Correction to: Detection of hysteroscopic fluid in the pouch of Douglas: a prospective cohort study about the predictability of bilateral tubal occlusion

Marlene Hager¹ · Johannes Ott¹ · Christian Göbl² · Iris Holzer¹ · Rudolf Seemann³ · Christine Kurz¹ · John Preston Parry^{4,5}

Published online: 19 May 2021
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-021-05993-0>

In the Methods section, the following sentence is incorrect: “This analysis used odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), the Wald-test, and the likelihood ratio (LR) test.” The correct sentence is “This analysis used odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and the Wald-test.”.

Accordingly, in Table 4, the headlines of two rows must be re-named: “p (Wald’s test)” should be “test statistic” and

the “p (LR test)”. Moreover, in Table 2, the correct median fluid shift for “Unilateral tubal occlusion (n = 22)” is 2.7 (0.0;5.3).

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-021-05993-0>.

✉ Johannes Ott
johannes.ott@meduniwien.ac.at

- ¹ Clinical Division of Gynecological Endocrinology and Reproductive Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 23, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- ² Clinical Division of Obstetrics and Feto-Maternal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ³ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ⁴ Parryscope® and Positive Steps Fertility, Madison, MS, USA
- ⁵ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA