ERRATUM



Erratum to: Post-orogenic shoshonitic magmas of the Yzerfontein pluton, South Africa: the 'smoking gun' of mantle melting and crustal growth during Cape granite genesis?

J. D. Clemens¹ · I. S. Buick¹ · D. Frei^{1,4} · C. Lana² · A. Villaros³

Published online: 14 September 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Erratum to: Contrib Mineral Petrol (2017) 172:72 DOI 10.1007/s00410-017-1390-9

The published version of the original paper contained a Sr isotope analysis of Hornblende-pyroxene quartz monzodiorite sample H25, from the shoshonitic part of the Cambrian Yzerfontein pluton on the West Coast in South Africa. The value of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, calculated at our newly determined U–Pb zircon age of 535 Ma, was given as 0.70885 ± 2 (2σ). In the paper, this was reported as an anomalously high value and we hypothesised that this might have been due to the crustal component of this particular magma having been somewhat more radiogenic than for the rest of the shoshonitic samples from the Yzerfontein pluton.

We emphasise that the isotopic and elemental analyses were carried out on the same solutions. Nothing in the Rb/Sr ratio indicated accidental contamination of the sample, and nothing in the isotope results suggested analytical problems. However, this result was sufficiently surprising that we provided AEON Labs (UCT) with a second aliquot to dissolve and analyse. The redetermined value of 87 Sr/ 86 Sr_{535Ma} is 0.70506 ± 2, which is very similar to all the other determinations for the shoshonitic rocks of the pluton. Although we remain unable to explain the original result, we believe it to have been erroneous and that the correct initial Sr isotope ratio of sample H25 is 0.70506. Accordingly, we present a revised Table 1, showing the Sr and Nd isotope data, with the correction, and a revised version of Figs. 6 and 13 in the original paper, as Figs. 1 and 2 here, with the correct value for H25 plotted. This amendment has no impact on the main conclusions of the original paper.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00410-017-1390-9.

J. D. Clemens jclemens@sun.ac.za

- ¹ Department of Earth Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa
- ² Departamento de Geologia, Escola de Minas, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Morro do Cruzeiro, 35400-000 Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais, Brazil
- ³ Université d'Orleans, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071 Orleans, France
- ⁴ Present Address: Department of Earth Science, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville 7535, South Africa

 Table 1
 Whole-rock Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd isotope data for Yzerfontein samples

Sample no.	Rock-type	Rb (ppn	n) 20	o _m Sr (pp	m)	$2\sigma_m$	⁸⁷ Rb/ ⁸⁶	⁶ Sr ⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ S	r 2	$\sigma_{\rm m}$ ⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr a	t 535 i	Ma 2o
J1	Monzonite	178		777			0.663	0.71063		1 0.70566		4
J6	Monzodiorite	154		868			0.513	0.70956		2 0.70571		3
J15	Monzonite	166		810			0.593	0.71021		1 0.70576		3
J16	Monzodiorite	128		655			0.566	0.70980		2 0.70556		4
J27	Monzonite	159		891			0.516	0.70919		2 0.70532		3
H10	Monzonite	183	0.	6 815		3.7	0.648	0.71056	7 1	2 0.70570		4
H11	Quartz monzonite	211	1.	7 734		6.5	0.831	0.71200	6 1	0 0.70577		7
H12	Syenogranite dyke	213	0.	4 441		1.1	1.397	0.71574	0 1	3 0.70526		8
H13	Quartz diorite	53	0.	2 1287		1.5	0.120	0.70526	8 1	4 0.70437		1
822B	Hornblendite cumulate	16	0.	3 820		19.1	0.057	0.70473	0	9 0.70430		1
H24	Monzonite enclave	188	1.	5 948		12.6	0.575	0.70944	5 1	4 0.70513		3
H25	Monzodiorite	88	1.	0 1378		30.8	0.185	0.70644	9 1	1 0.70506		2
H26	Monzodiorite	111	1.	6 1458		9.1	0.219	0.70685	0 1	0.70521		1
Sample no.	Rock-type	Sm (ppm)	$2\sigma_{\rm m}$	Nd (ppm)	$2\sigma_{\rm m}$	¹⁴⁷ Sr	m/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd	¹⁴³ Nd/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd	$2\sigma_{m}$	ε Nd at 535 Ma	2σ	t_{2DM} Ga
H10	Monzonite	13.6	0.1	69.3	0.8	0.11	86	0.512268	10	-1.9	0.2	1.43
H11	Quartz monzonite	12.7	0.2	66.6	0.2	0.11	53	0.512268	9	-1.7	0.2	1.41
H12	Syenogranite dyke	6.73	0.10	38.8	0.4	0.10	49	0.512235	11	-1.6	0.2	1.40
H13	Quartz diorite	4.40	0.08	24.2	0.2	0.10	99	0.512312	13	-0.4	0.2	1.31
822B	Hornblendite cumulate	12.43	0.06	62.3	0.2	0.12	06	0.512354	9	-0.3	0.2	1.30
H24	Monzonite enclave	11.24	0.09	57.1	0.8	0.11	90	0.512292	15	-1.4	0.2	1.39
H25	Monzodiorite	13.78	0.14	68.3	1.5	0.12	20	0.512301	12	-1.5	0.2	1.39
H26	Monzodiorite	12.54	0.28	61.4	0.1	0.12	35	0.512320	13	-1.2	0.2	1.37

Samples J1, J6, J15, J16 and J27 from Jordaan et al. (1995), with $2\sigma_m$ errors of 0.2 ppm assumed for Rb and Sr concentrations Samples H10, H11, H12, H13 822B, H24, H25 and H26 from present work



Fig. 1 Diagrams illustrating the Sr and Nd isotope variations in the rocks of the Yzerfontein pluton, **a** initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr plotted against MgO (wt%), **b** eNd_t plotted against initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr. The colour coding is as given in Fig. 4, with the hornblendite cumulate rock (822b) labelled. In **a**, the 2 σ *error bars* for initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr are plotted but are all smaller than the plot symbols. The same is true in **b**, except for the micromonzogranite sample H12 (*yellow square*). See the original paper for a discussion of these plots



Fig. 2 Isotope plots illustrating the relationships between the rocks of the Yzerfontein pluton, the Cape Granite Suite granitic rocks (CGS) S-type (*pink dots*) and I-type (*dark green dots*) and the metasedimentary rocks of the Malmesbury Group (*stars*), with all isotope ratios normalised to the new 535 Ma age for the Yzerfontein pluton, **a** Sr isotope mixing plot, with initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr plotted against 1000/Sr, **b** isotope correlation diagram, with eNd_t plotted against initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr. See the original paper for data provenance and discussion