Future Oncol 11(7):1093–1108
- El Kaffas A, Giles A, Czarnota GJ (2013) Dose-dependent response of tumor vasculature to radiation therapy in combination with Sunitinib depicted by three-dimensional high-frequency power Doppler ultrasound. Angiogenesis 16(2):443–454
- El Kaffas A et al (2014) Dll4-notch signalling blockade synergizes combined ultrasound-stimulated microbubble and radiation therapy in human colon cancer xenografts. PLoS ONE 9(4):e93888
- El Kaffas A et al (2018a) Tumour vascular shutdown and cell death following ultrasound-microbubble enhanced radiation therapy. Theranostics 8(2):314–327
- El Kaffas A et al (2018b) Role of acid sphingomyelinase and ceramide in mechano-acoustic enhancement of tumor radiation responses. J Natl Cancer Inst 110(9):1009–1018
- Fan CH et al (2019) Enhancing boron uptake in brain glioma by a boron-polymer/microbubble complex with focused ultrasound. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 11(12):11144–11156
- Garcia-Barros M et al (2003) Tumor response to radiotherapy regulated by endothelial cell apoptosis. Science 300(5622):1155–1159
- Gusyatiner O, Hegi ME (2018) Glioma epigenetics: from subclassification to novel treatment options. Semin Cancer Biol 51:50–58
- Huang P et al (2013) A novel therapeutic strateGy using ultrasound mediated microbubbles destruction to treat colon cancer in a mouse model. Cancer Lett 335(1):183–190
- Huaying S et al (2016) Transglutaminase 2 inhibitor KCC009 induces p53-independent radiosensitization in lung adenocarcinoma cells. Med Sci Monit 22:5041–5048

- Jing Y et al (2019) Ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction improved the antiangiogenic effect of Endostar in triple-negative breast carcinoma xenografts. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 145(5):1191–1200
- Kim HC et al (2013) Effects of biophysical parameters in enhancing radiation responses of prostate tumors with ultrasound-stimulated microbubbles. Ultrasound Med Biol 39(8):1376–1387
- Klein J et al (2020) Effect of treatment sequencing on the tumor response to combined treatment with ultrasound-stimulated microbubbles and radiotherapy. J Ultrasound Med 39:2415–2425
- Kolesnick R, Fuks Z (2003) Radiation and ceramide-induced apoptosis. Oncogene 22(37):5897–5906
- Lai P et al (2016) Breast tumor response to ultrasound mediated excitation of microbubbles and radiation therapy in vivo. Oncoscience 3(3-4):98–108
- Lammertink BHA et al (2016) Increase of intracellular cisplatin levels and radiosensitization by ultrasound in combination with microbubbles. J Control Rel 238:157–165
- Lieberman FS et al (2019) Phase 2 study of radiation therapy plus lowdose temozolomide followed by temozolomide and irinotecan for glioblastoma: NRG oncology RTOG trial 0420. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 103(4):878–886
- Lin L et al (2018) UTMD-promoted co-delivery of gemcitabine and mir-21 inhibitor by dendrimer-entrapped gold nanoparticles for pancreatic cancer therapy. Theranostics 8(7):1923–1939
- Nofiele JT et al (2013) Ultrasound-activated microbubble cancer therapy: ceramide production leading to enhanced radiation effect in vitro. Technol Cancer Res Treat 12(1):53–60
- Santivasi WL, Xia F (2014) Ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage, response, and repair. Antioxid Redox Signal 21(2):251–259
- Shen H et al (2015) Sensitization of glioblastoma cells to irradiation by modulating the glucose metabolism. Mol Cancer Ther 14(8):1794–1804
- Shen ZY et al (2016) The effects of percutaneous ethanol injection followed by 20-kHz ultrasound and microbubbles on rabbit hepatic tumors. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 142(2):373–378
- Sulman EP et al (2017) Radiation therapy for glioblastoma: american society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline endorsement of the american society for radiation oncology guideline. J Clin Oncol 35(3):361–369
- Tran WT et al (2012) Microbubble and ultrasound radioenhancement of bladder cancer. Br J Cancer 107(3):469–476
- Xiao AY et al (2019) Sodium sulfide selectively induces oxidative stress, DNA damage, and mitochondrial dysfunction and radiosensitizes glioblastoma (GBM) cells. Redox Biol 26:101220
- Yang C et al (2015) Quantitative correlational study of microbubbleenhanced ultrasound imaging and magnetic resonance imaging of glioma and early response to radiotherapy in a rat model. Med Phys 42(8):4762–4772

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.