



## Correction to: A solution to PET brain motion artefact

Kevin M. Bradley<sup>1,2</sup> · Timothy W. Deller<sup>3</sup> · Matthew G. Spangler-Bickell<sup>3</sup> · Floris P. Jansen<sup>3</sup> · Daniel R. McGowan<sup>1,4</sup>

Published online: 14 June 2021  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

**Correction to: Journal of Neurology**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10632-4>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. Figure 1 was wrong.

The corrected Fig. 1 is given in the following page

The original article has been corrected.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.


---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10632-4>.

---

✉ Daniel R. McGowan  
Daniel.mcgowan@oncology.ox.ac.uk

- <sup>1</sup> Oxford University Hospitals NHS FT, Oxford, UK
- <sup>2</sup> Wales Research and Diagnostic PET Imaging Centre, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK
- <sup>3</sup> GE Healthcare, Waukesha, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK



**Fig. 1**  $^{18}\text{F}$ -Fluorodeoxyglucose, axial PET image at the level of the basal ganglia with significant blurring due to movement (a). Corresponding axial PET image, corrected for motion (b), all other recon-

struction factors unchanged. Graphs illustrating the baseline rotation (c) and translation (d) versus time, which were subsequently corrected