ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Cu/Zn superoxide dismutases in developing cotton fibers: evidence for an extracellular form

Hee Jin Kim · Naohiro Kato · Sunran Kim · Barbara Triplett

Received: 18 February 2008 / Accepted: 31 March 2008 / Published online: 19 April 2008 © The Author(s) 2008

Abstract Hydrogen peroxide and other reactive oxygen species are important signaling molecules in diverse physiological processes. Previously, we discovered superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity in extracellular protein preparations from fiber-bearing cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) seeds. We show here, based on immunoreactivity, that the enzyme is a Cu/Zn-SOD (CSD). Immunogold localization shows that CSD localizes to secondary cell walls of developing cotton fibers. Five cotton CSD cDNAs were cloned from cotton fiber and classified into three subfamilies (Group 1: *GhCSD1*; Group 2: *GhCSD2a* and *GhCSD2b*; Group 3: *GhCSD3* and *GhCSD3s*). Members of Group 1 and 2 are expressed throughout fiber development, but predominant during the elongation stage. Group 3 CSDs are

Accession numbers: The nucleotide sequence data reported here were deposited in the GenBank database under the following accession numbers: *GhCSD1*, AI727694; *GhCSD2a*, EU597268; *GhCSD2b*, EU597269; *GhCSD3*, EU597270; *GhCSD3s*, EU597271.

S. Kim · B. Triplett (⊠) USDA-ARS, Southern Regional Research Center, 1100 Robert E. Lee Blvd, New Orleans, LA 70124, USA e-mail: Barbara.Triplett@ars.usda.gov

H. J. Kim

Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA 70148, USA

N. Kato

Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Present Address:

S. Kim

Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA also expressed throughout fiber development, but transiently increase in abundance at the transition period between cell elongation and secondary cell wall synthesis. Each of the three GhCSDs also has distinct patterns of expression in tissues other than fiber. Overexpression of cotton CSDs fused to green fluorescent protein in transgenic Arabidopsis demonstrated that GhCSD1 localizes to the cytosol, GhCSD2a localizes to plastids, and GhCSD3 is translocated to the cell wall. Subcellular fractionation of proteins from transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings confirmed that only c-myc epitope-tagged GhCSD3 co-purifies with cell wall proteins. Extracellular CSDs have been suggested to be involved in lignin formation in secondary cell walls of other plants. Since cotton fibers are not lignified, we suggest that extracellular CSDs may be involved in other plant cell wall growth and development processes.

Keywords Cell wall \cdot Cotton fibers \cdot Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase \cdot *Gossypium hirsutum* \cdot Hydrogen peroxide \cdot Reactive oxygen species

Abbreviations

CSD	Cu/Zn-type superoxide dismutase		
DOA	Day of anthesis		
DPA	Days post-anthesis		
EC	Extracellular		
FSD	Fe-type superoxide dismutase		
GFP	Green fluorescent protein		
MSD	Mn-type superoxide dismutase		
PCW	Primary cell wall		
Q-RT-PCR	Quantitative, reverse-transcription polymer-		
	ase chain reaction		
ROS	Reactive oxygen species		
SCW	Secondary cell wall		
SOD	Superoxide dismutases		

Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide (O_2^{-}) , hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , and hydroxyl radical (·OH) are deleterious to living cells. To detoxify destructive ROS in the subcellular compartment, all aerobic cells have an antioxidant defense system (Bowler et al. 1992; Apel and Hirt 2004). ROS are involved in various physiological processes such as stomatal closure, root gravitropism, and allelopathy (Laloi et al. 2004) and function in the defense response to pathogen infection (Apel and Hirt 2004). In addition, ROS are involved in secondary cell wall (SCW) biosynthesis. Superoxide and H₂O₂ play important roles in the covalent crosslinking between protein and carbohydrate cell wall components (Kieliszewski and Lamport 1994) and in lignin biosynthesis (Pomar et al. 2002), thereby increasing the tensile strength of the wall (Carpita and McCann 2000). H_2O_2 has also been proposed to be involved in the induction of SCW cellulose biosynthesis (Potikha et al. 1999) and dimerization of cellulose synthase subunits (Kurek et al. 2002). In contrast, hydroxyl radical has been proposed to be a wall loosening agent working in concert with expansin, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH), and cellulase (Cosgrove 2005). Hydroxyl radicals converted from H_2O_2 by the non-enzymatic Fenton reaction can cleave structural polysaccharides and, hence, loosen cell walls and activate cell elongation (Fry 1998; Chen and Schopfer 1999; Schweikert et al. 2000). The regulation of wall loosening and tightening will affect the final size and height of plants; therefore, the genes associated with cell wall loosening and tightening are expected to be highly regulated (Harmer et al. 2000). If H_2O_2 is involved in wall tightening processes that lead to the cessation of cell enlargement, and ·OH, non-enzymatically generated from H_2O_2 , is involved in wall loosening processes contributing to cell enlargement, then antioxidant enzymes regulating levels of extracellular (EC) H_2O_2 must affect plant growth and development.

Superoxide dismutases (SODs) are important antioxidant enzymes catalyzing the conversion of O_2^- to H_2O_2 and contain different metal cofactors (Fe, Mn, or Cu and Zn) at the active site (Bowler et al. 1992). Fe-type SODs (FSDs) are located in chloroplasts, Mn-type SODs (MSDs) in mitochondria and peroxisomes, and Cu/Zn-type SODs (CSDs) in chloroplasts, the cytosol, and the apoplast (Alscher et al. 2002). EC CSDs are well characterized in animal cells but comparatively little is known about these enzymes in plants. EC CSDs have been described previously from spinach (Ogawa et al. 1996), pine needles (Karpinska et al. 2001), and peas (Kasai et al. 2006).

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*, L.) fibers are single-cell trichomes arising from the epidermis of developing cotton ovules. Cotton fiber development occurs in four overlap-

ping stages: initiation, elongation, SCW deposition, and maturation (Naithani et al. 1981). In addition to in planta development, cotton fibers develop in vitro, providing an exceptional experimental model for studying plant cell elongation and cellulose biosynthesis (Kim and Triplett 2001). Unlike multicellular organisms in which different cell types may be at different developmental or cell division stages, expression of genes and proteins in cotton fiber can be monitored independently during either the elongation or SCW deposition stage in a single cell type.

In an effort to characterize a cotton fiber cell-wall germin-like protein (*Gh*GLP1) whose orthologs in some plants have weak Mn-SOD activity, we previously showed that EC SOD activity from developing cotton fibers could be separated from *Gh*GLP1 (Kim et al. 2004). In this paper, we have further characterized the cotton fiber EC SOD by immunoblotting, identified the putative metal cofactor, compared EC SOD cDNA with other cotton fiber SOD cDNAs, profiled transcript levels in fiber and other cotton tissues, and localized the enzymes by immunological and epitope tagging techniques.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*, L., TM1) plants were grown under standard field conditions (naturally rain-fed with daytime high temperatures of \sim 32–36°C and nighttime low temperatures of 20–30°C) in New Orleans, LA and College Station, TX during the summers between 2002 and 2006. Immature seeds were harvested by 9 a.m. and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Fibers were carefully scraped from frozen seeds using a scalpel. Developing ovaries were collected at 4-day intervals from 8 to 24 DPA. Hypocotyls, roots and cotyledons were harvested from 10-day old seedlings. Fully expanded leaves (15 cm in diameter) and young leaves (5 cm in diameter), petals (DOA), bracts (DOA) were harvested from field-grown plants. All tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C.

Protein extraction and immunoblot analysis

EC proteins were eluted by bathing trichome-bearing seeds in 15 volumes of 1.0 M NaCl (Robertson et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2004). Tissues were vacuum-infiltrated by three 10 min exposures to 85 kPa, followed by gentle shaking for 16 h at 4°C. Plant material was recovered by filtration through two layers of cheesecloth followed by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 15 min. The supernatant liquid containing EC protein was concentrated with a Centriprep-10 centrifugal filter (Amicon, Beverly, MA). Total proteins from transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants (3-weeks old) were extracted with 6 M urea, 100 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 0.1% SDS, and 10% β -mercaptoethanol. Soluble proteins were extracted from *Arabidopsis* plants (3-weeks old) with 100 mM Tris (pH 8.0) buffer containing Complete Tabs, a protease inhibitor (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). Cell walls were prepared by washing insoluble fractions with 70% ethanol and 100% acetone. Cell wall proteins were extracted from the isolated cell walls with 6 M urea, 100 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 0.1% SDS, and 10% β -mercaptoethanol.

The extracted proteins were separated on 15% SDSpolyacrylamide gels, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in 25 mM Tris base-190 mM glycine-20% methanol at constant voltage (30 V) overnight at room temperature. The filters were blocked in 5% (w/v) skim milk-PBS-T [0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)] buffer for 2 h at room temperature, treated with primary antibodies [anti-plant CSD (1:6,000 dilution), antiplant MSD (1:1,000 dilution), anti-polyclonal GFP (1:1,000 dilution) and anti-monoclonal c-myc (1:1,000 dilution)] in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C, washed three times with PBS-T, reacted with (1:1,000 dilution) horseradish peroxidase conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG (Pierce, Rockford, IL). The cross-reacting proteins were visualized by chemiluminescence using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Peptide antibodies to conserved and distinct domains of plant CSDs and plant MSDs were prepared in rabbits (EnVirtue Biotechnologies, Inc., Winchester, VA). Polyclonal GFP antibody was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) and monoclonal c-myc antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO).

Cloning of GhCSD1, GhCSD2, and GhCSD3

The open reading frame sequence of GhCSD1 was obtained by RT-PCR from cDNA template synthesized from G. hirsutum TM1 4 DPA ovules with forward primer (5'-CCCTCG AGAAATGGTGAAAGCCGTTGCCGTCC-3') and reverse primer (5'-TCGCTAGCGCCTTGCAGACCAATAATACC GCA-3') designed from the sequence of AI727694 encoding a putative cytosolic CSD. Two full-length clones of GhCSD2 were obtained using 3' RACE PCR following the manufacturer's protocol (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). A specific primer (5'-AGCCATGGCTGCCCATATTTTCACGACAAC-3') for 3' RACE was designed from EST sequences (AI728663) encoding a putative leucoplastic CSD. To obtain the sequences of the 5' UTR of GhCSD3, both 5' RACE PCR (Clontech) and GenomeWalker (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA) were used. The gene-specific primers for 5' RACE and GenomeWalker were designed from EST sequences (BM360311). The full-length cDNA of *GhCSD3* was obtained by RT-PCR. All PCR amplified products were cloned into pCR-XL-TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and sequenced by the DNA Sequencing Center, Auburn University.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Specific primers for *GhCSD1* (5'-GGGTGCATGTCAACT GGACC-3'/5'-ACCATGCTCTTTGCAGCA-3'), *GhCSD2* (5'-GGCTGCCCATATTTTCACGA-3'/5'-GGAAAAGG AAGGAGGTGG-3'), *GhCSD3* (5'-CCATGCTGGAG ATTTGGGTA-3'/5'-TCAGCAACCCATCAGGGC-3'), and *GhCSD3s* (5'-GATTTGGGAGTTGCTGAGGTCT-3'/ 5'-CTGTCCGCTAAGTGGAATCTGC-3') were designed using Primer Express software (version 2.0, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The specificity of primer annealing was examined by monitoring product dissociation. Cotton 18S rRNA (5'-CGTCCCTGCCCTTTGTACA-3'/ 5'-AACCTTCACCGGACCATTCA-3') was used as a normalizer. All amplicon sizes were designed to be less than 150 bp to make amplification efficiencies equivalent.

Total RNAs from cotton tissues were isolated using a Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and treated with DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). First-strand complementary DNA was synthesized using 1 µg of total RNA by priming with random hexamers at 48°C for 30 min followed by inactivation of MultiScribeTM Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems) at 95°C for 10 min. Q-RT-PCR was performed using the SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix in the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Thermal cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s for denaturation and 60°C for 1 min for annealing and extension. The transcript levels of GhCSDs were normalized with respect to the transcript level of 18S ribosomal RNA. Reported values are the average of triplicate PCR reactions. The experiments were repeated twice independently beginning with RNA isolation.

Amplification efficiencies among samples varied by less than 0.01 for each cycle of amplification. Relative transcript levels were determined by a comparative $C_{\rm T}$ (threshold cycles) method according to Applied Biosystems' guidelines. Statistical analyses and construction of graphs were performed using Prism version 4.00 software (Graph-Pad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Immunogold localization of CSD in developing cotton fibers

Cotton ovaries were harvested on the DOA, surface-sterilized in 95% ethanol, flamed briefly, and dissected under sterile conditions. Ovules were transferred to a liquid culture medium in the presence of 5.0 µM indole-3-acetic acid and 0.5 µM gibberellic acid (Beasley and Ting 1973). Cotton fibers cultured for 6, 14, and 23 days were fixed in 1.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for 2 h at room temperature, washed in several exchanges of buffer, and then gradually dehydrated in ethanol (30, 50, 70, 100%). Fibers collected from each stage were gradually infiltrated with LR White resin (33, 66, 100%) (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and polymerized in a gelatin capsule by UV light for 24 h at -18° C, then at room temperature for an additional 24 h. Ultra-thin sections (75-80 µm) cut with an UltraCut E microtome (Leica, Germany) were mounted on nickel grids and washed with PBS buffer for 5 min. The grids were incubated in a series of solutions in the following order: blocking solution (1% Aurion BSA, Electron Microscopy Sciences, in PBS) for 2 h, plant CSD antibody (1:3,000 dilution in blocking solution) for 16 h at 4°C, PBS three times for 10 min each, donkey anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with 18 nm gold particles (1:100 dilution in blocking solution) for 16 h at 4°C. For the control, primary antibody was omitted and replaced with an equal dilution of pre-immune serum. After washing in PBS and Milli-Q water (Millipore, Billerica, MA) three times, 10 min each, the grids were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and the specimens were observed with a transmission electron microscope (Philips CM 120, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR) at 80 kV.

Transgenic lines of GhCSDs-GFP and GhCSDs-myc

Translational fusions of Green Fluorescent Protein (mGFP5) to the C-terminus of GhCSD1, GhCSD2, and GhCSD3 were generated. The open reading frames of GhCSD1, GhCSD2, and GhCSD3 were individually subcloned into pRT104-myc (Töpfer et al. 1987) and verified by sequencing. The CaMV 35S promoter and GhCSDs in pRT104-myc were digested with HindIII and NheI and ligated into the binary vector, pCAMBIA 1302 (CAMBIA, Canberra City, Australia), predigested with HindIII and SpeI. Translational fusions of c-myc to the C-terminus of GhCSD1, GhCSD2, and GhCSD3 were also generated. The 35S promoter and GhCSDs in pRT104-myc were digested with PstI and NheI and ligated into the binary vector, pCAMBIA 1391z, predigested with PstI and NheI. The constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (pMP90) and subsequently transformed into Arabidopsis, Columbia (Col-0), by the method of Clough and Bent (1998).

Subcellular localization of the GhCSDs-GFP protein

Transgenic Arabidopsis plants were selected on 0.5 X MS agar plates containing 50 mg/L hygromycin. For GFP

localization in cells, 2-week old transgenic seedlings or roots were transferred to Petri dishes and observed with a Leica DM RXA2 upright or a Nikon E800 microscope. To plasmolyze cells, the tissue samples were pretreated in 1 M NaCl or 600 mM mannitol for ~10 min at room temperature. Images of differential interference contrast (DIC) and bright field were taken by HCX PL APO CS 40X N.A. 1.25 oil immersion objective lenses and SensiCam QE CCD camera (Cooke Corp., Auburn Hills, MI). The GFP filter set JP1 (exciter 470/20 nm and emitter 510/20 nm, Chroma, CT) was used to excite GFP. Exposure time was 1.0 s. The images were composed with ImageJ1.3.7 (http:// rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) and PhotoShop (Adobe, San Jose, CA). Green color was added in the original black and white images through the Lookup Tables function in ImageJ (version 10.2) software.

Results and discussion

An extracellular SOD in developing cotton seeds is an oligomeric CSD

Previously, we purified a cotton-fiber cell-wall protein that was found to be a germin-like protein, GhGLP1 (Kim et al. 2004). Germin-like proteins from other plants have been reported to have SOD and other enzyme activities; however, no activity was associated with purified GhGLP1 (Kim et al. 2004). Developing cotton seeds¹ had strong EC SOD activity, and partially purified GhGLP1 fractions from anion exchange and gel filtration chromatography also showed SOD activity; however, lectin-affinity chromatography separated GhGLP1 from the SOD activity (Kim et al. 2004). As a result, we predicted that the EC protein fraction from immature cotton seeds contains an unglycosylated SOD having a similar molecular mass and pI to GhGLP1 (native MW, 108 kDa; pI 5).

To determine which type of SOD is in the cell wall of cotton seeds, we tested the immunogenicity of immature cotton seed EC proteins with commercial peptide antibodies to plant CSD and MSD. Immunoblot analyses showed that immature cotton seed EC proteins contained a CSD, but not an MSD (Fig. 1a). MSD, an enzyme that localizes in mitochondria, should be present in soluble protein extracts. The lack of detectable MSD in the EC protein extract (Fig. 1a, lane 2) suggests that the EC protein extract contains little or low levels of contaminating intracellular proteins.

 $^{^1}$ Cotton fibers (trichomes) cover the cotton seed throughout development. Approximately 25–30% of the epidermal cells of the seed become fibers.



Fig. 1 Identification of EC *Gh*CSD protein from fiber-bearing cotton seeds. **a** Immunoblot analysis of EC protein separated on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and blotted to nitrocellulose. Primary antibodies were: *lane 1* anti-plant CSD (1:6,000 dilution), *lane 2* anti-plant MSD (1:1,000 dilution). Secondary antibody was horseradish peroxidas-econjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:2,000 dilution) with detection by SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate. **b** Immunoblot of EC proteins subjected to different denaturing conditions. EC protein (8 µg) was denatured, loaded onto a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, blotted to nitrocellulose, and treated with anti-plant CSD (1:6,000 dilution). Denaturation conditions were: *lane 1* SDS, *lane 2* SDS and heat (100°C) for 20 min, *lane 3* SDS and heat (100°C) for 20 min in the presence of 100 mM dithiothreitol

Under conditions where the reducing agent was omitted and the sample was not heated prior to electrophoresis, protein bands of three different sizes (17, 44, and 110 kDa) were detected by the plant CSD antibodies (Fig. 1b, lane 1). Denatured, extracellular GhCSD migrated with an apparent molecular mass of 17 kDa on 15% SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1b, lanes 2 and 3). Despite the identical amounts of EC protein extracts loaded onto lanes 2 and 3 of Fig. 1b, the EC protein extract denatured by heat alone (Fig. 1b, lane 2) has a less prominent band than that denatured by heat and in the presence of dithiothreitol (Fig. 1b, lane 3). The CSD antibodies used for the blot were produced against a conserved metalbinding domain of plant CSDs. Crystallographic studies on CSDs reveal that the metal-binding domain is located in densely packed interiors of the folded CSD (Tainer et al. 1982; Hough and Hasnain 1999). GhCSD fully denatured by heat and dithiothreitol (Fig. 1b, lane 3) exposed more epitopes than the partially denatured GhCSD (Fig. 1b, lane 2). Oligometric forms found in the absence of dithiothreitol and heat indicate the formation of disulfide linkages between the SDS-dissociated subunits. Both HipI-SOD, an EC CSD from pine needles (Streller and Wingsle 1994; Karpinska et al. 2001) and SoCSD1, a spinach CSD that localizes to cell walls (Kanematsu and Asada 1990; Ogawa et al. 1996, 1997), were reported to be dimers; however, mammalian EC CSDs are tetrameric enzymes (Cannio et al. 2000). Further studies using gel filtration and/or X-ray diffraction are necessary to determine exactly the oligomeric structure of EC *Gh*CSD.

*Gh*CSD is detected in SCWs of cotton fibers by immunogold localization

To determine if EC GhCSD is present in cell walls of developing cotton fibers, we fixed fibers (G. hirsutum) at 6, 14, and 23 DPA in preparation for immunogold localization. The 6 DPA fibers are in the elongation stage and have only a thin primary cell wall (PCW); the 14 DPA fibers are in the transition from elongation to SCW deposition and have a thin PCW and some SCW; and the 23 DPA fibers are in the SCW deposition stage and have a thin PCW and a thicker SCW (Fig. 2). Overall, immunogold-labeled GhCSD was found only in the SCW of 14 and 23 DPA cotton fibers and was rarely detected in PCWs at any stage of development. A low level of labeling was found in the cytosol, and a pre-immune serum control showed little to no labeling of cell walls (data not shown). The localization patterns of two proposed EC SODs, SoCSD1 from spinach and HipI-SOD from pine were similarly found to be only in the SCW, suggesting a possible involvement in lignin formation (Ogawa et al. 1996, 1997; Karpinska et al. 2001; Schinkel et al. 2001; Karlsson et al. 2005). Since cotton fibers are not lignified, there must be other potential functions for this EC enzyme. The localization of EC GhCSD in SCWs of developing cotton fibers (Fig. 2) suggests that hydrogen peroxide produced by EC GhCSDs may be involved in cotton fiber SCW development. In cotton fiber cells, hydrogen peroxide was proposed to be involved in the induction of SCW cellulose biosynthesis (Potikha et al. 1999) and dimerization of cellulose synthase subunits (Kurek et al. 2002). H_2O_2 produced by EC *Gh*CSD could also be used by EC peroxidases to crosslink the wall matrix (Kieliszewski and Lamport 1994).

There are three groups of *Gh*CSDs in developing cotton fibers

Arabidopsis contains three different types of CSDs: cytosolic *AtCSD1* (AT1G08830), chloroplastic *AtCSD2* (AT2G28190), and a putative peroxisomal form *AtCSD3* (AT5G18100). Putative orthologs of all three *At*CSD isoforms were found in public databases of cotton DNA sequences. Four cotton Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs; AI731179, AI727694, AI727387, and AI730588) isolated from 6 DPA cotton (*G. hirsutum*) fibers were most similar to cytosolic *AtCSD1* (AT1G08830). From the open reading frame of AI727694, primers were designed and a putative



Fig. 2 Immunogold TEM localization of EC GhCSD in developing cotton fibers at 6 (a), 14 (b), and 23 DPA (c). PCW primary cell wall, SCW secondary cell wall

ortholog of AtCSD1 was amplified by RT-PCR and named GhCSD1 (Fig. 3). Comparison of deduced amino acids between GhCSD1 and AtCSD1 shows 85% sequence similarity. GhCSD1 encodes a deduced protein of 152 Planta (2008) 228:281-292

amino acids with a pI of 5.47 and a molecular mass of 15,208 Da.

Two 3'-truncated cotton ESTs (AI726214 and AI728663) isolated from fibers were 72% similar to chloroplastic AtCSD2 (AT2G28190). From AI728663, primers were designed and two different full-length cDNAs were obtained and named GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b (Fig. 3). Both cDNAs encode deduced proteins of 214 amino acids with pls of 6.02 and molecular masses of 22,097 Da. The deduced protein sequences of GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b are identical except for two amino acids and the 3'-untranslated region. Since allotetraploid cotton, G. hirsutum contains two different subgenomes, it is likely that GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b are homeologous genes. GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b are expected to translocate into plastids since both contain a transit peptide of 59 amino acids, predicted by Chlorop 1.1 (Emanuelsson et al. 1999). After cleavage of the transit peptide, the estimated pI and molecular mass are 5.04 and 15,820 Da, respectively.

The sequences of two 5'-truncated ESTs (BG446025 and BM360311) from G. arboreum were 72% similar to the putative peroxisomal AtCSD3 (AT5G18100), and we named the full-length cDNA GhCSD3. We were unable to obtain 5'-terminal sequences of GhCSD3 using 5' RACE PCR, a technique that had successfully amplified GhCSD1 and GhCSD2 with the same cDNA template. We suspect that lower endogenous transcript levels of GhCSD3 contributed to the failure of its amplification by RACE-PCR. Much lower levels of AtCSD3 than AtCSD1 and AtCSD2 were also reported in Arabidopsis (Kliebenstein et al. 1998). Using a GenomeWalker kit, we were able to obtain the 5'-terminal sequences of GhCSD3. From this sequence information, we designed primers and amplified the coding sequences of GhCSD3 with RT-PCR. Interestingly, the product amplified by RT-PCR contained two bands. The

Fig. 3 Multiple alignment of	GhCSD1		
GhCSD proteins. Deduced pro-	GhCSD2a	MAAHIFTTTPSHLALSFPSSTNPSNPPVLLSSFRGVSLKLPRQSLSLAATIPKKP	55
tein sequences from cotton	GhCSD2b	MAAHIFTTTPSHLALSFPSSTNPSNPPVLLSSFRGVSLKLPRQSLSLAATIPKKP	55
GhCSD1 GhCSD2a GhCSD2b	GhCSD3	M	1
GhCSD3 and $GhCSD3s$ Two	GhCSD3s	M	1
transit pentides of 50 amino ac			
ide predicted by Chlorop 1.1	GhCSD1	MVKAVAVLSSNEGVSGTVFFSQEGDGPTTVTGNLSGLKPGLHGFHVHALGDTTN	54
Tas, predicted by Chlorop 1.1	GhCSD2a	FSVF AVTKKAVAVLKGNSEVEGVVTLTQENDGPTTVNVRITGLTPGPHGFHLHEYGDTTN	115
(Emanuelsson et al. 1999), are	GhCSD2b	FSVF AVTKKAVAVLKGNSEVEGVVTLTQETDGPTTVNVRITGLTPGPHGFHLHEYGDTTN	115
shown in <i>bold</i> and <i>italicized</i>	GhCSD3	ECGSKATLKAVALITGDTNVRGFIHFTQIPNGITHVQGKITGLSPGLHGFHIHALGDTTN	61
font. Conserved sequences are	GhCSD3s	ECGSKATLKAVALITGDTNVRGFIHFTQIPNGITHVQGKITGLSPGLHGFHIHALGDTTN	61
highlighted. The two amino ac-			
ids that differ between GhCSD2a	GhCSD1	GCMSTGPHFNPAGKEHGAPEDENRHAGDLGNVTVGDDGCASFSITDKQIPLTGPNSIIGR	114
and GhCSD2b are underlined	GhCSD2a	GCMSTGAHFNPNNMTHGAPEDEVRHAGDLGNIIANADGVAEATIVDNQIPLSGPNAVVGR	175
	GhCSD2b	GCMSTGAHFNPNNMTHGAPEDEVRHAGDLGNIIANADGVAEATIVDNQIPLSGPNAVVGR	175
	GhCSD3	GCNSTGPHFNPLKKDHGAPSDGERHAGDLGNIIAGPDGVAEVSIKDWQIPLSGQHSILGR	121
	GhCSD3s	GCNSTGPHFNPLKKDHGAPSDGERHAGDLGVAEVSIKDWQIPLSGQHSILGR	121
	GhCSD1	AVVVHADPDDLGKGGHELSKSTGNAGGRVACGIIGLQG 152	
	GhCSD2a	AFVVHELEDDLGKGGHELSLTTGNAGGRLACGVVGLTPV 214	
	GhCSD2b	ASVVHELEDDLGKGGHELSLTTGNAGGRLACGVVGLTPV 214	
	GhCSD3	AVVAHADPDDLGKGGHELSKTTGNAGARVGCGIIGLQSSV 161	
	GhCSD3s	AVVAHADPDDLGKGGHELSKTTGNAGARVGCGIIGLQSSV 153	

larger product was named *GhCSD3*, and the shorter product was named *GhCSD3s* (Fig. 3). *GhCSD3* encodes a deduced protein of 161 amino acids with a pI of 6.22 and a molecular mass of 16,461 Da. *GhCSD3s* was identical to *GhCSD3* except for eight amino acids in the middle of the open reading frame that were missing in *GhCDS3s*.

Although it might be questioned whether GhCSD3s is functional, two cotton fiber ESTs found in DFCI Cotton Gene Index Release 8.0 (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/ tgi/plant.html) also are lacking the same eight amino acids as GhCSD3s, suggesting that GhCSD3s is likely to be expressed in fibers. Co-production of two closely related Group 3 CSDs has also been reported in aspen xylem cells (Srivastava et al. 2007), and a recent proteomic investigation showed that EC CSDs of two different sizes are ionically bound to cell walls in the elongation zone of maize roots (Zhu et al. 2006). Both GhCSD3 and GhCSD3s show 67% amino acid sequence similarity with GhCSD1, have seven additional amino acids in the N-terminus compared to GhCSD1, but do not contain signal peptides as shown by Signal 3.0 analysis (Emanuelsson et al. 2007). GhCSD3 is expected to localize in the cytoplasm according to algorithms for predicting protein subcellular localization such as PSORT (Nakai and Kanehisa 1991), WoLF PSORT (Horton et al. 2007), Plant-PLoc (Chou and Shen 2007), and ESLpred (Bhasin and Raghava 2004). Interestingly, GhCSD3 and GhCSD3s lack a peroxisomal targeting sequence (Ala-Lys-Leu; Keller et al. 1991) that was found at the carboxyl terminus of AtCSD3 (Kliebenstein et al. 1998). Thus, unlike AtCSD3, GhCSD3 does not appear to target to the peroxisome.

Our phylogenetic comparison of cotton GhCSDs with other CSDs from Arabidopsis (Kliebenstein et al. 1998), spinach (Ogawa et al. 1995, 1996), pine (Karpinska et al. 2001) and aspen (Schinkel et al. 2001) was performed using MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2002) and led to the classification of three subfamilies (Fig. 4). GhCSD1 grouped with AtCSD1 and SoCSD1. AtCSD1 is considered a cytosolic CSD since the product was found in the soluble fraction of a total protein extract by immunoblot analysis (Kliebenstein et al. 1998), whereas SoCSD1 was reported to localize in the apoplast (44%), nucleus (24%) and tonoplast (23%) of spinach mesophyll cells (Ogawa et al. 1996). GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b were classified with AtCSD2 and SoCSD2 that contain transit peptides and localize in chloroplasts of Arabidopsis (Kliebenstein et al. 1998) and spinach (Ogawa et al. 1995). GhCSD3 grouped with AtCSD3 (Kliebenstein et al. 1998), pine HipI-SOD (Karpinska et al. 2001), and aspen HipI-SOD (Schinkel et al. 2001). Although AtCSD3 was assumed to be a peroxisomal CSD due to a peroxisomal targeting sequence (Keller et al. 1991), the subcellular localization of AtCSD3 has not been tested directly because even affinity-purified AtCSD3 antibody reacts with



Fig. 4 Phylogenetic tree and putative subcellular localization of CS-Ds. Deduced protein sequences of *GhCSD1*, *GhCSD2*, and *GhCSD3* were analyzed by MAFFT in comparison with the deduced proteins of *At*CSD1 (*Arabidopsis thaliana*, AT1G08830), *At*CSD2 (AT2G28190), *At*CSD3 (AT5G18100), pine HipI-SOD (*Pinus sylvestris*, CAA05633), aspen HipI-SOD (*Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides*, CAC33847), *So*CSD1 (*Spinacia oleracea*, CAA37866), *So*CSD2 (BAA01088). Citations identifying the putative subcellular localization of CSDs: *At*CSDs (Kliebenstein et al. 1998), *So*CSDs (Ogawa et al. 1995, 1996), pine HipI-SOD (Karpinska et al. 2001), and aspen HipI-SOD (Srivastava et al. 2007). *SCW* secondary cell wall, *PM* plasma membrane

other CSDs (Kliebenstein et al. 1998). A contributing factor to this lack of specificity may be the low endogenous levels of *At*CSD3 compared with the other forms of CSD (Kliebenstein et al. 1998). Immunogold labeling showed that HipI-SOD is localized in the plasma membrane, Golgi apparatus, SCW, and intercellular spaces of pine (Karpinska et al. 2001). Immunolocalization of HipI-SOD in cross-sections of stems of hybrid aspen plants showed that this CSD localized in the lignified SCWs of phloem fibers and xylem vessels (Srivastava et al. 2007).

All *GhCSDs* are developmentally regulated in cotton fibers and preferentially expressed in different tissues

The expression patterns of GhCSDs were determined by Q-RT-PCR (Fig. 5). Specific primer pairs for GhCSD1, GhCSD3 and GhCSD3s were designed to amplify unique cDNAs; however, the primers for GhCSD2 detected both GhCSD2a and GhCSD2b because their sequences are almost identical. GhCSD1, 2, 3, and 3s are expressed in fibers and exhibit developmentally regulated expression patterns (Fig. 5a). The expression patterns of GhCSD1 and GhCSD2 are almost identical to each other, but are very different from those of GhCSD3 and GhCSD3s. GhCSD1 and GhCSD2 levels peaked during the elongation stage (8–12 DPA), and declined coincident with the initiation of SCW synthesis (16 DPA). Many genes involved in cell elongation or SCW synthesis in cotton fibers are transcrip-

Fig. 5 Relative transcript abundance of GhCSD genes in fiber and other tissues. a Relative transcript abundance of GhCSD genes in 8-24 DPA fibers measured by Q-RT-PCR. The fold difference is relative to the lowest transcript level present in 20 DPA fibers for GhCSD1 and GhCSD2 and 12 DPA fibers for GhCSD3s. b Relative transcript abundance of GhCSDs in different cotton tissues measured by Q-RT-PCR. RNA was isolated from young, small leaves (SL), fully expanded big leaves (BL), 1-week old cotyledons (C), 1week old hypocotyls (H), 1week old roots (R), DOA bracts (B), DOA petals (P), and 8 DPA fibers (F8). The fold difference is relative to the lowest transcript level present in petals for GhCSD1 and GhCSD2 and roots for GhCSD3 and GhCSD3s



tionally regulated, and there are multiple developmental programs controlling gene expression throughout cotton fiber development (Smart et al. 1998; Haigler et al. 2005). The expression patterns of *GhCSD1* and *GhCSD2* are similar to the patterns of genes expressed primarily during the cell elongation stage of fiber development (Whittaker and Triplett 1999; Kim and Triplett 2004). Interestingly, the patterns and scale of down-regulation of *GhCSD1* and *GhCSD2* are almost identical to those of *G. hirsutum* cellulose synthase catalytic subunits 3 and 5 (*GhCesA3* and *GhCesA5*) that are involved in cellulose biosynthesis for the PCW during fiber elongation (Kim and Triplett 2007). In contrast, *GhCSD3* and *GhCSD3s* transcript abundance increased at the transition to SCW biosynthesis.

Although it is inappropriate to compare directly the expression of one gene to another by relative Q-RT-PCR since genes with different sequences may not be reverse

transcribed with the same efficiency, the number of threshold cycles (C_T) can provide an indirect estimation of transcript accumulation levels of individual members of a highly similar gene family. The C_T numbers for *GhCDS1*, 2, and 3 suggested that *GhCSD3* was less abundantly expressed than *GhCSD1* or *GhCSD2*. In 8 DPA fibers, the transcript level of *GhCSD3* was ~73 times lower than *GhCSD1* and 17 times lower than *GhCSD2*. In 16 DPA fibers, the transcript level of *GhCSD3* was ~15 times lower than *GhCSD1* and 3 times lower than *GhCSD2*.

To compare the expression patterns of *GhCSD1*, 2, 3, and 3s in specific tissues, Q-RT-PCR was also performed using RNA isolated from young leaves, fully expanded leaves, 1-week old cotyledons and hypocotyls, roots, bracts, and petals, in addition to 8 DPA elongating fibers (Fig. 5b). All four *GhCSDs* were expressed in the tested tissues with tissue-preferential expression patterns. Both

Fig. 6 a Immunoblot analysis of GhCSDs-GFP with total proteins of transgenic Arabidopsis. A non-specific band recognized by antipolyclonal GFP was used as a loading control. b Subcellular localization of GhCSD1-GFP, GhCSD2a-GFP, and GhCSD3-GFP. To view GFP fluorescence in the cell wall, roots of GhCSD1-GFP and GhCSD3-GFP were plasmolyzed. GhCSD1-GFP (panels A and B), GhCSD2a-GFP (panels C and D), GhCSD3-GFP (panels E and F), live images (panels A, C, and E), fluorescent images (panels B, D, and F). V vacuole, PM plasma membrane



GhCSD1 and *GhCSD2* showed a high level of expression in elongating tissues such as 1-week old hypocotyls and roots, 8 DPA elongating fiber, and young leaves. Unlike *GhCSD2* that is preferentially expressed in expanding young leaves, *GhCSD1* was expressed in both young and fully expanded leaves. In contrast, *GhCSD3* and *GhCSD3s* are more abundantly expressed in fully expanded leaves than any other tested tissue. Preferential expression (70–100 times more) in fully expanded leaves suggests that *GhCSD3* and *GhCSD3s* may be involved in processes unrelated to cell enlargement in these tissues.

Localization of *Gh*CSD1-GFP, *Gh*CSD2a-GFP, and *Gh*CSD3-GFP

To avoid some of the potential artifacts associated with immunolocalization of proteins that have great sequence similarity and very different endogenous levels, we prepared GFP constructs linked to each type of *Gh*CSD and used them to transform *Arabidopsis*. Immunoblot analysis of total proteins from these transgenic plants using a polyclonal GFP antibody shows that the fusion proteins of *Gh*CSD1-GFP, *Gh*CSD2a-GFP, and *Gh*CSD3-GFP are abundant, but not all at the same level (Fig. 6a).

Since EC *Gh*CSD was found in SCWs of developing cotton fibers (Fig. 2), we first tested if GFP signals from the fusion proteins can be detected in xylem SCW in transgenic *Arabidopsis* stems. The high level of lignin autofluorescence in xylem, however, precluded these localization studies (data not shown). Root cells of untransformed wild-type

showed little autofluorescence, so we monitored GFP signals in transgenic plant roots. Without plasmolysis, the GFP signals from GhCSD1-GFP and GhCSD3-GFP were almost indistinguishable. After gentle plasmolysis, the GFP signal of GhCSD1-GFP was found in the cytosol and nuclei of root cells (Fig. 6b, panels A and B). The signal of GhCSD2a-GFP containing a transit peptide was specifically found in organelles that appear to be unpigmented plastids of root cells (Fig. 6b, panels C and D). The signal of GhCSD2a-GFP was specifically detected in guard cell chloroplasts (data not shown). The GFP signal of GhCSD3-GFP in plasmolyzed root cells was found in cell walls in addition to the cytosol and nuclei (Fig. 6b, panels E and F). As a result, we conclude that GhCSD3-GFP was translocated to root cell walls in transgenic Arabidopsis, but GhCSD1-GFP and GhCSD2a-GFP were not.

Although GFPs are routinely used to determine the subcellular localization of proteins, there is the possibility that erroneous GFP signals can result from overexpressing high levels GFP or using a pH-sensitive GFP. Other highly overexpressed GFP constructs that localize to the cytosol have also resulted in nuclear GFP fluorescence (Lertpiriyapong and Sung 2003; Zhou and Li 2005). Fluorescence of some GFPs is often quenched at acidic pH, such as that found in plant cell walls (Ashby et al. 2004). Expression of all three *Gh*CSD-GFPs was under the control of the *CaMV35S* promoter, and all three fusion proteins were found abundantly in transgenic plants (Fig. 6a). Notably, the abundance of *Gh*CSD1-GFP fusion protein was much higher than those of *Gh*CSD2-GFP or *Gh*CSD3-GFP (Fig. 6a). Since the



Fig. 7 Subcellular distribution of *Gh*CSD1-myc, *Gh*CSD2a-myc, and *Gh*CSD3-myc in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. **a** Coomassie blue stained *Arabidopsis* soluble proteins (60 μ g) in 12% SDS-PAGE gel for a loading control. **b** Immunoblot analyses of total proteins (10 μ g). **c** Immunoblot analyses of soluble proteins (10 μ g). **d** Immunoblot

more abundant fusion protein, GhCSD1-GFP failed to translocate to cell walls (Fig. 6b, panel B) whereas the less abundant GhCSD3-GFP can translocate to cell walls (Fig. 6b, panel F), we conclude that abundance of GhCSD3-GFP did not affect its localization pattern. To avoid the quenching of GFP signals in acidic cell walls, we used mGFP-5 that was engineered for removal of a cryptic intron and increased thermostability (Siemering et al. 1996) and was detected in the cell wall when fused with ELD1 (Lertpiriyapong and Sung 2003). The GFP signal of mGFP-5 fused with GhCSD3 (this paper) was strong enough to be detected in the cell wall.

GhCSD3-myc co-purifies with a cell wall fraction

*Gh*CSD1 of Group 1 and *Gh*CSD3 of Group 3 are similar to each other; there is a high sequence similarity, both deduced proteins lack signal peptides and are predicted to localize in the cytoplasm by PSORT (Nakai and Kanehisa 1991). Cytosolic *So*CSD1, also of Group 1, was reported to localize to spinach cell walls (Ogawa et al. 1996), although there is a possibility that *So*CSD1 antibody may crossreact with other CSDs. Nevertheless, we tested if low levels of *Gh*CSD1, also belonging to Group 1, can be translocated to cell walls. Since weak GFP signals of *Gh*CSD1-GFP in the acidic wall can be quenched and may not be detected, we decided to discern the subcellular localizations of *Gh*CSD1 and *Gh*CSD3 using a c-myc epitope tag (Jarvik and Telmer 1998).

The short c-myc sequence (ten amino acids) was fused with the C-terminus of three forms of *Gh*CSD and transformed into *Arabidopsis* plants. A construct containing myc alone was used as a negative control. The levels of myc alone, *Gh*CSD1-myc, *Gh*CSD2a-myc, and *Gh*CSD3-myc controlled by a *CaMV35S* promoter in each transgenic line were estimated by immunoblot analyses of multiple transanalyses of cell wall proteins $(10 \ \mu g)$. Monoclonal c-myc antibody $(1:1,000 \ dilution)$ was the primary antibody for all immunoblots. Secondary antibody was horseradish peroxidaseconjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG $(1:1,000 \ dilution)$ with detection by SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate

formants. Subsequently, transgenic lines expressing approximately equivalent levels of *Gh*CSD1, *Gh*CSD2a, and *Gh*CSD3 were selected and used for further analyses (Fig. 7a, b). Monoclonal c-myc antibody detected *Gh*CSD1-myc (17 kDa), *Gh*CSD2-myc (20 kDa), and *Gh*CSD3-myc (18 kDa) in total protein preparations from *Arabidopsis* plants (Fig. 7b). All three *Gh*CSDs were detected in soluble proteins extracts (Fig. 7c) and *Gh*CSD3myc was specifically detected in cell wall extracts (Fig. 7d). The results of these immunoblot analyses confirm that *Gh*CSD3 translocates to the cell wall, but *Gh*CSD1 and *Gh*CSD2 do not.

Conclusions

Using several complementary approaches, we show here that a CSD is associated with cell walls. First, a peptide antibody designed to a conserved domain of plant CSDs recognized an EC protein extracted from immature cotton seeds. Secondly, the same antibody labeled fiber SCWs as shown by immuno-gold labeling. By overexpressing six different constructs in *Arabidopsis*, i.e., three *Gh*CSDs fused to GFP and three *Gh*CSDs fused to c-myc, we show that only *Gh*CSD3 can translocate to the cell wall. Furthermore, since only *Gh*CSD3 localized to *Arabidopsis* cell walls, we propose that *Gh*CSD3 is the wall protein detected by the peptide CSD antibody.

Cell wall lignification has been suggested as the principle function of CSDs that localize to plant SCWs (Ogawa et al. 1996, 1997; Karpinska et al. 2001; Schinkel et al. 2001; Karlsson et al. 2005); however, cotton fiber SCWs are unique in that they are not lignified. Additional evidence for the function of Group 3 CSDs comes from a recent report of antisense lines of transgenic hybrid aspen (Srivastava et al. 2007). Reduced expression of HipI-SOD resulted in increased levels of ROS with major effects on plant development. Antisense-HipI-SOD plants had more lateral branches and were severely dwarfed due to reductions in both cell division and expansion; one line was impaired in apical dominance. The transgenic lines had only a slight decrease in lignin content relative to wildtype, but contained elevated levels of phenolic acids. Microarray analysis indicated that expression of many genes involved in cell signaling, stress responses, and lignification changed in the transgenic lines. Similarly, the activity of an EC CSD was found to be responsive to biotic and abiotic stresses (Kasai et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2008). Together, these recent investigations provide additional support that Group 3 CSDs play important roles in plant development by regulating the ROS content of cell walls.

The dwarfed phenotype of transgenic aspen in which EC CSD levels were reduced (Srivastava et al. 2007) raises the question of whether EC CSDs may be required for wall elongation and expansion of PCWs. Recent advances in characterizing the cell wall proteome also suggest potential involvement of EC CSDs in PCWs of elongating roots (Zhu et al. 2006, 2007). Our observation that GhCSD3-GFP translocated to PCW of *Arabidopsis* root cells also suggest the potential involvement of EC CSDs in PCWs in PCW biosynthesis in some plant tissues; however, the precise physiological roles of EC CSD in PCW biosynthesis remain to be discovered.

In summary, we propose that EC H_2O_2 levels are regulated by redox status-related enzymes including EC *Gh*CSD3 and that developmentally regulated changes in the EC levels of *Gh*CSD3 can influence plant cell wall growth.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by USDA-ARS, NASA, and the Louisiana State Support Program of Cotton Incorporated. We thank David Stelly, Wayne Smith, and Texas A & M University for hosting our group during the recovery from Hurricane Katrina. We also thank Markus Fauth of Johann Wolfgang Goethe University for providing pRT104C-myc vector, and Candace Haigler of North Carolina State University, Gunnar Wingsle of Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Kozi Asada of Fukuyama University, Andrew Bowling of USDA-ARS-MSA, and two unknown reviewers for critically reading the manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Alscher RG, Erturk N, Heath LS (2002) Role of superoxide dismutases (SODs) in controlling oxidative stress in plants. J Exp Bot 53:1331–1341
- Apel K, Hirt H (2004) Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu Rev Plant Biol 55:373–399

- Ashby MC, Ibaraki K, Henley JM (2004) It's green outside: tracking cell surface proteins with pH sensitive GFP. Trends Neurosci 27:257–261
- Beasley CA, Ting IP (1973) The effects of plant growth substances on in vitro fiber development from fertilized cotton ovules. Am J Bot 60:130–139
- Bhasin M, Raghava GPS (2004) ESLpred: SVM based method for subcellular localization of eukaryotic proteins using dipeptide composition and PSI-BLAST. Nucleic Acids Res 32:414–419
- Bowler C, Montagu MV, Inze D (1992) Superoxide dismutase and stress tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 43:83– 116
- Cannio R, Fiorentino G, Morana A, Rossi M, Bartolucci S (2000) Oxygen: friend or foe? Archaeal superoxide dismutases in the protection of intra- and extracellular oxidative stress. Front Biosci 5:D768–D779
- Carpita N, McCann M (2000) The cell wall. In: Buchanan BB, Gruissem W, Jones RL (eds) Biochemistry & molecular biology of plants. American Society of Plant Physiologists, Rockville, pp 52–109
- Chen SX, Schopfer P (1999) Hydroxyl-radical production in physiological reactions. A novel function of peroxidase. Eur J Biochem 260:726–735
- Chou KC, Shen HB (2007) Large-scale plant protein subcellular location prediction. J Cell Biochem 100:665–678
- Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16:735–743
- Cosgrove DJ (2005) Growth of the plant cell wall. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:850–861
- Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Heijne GV (1999) ChloroP, a neural network-based method for predicting chloroplast transit peptides. Protein Sci 8:978–984
- Emanuelsson O, Brunak S, Heijne GV, Nielsen H (2007) Locating proteins in the cell using TargetP, SignalP, and related tools. Nat Protoc 2:953–971
- Fry SC (1998) Oxidative scission of plant cell wall polysaccharides by ascorbate-induced hydroxyl radicals. Biochem J 332:507–515
- Haigler CH, Zhang D, Wilkerson CG (2005) Biotechnological improvement of cotton fibre maturity. Physiol Plant 124:285–294
- Harmer SL, Hogenesch JB, Straume M, Chang H, Han B, Zhu T, Wang X, Kreps JA, Kay SA (2000) Orchestrated transcription of key pathways in *Arabidopsis* by the circadian clock. Science 290:2110–2113
- Horton P, Park K, Obayashi T, Fujita N, Harada H, Adams-Collier CJ, Nakai K (2007) WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. Nucleic Acid Res 35:W585–W587
- Hough MA, Hasnain S (1999) Crystallographic structures of bovine copper-zinc superoxide dimutase reveal asymmetry in two subunits: functionally important three and five coordinate copper sites captured in the same crystal. J Mol Biol 287:579–592
- Jarvik JW, Telmer CA (1998) Epitope tagging. Annu Rev Genet 32:601–618
- Kanematsu S, Asada K (1990) Characteristic amino acid sequences of chloroplast and cytosol isozymes of CuZn-superoxide dismutase in spinach, rice, and horsetail. Plant Cell Physiol 31:99–112
- Karlsson M, Melzer M, Prokhorenko I, Johansson T, Wingsle G (2005) Hydrogen peroxide and expression of hipI-superoxide dismutase are associated with the development of secondary cell walls in *Zinnia elegans*. J Exp Bot 56:2085–2093
- Karpinska B, Karlsson M, Schinkel H, Streller S, Süss KH, Melzer M, Wingsle G (2001) A novel superoxide dismutase with a high isoelectric point in higher plants. Expression, regulation and protein localisation. Plant Physiol 126:1668–1677
- Kasai T, Suzuki T, Ono K, Ogawa K, Inagaki Y, Ichinose Y, Toyoda K, Shiraishi T (2006) Pea extracellular Cu/Zn-superoxide dismu-

tase responsive to signal molecules from a fungal pathogen. J Gen Plant Pathol 72:265–272

- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma KI, Miyata T (2002) MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066
- Keller GA, Krisans S, Gould SJ, Sommer JM, Wang CC, Schliebs W, Kunau W, Brody S, Subramani S (1991) Evolutionary conservation of a microbody targeting signal that targets proteins to peroxisomes, glyoxysomes, and glycosomes. J Cell Biol 114:893–904
- Kieliszewski JJ, Lamport DTA (1994) Extensin: repetitive motifs, functional sites, post-translational codes and phylogeny. Plant J 5:157–172
- Kim HJ, Triplett BA (2001) Cotton fiber growth in planta and in vitro. Models for plant cell elongation and cell wall biogenesis. Plant Physiol 127:1361–1366
- Kim HJ, Triplett BA (2004) Cotton fiber germin-like protein. I. Molecular cloning and gene expression. Planta 218:516–524
- Kim HJ, Triplett BA (2007) Cellulose synthase catalytic subunit (*CesA*) genes associated with primary or secondary wall biosynthesis in developing cotton fibers (*Gossypium hirsutum*). In: Proceedings of the World Cotton Res Conference 4, Lubbock, TX, http:// wcrc.confex.com/wcrc/2007/techprogram/P1764.HTM
- Kim HJ, Pesacreta TC, Triplett BA (2004) Cotton-fiber germin-like protein. II: Immunolocalization, purification, and functional analysis. Planta 218:525–535
- Kliebenstein DJ, Monde R, Last RL (1998) Superoxide dismutase in Arabidopsis: an eclectic enzyme family with disparate regulation and protein localization. Plant Physiol 118:637–650
- Kurek I, Kawagoe Y, Jacob-Wilk D, Doblin M, Delmer D (2002) Dimerization of cotton fiber cellulose synthase catalytic subunits occurs via oxidation of the zinc-binding domains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:11109–11114
- Laloi C, Apel K, Danon A (2004) Reactive oxygen signalling: the latest news. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7:323–328
- Lertpiriyapong K, Sung ZR (2003) The *elongation defective 1* mutant of *Arabidopsis* is impaired in the gene encoding a serine-rich secreted protein. Plant Mol Biol 53:581–595
- Naithani SC, Rama-Rao N, Krishnan PN, Singh YD (1981) Changes in o-diphenol oxidase during fibre development in cotton. Ann Bot 48:379–385
- Nakai K, Kanehisa M (1991) Expert system for predicting protein localization sites in gram-negative bacteria. Protein 11:95–110
- Ogawa K, Kanematsu S, Takabe K, Asada K (1995) Attachment of CuZn-superoxide dismutase to thylakoid membranes at the site of superoxide generation (PSI) in spinach chloroplasts: detection by immuno-gold labeling after rapid freezing and substitution method. Plant Cell Physiol 35:565–573
- Ogawa K, Kanematsu S, Asada K (1996) Intra-and extra-cellular localization of cytosolic CuZn-superoxide dismutase in spinach leaf and hypocotyls. Plant Cell Physiol 37:790–799
- Ogawa K, Kanematsu S, Asada K (1997) Generation of superoxide anion and localization of CuZn-superoxide dismutase in the vascular tissues of spinach hypocotyls: their association with lignification. Plant Cell Physiol 38:1118–1126

- Pomar F, Caballero N, Pedreno M, Ros Barcelo A (2002) H_2O_2 generation during the auto-oxidation of coniferyl alcohol drives the oxidase activity of a highly conserved class III peroxidase involved in lignin biosynthesis. FEBS Lett 529:198–202
- Potikha TS, Collins CC, Johnson DI, Delmer DP, Levine A (1999) The involvement of hydrogen peroxide in the differentiation of secondary walls in cotton fibers. Plant Physiol 119:849–858
- Robertson D, Mitchell GP, Gilroy JS, Gerrish C, Bolwell GP, Slabas AR (1997) Differential extraction and protein sequencing reveals major differences in patterns of primary cell wall proteins from plants. J Biol Chem 272:15841–15848
- Schinkel H, Hertzberg M, Wingsle G (2001) A small family of novel CuZn-superoxide dismutases with high isoelectric points in hybrid aspen. Planta 213:272–279
- Schweikert C, Liszkay A, Schopfer P (2000) Scission of polysaccharides by peroxidase-generated hydroxyl radicals. Phytochemistry 53:565–570
- Siemering KR, Golbik R, Sever R, Haseloff J (1996) Mutations that suppress the thermosensitivity of green fluorescent protein. Curr Biol 6:1653–1663
- Smart LB, Vojdani F, Maeshima M, Wilkins TA (1998) Genes involved in osmoregulation during turgor-driven cell expansion of developing cotton fibers are differentially regulated. Plant Physiol 116:1539–1549
- Srivastava V, Schinkel H, Witzell J, Hertzberg M, Torp M, Srivastava MK, Karpinska B, Melzer M, Wingsle G (2007) Downregulation of high-isoelectric-point extracellular superoxide dismutase mediates alterations in the metabolism of reactive oxygen species and developmental disturbances in hybrid aspen. Plant J 49:135–148
- Streller S, Wingsle G (1994) Pinus sylvestris L. needles contain extracellular CuZn superoxide dismutase. Planta 192:195–201
- Tainer JA, Getzoff ED, Beem KM, Richardson JS, Richardson DC (1982) Determination and analysis of the 2 Å structure of copper, zinc superoxide dismutase. J Mol Biol 160:181–217
- Töpfer R, Matzeit V, Gronenborn B, Schell J, Steinbiss H-H (1987) A set of plant expression vectors for transcriptional and translational fusions. Nucleic Acids Res 15:5890
- Whittaker DJ, Triplett BA (1999) Gene-specific changes in α-tubulin transcript accumulation in developing cotton fibers. Plant Physiol 121:181–188
- Zhang H, Xia Y, Wang G, Zhenguo S (2008) Excess copper induces accumulation of hydrogen peroxide and increases lipid peroxidation and total activity of copper–zinc superoxide dismutase in roots of *Elsholtzia haichowensis*. Planta 227:465–475
- Zhou A, Li J (2005) *Arabidopsis* BRS1 is a secreted and active serine carboxypeptidase. J Biol Chem 280:35554–35561
- Zhu J, Chen S, Alvarez S, Asirvatham VS, Schachtman DP, Wu Y, Sharp RE (2006) Cell wall proteome in the maize primary root elongation zone. I. Extraction and identification of water-soluble and lightly ionically bound proteins. Plant Physiol 140:311–325
- Zhu J, Alvarez S, Marsh EI, LeNoble ME, Cho I, Sivaguru M, Chen S, Nguyen HT, Wu Y, Schachtman P, Sharp RE (2007) Cell wall proteome in the maize primary root elongation zone. II. Regionspecific changes in water soluble and lightly ionically bound proteins under water deficit. Plant Physiol 145:1533–1548