



Correction to: Case mixing impedes early lexical access: converging evidence from the masked priming paradigm

Mei-Ching Lien¹ · Philip A. Allen² · Eric Ruthruff³

Published online: 25 April 2020
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: DOI: Psychological Research
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-020-01305-4>

Correction text:

In the original publication of the article, Fig. 1 is incorrect. The “#s” should be only 5 instead of 6. The legend for Fig. 2 top panel is incomplete for the “Lowercase Prime”. It only shows a bar instead of a small white square. The correct Figs. 1 and 2 are given below:

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-020-01305-4>.

✉ Mei-Ching Lien
mei.lien@oregonstate.edu

¹ School of Psychological Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-5303, USA

² Department of Psychology, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325-4301, USA

³ Department of Psychology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA

Fig. 1 An example event sequence for the mixed-case prime word and uppercase word target condition in Experiment 1. Note that the prime could be in mixed-case or lowercase whereas the target could be in uppercase or lowercase. In the real experiment, the font type for both prime and target words was Times New Roman




Fig. 2 Priming effect (unrelated prime–identity prime) on response time for word targets and nonword targets as a function of target case type (lowercase target vs. uppercase target) and prime case type (lowercase prime vs. mixed-case prime) in Experiment 1. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean for the priming effect

