



## Correction to: Predictors of cerclage failure in patients with singleton pregnancy undergoing prophylactic cervical cerclage

K. Taghavi<sup>1</sup> · M. L. Gasparri<sup>1</sup> · D. Bolla<sup>1</sup> · D. Surbek<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 5 March 2018  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

### Correction to:

**Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics**  
(2018) 297:347–352

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-017-4600-9>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. The presentation of Table 3 was incorrect. The corrected Table 3 is given below.

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated to early preterm delivery after prophylactic cerclage (all patients,  $n = 39$ )

	Early preterm delivery			
	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Age	1.12 (0.96, 1.30)	<b>0.132</b>	1.13 (0.95, 1.34)	0.153
BMI	0.94 (0.83, 1.08)	0.427		
History of conization (yes/no)	1.33 (0.19, 0.02)	0.768		
History of instrumentation of uterus (yes/no)	6.67 (1.47, 30.2)	<b>0.014</b>	6.83 (1.38, 33.8)	<b>0.019</b>
Cervical swabs prior to cerclage (pos/neg)	0.61 (0.13, 2.74)	0.521		
History of II trimester miscarriage (yes/no)	0.75 (0.11, 5.07)	0.768		
CPR value after cerclage	0.91 (0.75, 1.10)	0.346		
GA at the cerclage	0.93 (0.79, 1.09)	0.384		
Delta-US at 10 days after cerclage	0.96 (0.91, 1.01)	<b>0.139</b>	0.97 (0.91, 1.04)	0.478

In bold are reported the *p* values of variables that from the univariate analysis were selected for multivariate analysis ( $\leq 0.2$ ) and the *p* value of the only significant variable ( $< 0.05$ ) at multivariate analysis  
Multivariable models were carried out for variables reporting a *p* value  $\leq 0.2$  in univariate analysis

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-017-4600-9>.

✉ K. Taghavi  
katayoun.taghavi@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department for Obstetrics, University Hospital Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland