

Job van Woensel · Jan Kimpen

## Therapy for respiratory tract infections caused by respiratory syncytial virus

Received: 9 August 1999 / Accepted: 30 November 1999

**Abstract** Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the most common viral cause of lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) in infancy and young children. No effective treatment for RSV lower respiratory tract infection (RSV-LRTI) exists. Ribavirin initially proved to be an effective anti-viral drug for RSV-LRTI. However, subsequently performed trials could not reproduce these positive results and, based on the current available evidence, there is no place for ribavirin in the routine treatment of RSV-LRTI. The use of nebulised bronchodilator therapy in RSV-LRTI has been subject of many trials, with conflicting results. Although the individual patient may have some short-term benefit from nebulised bronchodilators, there does not seem to be a sufficient scientific basis for the standard use of bronchodilator therapy in infants and children with RSV-LRTI. There is increasing evidence that RSV-LRTI is an immune-mediated disease and therefore corticosteroids may be an effective treatment. The results from efficacy trials have demonstrated that corticosteroids are not effective for patients with mild RSV infection. In contrast there are indications that it may be beneficial in patients with more severe RSV-LRTI. It has been demonstrated that in children with RSV infection the vitamin A concentration is inversely related to disease severity. The use of vitamin A in the treatment of patients with RSV-LRTI, however, proved not to be effective. Immunoprophylaxis with hyperimmune immunoglobulins and monoclonal antibody against the viral F-protein have been shown to be effective in the prevention of RSV-LRTI. From the results of the therapeutic efficacy trials, however, it can be discerned that immunoglobulins have no place in the treatment of RSV-LRTI.

**Conclusion** Although respiratory syncytial virus infections each year have a considerable socioeconomic impact, attempts to find an effective therapy have so far been quite unsuccessful. Anti-viral therapy with ribavirin has not been proven to be effective. Symptomatic therapy with bronchodilators may give only short-term relief of symptoms in some individual patients, but has no effect on hospitalisation rates, or duration of hospitalisation. The beneficial effect of corticosteroids in patients with mild respiratory syncytial virus infection is very disappointing, however, there are indications that there might be an effect in patients with more severe infection. So far no beneficial therapeutic effect has been demonstrated with immune globulins.

**Key words** Respiratory syncytial virus · Respiratory tract infection · Treatment · Review

J. van Woensel (✉)  
Paediatric Intensive Care Unit/G8ZW,  
Emma Children's Hospital,  
Academic Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 9,  
PO Box 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
e-mail: j.b.vanwoensel@amc.uva.nl

J. Kimpen  
Wilhelmina Children's Hospital,  
University Medical Centre,  
Utrecht, The Netherlands

**Abbreviations** *FI-RSV* formalin inactivated respiratory syncytial virus · *IVIG* standard intravenous immune globulin · *LRTI* lower respiratory tract infection · *RSV* respiratory syncytial virus · *RSVIG* hyperimmune RSV globulins · *RSV-LRTI* respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection

---

## Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the most common viral cause of lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) in infancy and young children [18]. RSV infection usually starts in the upper respiratory tract. In 25%–40% of cases the lower respiratory tract is also involved [24]. In the general population only about 1% of children infected with RSV need hospitalisation due to severe illness [24]. In the Netherlands between 800 and 2500 children are admitted to hospital annually for RSV lower respiratory tract infection (RSV-LRTI). In industrialised countries the mortality rate for children admitted to hospital for RSV-LRTI has been reported to be between 1%–3% although this varies greatly with the population [24]. Patients with pre-existent pulmonary disease such as bronchopulmonary dysplasia and cystic fibrosis or with congenital heart disease, as well as ex-premature and very young children form high risk groups for severe RSV infection. Whereas LRTI or LRTI with RSV is typically a disease of immunocompetent infants and young children, immunocompromised patients may develop severe RSV pneumonia [27, 51].

No effective treatment for RSV-LRTI exists. Antiviral, bronchodilator and anti-inflammatory therapy for RSV-LRTI have been subject of a large number of clinical trials. These trials have shown conflicting results, which contributes to an inconsistent approach to patients with RSV infection world-wide [4, 35].

---

## Therapy

### General considerations

In the development of therapeutic strategies for RSV bronchiolitis the role of the immune response should be taken in account. An increasing body of evidence has demonstrated that besides a direct cytotoxic effect of RSV, parts of the immune response against RSV may contribute to the pathogenesis. The first indications for this role of the immune response came from the experiences with formalin-inactivated virus (FI-RSV) that was used as a vaccine in the 1960s. After vaccination with FI-RSV, children developed severe LRTI when subsequently infected with RSV the next season. In the lungs of patients dying of a natural infection after vaccination, infiltrates of mononuclear cells and eosinophils were found. The mechanism responsible for this vaccine-associated enhanced disease is still not completely understood. There are indications that formalin changes viral epitopes responsible for the generation of neutral-

ising antibodies [13]. In addition, from animal studies it became clear that a natural infection with RSV elaborates a Th-1-like response whereas the FI-RSV vaccine leads to a Th-2-like response giving rise to increased airway inflammation [13].

Subsequent experimental studies have shown that also during natural infection the immune response may contribute to enhanced disease. In an experimental model Cannon et al [6] infected immunodeficient mice with RSV. They demonstrated that only those mice that received RSV-specific cytotoxic T-cells concomitant with the virus died. Graham et al. [20] demonstrated less morbidity in CD-4 and CD-8 T-cell deficient mice after infected with RSV when compared with immune-competent mice. In contrast to these clear immunopathogenetic effects of the cellular immune response, a pre-existing humoral response is mainly associated with protection against RSV infection. Several studies have demonstrated that the risk of LRTI is inversely related to the titre of neutralising antibodies [28]. However, there are also indications that besides this protective effect, parts of the humoral immune response may be associated with the pathogenesis of RSV bronchiolitis [34]. Finally, also in the similarities between asthma and RSV bronchiolitis arguments for immunopathogenesis can be found. Asthma and RSV bronchiolitis do have many features in common. Both in asthma as in RSV-LRTI eosinophils play an important role in the inflammation of the airways [17].

In summary it can be stated that the humoral response is associated with protection against RSV infection, and that the cellular immune response terminates RSV disease, but in the meanwhile contributes to enhanced disease severity. The fact that RSV disease is a partially immune-mediated disease may on the one hand explain why results with antiviral therapy are disappointing, on the other hand it may give opportunities for immune modulating therapy, for example with corticosteroids or immune globulins.

### Antiviral therapy

Ribavirin is a synthetic nucleoside analogue and is the only antiviral drug against RSV that is available. For RSV infection it is only registered in nebulised form, given as a small particle aerosol. The first clinical intervention trials that evaluated the efficacy of nebulised ribavirin were conducted in the early 1980s in patients with RSV-LRTI who did not receive mechanical ventilation [3, 23, 25, 26, 32, 64]. Most of these trials showed a positive effect of nebulised ribavirin when compared with placebo treatment. However, randomisation and

blinding procedures were not properly conducted [3], or only improvement of non-validated illness severity scores and/or oxygenation changes were used as primary endpoints [23, 25, 26, 64]. In none of these studies duration of hospitalisation was used as an endpoint. In addition, none of these trials corrected for concomitant treatment during hospitalisation for example with bronchodilator therapy or steroids. In an attempt to include these studies in a meta-analysis the way each study reported their data prevented aggregation and no conclusion could be drawn [53].

Smith et al. [61] were the first to study ribavirin in patients with severe RSV-LRTI who received mechanical ventilation. In a double-blind placebo-controlled study they demonstrated that in patients treated with nebulised ribavirin the mean duration of mechanical ventilation, the use of supplemental oxygen as well as the length of hospital stay were significantly shorter when compared with the placebo-treated patients. This study was criticised because water was used as a placebo, which is a possible irritant for the airways when compared with standard mechanical ventilation with humidified air [48]. A further double-blind placebo-controlled study in mechanically ventilated patients with RSV-LRTI in whom isotonic saline solution was used as placebo could not demonstrate a positive effect of ribavirin on the same variables [45]. Moreover, Moler et al [49] showed in a controlled trial that nebulised ribavirin may even lead to prolongation of mechanical ventilation in infants with RSV-associated respiratory failure. In addition, Law et al [39] demonstrated that the use of ribavirin was associated with a prolonged duration of hospitalisation in patients with RSV-LRTI. Based on the results of the latter three studies in addition to a historical cohort study that failed to demonstrate a positive effect of ribavirin [69], the American Academy of Pediatrics made a reassessment of its use. The

Academy changed their recommendation from 'should be used' to 'may be considered' in high risk children for serious RSV disease [2].

In conclusion, ribavirin has no proven benefit as an anti-viral treatment in RSV infection and based on the current available evidence there is no place for its routine use in either ventilated or non-ventilated patients with RSV-LRTI.

#### Bronchodilator therapy

As in asthma, airway obstruction secondary to inflammation and constriction of the smaller airways plays an important role in the pathophysiology of bronchiolitis. Therefore, it is not surprising that bronchodilator therapy has been subject of many trials in patients with bronchiolitis. However, these trials have shown conflicting results. In part, this may be due to different inclusion criteria, since not all patients in these trials had proven RSV infection and many asthma patients may have been included. In addition these trials used different treatment strategies as well as different endpoints. Most of the studies have evaluated the efficacy of  $\beta$ -2-agonists in bronchiolitis, both in inpatient as in outpatient settings.

#### $\beta$ -2-Agonists

The results of randomised controlled trials evaluating the effects of nebulised  $\beta$ -2-agonists in bronchiolitis in hospitalised patients are shown in Table 1. In one (unblinded) study, a positive effect on a severity score and length of hospital stay was found [44]. All other studies failed to demonstrate a positive effect of nebulised  $\beta$ -2-agonists on severity scores or length of hospital stay.

**Table 1** Randomised controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of nebulised  $\beta$ -2-agonists in hospitalised patients with bronchiolitis. (AP aminophylline, CO cross over, DB double blind, IB ipratropium

bromide, PC placebo-controlled, R randomised, S systemic corticosteroids)

| Study | N   | Design     | RSV $\oplus$ /tested (%) | Treatment  | Variables   | Results   |
|-------|-----|------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| [31]  | 21  | R-DB-PC-CO | 21/21 (100)              | Albuterol  | Oxygen saturation   | Negative  |
| [44]  | 79  | R-PC       | Not stated               | Fenoterol<br>Fenoterol + IB<br>Oral fenoterol + S<br>Oral fenoterol + S + AP | Standardised severity score<br>Length of hospital stay                            | Positive<br>Positive                            |
| [68]  | 62  | R-DB-PC    | 16/60 (27)               | Albuterol a/o IB   | Oxygen saturation<br>Standardised severity score<br>Length of hospital stay       | Negative<br>No difference<br>No difference      |
| [11]  | 52  | R-DB-PC    | 42/52 (81)               | Albuterol  | Oxygen saturation<br>Standardised severity score<br>Length of hospital stay       | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference |
| [42]  | 49  | R-DB-PC    | 20/32 (41)               | Albuterol  | Oxygen saturation<br>Standardised severity score                                  | Negative<br>No difference                       |
| [19]  | 120 | R-DB-PC    | 51/120 (42)              | Albuterol or IB  | Standardised severity score<br>Number of nebulisations<br>Length of hospital stay | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference |
| [7]   | 89  | R-DB-PC    | 52/74 (70)               | Albuterol a/o IB   | Standardised severity score<br>Length of hospital stay                            | No difference<br>No difference                  |

Several studies even showed a negative effect on oxygen saturation [31, 42, 68]. It is important to mention that proportions of patients with laboratory proven RSV infection varied strongly in these studies: from 27%–100%. As shown in Table 2, several studies have evaluated the effect of  $\beta$ -2-agonists in an outpatient setting. Some of them found a positive effect in terms of severity scores, while others did not. In addition the rate of hospitalisation was no different in any of the studies. Also in these studies there was a great variation in the proportion of RSV-infected patients, from 34%–62%.

### Other bronchodilator therapy

Several other bronchodilator drugs have been subject of randomised placebo controlled trials. In hospitalised patients ipratropium bromide proved to be not effective, either alone or in combination with  $\beta$ -2-agonists, in terms of oxygen saturation, severity score, number of nebulisations, or length of hospital stay [7, 19, 30, 41, 44, 68].

The effect of epinephrine on bronchiolitis has also been evaluated in randomised controlled trials. The first trial was performed in 1983 by Lowell et al [43]. They found that subcutaneous epinephrine had a positive effect on a severity score in an outpatient setting. Another trial was performed in an inpatient setting and evaluated the effect of nebulised epinephrine [38]. The results of this study also demonstrated a positive effect of epinephrine when compared with placebo on a severity score. However, the subjects in both these studies were not proven RSV-infected children, a severity score was the only endpoint and only a very short-term effect (30 and 60 min respectively) was measured. Two studies compared the effect of nebulised epinephrine with nebulised salbutamol [47, 58] In one study epinephrine proved to be superior to salbutamol in terms of a severity score and lung function when measured after 15–30 min [58]. The other study showed a better oxygen

saturation and significantly less hospitalisations in the epinephrine group [47]. These two studies were not placebo-controlled. The rationale for the use of epinephrine for bronchiolitis lies in the combination of a  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -effects. Besides bronchoconstriction, intraluminal oedema plays an important role due to the infection. The  $\alpha$ -effect leads to reduction of capillary and post-capillary leakage which in turn may result in less oedema. The effect of epinephrine should be further evaluated in larger placebo controlled trials.

### Meta-analysis

Three [31, 44, 68] of the seven inpatient studies shown in Table 1 were enrolled in a recently performed meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of nebulised  $\beta$ -2-agonists [14]. No firm conclusion could be drawn, because the variability of the design and outcome of these three studies prevented aggregation of the data. The same meta-analysis enrolled five [15, 16, 36, 59, 60] of the six outpatient randomised controlled trials shown in Table 2. The pooled data contained 129 patients in the  $\beta$ -2-agonist group versus 122 in the placebo group. No beneficial effect of  $\beta$ -2-agonists could be demonstrated on hospitalisation rate, while there was little impact on physiological status (severity scores) when compared with placebo treated patients [14].

Kellner et al. [33] published another meta-analysis of 20 both in- and outpatient randomised controlled trials that evaluated bronchodilator therapy in bronchiolitis. The authors found that bronchodilator therapy was beneficial in terms of clinical severity score. We, however, question the clinical relevance of this finding, moreover since no difference could be demonstrated on more long-term endpoints such as rate of hospitalisation or length of hospital stay. The results of this meta-analysis may be biased by inclusion of many non-bronchiolitis patients. In addition, the interpretation of

**Table 2** Randomised controlled trials evaluating the effect nebulised  $\beta$ -2-agonists in an outpatient setting. (CO cross over, DB double blind, PC placebo-controlled, R randomised)

| Study | N   | Design     | RSV $\oplus$ /tested (%) | Treatment      | Variables                   | Results                |
|-------|-----|------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| [1]   | 74  | R-DB-PC-CO | 21/62 (34)               | Metaproterenol | Standardised severity score | Positive               |
| [59]  | 40  | R-DB-PC    | 21/34 (62)               | Albuterol      | Oxygen saturation           | Positive               |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Standardised severity score | Positive               |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Hospitalisation rate        | No difference          |
| [36]  | 83  | R-DB-PC    | 48/83 (58)               | Albuterol      | Oxygen saturation           | No difference          |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Standardised severity score | Positive               |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Hospitalisation rate        | No difference          |
| [16]  | 88  | R-DB-PC    | 36/75 (48)               | Albuterol      | Oxygen saturation           | No difference          |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Standardised severity score | No difference          |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Hospitalisation rate        | No difference          |
| [15]  | 128 | R-DB-PC    | 51/118 (43)              | Albuterol      | Oxygen saturation           | No difference          |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Standardised severity score | No difference          |
| [60]  | 25  | R-DB-PC    | Not stated               | Albuterol      | Oxygen saturation           | Negative/No difference |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Standardised severity score | Positive               |
|       |     |            |                          |                | Hospitalisation rate        | No difference          |

the results may be difficult since no differentiation was made between different bronchodilators.

The individual patient may have some short-term benefit from nebulised bronchodilators. However there does not seem to be a sufficient scientific basis for the standard use of bronchodilator therapy in children and infants with RSV bronchiolitis. More placebo-controlled trials should be done to evaluate the effect of epinephrine in RSV bronchiolitis.

### Corticosteroids

As discussed above, there is an increasing body of both experimental and clinical evidence that the immune response in RSV bronchiolitis may be disease-enhancing. Because RSV bronchiolitis is an immune-mediated disease, immune-modulating drugs like corticosteroids may be effective. Already since the early 1960s this has been subject of several clinical trials with conflicting results as is shown in Table 3. The first trial was done by Oski et al. in 1961 [52]. They found a positive effect of dexamethasone on a severity score, length of oxygen therapy and hospital stay. Some subsequently performed studies could not reproduce their results [40, 62, 63] while others also found positive effects of corticosteroids in patients with bronchiolitis [9, 65]. Due to different treatment

protocols and variable study populations with unknown proportions of RSV-infected children, these trials are not comparable and may be difficult to interpret.

As is shown in Table 4, more recently five randomised controlled trials studied the effect of corticosteroids in the treatment of RSV-proven bronchiolitis [8, 10, 37, 57, 67]. In four of these trials no beneficial effect of corticosteroids could be demonstrated in terms of severity score, oxygen saturation and oxygen use, lung function as well as length of hospital stay [8, 10, 37, 57]. However, in all these four studies, patients with severe bronchiolitis and patients who needed mechanical ventilation were excluded. In a randomised placebo-controlled trial, our group could indeed not demonstrate a beneficial effect of oral prednisolone in patients with mild RSV bronchiolitis. However, in patients with severe infection we found that prednisolone was effective. In non-ventilated patients we found a faster clinical recovery in the prednisolone group when compared with the placebo group. In addition in ventilated patients we found that prednisolone led to a significant shorter duration of hospitalisation of 6 days [67]. These results formed the base for a new multicentre trial that is currently underway. In this trial the effect of corticosteroids is evaluated in patients who need mechanical ventilation because of respiratory insufficiency due to severe RSV bronchiolitis.

**Table 3** Clinical trials evaluating the effect of corticosteroids in bronchiolitis. (*DB* double blind, *PC* placebo-controlled, *R* randomised)

| Study | <i>N</i> | Design  | RSV⊕/tested (%) | Treatment (days)                    | Variables  | Results   |
|-------|----------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| [52]  | 20       | R-PC    | Unknown         | Dexamethasone (2)                   | Standardised severity score<br>Length of oxygen therapy<br>Length of hospital stay | Positive<br>Positive<br>Positive                |
| [63]  | 59       | DB-PC   | 14/26 (54)      | Dexamethasone (14)                  | Disease severity   | No difference                                   |
| [9]   | 44       | R-DB-PC | Unknown         | Methylprednisolone (2)              | Standardised severity score<br>Length of hospital stay                             | No difference<br>Positive                       |
| [40]  | 297      | R-DB-PC | Unknown         | Betamethasone (3)                   | Disease severity   | No difference                                   |
| [65]  | 32       | R-DB-PC | Unknown         | Dexamethasone (3)                   | Standardised severity score  | Positive  |
| [62]  | 50       | R-DB-PC | Unknown         | Hydrocortisone,<br>Prednisolone (8) | Standardised severity score<br>Lung function<br>Length of hospital stay            | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference |

**Table 4** Randomised placebo-controlled trials evaluating the effect in RSV-proven bronchiolitis. (*DB* double blind, *PC* placebo-controlled, *R* randomised)

| Study | <i>N</i> | Design  | RSV⊕/tested (%) | Treatment (days)  | Variables  | Results   |
|-------|----------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|--|---|
| [8]   | 95       | R-DB-PC | 78/93 (84)      | Prednisolone (7)  | Disease severity   | No difference                                     |
| [57]  | 118      | R-DB-PC | 79/118 (67)     | Dexamethasone (3) | Length of oxygen therapy<br>Standardised severity score  | No difference<br>No difference                    |
| [37]  | 67       | R-DB-PC | 58/67 (87)      | Dexamethasone (3) | Standardised severity score<br>Oxygen saturation<br>Length of hospital stay                                  | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference   |
| [10]  | 29       | R-DB-PC | 29/29 (100)     | Dexamethasone (3) | Standardised severity score<br>Oxygen saturation<br>Lung function  | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference   |
| [67]  | 54       | R-DB-PC | 54/54 (100)     | Prednisolone (7)  | Length of hospital stay<br>Standardised severity score<br>Length of ventilation<br>Length of hospitalisation | No difference<br>Positive<br>Positive<br>Positive |

## Vitamin A

It has been demonstrated that children with RSV infection have depressed vitamin A concentrations and that vitamin A concentration is inversely related to disease severity [50]. Subsequently, in two randomised controlled efficacy trials, vitamin A was compared with placebo in children hospitalised for RSV infection [5, 12]. The results of these trials showed that children with RSV infection do not benefit from high dose vitamin A supplementation. In one trial children older than 1 year who received vitamin A even had longer hospital stay than those receiving placebo [5]. In conclusion the use of vitamin A in RSV bronchiolitis is not supported by the current evidence.

## Immunoglobulins

Because attempts to develop a safe vaccine against RSV has had only limited success to date, much effort has been focused on the role of immunoglobulins in the prevention of RSV infection. Encouraged by results from animal studies, several investigators also have evaluated the therapeutic effect of immunoglobulins in patients with RSV bronchiolitis. Standard intravenous immune globulin (IVIG) proved neither to be protective [46] nor to have a therapeutic effect [29]. It was suggested that with standard IVIG used in these studies insufficient neutralising antibody titres were achieved. This was also the case in several other lots of standard IVIG tested [28]. In the search for alternatives, hyperimmune globulins with higher neutralising antibody titre against RSV (RSVIG) were developed. It proved that RSVIG indeed could provide better neutralising antibody titres [22, 28]. In a randomised prevention trial, monthly administered high dose intravenous RSVIG proved to be beneficial in terms of rate of hospitalisation, duration of hospitalisation and duration on the intensive care unit in children at high risk for severe RSV infection [21]. In contrast with this positive effect in preventing RSV-LRTI with RSVIG, the results of two treatment intervention trials with RSVIG were disappointing. Compared with placebo, RSVIG was not effective in healthy children with RSV infection in terms of length of hospital stay, stay on the intensive care unit, mechanical ventilation or oxygen therapy [55]. Also in children at high risk for severe RSV infection, treatment with RSVIG proved not to be beneficial [56]. Rimensberger et al. [54] evaluated the effect of aerosolised immune globulins in patients hospitalised for RSV bronchiolitis in a double-blind controlled study design. Neither with this form of administration of immune globulin could a beneficial clinical or microbiological effect be demonstrated. From the studies with RSVIG it became clear that passive immunisation is effective as long as enough neutralising antibody titre is achieved. However, RSVIG has several disadvantages. It requires monthly intravenous infusions with a high fluid load and is very costly. Therefore

further research has been done to develop an alternative preparation. Palivizumab is a humanised monoclonal antibody against the F-protein of RSV. It can be given intramuscularly and proved to reduce hospital admissions for RSV infectious with 55% when given as monthly injections [66]. No therapeutic data on Palivizumab are available to date.

In conclusion, immunoprophylaxis seems to be protective, as long as sufficient titres of neutralising antibodies against RSV are provided. In contrast, from the therapeutic efficacy trials conducted so far, it has become clear that there is no place for immune globulin in the routine treatment of RSV bronchiolitis.

## References

- Alario AJ, Lewander WJ, Dennehy P, Seifer R, Mansell AL (1992) The efficacy of nebulized metaproterenol in wheezing infants and young children. *Am J Dis Child* 146: 412–418
- American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Infectious Diseases (1996) Reassessment of indications for ribavirin therapy in respiratory syncytial virus infections. *Pediatrics* 97: 137–140
- Barry W, Cockburn F, Cornall R, Price JF, Sutherland G, Vardag A (1986) Ribavirin aerosol for acute bronchiolitis. *Arch Dis Child* 61: 593–597
- Behrendt CE, Decker MD, Burch DJ, Watson PH (1998) International variation in the management of infants hospitalised with respiratory syncytial virus. *Eur J Pediatr* 157: 215–220
- Bresee JS, Fischer M, Dowell SF, Johnston BD, Biggs VM, Levine RS, Lingappa JR, Keyserling HL, Petersen KM, Bak JR, Gary HE, Jr., Sowell AL, Rubens CE, Anderson LJ (1996) Vitamin A therapy for children with respiratory syncytial virus infection: a multicenter trial in the United States. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 15: 777–782
- Cannon MJ, Openshaw PJM, Akonas BA (1988) Lung pathology in mice infected with respiratory syncytial virus. *J Exp Med* 168: 1163–1168
- Chowdhury D, al Howasi M, Khalil M, al-Frayh AS, Chowdhury S, Ramia S (1995) The role of bronchodilators in the management of bronchiolitis: a clinical trial. *Ann Trop Paediatr* 15: 77–84
- Connolly JH, Field CMB, Glasgow JFT, Slattery CM, MacLynn DM (1969) A double blind trial of prednisolone in epidemic bronchiolitis due to respiratory syncytial virus. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 58: 116–120
- Dabbous IA, Tkachyk JS, Stamm SJ (1966) A double blind study on the effects of corticosteroids in the treatment of bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics* 37: 477–484
- De Boeck K, Van der Aa N, Van Lierde S, Corbeel L, Eeckels R (1997) Respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. A double blind dexamethasone efficacy study. *J Pediatr* 131: 919–921
- Dobson JV, Stephens-Groff SM, McMahon SR, Stemmler MM, Brallier SL, Bay C (1998) The use of albuterol in hospitalized infants with bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics* 101: 361–368
- Dowell SF, Papic Z, Bresee JS, Larranaga C, Mendez M, Sowell AL, Gary HE, Jr., Anderson LJ, Avendano LF (1996) Treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infection with vitamin A: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial in Santiago, Chile. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 15: 782–786
- Dudas RA, Karron RA (1998) Respiratory syncytial virus vaccines. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 11: 430–439
- Flores G, Horwitz RI (1997) Efficacy of beta-2-agonists in bronchiolitis: a reappraisal and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 100: 233–239
- Gadomski AM, Aref GH, el Din OB, el Sawy IH, Khallaf N, Black RE (1994) Oral versus nebulized albuterol in the management of bronchiolitis in Egypt. *J Pediatr* 124: 131–138

16. Gadomski AM, Lichenstein R, Horton L, King J, Keane V, Permutt T (1994) Efficacy of albuterol in the management of bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics* 93: 907–912
17. Garofalo R, Kimpen JLL, Welliver RC, Ogra PL (1992) Eosinophil degranulation in the respiratory tract during naturally acquired respiratory syncytial virus infection. *J Pediatr* 120: 28–32
18. Glezen WP, Denny FW (1973) Epidemiology of acute lower respiratory disease in children. *N Engl J Med* 288: 498–505
19. Goh A, Chay OM, Foo AL, Ong EK (1997) Efficacy of bronchodilators in the treatment of bronchiolitis. *Singapore Med J* 38: 326–328
20. Graham BS, Bunton LA, Wright PF, Karzon DT (1991) Role of T lymphocyte subsets in the pathogenesis of primary infection and rechallenge with respiratory syncytial virus in mice. *J Clin Invest* 88: 1026–1033
21. Groothuis JR, Simoes EAE, Levin MJ, Hall CB, Long CE, Rodriguez WJ (1993) Prophylactic administration of respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin to high-risk infants and young children. *N Engl J Med* 329: 1524–1530
22. Groothuis JR, Simoes EAF, Lehr MV, Kramer AA, Hemming VG, Rodriguez WJ, Arrabio J, Welliver RC, Siber GR (1995) Safety and bioequivalency of three formulations of respiratory syncytial virus-enriched immunoglobulin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 39: 668–671
23. Groothuis JR, Woodin KA, Katz R, Robertson AD, McBride JT, Hall CB, McWilliams BC, Lauer BA (1990) Early ribavirin treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infection in high-risk children. *J Pediatr* 117: 792–798
24. Hall CB (1997) Respiratory syncytial virus. In: Feign RD, Cherry JD (eds) *Textbook of pediatric infectious diseases*, 4th edn. W.B. Saunders, pp 2084–2111
25. Hall CB, McBride JT, Gala CL, Hildreth SW, Schnabel KC (1985) Ribavirin treatment of respiratory syncytial viral infection in infants with underlying cardiopulmonary disease. *JAMA* 254: 3047–3051
26. Hall CB, McBride JT, Walsh EE, Bell DM, Gala CL, Hildreth S, Ten Eyck LG, Hall WJ (1983) Aerosolized ribavirin treatment of infants with respiratory syncytial virus infection: a randomized double-blind study. *N Engl J Med* 308: 1443–1447
27. Hall CB, Powell KR, MacDonald NE, Gala CL, Menegus ME, Suffin SC, Cohen HJ (1986) Respiratory syncytial virus infection in children with compromised immune function. *N Engl J Med* 315: 77–81
28. Hemming VG, Prince GA, Groothuis JR, Siber GR (1995) Hyperimmune globulins in prevention and treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 8: 22–33
29. Hemming VG, Rodriguez WJ, Kim HW, Brandt CD, Parrot RH, Burch B, Prince GA, Baron PA, Fink RJ, Reaman G (1987) Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infections in infants and young children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 31: 1882–1886
30. Henry RL, Milner AD, Stokes GM (1983) Ineffectiveness of ipratropium bromide in acute bronchiolitis. *Arch Dis Child* 58: 925–926
31. Ho L, Collis G, Landau LI, Le Souef PN (1991) Effect of salbutamol on oxygen saturation in bronchiolitis. *Arch Dis Child* 66: 1061–1064
32. Janai HK, Stutman HR, Zaleska M, Rub B, Eyzaguirre M, Marks MI, Nussbaum E (1993) Ribavirin effect on pulmonary function in young infants with respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 12: 214–218
33. Kellner JD, Ohlsson A, Gadomski AM, Wang EEL (1998) Bronchodilator therapy in bronchiolitis (Cochrane Review). In: *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 4, 1998. Oxford: update software
34. Kimpen JLL, Heymans HSA (1993) Respiratory syncytial virus: immunity and immune injury. *Immunol Infect Dis* 3: 281–288
35. Kimpen JLL, Schaad UB (1997) Treatment of respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis: 1995 poll of members of the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 16: 479–481
36. Klassen TP, Rowe PC, Sutcliffe T, Ropp LJ, McDowell IW, Marilyn ML (1991) Randomized trial of salbutamol in acute bronchiolitis. *J Pediatr* 118: 807–811
37. Klassen TP, Sutcliffe T, Watters LK, Wells GA, Allen UD, Li MM (1997) Dexamethasone in salbutamol-treated inpatients with acute bronchiolitis: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Pediatr* 130: 191–196
38. Kristjansson S, Lodrup Carlsen KC, Wennergren G, Strannegard IL, Carlsen KH (1993) Nebulised racemic adrenaline in the treatment of acute bronchiolitis in infants and toddlers. *Arch Dis Child* 69: 650–654
39. Law BJ, Wang EEL, Stephens D (1995) Ribavirin does not reduce hospital stay in patients with respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection (abstract). *Pediatr Res* 37: 110A
40. Leer JA, Green JL, Heimlich EM, Hyde JS, Moffet HL, Young GA, Barron BA (1969) Corticosteroid treatment in bronchiolitis. *Am J Dis Child* 117: 495–503
41. Lines DR, Bates ML, Rechtman AR, Sammartino LP (1992) Efficacy of nebulised ipratropium bromide in acute bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Rev Commun* 6: 161–167
42. Lines DR, Kattampallil JS, Liston P (1990) Efficacy of nebulised salbutamol in bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Rev Commun* 5: 121–129
43. Lowell DI, Lister G, Von Koss H, McCarthy P (1987) Wheezing in infants: response to epinephrine. *Pediatrics* 79: 939–945
44. Mallol J, Barrueto L, Girardi G (1987) Use of nebulized bronchodilators in infants under 1 year of age: analysis of four forms of therapy. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 3: 298–303
45. Meert KL, Sarnaik AP, Gelmini MJ, Lieh Lai MW (1994) Aerosolized ribavirin in mechanically ventilated children with respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract disease: a prospective, double-blind, randomized trial. *Crit Care Med* 22: 566–572
46. Meissner HC, Fulton DR, Groothuis JR, Geggel RL, Marx GR, Hemming VG, Hougen T, Snyderman DR (1993) Controlled trial to evaluate protection of high-risk infants against respiratory syncytial virus disease by using standard intravenous immune globulin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 37: 1655–1658
47. Menon K, Sutcliffe T, Klassen TP (1995) A randomized trial comparing the efficacy of epinephrine with salbutamol in the treatment of acute bronchiolitis. *J Pediatr* 126: 1004–1007
48. Moler FW, Bandy KP, Guster JR (1991) Ribavirin for severe RSV infection. *N Engl J Med* 325: 1884–1885
49. Moler FW, Steinhart CM, Ohmit SE, Stidham GL (1996) Effectiveness of ribavirin in otherwise well infants with respiratory syncytial virus-associated respiratory failure. *J Pediatr* 128: 422–428
50. Neuzil Maletic K, Gruber WC, Chytil F, Stahlman MT, Engelhardt B, Graham BS (1994) Serum vitamin A levels in respiratory syncytial virus infection. *J Pediatr* 124: 433–436
51. Ogra PL, Patel J (1988) Respiratory syncytial virus infection and the immunocompromised host. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 7: 246–249
52. Oski FA, Salitsky S, Barness LA (1961) Steroid therapy in bronchiolitis: a double-blind study. *Am J Dis Child* 102: 759
53. Randolph AG, Wang EEL (1996) Ribavirin for respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 150: 942–947
54. Rimensberger PC, Burek-Kozłowska A, Morell A, Germann D, Eigenmann AK, Steiner F, Burger R, Kuenzli M, Schaad UB (1996) Aerosolized immunoglobulin treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infection in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 15: 209–216
55. Rodriguez WJ, Gruber WC, Groothuis JR, Simoes EA, Rosas AJ, Lepow M, Kramer A, Hemming V (1997) Respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin treatment of RSV lower respiratory tract infection in previously healthy children. *Pediatrics* 100: 937–942
56. Rodriguez WJ, Gruber WC, Welliver RC, Groothuis JR, Simoes EA, Meissner HC, Hemming VG, Hall CB, Lepow ML,

- Rosas AJ, Robertsen C, Kramer AA (1997) Respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin intravenous therapy for RSV lower respiratory tract infection in infants and young children at high risk for severe RSV infections: respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin study group. *Pediatrics* 99: 454–461
57. Roosevelt G, Sheehan K, Grupp-Phelan J, Tanz RR, Listerick R (1996) Dexamethasone in bronchiolitis: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 348: 292–295
  58. Sanchez I, De Koster J, Powell RE, Wolstein R, Chernick V (1993) Effect of racemic epinephrine and salbutamol on clinical score and pulmonary mechanics in infants with bronchiolitis. *J Pediatr* 122: 145–151
  59. Schuh S, Canny G, Reisman JJ, Kerem E, Bentur L, Petric M, Levison H (1990) Nebulized albuterol in acute bronchiolitis. *J Pediatr* 117: 633–637
  60. Schweich PJ, Hurt TL, Walkley EI, Mullen N, Archibald LF (1992) The use of nebulized albuterol in wheezing infants. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 8: 184–188
  61. Smith DW, Frankel LR, Mathers LH, Tang ATS, Ariagno RL, Prober CG (1991) A controlled trial of aerosolized ribavirin in infants receiving mechanical ventilation for severe respiratory syncytial virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 325: 24–29
  62. Springer C, Bar-Yishay E, Uwayyed K, Avital A, Vilozni D, Godfrey S (1990) Corticosteroids do not affect the clinical or physiological status of infants with bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 9: 181–185
  63. Sussman S, Grossman M, Magoffin R, Shielbe J (1964) Dexamethasone in obstructive respiratory tract infection in children. A controlled study. *Pediatrics* 34: 851
  64. Taber LH, Knight V, Gilbert BE, McClung HW, Wilson SZ, Norton HJ, Thurson JM, Gordon WH, Atmar RL, Schlaudt WR (1983) Ribavirin aerosol treatment of bronchiolitis associated with respiratory syncytial virus infection in infants. *Pediatrics* 72: 613–618
  65. Tal A, Bavilski C, Yohai D, Bearman JE, Gorodischer R, Moses SW (1983) Dexamethasone and salbutamol in the treatment of acute wheezing in infants. *Pediatrics* 71: 13–18
  66. The IMPACT-RSV Study Group (1998) Palivizumab, a humanized respiratory syncytial virus monoclonal antibody, reduces hospitalization from respiratory syncytial virus infection in high-risk infants. *Pediatrics* 102: 531–537
  67. van Woensel JBM, Wolfs TFW, van Aalderen WMC, Brand PLP, Kimpen JLL (1997) Randomised double blind placebo controlled trial of prednisolone in children admitted to hospital with respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. *Thorax* 52: 634–637
  68. Wang EEL, Milner R, Allen UD, Maj H (1992) Bronchodilators for treatment of mild bronchiolitis: a factorial randomised trial. *Arch Dis Child* 67: 289–293
  69. Wheeler J, Wofford J, Turner RB (1993) Historical cohort evaluation of ribavirin efficacy in respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 12: 209–213