



Letter to editors about cordectomy classification

Rafael Barbera^{1,2,3} · Lourdes Montes-Jovellar³ · Fátima Sánchez-Fernández³ · Beatriz Arana³ · Sara Zaldivar³

Received: 3 June 2020 / Accepted: 28 June 2020 / Published online: 9 July 2020
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

To the editors:

In a close review of several articles and books that refer to the ELS classification of endoscopy cordectomy, we found that there is a recurring confusion concerning the Vc and Vd type classification (Table 1).

This could come from the original source, the first classification published in the Europe Archives of Otorhinolaryngology in 2000, where the main text and the abstract had switched Vc by Vd. Seven years after this, in the main text of the proposal for revision of the classification published in 2007, type Vc encompasses the subglottis and type Vd includes the ventricle, as in the abstract of article of 2000.

Kind regards.

Table 1 Reflects the inconsistencies of the above mentioned classification

	Ventricle	Subglottis
Remacle 2000 (abstract) [1]	Vd	Vc
Remacle 2000 (main text) [1]	Vc	Vd
Remacle 2007 [2]	Vd	Vc
Motta 2008 [3]	Vd	Vc
Peretti 2010 [4]	Vc	Vd
Matar 2011 [5]	Vc	Vd
Shah 2019 [6]	Vd	Vc
Mattei 2020 [7]	Vc	Vd

✉ Rafael Barbera
rabadur@hotmail.com

¹ Otolaryngology Department, Chief of Head and Neck Section, Hospital Universitario Ramón Y Cajal, Carretera de Colmenar Km 9,100, 28034 Madrid, Spain

² Universidad de Alcalá, Madrid, Spain

³ Otolaryngology Department, Head and Neck Section, Hospital Universitario Ramón Y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

Funding No funding.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval No needed.

Informed consent No needed.

References

1. Remacle M, Eckel HE, Antonelli A et al (2000) Endoscopic cordectomy. A proposal for a classification by the working committee. *Euro Laryngol Soc Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 257(4):227–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004050050228>
2. Remacle M, Van Haverbeke C, Eckel H et al (2007) Proposal for revision of the European Laryngological Society classification of endoscopic cordectomies. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 264:709. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-007-0304-2>
3. Motta S, Cesari U, Meselella M, Motta G (2008) Functional vocal results after CO₂ laser endoscopic surgery for glottic tumours. *J Laryngol Otol* 122(9):948–951. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002215107001211>
4. Peretti G, Piazza C, Cocco D et al (2010) Transoral CO₂ laser treatment for T(is)-T(3) glottic cancer: the University of Brescia experience on 595 patients. *Head Neck* 32(8):977–983. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.21278>
5. Matar N, Remacle M, Lawson G, Bachy V (2011) Transoral CO₂ laser partial laryngectomies using the digital scanning system. *Oper Tech Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 22(2):122–127
6. Shah JP, Patel SG, Singh B, Wong R (2019) *Larynx and Trachea*. In: Jatin Shah's *Head and Neck Surgery and Oncology*, 5th edn. Elsevier, Philadelphia, pp 365–439
7. Mattei A, Boulze C, Santini L et al (2020) Modified approach of the anterior commissure for transoral cordectomy in case of difficult exposure: a surgical innovation. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 277(1):301–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-019-05692-2>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.