

Comment on “Vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials eliciting: an overview”

Su-jiang Xie

Received: 16 March 2011 / Accepted: 29 March 2011 / Published online: 12 April 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2011

Dear Sir,

We were pleased to read the review presented by Eleftheriadou et al. [1], which has been published in *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 268(3):331–339, 2011. This review is an excellent practice guide to understand vestibular evoked myogenic potentials.

However, I would like to point out two errors in this review.

The first error is in the section “Effect of electrode location on the SCM muscle and responses from the other muscles” paragraph 2 line 3, “...smaller myogenic response (n11–n15) can be recorded over...”, in which “n11–n15” should be modified as “p11–n15” according to Deriu et al. [2].

The second error is in the section “Ocular VEMP” paragraph 4 line 8, “...is predominantly determined by

saccular activation.”, in which “saccular” should be modified as “utricle”.

In my opinion, these typographical errors should be corrected since these errors may baffle and misguide the general reader.

References

1. Eleftheriadou A, Koudounarakis E (2011) Vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials eliciting: an overview. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 268(3):331–339
2. Deriu F, Giaconi E, Rothwell JC et al (2010) Reflex responses of masseter muscles to sound. *Clin Neurophysiol* 121(10):1690–1699

S. Xie (✉)
Vestibular Laboratory, Institute of Aviation Medicine, Air Force,
No. 28 Fucheng Road, Beijing 100142, China
e-mail: sujiang_xie@yahoo.com.cn