ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Time-dependent expression of high-mobility group box-1 and toll-like receptors proteins as potential determinants of skin wound age in rats: Forensic implication

Yasmina M. Abd-Elhakim¹ · Bothina H. F. Omran² · Shimaa A. Ezzeldein³ · Amany I. Ahmed⁴ · Nabela I. El-Sharkawy¹ · Amany Abdel-Rahman Mohamed¹

Received: 28 October 2021 / Accepted: 21 January 2022 / Published online: 7 February 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

The skin wound age determination in living subjects is an imperative task for forensic experts. In this study, we investigated the time-dependent expression of high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) and toll-like receptors 2 and 4 (TLR2 and 4) in rat skin wounds using real-time PCR and seek their forensic potentials during the skin wound repair process. In addition, the levels of serum pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6)), as well as nitric oxide (NO) production, were measured. The wound tissue and serum samples were collected after 30 min, 2 h, 6 h, 12 h, 1 day, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days after incision. As a control (zero time), skin specimens and blood samples were collected without incision. The results reveal that the HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 expression levels were increased in a time-dependent manner until the first day where the peak level was achieved for the three tested genes compared with the zero time. On the 7^{th} day, the statistical significance was lost for TLR2 and TLR4 but persisted for HMGB1. The serum TNF- α , IL6, and NO levels peaked within 30 min and 1st and 3rd day after injury, respectively. On the 7th day after incision, no significant differences exist in the TNF- α serum level compared to the control group, but the statistical significance persisted for IL6 and NO. It was apparent that the analyzed genes in the wound tissues showed higher R2 values rather than the serum biochemical indicators. Of note, a strong positive correlation was evident between the HMGB1 and that of TLR2 and TLR4 relative expression as well as IL-6 serum level. Conclusively, based on the observed changes in the analyzed markers in wound tissues and serum and R2 values obtained from mathematical models established to determine the wound age, the relative expression of HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 could be a reliable indicator for wound age determination in living subjects. Further investigation of these markers and mathematical models in human tissues is necessary.

Keywords High-mobility group box- $1 \cdot \text{Toll}$ -like receptors \cdot Pro-inflammatory cytokine \cdot Nitric oxide \cdot Wound age estimation \cdot Real-time PCR

Yasmina M. Abd-Elhakim yasmina_forensic@hotmail.com

- Amany Abdel-Rahman Mohamed amanyrahman292@gmail.com
- ¹ Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt
- ² Department of Forensic Medicine and Clinical Toxicology, Faculty of Human Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt
- ³ Department of Surgery, Anesthesiology and Radiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt
- ⁴ Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

Introduction

In forensic medicine, the determination of the skin wound's age in living subjects is an extremely important issue [1]. When physical abuse victims report a crime, a forensic medical officer shall be consulted to assess the injury, including the estimate for the wound age. But, the macroscopic description of a wound is known to be inadequate in determining the age of the wound [2, 3]. In forensic autopsies, numerous immunohistochemical markers, in addition to traditional histological features of wound healing, are used to define wound age more precisely [4–7]. To that end, skin excisions are performed in order to assess the actual damage

which occurs primarily at the dermo-subcutaneous junction [8]. Nevertheless, it is unethical to excise skin wounds in living subjects[1]. Thus, more appropriate methods and potential determinants to define the age of injury in living subjects are therefore required.

The wound healing process, including skin wounds, has been characterized into three phases including inflammation, proliferation, and maturation [2]. Neutrophilic granulocytes are the first inflammatory cells to invade the site of wound. They can be extravascular detected after 20–30 min of infliction in human skin wounds [6, 9]. Lymphocytes attracted by chemokine to the wound can be found as early as 5–6 h after injury [10]. Macrophages can also be found 5–6 h after injury, although they were reported to peak in wounds 1–2 days as recorded in animal models and human skin [11]. During this course, several mediators are released from these cells.

High mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), known as amphoterin, is mainly a nuclear protein found in various eukaryotic cells and has an amino-acid sequence among species that has been highly conserved. HMGB1 has two separate functions in cellular systems. First, it acts as an intracellular transcription regulator with a critical role in maintaining the function of DNA [12]. Second, HMGB1 is translocated in all eukaryotic cells to outside of the nucleus after necrosis and released from the macrophage via activation of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), lipopolysaccharides (LPs), interferon (IFN)- γ , and interleukin (IL)-1 [13–15]. It was recently recognized as a "late" inflammatory mediator [16]. It is extracellular secreted by macrophages and activated monocytes or passively released by necrotic or injured cells [17]. HMGB1 interaction with the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) and the toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2), and TLR4 has been reported. The former interaction subsequently induced extracellular signal-regulated signaling, which triggers cytokine production [18, 19]. TLRs are a class of immunological pattern recognition receptors [20].

Substantial evidence now demonstrate that many different cell types, including inflammatory infiltrating or residents, fibroblasts, keratinocytes, and EC, are expressing specific TLRs types [21]. In particular TLR2 and 4 are expressed in several types of cells including neutrophils [22], macrophages [23], CD4⁺, CD8⁺[24], fibroblasts [25], and adipocytes [26]. Chakraborty et al. [27] reported that HMGB1 unregulated the TLR2 and TLR4 surface receptors, which in turn increase nitric oxide (NO) production which is a short-lived radical previously shown to be synthesized in wounds [28]. In spite of the certain TLRs role in controlling the immune reaction in acute wound healing, the TLR functions in chronic wound healing are poorly understood [29].

In the forensic context, Kikuchi et al. [30] confirmed possible use of serum HMGB1 for the postmortem interval

estimation. However, up till know, there are no available report on the probable use of association between HMGB1 and TLR2 and 4 as a determinant of chronological estimation of wounds in living subjects. Thus, this study aimed to assess the effectiveness of combined assessment of wound age with the three markers HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 in a time-course experiment in a rat model using molecular means. Moreover, the correlation of the earlier markers with the serum pro-inflammatory cytokines levels (TNF- α and IL-6) and NO production has been assessed.

Material and methods

Animals, experimental design, and sampling

Fifty-four healthy Sprague Dawley rats obtained from the Laboratory Animal Housing Unit were males, 12 weeks old, and of initial weight of 220–250 g. Under a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle, rats have been kept in a well-ventilated room in a stainless steel case with food and water freely accessible. Two weeks before use in any trial, rats were adapted to laboratory conditions. Animals have been treated humanely, and ethical concerns are addressed in every respect. During the entire experiment, rats have been closely observed for distress, pain, injury, suffering, mucous membrane color, respiratory patterns, illness, and death.

Earlier in the experiment, the experimental animals were anesthetized by intramuscular injection of a cocktail of xylazine (5 mg/kg b.wt) and ketamine hydrochloride (50 mg/kg b.wt). Then, the hair of rats' dorsal skin was clean-shaven until the epidermis fully appeared and then the skin was cleared using povidone iodine. Incised wound model was formed by scalpel on the dorsal skins following the protocol of Guan et al. [31]. An incision of 1-cm long was in the central dorsum skin layer using a scalpel. Each rat was individually placed in a cage after wounding and was given sterilized chow and water, in order to prevent bacterial contamination. Rats have not been given pain medication after the skin injury to avoid the influence of analgesics on wound healing which could add a significant variable in analyzing animal-derived data for relevance to humans [32-34]. But, we reduced pain stress on rats by single caging, using soft bedding, easily accessible food and water, and avoid rough handling. The rats were anesthetized and sacrificed via cervical dislocation, and $1:5 \times 2$ cm² specimens were collected from the wounded areas at 30 min, 2 h, 6 h, 12 h, 1 day, 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days (6 rats in each group) postwounding. The specimens were immediately stored at -80°C until gene expression analysis. The blood samples were taken from the retro-orbital plexus. The serum was obtained via centrifugation for 10 min at 3000 rpm. The resultant serum samples were used for the evaluation of TNF α , IL1 β , and NO levels. As a control, skin specimens and blood samples from six rats without incision were collected. The data of 0 h denote the results of the control rats.

Quantitative analysis of HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR 4 relative gene expression

Total RNA has been isolated from the skin tissue with the RNA purification kits as directed by the company. In accordance with manufacturer guidelines, cDNA first-strand was reverse transcribed from 1 µg of the total RNA reverse transcription kit. These samples were frozen at 80°C to be used in real-time PCR to determine gene expression levels. A Rotor-Gene Q cycler (Qiagen Hilden, Germany) and a QuantiTect® SYBR® Green PCR Kit (Qiagen Hilden, Germany) together with forward and reverse primers specific to each gene were used in quantitative real-time PCR. The 25- μ l PCR mixture contains cDNA (2 μ l), primer (2 μ l), 2×SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (12.5 µl), and RNasefree water (8.5 µl). Amplification conditions were 15 min of initial activation at 95°C, 40 cycles at 94°C for 15 s for denaturation, 30 s of annealing at 58°C, and finally 30 s of elongation at 72°C, respectively. The comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate relative fold changes [35]. Real-time PCR thermal profile and Primer sequences are shown in Table 1.[36, 37]

Evaluation of TNF-α, IL-6, and NO serum levels

Serum levels of TNF- α (Cat. no. STA00D) and IL-6 (Cat. no. S6050) were estimated.

using a rat enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Quantikine Co., Minneapolis, MN, USA) in line with the manufacturer's instructions. The NO level was detected using ready kits (Abcam, Co., Cambridge, MA, USA; ab65328) consistent with the manufacturer's protocols.

Data analysis

The SPSS/PC + 2001 computer program was used for the statistical analysis of the existing study data. The statistical model used here was one-way ANOVA with LSD post hoc test. Data are shown as means \pm the standard error. The minimum significance level was set as p < 0.05. Correlation between the estimated parameters was assessed by Pearson's correlation coefficient (r). The curve estimation analysis between the relative expression of different genes and levels of serum proinflammatory cytokines and nitric oxide and the time after wounding was performed to develop the best mathematical model function. Four mathematical model functions including linear, quadratic, cubic, and exponential were investigated. The best mathematical models were considered curves with the highest determination coefficient (R²).

Results

HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 mRNA relative expression in skin tissues

As demonstrated in Fig. 1, after incision, there was an increase in HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 mRNA expressions in a time dependent manner till the 1st day where the peak level was achieved for the three tested genes $(2.51 \pm 0.09, 2.19 \pm 0.02, \text{ and } 2.11 \pm 0.02, \text{ respectively})$ compared with the zero time $(1.00 \pm 0.04, 1.00 \pm 0.04, \text{ and } 1.00 \pm 0.12, \text{ respectively})$. For TLR2 and TLR4, a time-dependent decrease in their mRNA expression level was recorded from the 3rd day to the 7th day where a non-significant change was recorded at the 7th day compared to the zero time. On the other hand, the HMGB1 upregulation persist until the 7th day (1.99 \pm 0.12) with a significant difference from the zero time.

Table 1	Oligonucleotide	primer	sequences and	l real-time	PCR	conditions
---------	-----------------	--------	---------------	-------------	-----	------------

Gene name		Primer sequences	Reaction conditions	Reference
HMGB1	F	5'- TGATTAATGAATGAGTTCGGGC-3'	94 °C-30 s/59 °C-30 s/ 72 °C-60 s (27 cycles)	1
	R	3'- TGCTCAGGAAACTTGACTGTTT-5'		
TLR2	F	5'- TCTGAGTTCCGTGACATAGG-3'	95°C-15 s/59°C-40 s/72°C-40 s (40 cycles)	2
	R	3'- AGATGTAACGCAACAGATTC-5'		
TLR4	F	5'- GTGAGCATTGATGAGTTCAG-3'	95°C-15 s/59°C-40 s/72°C-40 s (40 cycles)	2
	R	3'- CATCTAATGATTGATAAGGATT-5'		
GAPDH	F	5'- ATCAACGACCCCTTCATTGACC -3'	94°C-40 s/59°C-40 s/72°C-40 s (35 cycles)	2
	R	3'- CCAGTAGACTCCACGACATACTA -5'		

F forward primer, *R* reverse primer, *HMGB1* high-mobility group box-1, *TLR2* toll-like receptors 2, *TLR4* toll-like receptors 4, *GAPDH* glyceral-dehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase



Fig. 1 Changes in the relative expression of high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) and toll-like receptors 2 and 4 (TLR2 and 4) in the rat wound tissue collected at different time points. The expression abundance of genes mRNA was normalized against the internal con-

trol gene GAPDH using quantitative real-time PCR technique. Values are mean \pm SE. *n*=6. Post-wounding time points carrying different letters (a, b, c, d, e, and f) are significantly different at *P* < 0.05

Changes in serum levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and NO

The serum TNF- α levels peaked within 30 min after injury (334.67 ± 10.14) compared to the zero time (33.67 ± 0.62) (Fig. 2A). Despite the significant (*P* < 0.001) decrease in the TNF- α level in the wounds 2 h–5 day old compared to the peak recorded at 30-min wound, but the statistical significance still exists compared to that in the control group (zero time). Nevertheless, at the 7th day, no significant differences existed in the TNF- α serum level compared to the control group.

For IL-6, throughout the 7 days, significant (P < 0.001) increases were recorded with the peak achieved at the 1st day post-wounding (327.00 ± 5.12) compared to the zero time (71.67 ± 3.09) (Fig. 2B). Moreover, at the 7th day, the statistical significance (P < 0.001) was not lost with mean values of 159.33 ± 5.72 .

As presented in Fig. 2C, there was a significant (P < 0.001) increase in the NO level peaked at the 3rd day after wounding with mean values of 97.33 ± 1.25 compared

to the zero time (16.00 \pm 0.82). In spite of the significant (*P* < 0.001) decrease in the NO level in the wounds 5 and 7 day old compared to the peak recorded at the 3rd day wound but the statistical significance still exist compared to that in the control group (zero time).

Mathematical models for estimation of the age of the wound

A curve estimation analysis between the age of wound and the estimated biomarkers including relative expression of healing-related genes in the wound and serum cytokines in rats was performed. The mathematical models of the three genes (HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4) and the serum biochemical indicators (TNF α , IL-6, and NO) comprising linear, quadratic, cubic, and exponential equations with their determination coefficients (R²) were proven by SPSS program. Mathematical models with the highest R² values were considered optimal for estimating the wound age and are displayed in Table 2. The curves fit between the age of wound,



Fig.2 Changes in the serum levels of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and nitric oxide (NO) collected at different time points from rats after wounding. Values are mean ± SE. Post-

wounding time points carrying different letters (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, and h) are significantly different at P < 0.05

Table 2Optimum mathematicalmodels and equations usedto describe the relationshipbetween the time afterwounding (X) and the estimatedbiomarkers including relativeexpression of healing-relatedgenes in the wound and serumcytokines in rats (Y)

Biomarker	Model	Equation	\mathbb{R}^2
HMGB1	Cubic	$y = 0.798 + 0.244x + 0.018 x^2 - 0.003 x^3$	0.821
TLR2	Cubic	$y = 0.832 + 0.086x + 0.065 x^2 - 0.008 x^3$	0.872
TLR4	Cubic	$y = 1.327 + 0.443x + 0.173 x^2 - 0.014 x^3$	0.859
ΤΝFα	Cubic	$y = -101.895 + 237.187x - 49.037 x^2 + 2.736 x^3$	0.562
IL-6	Cubic	$y = 127.925 - 56.258x + 25.169 x^2 - 2.052x^3$	0.647
NO	Cubic	$y = 38.811 - 9.645x + 6.595 x^2 - 0.623x^3$	0.506

HMGB1 high-mobility group box-1, *TLR2* toll-like receptors 2, *TLR4* toll-like receptors 4, *TNF\alpha* tumor necrosis factor alpha, *IL-6* interleukin-6, *NO* nitric oxide

and the estimated indicators are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. It was apparent that the analyzed genes in the wound tissues showed higher R^2 values rather than the serum biochemical indicators. Among analyzed genes, TLR2 achieved the highest R^2 value, while that of IL-6 was the highest among estimated serum indicators.

Correlation between the estimated parameters

As demonstrated in Table 3, a strong positive correlation was evident between the relative expression level of HMGB1 and that of TLR2 and TLR4 as well as IL-6 serum level. In addition, there was an intermediate positive correlation between HMGB1 in wound tissue and NO in the serum of rat after wounding. TLR2 is strongly correlated with TLR4 and intermediately correlated with serum levels of both IL-6 and NO. In addition, TLR4 is strongly correlated with IL-6 and NO serum levels. Of note, a strong correlation was detected between IL-6 and NO serum levels. Nonetheless, no significant correlation was estimated between TNF- α level and any of the estimated parameters except weak correlation with the NO level.

Discussion

The determination of wound age continues to be a challenge in forensic medicine [38]. Hence, several approaches and techniques were used to determine the vitality and duration of wounds. For instance, in various studies that investigated tools for determining wound's age in human tissues taken from autopsy cases and their results are not used in forensic as evidence at court, traditional histological wound features are applied in addition to several immunohistochemical markers [5, 7, 39, 40]. In order to achieve this, excisions of the skin are made, in which the actual damage can be evaluated mostly at the dermo-subcutaneous junction [3, 41]. Nevertheless, it is not ethical to excise skin injuries in living individuals [1]. Apart from morphological methods, there were numerous attempts to establish biochemical methods for the evaluation of vitality markers in wound fluids or tissue extracts of wounds [42, 43]. Immunological tests such as ELISA are one of the biochemical methods that gave valuable results with the analysis of pro-inflammatory cytokines in wounds [4, 44]. Moreover, molecular biology could provide valuable tools to carry out studies on wound timing by providing definite information of the stage of the cell activation and which mediator is to be synthesized [45]. For instance, the use of RT-PCR has already given some interesting results for the correlation of cytokines levels and timing of different types of wounds [46, 47]. Furthermore,

Table 3Correlation coefficient(r) between expression ofhealing-related genes in thewound and serum cytokinesin rats

	HMGB1	TLR2	TLR4	TNF	IL6	NO
HMGB1	1	0.769**	0.852**	-0.004	0.847**	0.591**
TLR2		1	0.849**	0.215	0.673**	0.628**
TLR4			1	0.027	0.870**	0.760**
TNF-α				1	-0.037	0.381*
IL6					1	0.732**
NO						1

HMGB1 high-mobility group box-1, *TLR2* toll-like receptors 2, *TLR4* toll-like receptors 4, *TNF* α tumor necrosis factor alpha, *IL-6* interleukin-6, *NO* nitric oxide. *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

combining proteomic and genomic technologies in earlier studies provided important details about the interconnected processes that could define the age of wounds [48, 49]. Importantly, to establish the chronology of a wound using the aforementioned techniques, searching for more appropriate markers reliable for forensics is an extremely important issue [45].

In the current experiment, molecular techniques like RT-PCR were used to investigate the expression of target proteins, as it requires minute skin biopsies. In recent decades, transcripts were used as markers for the early phases of vitality [50, 51]. Because mRNA appears earlier than the protein that it encodes, mRNA evaluation using RT-PCR is more appropriate for estimating early wound age [52]. Moreover, the serum indicators could be a practical tool in living subjects for forensic practitioners. Thus, herein, we have followed the chronological changes in the levels of three analyzed genes in wound tissue and three biochemical indicators in serum up to 7 days post-wounding as the combined use of numerous indicators in the estimation of wounds age is considered a promising strategy [38].

In this study, the expression level of HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 was significantly increased in a time-dependent manner in the wound tissue up to the 7th day where the statistical significance was lost for both TLR2 and TLR4 but persist for HMGB1. Moreover, our results displayed the strong correlation exists between the three tested analyzed genes and the high R^2 value in the cubic mathematical regression model that was attained for all of them. Some cytokine and enzyme mRNAs have been investigated in recent years to determine the injury age [1, 3, 10, 20, 24]. But, there are no available reports on the chronological investigation of HMGB1, TLR2, and TLR4 gene expression post-wounding and how their levels correlated with each other in the wound environment.

Initially, HMGB1 is a multifunctional cytokine normally expressed in the nucleus of skin cells. But, it can be released into the extracellular space from damaged cells or from macrophages and monocytes in response to numerous stimuli like IL-1 β and TNF- α in living individuals for skin regeneration and wound healing under physiological conditions [14]. Lower HMGB1 levels concomitant with delayed wound healing were detected in the diabetic patients and mice skin [53]. Thus, the significant upregulation of HMGB1 that recorded after 7 days of wound incision could be linked to the multiple sources of HMGB1 that associated with different stages of wound healing. HMGB-1 may passively escape from apoptotic, necrotic, and damaged cells [54] or be actively synthesized by immune cells including macrophages [55], natural killer cells [56], neutrophils, and mature dendritic cells [57], functioning as a cytokine-like molecule. HMGB1has roles inside the cytoplasm and as an extracellular damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) molecule [58]. HMGB1 can also interact with other TLR ligands and cytokines and triggers cells through several surface cell receptors, including TLR2 and TLR4, which work as an tissue damage sensor, causing inflammatory responses [59]. TLR2 and TLR4 are normally expressed in skin cells [60]. HMGB1 binding to TLR2/4 triggers a signaling cascade that leads to activation of NF-kB and then other proinflammatory cytokines, leukocyte adhesion molecules, and angiogenic factors in both hematopoietic and endothelial cells [61]. The positive association between the relative mRNA expression of HMGB1 and TLR2 and 4 has been recently confirmed in nasal brushing samples the study of Zhu et al. [62]. Of note, the Spearman's correlation for HMGB1 relative expression in the wound tissue and IL-6 level in the serum was strong. In this regard, earlier evidence elucidated that HMGB1 on its own does not induce detectable IL-6 production. However, when the protein associates with one of several individual proinflammatory molecules (IL-1β, TLR4 ligand LPS), it potently enhances proinflammatory cytokine production [63, 64]. On the other hand, an intermediate positive correlation was found between HMGB1 in wound tissue and NO in the serum of rat after wounding. In this regard, Chakraborty et al. [27] suggested that the co-incubation of macrophages with rHMGB1 with either peptidoglycan or lipopolysaccharide significantly upregulated TLR2 and TLR4 surface receptors which in turn amplifies the NF-B activation and results in enhanced NO production.

Importantly, the peak of relative expression of HMGB1, TLR2, and 4 as well as serum level of IL-6 was delayed to the 1st day post-wounding. In this regard, the release of HMGB1 is postponed compared with TNF- α production and secretion in activated macrophages/ monocytes, with a 12–18-h lag phase [65]. In addition, Fang et al. [66] reported that thermal injury known to increase pro-inflammatory cytokine mRNA in different tissues also induced upregulated levels of HMGB1 mRNA 24 h after burning. However, the upregulation of HMGB1was significant till the 7th day post-wounding implying the role of this protein in the different stages of wound healing. Similarly, Zhang et al. [67] reported that even 7 days after wounding, HMGB1 exists in the wound environment at a time in which the inflammatory phase of healing is well over. The same persistence of the significance increase was also recorded for both NO and IL-6 serum levels. This implies that these proteins determined in the inflammatory phase are implicated in inflammatory reactions and chemotaxis for neutrophil as well as immunosuppression, angiogenesis, and the chemotactic factor production for macrophages in the late proliferative stage [44, 67, 68].

In view of the fact that inflammation associated with injury can affect the entire system, herein, we supposed that systemic level of pro-inflammatory cytokine and NO production could be helpful in determining the age of wound together with the relative expression of other indicators in the wound microenvironment. Under physiological conditions in healthy subjects, TNF- α , IL-6, and NO are present at constant low serum levels because of their key roles in cellular homeostasis, immune surveillance, tissue regeneration, and the blood flow regulation [69–72]. But, their levels increases with tissue injury and activation of the blood cells in healthy subjected [73]. In the current study, serum TNF-, IL-6, and NO levels increased significantly with time after wounding, but the peak levels of each were reached at different times. In this regard, the peak level of TNF- α was obtained after 30 min of incision, but the peak levels of IL-6 and NO were obtained on the 1st and 3rd days after incision, respectively. Comparably, the rapid release of TNF- α has been earlier reported in some studies that assessed the relative TNF- α expression in the wound microenvironment as an indicator to determine the time passed after wound [74, 75]. Thus, our study adds a new evidence of the possible use of TNF- α as an early phase wound age determinant, even serum level. Nevertheless, in the study of Sato and Ohshima [46], the peak mRNA levels for TNF- α were detected between 48 and 72 h in the wound microenvironment. While animal species intended to produce results as close to those obtained by humans are chosen for testing purposes, different findings may be derived from the use of various animal models [74]. On the other hand, the role of NO in the fibroblast collagen synthesis and contractile properties could elucidate such delay in the peak release at delayed stage [76].

The amount and the time of release of cytokines are affected by certain circumstances. These include malignant diseases, severe malnutrition, metabolic disorders, and medical treatment with glucocorticoid and cyto-static agents and chronic radiation exposure, which have a number of adverse effects on injury healing and delay of the cure process. In such instances, differences in cytokine values were shown [4]. Thus, further studies are highly recommended on cases associated with such conditions.

Conclusion

From these results, we can conclude that HMGB1, TLR2, and 4 have comparable expression curves in the wound tissues possibly due to their correlated roles in the wound healing and their strong positive association. Mathematical models recognized for estimation of the wound age according to the expression level of each gene up to 7 days showed a high R2 value suggesting their use as a powerful determinant for the time after injury in forensic practices. Also, despite the significant increase in serum levels of TNF- α , NO, and IL-6, their use as wound age determinant could be less indicative

than the relative expression of mRNA of targeted genes of wound tissue. Consequently, it is highly important to compare genomic results with serum levels of markers in order to find a serum marker that could help in forensic cases. Further studies about the expression of HMGB1 and TLR2 and 4 in traumatic human wounds are highly recommended to verify if the rat model can be useful also in human forensic cases. Furthermore, investigating the same determinants in short survival times during life and also in postmortem skin biopsies is highly needed.

Funding Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declarations

Research involving human participants and/or animals All applicable international, national, and institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. All procedures performed in the study involving animals were in accordance with the Ethics of Animal Use in Research Committee (IACUC), Zagazig University, Egypt.

Informed consent Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Fronczek J, Lulf R, Korkmaz HI et al (2015) Analysis of inflammatory cells and mediators in skin wound biopsies to determine wound age in living subjects in forensic medicine. Forensic Sci Int 247:7–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.11.014
- Dettmeyer RB. (2018) Vitality, injury age, determination of skin wound age, and fracture age. Forensic Histopathology. Springer. pp. 241–63.
- Knight B, Saukko P (1996) The pathology of wounds Forensic pathology 3:136–173
- Grellner W, Georg T, Wilske J (2000) Quantitative analysis of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α) in human skin wounds. Forensic Sci Int 113:251–264

- Ortiz-Rey J, Suárez-Peñaranda J, San Miguel P, Muñoz J, Rodríguez-Calvo M, Concheiro L (2008) Immunohistochemical analysis of P-Selectin as a possible marker of vitality in human cutaneous wounds. J Forensic Leg Med 15:368–372
- Betz P (1994) Histological and enzyme histochemical parameters for the age estimation of human skin wounds. Int J Legal Med 107:60–68
- Fieguth A, Feldbrügge H, Gerich T, Kleemann W, Tröger H (2003) The time-dependent expression of fibronectin, MRP8, MRP14 and defensin in surgically treated human skin wounds. Forensic Sci Int 131:156–161
- B. Knight (2004) The pathology of wounds, in: Forensic Pathology, 3rd ed, Edward Arnold, London, 2004, pp. 136–173.
- Singer AJ, Clark RA (1999) Cutaneous wound healing. N Engl J Med 341:738–746
- van de Goot FR. (2008) The chronological dating of injury. Essentials of autopsy practice. Springer. pp. 167–81.
- Delavary BM, van der Veer WM, van Egmond M, Niessen FB, Beelen RH (2011) Macrophages in skin injury and repair. Immunobiology 216:753–762
- Bianchi ME, Crippa MP, Manfredi AA, Mezzapelle R, Rovere Querini P, Venereau E (2017) High-mobility group box 1 protein orchestrates responses to tissue damage via inflammation, innate and adaptive immunity, and tissue repair. Immunol Rev 280:74–82
- Ito T, Kawahara K, Nakamura T et al (2007) High-mobility group box 1 protein promotes development of microvascular thrombosis in rats. J Thromb Haemost 5:109–116
- Scaffidi P, Misteli T, Bianchi ME (2002) Release of chromatin protein HMGB1 by necrotic cells triggers inflammation. Nature 418:191–195
- Bertheloot D, Latz E (2017) HMGB1, IL-1α, IL-33 and S100 proteins: dual-function alarmins. Cell Mol Immunol 14:43–64
- Wang H, Yang H, Czura CJ, Sama AE, Tracey KJ (2001) HMGB1 as a late mediator of lethal systemic inflammation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 164:1768–1773
- Rovere-Querini P, Capobianco A, Scaffidi P et al (2004) HMGB1 is an endogenous immune adjuvant released by necrotic cells. EMBO Rep 5:825–830
- Harris HE, Andersson U, Pisetsky DS (2012) HMGB1: a multifunctional alarmin driving autoimmune and inflammatory disease. Nat Rev Rheumatol 8:195
- Park JS, Svetkauskaite D, He Q et al (2004) Involvement of tolllike receptors 2 and 4 in cellular activation by high mobility group box 1 protein. J Biol Chem 279:7370–7377
- Shirjang S, Mansoori B, Solali S, Hagh MF, Shamsasenjan K (2017) Toll-like receptors as a key regulator of mesenchymal stem cell function: an up-to-date review. Cell Immunol 315:1–10
- Krawczyk-Michalak K, Glapiński A, Brzezińska-Błaszczyk E (2008) Toll-like receptors and their role in regulation of the inflammatory response in sepsis. Anestezjol Intens Ter 40:253–259
- Hayashi F, Means TK, Luster AD (2003) Toll-like receptors stimulate human neutrophil function. Blood 102:2660–2669
- Muzio M, Bosisio D, Polentarutti N et al (2000) Differential expression and regulation of toll-like receptors (TLR) in human leukocytes: selective expression of TLR3 in dendritic cells. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950) 164: 5998–6004. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.164.11.5998
- Reynolds JM, Dong C (2013) Toll-like receptor regulation of effector T lymphocyte function. Trends Immunol 34:511–519
- 25. Proost P, Verpoest S, Van de Borne K et al (2004) Synergistic induction of CXCL9 and CXCL11 by Toll-like receptor ligands and interferon-gamma in fibroblasts correlates with elevated levels of CXCR3 ligands in septic arthritis synovial fluids. J Leukoc Biol 75:777–784. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.1003524

- Song MJ, Kim KH, Yoon JM, Kim JB (2006) Activation of Tolllike receptor 4 is associated with insulin resistance in adipocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 346:739–745
- Chakraborty R, Bhatt KH, Sodhi A (2013) High mobility group box 1 protein synergizes with lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan for nitric oxide production in mouse peritoneal macrophages in vitro. Mol Immunol 54:48–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molimm.2012.10.042
- Kirk S, Regan M, Palmer R, Moncada S. (1993) The role of nitric oxide in wound collagen deposition. Surgical Forum-Chicago-. American College of Surgeons. pp. 706-.
- Chen L, DiPietro LA (2017) Toll-Like Receptor Function in Acute Wounds. Adv Wound Care 6:344–355. https://doi.org/10.1089/ wound.2017.0734
- 30. Kikuchi K, Kawahara K-I, Biswas KK et al (2010) HMGB1: A new marker for estimation of the postmortem interval. experimental and therapeutic medicine 1: 109–11.
- Guan D-W, Ohshima T, Kondo T (2000) Immunohistochemical Study on Fas and Fas Ligand in Skin Wound Healing. Histochem J 32:85–91. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004058010500
- 32. Kaushal M, Kutty NG, Rao CM (2006) Nitrooxyethylation reverses the healing-suppressant effect of ibuprofen. Mediators of inflammation 2006.
- Krischak G, Augat P, Claes L, Kinzl L, Beck A (2007) The effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug application on incisional wound healing in rats. J Wound Care 16:76–78
- Feeser VR, Menke NB, Ward KR, Loria RM, Diegelmann RF (2009) Androstenediol reverses steroid-inhibited wound healing. Wound repair and regeneration 17:758–761
- 35. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ method. methods 25: 402–8.
- Qiu Q-m, Li Z-w, Tang L-m et al (2011) Expression of high mobility group protein B1 in the lungs of rats with sepsis. World J Emerg Med 2:302
- Song J-J, Cho JG, Woo J-S, Lee H-M, Hwang S-J, Chae S-W (2009) Differential expression of toll-like receptors 2 and 4 in rat middle ear. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 73:821–824
- Sun J-h, Zhu X-y, Dong T-n et al (2017) An "up, no change, or down" system: time-dependent expression of mRNAs in contused skeletal muscle of rats used for wound age estimation. Forensic Sci Int 272:104–110
- Khalaf AA, Hassanen EI, Zaki AR, Tohamy AF, Ibrahim MA (2019) Histopathological, immunohistochemical, and molecular studies for determination of wound age and vitality in rats. Int Wound J 16:1416–1425
- Grellner W (2002) Time-dependent immunohistochemical detection of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α) in human skin wounds. Forensic Sci Int 130:90–96
- 41. Randeberg LL, Haugen OA, Haaverstad R, Svaasand LO (2006) A novel approach to age determination of traumatic injuries by reflectance spectroscopy. Lasers in Surgery and Medicine: The Official Journal of the American Society for Laser Medicine and Surgery 38:277–289
- Grellner W, Madea B (2007) Demands on scientific studies: vitality of wounds and wound age estimation. Forensic Sci Int 165:150–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.05.029
- Hernández-Cueto C, Girela E, Sweet DJ (2000) Advances in the diagnosis of wound vitality: a review. Am J Forensic Med Pathol 21:21–31
- Kondo T, Ohshima T (1996) The dynamics of inflammatory cytokines in the healing process of mouse skin wound: a preliminary study for possible wound age determination. Int J Legal Med 108:231–236
- Cecchi R (2010) Estimating wound age: looking into the future. Int J Legal Med 124:523–536

- 46. Sato Y, Ohshima T (2000) The expression of mRNA of proinflammatory cytokines during skin wound healing in mice: a preliminary study for forensic wound age estimation (II). Int J Legal Med 113:140–145
- 47. Ohshima T, Sato Y (1998) Time-dependent expression of interleukin-10 (IL-10) mRNA during the early phase of skin wound healing as a possible indicator of wound vitality. Int J Legal Med 111:251–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004140050163
- Tarran SL, Craft GE, Valova V et al (2007) The use of proteomics to study wound healing: a preliminary study for forensic estimation of wound age. Med Sci Law 47:134–140. https://doi.org/10. 1258/rsmmsl.47.2.134
- Pollins AC, Friedman DB, Nanney LB (2007) Proteomic investigation of human burn wounds by 2D-difference gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry. J Surg Res 142:143–152. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jss.2007.01.001
- 50. Lech K, Liu F, Ackermann K et al (2016) Evaluation of mRNA markers for estimating blood deposition time: towards alibi testing from human forensic stains with rhythmic biomarkers. Forensic Sci Int Genet 21:119–125
- Takamiya M, Saigusa K, Kumagai R, Nakayashiki N, Aoki Y (2005) Studies on mRNA expression of tissue-type plasminogen activator in bruises for wound age estimation. Int J Legal Med 119:16–21
- 52. Yu T-S, Cheng Z-H, Li L-Q et al (2010) The cannabinoid receptor type 2 is time-dependently expressed during skeletal muscle wound healing in rats. Int J Legal Med 124:397–404
- Straino S, Di Carlo A, Mangoni A et al (2008) High-mobility group box 1 protein in human and murine skin: involvement in wound healing. J Investig Dermatol 128:1545–1553
- 54. Nowak P, Nystrom J, Troseid M (2012) High levels of HMGB1 in plasma may be due to ex vivo cell necrosis. Anticancer Res 32:4067–4069
- Bonaldi T, Talamo F, Scaffidi P et al (2003) Monocytic cells hyperacetylate chromatin protein HMGB1 to redirect it towards secretion. EMBO J 22:5551–5560
- 56. Semino C, Angelini G, Poggi A, Rubartelli A (2005) NK/iDC interaction results in IL-18 secretion by DCs at the synaptic cleft followed by NK cell activation and release of the DC maturation factor HMGB1. Blood 106:609–616
- Dumitriu IE, Baruah P, Valentinis B et al (2005) Release of high mobility group box 1 by dendritic cells controls T cell activation via the receptor for advanced glycation end products. J Immunol 174:7506–7515
- Guo ZS, Liu Z, Bartlett DL, Tang D, Lotze MT (2013) Life after death: targeting high mobility group box 1 in emergent cancer therapies. Am J Cancer Res 3:1
- van Beijnum JR, Buurman WA, Griffioen AW (2008) Convergence and amplification of toll-like receptor (TLR) and receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) signaling pathways via high mobility group B1 (HMGB1). Angiogenesis 11:91–99
- Miller LS (2008) Toll-like receptors in skin. Adv Dermatol 24:71– 87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yadr.2008.09.004
- Kawai T, Akira S (2007) Signaling to NF-κB by Toll-like receptors. Trends Mol Med 13:460–469

- Zhu X, Cong J, Yang B, Sun Y (2020) Association analysis of high-mobility group box-1 protein 1 (HMGB1)/toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 with nasal interleukins in allergic rhinitis patients. Cytokine 126: 154880.
- 63. Hreggvidsdottir HS, Östberg T, Wähämaa H et al (2009) The alarmin HMGB1 acts in synergy with endogenous and exogenous danger signals to promote inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 86:655–662
- 64. Srikrishna G, Freeze HH (2009) Endogenous damage-associated molecular pattern molecules at the crossroads of inflammation and cancer. Neoplasia 11:615–628
- 65. Wang H, Bloom O, Zhang M et al (1999) HMG-1 as a late mediator of endotoxin lethality in mice. Science 285:248–251
- 66. Fang WH, Yao YM, Shi ZG et al (2002) The significance of changes in high mobility group-1 protein mRNA expression in rats after thermal injury. Shock (Augusta, Ga) 17:329–333. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00024382-200204000-00016
- Zhang Q, O'Hearn S, Kavalukas SL, Barbul A (2012) Role of high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) in wound healing. J Surg Res 176:343–347
- Lin ZQ, Kondo T, Ishida Y, Takayasu T, Mukaida N (2003) Essential involvement of IL-6 in the skin wound-healing process as evidenced by delayed wound healing in IL-6-deficient mice. J Leukoc Biol 73:713–721
- Tejero J, Shiva S, Gladwin MT (2019) Sources of vascular nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species and their regulation. Physiol Rev 99:311–379
- Aggarwal BB (2003) Signalling pathways of the TNF superfamily: a double-edged sword. Nat Rev Immunol 3:745–756
- Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T (2014) IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 6: a016295-a. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a016295
- Kleiner G, Marcuzzi A, Zanin V, Monasta L, Zauli G (2013) Cytokine levels in the serum of healthy subjects. Mediators of inflammation 2013.
- Hübner G, Brauchle M, Smola H, Madlener M, Fässler R, Werner S (1996) Differential regulation of Pro-Inflammatory cytokines during wound healing in normal and Glucocorticoid-treated mice. Cytokine 8:548–556. https://doi.org/10.1006/cyto.1996.0074
- Birincioğlu İ, Akbaba M, Alver A et al (2016) Determination of skin wound age by using cytokines as potential markers. J Forensic Leg Med 44:14–19
- 75. Takamiya M, Fujita S, Saigusa K, Aoki Y (2008) Simultaneous detection of eight cytokines in human dermal wounds with a multiplex bead-based immunoassay for wound age estimation. Int J Legal Med 122:143–148
- 76. Schäffer MR, Tantry U, Ahrendt GM, Wasserkrug HL, Barbul A (1997) Stimulation of fibroblast proliferation and matrix contraction by wound fluid. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 29:231–239

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.