



Correction to: Proteomics and post-secretory content adjustment of *Nicotiana tabacum* nectar

Xue-Long Ma¹ · Richard I. Milne² · Hong-Xia Zhou¹ · Yue-Qin Song¹ · Jiang-Yu Fang¹ · Hong-Guang Zha¹ 

Published online: 14 September 2022
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Correction to: *Planta* (2019) 250:1703–1715
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03258-4>

In this article Fig. 5c y-axis panel should read as “ $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ ”, not “ mg ml^{-1} ”. The corrected Fig. 5 is given below.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03258-4>.

✉ Hong-Guang Zha
zhahg@hsu.edu.cn; arctos725@outlook.com

¹ College of Life and Environment Sciences, Huangshan University, Huangshan, China

² Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK




Fig. 5 Post-secretory adjustment of NT nectar, in vivo (black bars) and in vitro (grey bars). **a** brix; **b** sucrose:(fructose + glucose); **c** protein; **d** hydrogen peroxide; **e** phenolics. Bars represent mean \pm SE ($n = 15$ for in vivo nectar and $n = 8$ for in vitro nectar). Statistical anal-

ysis was only performed within each data set, in in vivo or in vitro test. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$ according to one-way ANOVA) are indicated by different letters. Letters are in bold for in vivo tests and regular font for in vitro tests