ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY



Acetabular deficiency in borderline hip dysplasia is underestimated by lateral center edge angle alone

Daniel Dornacher¹ · Bernd Lutz¹ · Michael Fuchs¹ · Timo Zippelius¹ · Heiko Reichel¹

Received: 17 June 2022 / Accepted: 9 October 2022 / Published online: 22 October 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Introduction In hip preservation surgery, the term "borderline hip dysplasia" was used when the lateral center edge angle (LCEA), historically described by Wiberg, measured 18–25°. In recent years, several radiographic parameters have been described to assess the antero posterior coverage of the femoral head, for example, the anterior and posterior wall index (AWI and PWI). This allowed an increasingly comprehensive understanding of acetabular morphology and a questioning of the borderline definition.

Material and methods A retrospective review of 397 consecutive hips was performed, all treated with triple pelvic osteotomy (TPO) due to symptomatic hip dysplasia. On all preoperative pelvic radiographs with a LCEA of 18–25°, acetabular index (AI), AWI and PWI were measured. With these values, the hips were categorized into laterally, antero-laterally and postero-laterally dysplastic and stratified by gender. Intra- and interobserver correlation of the parameters was analyzed by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

Results According to LCEA, 192 hips were identified as "borderline dysplastic". Based on AWI and PWI, the categorization resulted in 116 laterally dysplastic (60.4%), 33 antero-laterally (17.2%) and 43 postero-laterally dysplastic hips (22.4%). Gender stratification revealed that male acetabula seemed to be slightly more postero-laterally deficient than female (mean PWI 0.80 vs 0.89; p = 0.017). ICC confirmed highly accurate and reproducible readings of all parameters.

Conclusion The rather high proportion of symptomatic hips labelled borderline dysplastic suggested, that there might be substantial acetabular deficiency not recognizable by LCEA. Comprehensive deformity analysis using LCEA, AI, AWI and PWI showed, that 40% of these hips were deficient either antero-laterally or postero-laterally. Male acetabula were more deficient postero-laterally than female.

Keywords Borderline hip dysplasia · Tripe pelvic osteotomy · Hip joint preservation surgery · Acetabular coverage

Daniel Dornacher daniel.dornacher@gmx.de

> Bernd Lutz bernd.lutz@rku.de

Michael Fuchs michael.fuchs@rku.de

Timo Zippelius timo.zippelius@rku.de

Heiko Reichel heiko.reichel@rku.de

¹ Department of Orthopedics, University of Ulm, Oberer Eselsberg 45, 89081 Ulm, Germany

Introduction

Hip dysplasia is well known to be one of the major causes of the development of a premature osteoarthritis. The pathomechanism is thought to be an overloading of the acetabular labrum with subsequent damage, followed by an unphysiological loading of the acetabular rim, leading to cartilage degeneration [1]. Described as early as 1939 by Wiberg, the lateral center edge angle (LCEA) serves as radiographic parameter to assess the acetabular coverage in the diagnosis of hip dysplasia [2]. Historically, according to the original description of Wiberg, hips with an LCEA < 20° were attributed pathological and hips with an LCEA > 25° normal, whereas hips with values in between were labelled uncertain. This confusing uncertainty has led to the terms "mild" or "borderline" hip dysplasia. In recent years, increasing scientific interest has been directed towards this grey area of borderline hip dysplasia. Previous studies have defined the term "borderline hip dysplasia" with an LCEA between 18 and 25° [3–8]. With the aim of joint preservation, corrective pelvic osteotomies became widely accepted as the treatment of choice since they have shown to improve pain and function in patients with true hip dysplasia, associated with an LCEA $< 18^{\circ}$ [6, 9, 10]. When LCEA measures in the borderline range, orthopedic surgeons performing pelvic osteotomies are more reserved due to the inherent morbidity of these procedures [11, 12]. With advancements in arthroscopic techniques such as labral reattachment and capsular plication, hip arthroscopy migrated towards the treatment of borderline dysplasia, providing significantly less invasivity. The role of isolated arthroscopy for the treatment of dysplasia-associated intraarticular pathologies has been described. Since long-term follow-up still is missing and the examinations report a varying success rate, the importance of arthroscopy as a stand-alone procedure remains unclear [3–7]. In this context, it has to be stressed, that the definition of "borderline dysplasia", based solely on LCEA, is a major weakness, especially in arthroscopic examinations. With the present knowledge about the complex three-dimensional interaction of the femoral head and the acetabulum and the well-established radiographic parameters for its analysis, it seems greatly simplified to guide joint preservation surgery with LCEA alone [13–15]. The relationship of acetabular version with osteoarthritis of the hip has already been described by Tönnis and Heinecke in 1999 [16]. The impact of anterior acetabular under- and- overcoverage was understood, but objectifiable radiographic assessment tools have not been established back then. In recent years, parameters to quantify the extent of anterior and posterior coverage of the femoral head were introduced, amongst them the anterior and posterior wall index (AWI and PWI) [14]. In combination with the acetabular index (AI) [17], above mentioned parameters contribute to a comprehensive evaluation of the acetabulum. Despite a lateral coverage with an LCEA between 18 and 25°, according to the term "borderline" dysplasia, considerable anterior or posterior undercoverage might become apparent. In other words, the assessment of acetabular coverage only by LCEA could result in overlooking anterior or posterior undercoverage.

Besides their huge benefits in preoperative deformity analysis, the normal values of AWI and PWI provide guidance for the surgeon in the event of an operation [14]. As the term suggests, borderline acetabular dysplasia comes with subtle or more exceptional undercoverage, making correct acetabular orientation delicate. Hartig-Andreasen et al. reported that in mild dysplasia – in their examination equaling an LCEA of 20–25°—only little reorientation is possible before overcorrection might occur [18]. The objective of this examination is to identify the proportion of hips with the definition of borderline dysplasia in our own patient population, to classify these hips into different categories based on radiographic parameters and to investigate gender-specific differences.

Materials and methods

A retrospective examination of pelvic radiographs was conducted. All radiographs were acquired in the run-up to triple pelvic osteotomy (TPO). The images were used for preoperative deformity analysis and planning of the correction. Between January 2015 and December 2021, 397 TPOs were performed in our orthopedic department on a total of 324 patients (73 patients treated bilaterally, 276 females (85.2%), 48 males (14.8%), mean age 27 years, range 9-48 years, standard deviation (SD) 8.4 years). The patients were referred to our hospital mainly with the diagnosis of symptomatic hip dysplasia. All pelvic radiographs were conducted in the radiological department of our institution, in supine position with a film-focus distance of 1,15 m, the beam centered between the symphysis and a line connecting the anterior superior iliac spines, both legs fully extended and 15° inwardly rotated. The radiographs were archived in the picture archiving and communication system of our institution (PACS, GE Centricity Universal Viewer Version 6.0, General Electric Healthcare, Chalford St Giles, UK). For this specific examination, merely cases with an LCEA between 18 and 25°, measured on the abovementioned preoperative pelvic radiograph, were included. In particular, when LCEA was measured in the borderline range, all patients received a conservative therapy trial prior to TPO. This comprised physiotherapy, resistance training and/or proprioceptive training over a minimum period of three months. When the non-surgical treatment did not lead to a satisfactory reduction of hip-related pain, TPO was recommended.

On the preoperative pelvic radiographs LCAE, AI, PWI and AWI were measured by an experienced orthopedic surgeon (observer 1, DD). The measurement routine is displayed in Fig. 1. Power analysis was performed in advance of the assessment of intra- and interobserver correlation (see below). Consequently, the measurements were repeated in 84 randomly selected cases by an experienced orthopedic surgeon (observer 1, DD) and an orthopedic surgeon in training (observer 2, BL).

Classification into categories

According to the values measured in the preoperative radiograph, and taking into account the work of Siebenrock et al. and Bali et al. a classification into three categories was performed [14, 19] (Fig. 2a–c):

Borderline hip dysplasia, laterally deficient (LBHD): LCEA 18–25°, AWI > 0.30, PWI > 0.80; borderline hip dysplasia, antero-laterally deficient (ABHD): LCEA 18–25°, AWI < 0.30, PWI > 0.80; borderline hip dysplasia, posterolaterally deficient (PBHD): LCEA 18–25°, AWI > 0.30, PWI < 0.80.

Gender stratification

After stratification of the hips into female and male, gender-specific analysis of LCEA, AI, AWI and PWI was performed.

The following exclusion criteria were applied: (1) radiographs with an extraordinarily shallow acetabulum (AWI < 0.30 and PWI < 0.80); (2) radiographs of patients with a severe deformation of the femoral head, e. g. due to Legg-Calve-Perthes disease; (3) radiographs of patients with syndromic diseases.

Statistical analysis

Intra—and interobserver (observers 1 and 2) correlation of the acetabular parameters measured on the radiographs was assessed using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was calculated. The values of ICC were interpreted according to the scale described by Cicchetti: less than 0.40: poor, between 0.40 and 0.60: fair, between 0.60 and 0.75: good and greater than 0.75: excellent [20].

Gender-specific differences in the values for LCEA, AI, AWI and PWI were analyzed by unpaired *t* test, two-tailed, α set to 0.05.

The statistical analysis and presentation were performed using SPSS Statistics, Version 26 (IBM, Armonk, New York, United States of America).

For ICC, a priori power analysis indicated a minimum sample size of 84 cases (power 0.80, α set to 0.05, respectively) (G*Power Version 3.1.9.6).



Fig. 1 a Preoperative pelvic radiograph of a 24 year-old female. The patient complaint about a load-dependent pain radiating towards the groin and the gluteal area. After verification of the usability and the relevant landmarks, first of all, the center of the femoral head was estimated from a circle fit to its contour. For the measurement of the anterior and posterior wall index (AWI, PWI) lines from the medial contour of the circle to its center (white cross, radius = r), to the anterior wall (short arrow = a) and the posterior wall (long arrow = p) were drawn. The distances were measured along the femoral neck axis. AWI and PWI were calculated as a/r and p/r. In this example,

AWI was calculated 0.41 and PWI 0.70, equivalent with a posteriorly deficient acetabulum. **b** Then, the longitudinal axis of the pelvis was defined by drawing a vertical line from the processus spinosus of L5 through the middle of the symphysis. The LCEA was measured between the line from the center of the femoral head to the lateral aspect of the sourcil, and the longitudinal axis of the pelvis [2, 21]. Acetabular index was measured between a line connecting the inferior ischial tuberosities and a tangent to the most medial and most lateral aspect of the sourcil. In this example, LCEA alone ignored the significant posterior dysplasia





Fig. 2 The pelvic radiographs show different types of acetabular orientation in the borderline range. The white line highlights the anterior and posterior acetabular wall. In these examples, acetabular orientation of the right hip was symmetrical to the left one. **a** 28 year-old female, LCEA 20°, AI 10°, AWI 0.32 and PWI 1.00, according to a

laterally dysplastic borderline-type. **b** 35 year-old female, LCEA 21°, AI 12°, AWI 0.19 and PWI 0.85, antero-laterally dysplastic type. **c** 26 year-old female, LCEA 19°, AI 13°, AWI 0.51 and PWI 0.51, crossing-sign of anterior and posterior wall, postero-laterally type

Results

From the initially 397 hips, 201 (50.6%) met the abovementioned criteria for borderline hip dysplasia (LCEA 18–25), After application of the exclusion criteria, 192 hips (48,4%) were suitable for further examination: four hips were excluded due to an extraordinarily shallow acetabulum (AWI < 0.30 and PWI < 0.80), three hips were excluded due to a severe deformation after Legg-Calve-Perthes disease and two due to the presence of a syndromic disease.

Finally, the patient population consisted of 169 patients of which 23 were treated bilaterally (156 females (92.3%), 13 males (7.7%), mean age 27 years, range 14–46 years, SD 7.9 years).

The classification into three different categories (see above), dependent on the preoperative values for AWI and PWI, showed the following distribution: LBHD: 116 hips (60.4%), ABHD: 33 hips (17.2%), PBHD 43 hips (22.4%) (Fig. 3, Table 1). After gender stratification, the

following mean values were measured for the females: LCEA: 21° (SD $\pm 2.2^{\circ}$), AI: 11° (SD $\pm 4.2^{\circ}$), AWI: 0.39 $(SD \pm 0.10)$, PWI: 0.89 (SD 0.13); for the males: LCEA: 20° (SD $\pm 2.1^{\circ}$), AI 12° (SD $\pm 3.8^{\circ}$), AWI 0.41 (SD ± 0.12) and PWI 0.80 (SD \pm 0.12), respectively. Unpaired t test for these parameters showed a significant difference for PWI between females and the males (p = 0.017), not for LCAE, AI and AWI (p = 0.345 - 0.696) (Fig. 4), respectively.

Inter and intraobserver correlation analysis resulted in excellent values according to Ciccetti: the lowest values were calculated for the interrater reading of AWI (0.816, 95% CI 0.718-0.879), the highest values for the intraobserver reading of AI (0.988, 95% CI 0.978-0.990) [20].

Discussion

This study reveals findings with a substantial clinical impact on hip joint preserving surgery: In relation to the overall performed osteotomies, the number of osteotomies performed in hips labelled "borderline dysplastic", according to LCEA, was considerably high. In this retrospective examination, about 50% of all treated hips were in the borderline range. In 40% of these hips, the radiographic parameters revealed a substantial acetabular deficiency either antero- or postero-laterally.

McClincy et al. conducted a retrospective study of radiographs of their patients which underwent PAO or hip arthroscopy. The respective radiological examinations revealed an LCEA between 18° and 25°. The authors performed a comprehensive analysis to quantify acetabular coverage: LCEA, AI, femoral epiphyseal acetabular

Fig. 3 Acquisition of the cases **TPO overall** (TPO treated by triple pelvic n = 397 osteotomy) and classification into three categories (BHD borderline hip dysplasia; LBHD LCEA 18° - 25° laterally deficient; ABHD application of antero-laterally deficient; PBHD exclusion criteria postero-laterally deficient) BHD n = 192 LBHD ABHD PBHD AWI > 0.30, PWI > 0.80 AWI < 0.30, PWI > 0.80 AWI > 0.30, PWI < 0.80 n = 116 (60.4 %) n = 33 (17.2 %) n = 43 (22.4 %)p < 0.05 Fig. 4 Distribution of the values for AWI and PWI for all hips, stratified into female and male. 1.20 The boxplots (representing from top to bottom: maximum, 1st quartile, median, 3rd quartile 1,00 and minimum) show the values for the female (dark grey) and the male hips (light grey). .80 Unpaired t test showed a sig-Index nificant difference between the female and the male for the PWI .60 (p=0.017), not for the AWI (p = 0.696)0 ,40 .20 ,00 AWI females AWI males PWI females **PWI** males

3941

Table 1Mean values andranges of the measuredparameters for all cases withborderline hip dysplasia (BHDall), as well as for the laterally(LBHD), antero-laterally(ABHD) and postero-laterally(PBHD) dysplastic hips

	N	LCEA	AI	AWI	PWI
	%	(mean/range)	(mean/range)	(mean/range)	(mean/range)
BHD all	192	20.9°	11.2°	0.40	0.88
	100%	(18–25°)	(0°-22°)	(0.14–0.69)	(0.44–0.88)
LBHD	116	21.2°	10.8°	0.41	0.92
	60.4%	(18–25°)	(0–22°)	(0.30–0.62)	(0.66–1.13)
ABHD	33	20.6°	12.3°	0.25	0.97
	(17.2%)	(18–25°)	(4–21°)	(0.14–0.29)	(0.80–1.14)
PBHD	43	20.5°	11.3°	0.46	0.70
	(22.4%)	(18–25°)	(0–20°)	(0.32–0.69)	(0.44–0.79)

roof (FEAR) index, AWI and PWI were measured. Thus, McClincy et al. found a large proportion of dysplastic features among these patients and assumed that an isolated assessment of LCEA was an oversimplistic approach. In conclusion, LCEA was described to be a good marker for lateral coverage of the femoral head, but it failed to encompass other morphologic features of the acetabulum that might contribute to hip instability or FAI, such as focal anterior and posterior undercoverage or unfavorable acetabular version [8].

In our examination, a very similar pattern was recognizable: nearly 40% of the borderline classified hips showed either significant anterior (ABHD, mean AWI 0.25) or posterior acetabular deficiency (PBHD, mean PWI 0.70). In these subgroups, the relevant pathology was not recognizable by LCEA alone.

Bali et al. retrospectively reviewed preoperative pelvic radiographs of their patients who underwent PAO. The authors used LCEA, AI, AWI, PWI, percentage of anterior and posterior coverage as well as the existence of radiographic retroversion signs (ischial spine sign and crossover sign) to classify their hips. This resulted in the "Ottawa classification for symptomatic acetabular dysplasia", characterizing anterior, posterior and lateral dysplasia. For the latter, the authors detected a significant number without the traditional lateral dysplasia, which could be missed by relying on the LCEA alone. In these hips features of anterior and posterior undercoverage revealed relevant deficiency. The authors went further and stated that the term "borderline dysplasia" was ambiguous and should not be used [19].

In this examination, gender-stratification of the acetabular parameters indicated slightly more postero-lateral deficiency in the male patients (mean PWI 0.80) compared to the female patients (mean PWI 0.89). In presence of an LCEA between 18 and 25°, our results showed no significant difference for the anterior coverage between the genders (mean AWI 0.41 and 0.39, resp).

The work of McClincy et al. demonstrated similar findings: in the borderline range with an LCEA between 18 and 25°, acetabular morphology of female and male patients allowed a distribution in two cluster groups. Therefore, the authors performed separate cluster analyses for each gender. Regarding the acetabular morphology, the females in their study showed rather antero-lateral, the males rather postero-lateral deficiency [8].

Interestingly, in the present study, the proportion of male hips in the borderline subgroup was remarkably smaller (7.7%) than in the entire population (14.8%) including frank hip dysplasia.

This discrepancy might indicate that male patients can better compensate for a borderline dysplastic coverage of the femoral head, whereas female patients more often experience symptomatic micro-instability due to additional ligamentous laxity and less head-centering muscle forces. These "soft factors" were not subject to this examination, but can tip the scale in the decision-making for surgical treatment.

This examination has several limitations: first, this examination focused on acetabular parameters in borderline hip dysplasia. Proximal femoral geometry or femoral torsion was not taken into account. Furthermore, above mentioned "soft factors" in the hip's anatomy and their contribution to joint stability were not assessed. Second, the vast majority of patients providing the radiographs were female. On 192 patients suitable for this examination, only 13 were male. This has to be put into perspective for the gender-specific statistical analyses. Third, this examination did not consider the clinical outcome of our patients. Although clinical results of patients with borderline hip dysplasia who underwent pelvic osteotomy have been described elsewhere, this will be subject to our future research.

Conclusion

The high proportion of "borderline" hips in the treatment of symptomatic hip dysplasia suggests that these hips might be mislabeled by the LCEA alone. Comprehensive deformity analysis using LCEA, AI, AWI and PWI showed, that 40% of these hips were substantially deficient either antero-laterally or postero-laterally. There are morphological differences between female and male hips in the borderline range with the male hips being more deficient postero-laterally. In conclusion, it can be stated that LCEA as a single parameter underestimates the true deficiency in borderline hip dysplasia. This has to be taken into account in the deformity analysis and in the decision-making for the treatment.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-022-04652-6.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception. Data collection and analysis were performed by DD, MS and BL. Diagrams and statistics were performed by DD and TF. The first draft of the manuscript was written by DD and HR. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. Each author certifies that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials The authors agree to deposit the data that support the findings of this examination. The data have not been uploaded to a public repository yet.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no financial or non-financial interest to disclose.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our institution (No.15/22). The work has been performed in the Department of Orthopedics of the University of Ulm, in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Please see attached the approved ethical review committee statement of the University of Ulm.

Consent to participate The ethical review committee of the University of Ulm stated, that for this type of retrospective examination with the usage of anonymized radiographs, written informed consent from the participants is not mandatory (please see attached ethics approval file).

Consent to publish In this examination, no individual person's data were used in any form. The study was conducted using anonymized radiographs. The ethical review committee of the University of Ulm stated, that a consent to publish is not mandatory (please see attached ethics approval file).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Wyles CC, Heidenreich MJ, Jeng J, Larson DR, Trousdale RT, Sierra RJ (2017) The John Charnley Award: redefining the natural history of osteoarthritis in patients with hip dysplasia and impingement. Clin Orthop Relat Res 475:336–350. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11999-016-4815-2
- Wiberg G (1939) Studies on dysplastic acetabula and congenital subluxation of the hip joint: with special reference to the complication of osteoarthritis. Acta Chir Scand 83(Suppl 58):1–135
- Chaharbakhshi EO, Hartigan DE, Perets I, Domb B (2019) Is hip arthroscopy effective in patients with combined excessive femoral anteversion and borderline dysplasia? A match-controlled study. Am J Sports Med 47(1):123–130. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635 46518812859
- Domb BG, Chaharbakhshi EO, Perets I, Yuen LC, Walsh JP, Ashberg L (2018) Hip arthroscopic Surgery with labral preservation and capsular plication in patients with borderline hip dysplasia: minimum 5-Year patient-reported outcomes. Am J Sports Med 46(2):305–313. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517743720
- Kraeutler MJ, Safran MR, Scillia AJ, Ayeni OR, Garabekyan T, Mei-Dan O (2020) A contemporary look at the evaluation and treatment of adult borderline and frank hip dysplasia. Am J Sports Med 48(9):2314–2323. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635 46519881411
- Lodhia P, Chandrasekaran S, Gui C, Darwish N, Suarez-Ahedo C, Domb D (2016) Open and arthroscopic treatment of adult hip dysplasia: a systematic review. Arthroscopy 32(2):374–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2015.07.022
- Maldonado DR, Perets I, Mu BH, Ortiz-Declet V, Chen AW, Lall AC, Domb BG (2018) Arthroscopic capsular plication in patients with labral tears and borderline dysplasia of the hip: analysis of risk factors for failure. Am J Sports Med 46(14):3446–3453. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546518808033
- McClincy MP, Wylie JD, Yen YM, Novais E (2019) Mild or borderline hip dysplasia. Are we characterizing hips with a lateral center-edge angle between 18° and 25° appropriately? Am J Sports Med 47(1):112–122. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635 46518810731
- Vaudreuil NJ, McClincy MP (2020) Evaluation and treatment of borderline dysplasia: moving beyond the lateral center edge angle. Curr Rev Musculoskeletal Med 13(1):28–37. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12178-020-09599-y
- Lerch TD, Steppacher SD, Liechti EF, Tannast M, Siebenrock KA (2017) One-third of hips after periacetabular osteotomy survive 30 years with good clinical results, no progression of arthritis, or conversion to THA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 475(4):1154–1168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-5169-5
- Zaltz I, Baca G, Kim YJ, Schoenecker P, Trousdale R, Sierra R, Sucato D, Sink E, Beaulé P, Millis MB, Podeszwa D, Clohisy JC (2014) Complications associated with the periacetabular osteotomy: a prospective multicenter study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 99(1):33–41. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.15.00798
- Zahedi AR, Kalchschmidt K, Katthagen BD (2013) Tönnis and Kalchschmidt triple pelvic osteotomy. Oper Orthop Traumatol 25(5):457–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00064-013-0240-9
- Clohisy JC, Carlisle JC, Beaule PE, Kim YJ, Trousdale RT, Sierra RJ, Leunig M, Schoenecker PL, Millis MB (2008) A systematic approach to the plain radiographic evaluation of the young adult hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am 90(4):47–66. https://doi. org/10.2106/JBJS.H.00756
- Siebenrock LA, Kistler L, Schwab JM, Büchler L, Tannast M (2012) The acetabular wall index for assessing anteroposterior femoral head coverage in symptomatic patients. Clin

Orthop Relat Res 470(12):3355–3360. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11999-012-2477-2

- Tannast M, Hanke HS, Zhang G, Steppacher SD, Siebenrock KA (2015) What are the radiographic reference values for acetabular under- and overcoverage? Clin Orthop Relat Res 473(4):1234–1246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-014-4038-3
- Tönnis D, Heinecke A (1999) Acetabular and femoral anteversion: relationship with osteoarthritis of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am 81(12):1747–1770. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-199912000-00014
- Tönnis D (1976) Normal values of the hip joint for the evaluation of X-rays in children and adults. Clin Orthop Relat Res 119:39–47
- Hartig-Andreasen C, Troelsen A, Thillemann TM, Gelineck J, Soballe K (2015) Risk factors for the need of hip arthroscopy following periacetabular osteotomy. J Hip Preserv Surg 2(4):374– 384. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhps/hnv053
- 19. Bali K, Smit K, Ibrahim M, Poitras S, Wilkin G, Galmiche R, Belzile E, Beaule PE (2020) Ottawa classification for symptomatic

acetabular dysplasia: assessment of interobserver and intraobserver reliability. Bone Joint Res 9:242–249. https://doi.org/10. 1302/2046-3758.95

- Cicchetti DV, Sparrow SS (1981) Developing criteria for establishing the interrater reliability of specific items in a given inventory. Am J Ment Defic 86:127–137
- Hanson JA, Kapron AL, Swenson KM, Maak TG, Peters CL, Aoki SK (2015) Discrepancies in measuring acetabular coverage: revisiting the anterior and lateral center edge angles. J Hip Preserv Surg 2(3):280–286. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhps/hnv041

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.