

# Amplification of ENSO effects on Indian summer monsoon by absorbing aerosols

Maeng-Ki Kim<sup>1</sup> · William K. M. Lau<sup>2</sup> · Kyu-Myong Kim<sup>3</sup> · Jeong Sang<sup>1</sup> · Yeon-Hee Kim<sup>4</sup> · Woo-Seop Lee<sup>5</sup>

Received: 10 March 2015 / Accepted: 20 June 2015 / Published online: 7 July 2015  
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** In this study, we present observational evidence, based on satellite aerosol measurements and MERRA reanalysis data for the period 1979–2011, indicating that absorbing aerosols can have strong influence on seasonal-to-interannual variability of the Indian summer monsoon rainfall, including amplification of ENSO effects. We find a significant correlation between ENSO (El Niño Southern Oscillation) and aerosol loading in April–May, with La Niña (El Niño) conditions favoring increased (decreased) aerosol accumulation over northern India, with maximum aerosol optical depth over the Arabian Sea and Northwestern India, indicative of strong concentration of dust aerosols transported from West Asia and Middle East deserts. Composite analyses based on a normalized aerosol index (NAI) show that high concentration of aerosol over northern India in April–May is associated with increased moisture transport, enhanced dynamically induced warming of the upper troposphere over the Tibetan Plateau, and enhanced rainfall over northern India and the Himalayan foothills during May–June, followed by a subsequent suppressed monsoon rainfall over all India, consistent with the

elevated heat pump (EHP) hypothesis (Lau et al. in *Clim Dyn* 26:855–864, 2006. doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0114-z). Further analyses from sub-sampling of ENSO years, with normal ( $<1\sigma$ ), and abnormal ( $>1\sigma$ ) NAI over northern India respectively show that the EHP may lead to an amplification of the Indian summer monsoon response to ENSO forcing, particularly with respect to the increased rainfall over the Himalayan foothills, and the warming of the upper troposphere over the Tibetan Plateau. Our results suggest that absorbing aerosol, particular desert dusts can strongly modulate ENSO influence, and possibly play important roles as a feedback agent in climate change in Asian monsoon regions.

**Keywords** EHP · Monsoon · ENSO · Absorbing aerosol · Dust · Black carbon

## 1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols, clouds and precipitation are key building blocks of the energy and water cycles of the earth's climate system (Haywood and Boucher 2000; Ramanathan et al. 2001; Bellouin et al. 2005; Wang 2013). Recently, an increasing body of studies have shown that absorbing aerosols such as black carbon and dust, most abundant in monsoon regions, play an important role in the radiation energy balance of the atmosphere and at the earth surface, with profound impact on the large scale circulation and rainfall in monsoon regions (Jacobson 2001; Chung et al. 2002; Menon et al. 2002; Ramanathan et al. 2005; Chung and Ramanathan 2006; Lau et al. 2006; Lau and Kim 2006; Kim et al. 2006; Kerr 2007; Bollasina et al. 2008; Lau et al. 2009; Meehl et al. 2008; Randles and Ramaswamy 2008; Collier and Zhang 2009; Wang et al. 2009a; Lee and Kim

✉ Maeng-Ki Kim  
mkkim@kongju.ac.kr

<sup>1</sup> Department of Atmospheric Science, Kongju National University, Gongju 314-701, Korea

<sup>2</sup> Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory for Atmospheres, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

<sup>4</sup> School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea

<sup>5</sup> APEC Climate Center, Busan 612-020, Korea

2010; Bollasina and Nigam 2011; Bollasina et al. 2011; Manoj et al. 2011; Cowan and Cai 2011; Ganguly et al. 2012; Bollasina et al. 2013, Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014).

Specifically for the Indian monsoon, Ramanathan et al. (2005) showed that reduction in surface solar radiation, due to the absorption and scattering of incident solar radiation by aerosols, i.e., the solar dimming (SDM) effect, cools the northern Indian Ocean, reduces evaporation and meridional sea surface temperature gradient, resulting in a weakening of the local Hadley cell circulation, and reduction of the Indian summer monsoon precipitation. Lau et al. (2006) proposed the Elevated Heat Pump (EHP) effect, i.e., atmospheric heating by absorbing aerosols (dust and black carbon) over northern India during the pre- and early monsoon season induces atmospheric feedback processes leading to increased monsoon rainfall and earlier onset of monsoon rain over northern India and the Himalayan foothills. Since the Lau et al. (2006) study, a number of modeling studies reported findings that are generally consistent, albeit varied in details, with the EHP, i.e., absorbing aerosols can increase rainfall in the early monsoon (April–June) over India. Meehl et al. (2008) showed further that the earlier monsoon rainfall increase due to black carbon aerosol heating could lead subsequently to a weakening of peak monsoon, through cloud and land surface feedback processes. Using a three-dimensional aerosol climate model (Kim et al. 2008) based on the Community Climate Model version 3 (CAM3) of the National Center of Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Wang et al. (2009b) showed that absorbing anthropogenic aerosols could shift convective precipitation northward over the Indian subcontinent, particularly during the onset season, via an increase in moist static energy in the sub-cloud layer. Using the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model CAM3, Collier and Zhang (2009) found that tropospheric shortwave heating over central India due to absorbing aerosols could increase convective instability during the pre-monsoon season (March to May), enhancing deep convection and rainfall, but suppress rainfall during the subsequent June–July period. Randles and Ramaswamy (2008) showed that an enhanced monsoon circulation associated with increased absorbing aerosol optical depth (AOD) results in increases of low-level convergence, upward motion, atmospheric water vapor, low-level cloud amount, and precipitation (particularly evident and statistically significant in the high optical depth regime), indicating that the increase of low level convergence and in upward motion overcome the stabilizing effects of absorbing aerosols resulting in enhanced monsoon circulation and precipitation in northwestern India. Furthermore, Ganguly et al. (2012) investigated the time-scale dependent responses of the South Asian monsoon to anthropogenic aerosol forcing using the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5) with a fully predictive aerosol life cycle. They demonstrated that the slow response associated with

sea surface temperature (SST) changes is generally stronger than the fast atmospheric response. However over the northern India ( $>25^{\circ}\text{N}$ ), the fast response could be more important. Ganguly et al. (2012) also emphasized that both the SDM and EHP effects as well as the microphysical aerosol effect are important for altering the Indian monsoon. Using the Geophysical Fluid Dynamic Laboratory (GFDL) climate model with fully interactive aerosols and chemistry, Bollasina et al. (2013) showed that an earlier onset of the Indian summer monsoon has been induced by the direct global aerosol effect during the second half of the 20th century, emphasizing the importance of dynamic feedbacks and regional land-surface processes in aerosol–monsoon interactions. More recently, using satellite data and the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5) model, Vinoj et al. (2014) found that heating of the atmosphere by dust aerosols over the Arabian Sea, transported from West Asia, and Arabian Peninsula may increase the influx of water vapor into India, inducing large-scale convergence and enhance monsoon rainfall over northeastern India on weekly time scales.

The number of observational studies of effects of aerosols on the large-scale Indian monsoon is also growing. Based on the interannual variability of the TOMS aerosol index (AI), Lau and Kim (2006) found an increase in absorbing aerosol loading over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) in the pre-monsoon season is associated with anomalous warming related to an anomalous anticyclone circulation in the upper troposphere over the Tibetan Plateau from May to June. As a result, the monsoon season is advanced and rainfall increases in northern India during May–June, consistent with the EHP. Bollasina et al. (2008) emphasized that the excessive absorbing aerosol loading over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) during May could lead to a reduction in clouds and precipitation due to the semi-direct effect. The reduction in clouds increased solar radiation at the surface, and amplified the land–sea contrast, which in turn lead increase of monsoon precipitation over India in June–July. Gautam et al (2009a, b) and (2010) provided observational evidence of a multi-decadal warming trend in the mid- and upper troposphere over northwestern India, that is consistent with atmospheric heating due to accumulation of absorbing aerosols over northwestern IGP and the Himalayas. Based on historical data, Lau and Kim (2010) found a 40-year trend of increased rainfall in May–June over northern India that can be attributed to increased aerosols over the IGP. On intraseasonal time scales, Manoj et al. (2011) found that atmospheric heating by absorbing aerosols over central India during long breaks can create a large meridional temperature gradient at low levels between aerosol-rich central India and the pristine equatorial Indian Ocean. They showed that active spells are produced due to the pronounced moisture convergence and deep convection in central India, suggesting

that induced positive dynamical feedback can overcome the stability effect caused by solar dimming and possible indirect effects.

While the aforementioned observational studies generally supporting the key premise of the EHP, aspects of the EHP hypothesis remain controversial (Kuhlmann and Quaas 2010; Nigam and Bollasina 2011; Lau and Kim 2011). Observational validation of EHP impact on the monsoon is challenging, because EHP involves not only increased aerosol radiative heating, but also dynamical feedback processes both of which are likely to be strongly influenced by ENSO. The challenge is compounded by the fact that (a) monsoon dynamical feedback processes are inherently chaotic, requiring long-term (multi-decadal) data to enhance signal-to-noise ratio, and (b) global scale aerosol information rely heavily on satellite data which have a much shorter record (consisting of data segments from heterogeneous measuring instruments with different orbital characteristics), relative to traditional meteorological observations over the Indian monsoon region. Up to now, there has been no observational study that aims at separating aerosol impact relative to ENSO, and possible modulation of ENSO influence by EHP on monsoon responses. This study represent a best-effort attempt, using available satellite aerosol information, in conjunction with rainfall, winds, water vapor, and SST from reanalysis, to provide observational evidence suggesting significant modulation by EHP, on ENSO influence on the Indian monsoon.

## 2 Data and methods


To maximize the length of the data record, we use four different aerosol datasets to establish the reference time series of aerosol loading for the 33-years base period (1979–2011) chosen for this study. The datasets are (1) NASA total ozone mapping spectrometer (TOMS) aerosol index (AI), (2) Earth Probe (EP) TOMS AI, (3) moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS)/Aqua, aerosol optical depth (AOD), and (4) MODIS/Terra AOD. TOMS AI and EP TOMS AI with horizontal resolution of  $1^\circ$  by  $1.25^\circ$  are used for the period 1979–1992 and the period 1997–2001, respectively. Positive AI values indicate absorbing aerosols while negative or small AI values indicate non-absorbing aerosols and clouds (Torres et al. 1998; Hsu et al. 1999). MODIS/Terra and MODIS/Aqua with horizontal resolution of  $1^\circ$  by  $1^\circ$  are used for the period 2000–2011 and the period 2003–2011, respectively. MODIS is a key instrument aboard the Terra and Aqua satellites to viewing the entire Earth's surface every 1–2 days, acquiring data in 36 spectral bands. Terra's orbit around the Earth is timed so that it passes from north to south across the equator approximately at 10:30 in the morning, while Aqua passes

south to north over the equator approximately 1:30 in the afternoon with a sun-synchronous, near-polar, circular orbit (<http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/about/>). For the overlapping period of Aqua and Terra from 2003 to 2011, the arithmetic average of two AOD values i.e., the mean AOD from each satellite, are used to reduce the bias caused by the different satellite crossing times. For the period 1979–1992, TOMS AI and for the period 1997–2002, EP TOMS was used respectively. A data gap exists during 1993–1996 due to transition of satellite missions from TOMS to EP TOMS. To homogenize the data record for the study period, each dataset is normalized with its standard deviation (Peterson et al. 1998). The normalized data are used to determine years with relatively high and low aerosol loadings within for composite analysis. This process partially alleviates the limitations of the discontinuous data. Note that linear trend in each datasets is not removed because total period of each datasets is too short to consider the linear trend and thereby prevent distorting variability from raw data by artificial removing of linear trend for short period. In the following, the standardized aerosol loading data are referred to as the normalized aerosol index (NAI). GPCP (Global Precipitation Climatology Project) precipitation data (Adler et al. 2003; Huffman et al. 2009) and Nino 3.4 SST data (Smith et al. 2008) are used for the same period as the aerosol data. Temperature, water vapor and winds are from MERRA (Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications) reanalysis data (Rienecker et al. 2011).

## 3 Aerosol, rainfall and ENSO relationships

In this Section, we discuss the background information regarding aerosol, rainfall and ENSO relationships to provide the rationale for the approach and methodology used in this study. Figure 1 shows the climatological AI distribution over the greater South Asian monsoon region during the pre-monsoon period from April–May. High aerosol concentrations (high AOD) are found over the Middle East/West Asia, and the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) of northern India. The aerosol concentration decreases rapidly toward southern portions of the Arabian Sea, the Indian subcontinent, the Bay of Bengal and the Indian Ocean. Over Middle East/West Asia, the aerosols comprise mostly of desert dusts. The interannual variation of aerosol over Northern India is highly correlated with high AOD over desert regions of northwestern India and the Arabia Sea, where the dominant aerosol species are dust and sea salt. This is consistent with recent studies (Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014) that on interannual time scales, AOD variation over the northern India is mostly due to transport of dust and sea salt from the Middle East deserts across the Arabian Sea to northern Indian. Over the IGP, the aerosols consist of mostly black

**Fig. 1** April–May climatological (1988, 1991, and 2000) distribution for the high aerosol index (AI) years and representative area for the selected cases (black box)




carbon (BC) from biomass burning, and from industrial emissions, as well as desert dust transported by monsoon winds from the Middle East and West Asia (Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014). The transport of these aerosols is blocked by the Himalaya foothills. As a result, high aerosol concentration is build up to great heights over the IGP (Gautam et al. 2010). Both BC and dust are light absorbing aerosols that can cause heating of the atmosphere. Moreover desert dust over northern India, especially when coated with BC during transport over northern India, can be very absorbing (Ramanathan and Carmichael 2008; Ramana et al. 2004). The large north–south gradient of absorbing AOD distribution will induce strong differential heating, and tropospheric temperature gradient between northern Indian and region to the south. The increase in this temperature gradient is essential for triggering the EHP feedback (Lau et al. 2008). The interannual variability of aerosol loading, which is strongly influenced by changing winds and precipitation, is known to be largest over the IGP (Bollasina et al. 2008; Gautam et al. 2009a; Singh et al. 2004; Sanap and Pandithurai 2014). The NAI averaged for April–May and over a large region [70°–90°E, 20°–35°N] over northern India (shown as rectangular box in Fig. 1), is used as the reference time series (Fig. 2a) for composite analysis. High and low aerosol years are distinguished according to  $\pm 1\sigma$  from the NAI time series. Five high NAI years (i.e., 1988, 1991, 2000, 2004, and 2008) and five low NAI years (i.e., 1982, 1983, 1996, 2005, and 2007) were selected for composite analysis with regard to EHP effect on the Indian monsoon. Hereafter, these years are referred to as EHP years. Figure 2b shows the spatial

distribution of the difference in Terra AOD between high years and low years during April–May, indicating clearly that high aerosol concentrations (high AOD) are found over the broaden regions from the northern portions of the Arabian Sea to the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) of northern India. The much higher AOD over the northern Arabian Sea and desert regions of northwestern India, compared to the densely populated region of the central, and eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain, is an indication that the primary source of aerosols is likely desert dusts transported from the deserts of Middle East, West Asia and northwest India, as found in previous studies (Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014). Note that some EHP years are also ENSO years. A discussion of a methodology to separate EHP and ENSO effects follows.

It is common knowledge that aerosol loading and precipitation are inversely related locally. Aerosols build up under dry, stable and low-wind conditions but are washed out by wet deposition in heavy rain events. It is also well known that Indian monsoon rainfall is affected by ENSO (Rasmusson and Carpenter 1983, and many others). However most previous studies of monsoon–ENSO relationship are focused on monsoon rainfall during June–July–August. For aerosol impact on monsoon, the building up of aerosols during pre-monsoon period (April–May) before the onset of heavy monsoon rain is critical (Lau et al. 2006). Figure 3 shows the correlation pattern between the negative NINO 3.4 index and precipitation in April–May over the greater South Asian monsoon region. From the signs of the correlations, reduced rainfall is found over a large area that spans the Arabian Peninsula, West Asia and the northwest region


**Fig. 2** **a** Time series of the normalized aerosol index (NAI) from the TOMS AI, Aqua AOD, and Terra AOD datasets, and **b** April–May the difference in Terra AOD between high years and low years



of the Indian subcontinent during April–May in La Nina. Increased rainfall is found over large regions of the Indochina Peninsula, the southern South China Sea and the Bay of Bengal, as well as over southwestern Indian subcontinent and the southern Arabian Sea. From an aerosol forcing point of view, it is important to note that during La Nina, large parts of northwestern India, West Asia and Saudi Arabia are drier, i.e., less wet deposition and therefore more favorable for higher aerosol loading. This relationship is consistent with results reported for winter season (Dimri 2012). Note that this is only a necessary but not sufficient condition for increased aerosol loading. Other factors, such as proximity to aerosol sources, wind strength and direction, atmospheric stability, humidity, and topographic effects need to be taken into account for increased aerosol loading.


The relationship between ENSO and aerosol loading over northern India in April–May can be seen in the scatter plot of Nino 3.4 index and NAI (Fig. 4). Here, El Nino

years are identified with Nino 3.4 SST  $> 0.4$  °C, and La Nina years with SST  $< -0.4$  °C. The negative correlation between the Nino 3.4 index and aerosol loading is quite obvious. Three out of 4 years with highest NAI ( $>1.0$ ) are La Nina years, and four out of 5 years with lowest NAI ( $<-1.0$ ) are El Nino years. All seven La Nina years exhibiting positive (above normal) NAI, and six out of the nine El Nino years show negative (below) NAI. To investigate the relative impacts of EHP versus ENSO we select a subset of “pure” ENSO years in which the Nino 3.4 index exceeds  $\pm 0.4$  °C, but with near normal aerosol concentration, i.e., NAI less than  $\pm 1.0$ . As a result, the “pure” El Nino years are: 1987, 1992, 1993, and 1998- and “pure” La Nina years are: 1984, 1985, 1989, and 1999. These subsets of La Nina and El Nino years with near-normal aerosol loading will be referred to as “pure” ENSO (PENSO), where EHP effect is minimized. Notice that there is no overlap between PENSO and EHP years, as indicated above.



**Fig. 3** Correlation pattern between negative Nino 3.4 SST and precipitation during the period April–May


**Fig. 4** Scatter diagram between the NAI and the NINO 3.4 SST during April–May. El Nino, La Nina, and normal years are indicated by blue, red and open circles. Number inside circles denotes the calendar year



#### 4 PENSO versus EHP effects

Anomalies fields of winds and rainfall (Fig. 5) are constructed based on the differences in the mean of the high and the low NAI (high-minus-low) for EHP, and for PENSO (La Nina minus El Nino) years, respectively. In the following discussion, the sign of the anomalies for PENSO refers to the pure La Nina conditions, and for EHP to high aerosol loading over northern India. During May–June, PENSO anomalous low-level westerly winds are found over extended zonal regions near 5°–15°N, stretching from 50°E–120°E (Fig. 5a). The extended zonal anomaly flow appears to be connected to a large-scale anomalous anticyclone, with a broad region of cross-equatorial flow over the Indian Ocean. Pronounced increased rainfall are found over the same zonal belt across the southeastern Bay of Bengal, the Malaysian Peninsular, and regions further east over the South China Sea. Moderate increased rainfall is found, in conjunction with the development of a weak low-level anomalous cyclonic circulation over northeastern India

and the northern Bay of Bengal. Rainfall is also slightly increased over the southern Arabian Sea, off the southwestern coast of India. For EHP (Fig. 5c), the May–June wind and rainfall anomalies are similar to PENSO, but the centers of action appear to have shifted from Southeast Asia to northeastern India, and the Bay of Bengal. Here, the westerly anomalies are weaker, but broader, expanding from 15°N to the equator, compared to PENSO. Strong cross equatorial flow anomalies are confined to the Somali jet off the coast of East Africa. However, low level winds form a well-organized large-scale cyclonic circulation anomaly, around the Arabian Sea, southern India, and the Bay of Bengal, ending with northeasterlies over northern India and the Himalaya foothills. The EHP impact on rainfall over the India subcontinent appears to be more widespread than PENSO, with enhanced rainfall over the Bay of Bengal, northeastern India extending along the Himalayan foothills to northwestern India, and southern Arabian Sea off the coast of southwestern India. The wind and rainfall anomalies for EHP signify a strengthening of the Indian monsoon



**Fig. 5** Changes in precipitation and wind for PENSO (top) and EHP (bottom) effects for May–June (left) and July–August (right). Large and small circles represent significance level of 1 and 5 %, respectively

in the May–June as found in previous studies (Lau and Kim 2006; Lau et al. 2008).


The subsequent development of the monsoon in July–August is substantially different between PENSO and EHP events. During PENSO July–August (Fig. 5b), the anomalous monsoon flow becomes less organized, featuring anomalous anticyclonic circulation center near southeastern Arabian Sea, and increased westerlies across southern India and the southern Bay of Bengal. Relative to May–June, the zone of increased rainfall has shifted northward to the 20°–25°N zonal belt, and anchored to land regions of northern India, Indo-China, and southern China. While rainfall is reduced over the Arabian Sea, and the Bay of Bengal and the Indian Ocean, most regions over northern India experience a moderate increase in rainfall. The shifts in anomalous low-level winds and rainfall appear to follow the seasonal northward movement of the monsoon rain belt from early to the mature phase of the monsoon. Overall, for the full seasonal (May–August) mean (not shown), the wind and rainfall patterns indicate a strengthening (weakening) of the Indian monsoon for the La Nina (El Nino) phase of PENSO, in agreement with previous studies (Rasmusson and Carpenter 1983; Kirtman and Shukla 2000; Wang et al. 2001; Wu et al. 2012). Our results show additionally that most of the increased rainfall during La Nina is found in the early monsoon season, May–June. On the other hand, for EHP, weak easterly wind anomalies are found over the Bay of Bengal, central and southern Indian subcontinent (Fig. 5d). Widespread regions of suppressed rainfall are found over India, especially the west and southwest coastal regions. Substantial rainfall suppression is also found over the western coastal region of Indo-China, and the Malaysian Peninsula. These suggest a weakening of the monsoon in July–August. These patterns are consistent with previous modeling results (Meehl et al. 2008; Collier and Zhang 2009) and observations of long-term trends of Indian rainfall (Gautam et al. 2009b; Lau and Kim 2010), suggesting influence of EHP and related SDM from increased cloudiness induced via EHP atmospheric feedback mechanisms.

To examine the effects of PENSO versus EHP on the meridional circulation during the pre-monsoon period (May–June), the zonal average latitude-height cross-sections of the wind, temperature, and the specific humidity anomalies over the Indian subcontinent (75°–90°E) are shown in Fig. 6. During PENSO, anomalous southerly (northward blowing) winds developed in the lower troposphere blowing from the Indian Ocean to India as a part of a strong large-scale meridional circulation with strong ascending motion, and warming of the troposphere (maximum ~1.5–2 °C) over northern India and the Himalaya foothills. The meridional overturning is coupled to sinking motion over the equatorial Indian Ocean, and to extensive

tropospheric cooling over the Indian Ocean and lower troposphere over central India. Over central India (20°–25°N), a vertical temperature dipole with warming above and cooling below can be discerned. For EHP, the anomalous meridional circulation is similar to PENSO, but with stronger regional modulations. Compared to PENSO, the meridional cell appears to shift further northward against the Himalaya foothills. The EHP anomalous southerlies form a very thick layer of northerly flow in the lower troposphere and penetrate further inland into northern India, culminating in strong ascending motion over the Himalayan foothills. The warming in the upper troposphere is amplified (maximum ~2–2.5 °C) and becomes more widespread over northern India and the Tibetan Plateau. Over central India (20°–25°N), the vertical temperature dipole anomaly is also enhanced. Compared to PENSO, cooling over the Indian Ocean is much reduced. These features are in agreement with EHP induced atmospheric warming (e.g., Lau et al. 2006; Meehl et al. 2008). The strong warming in the middle to upper layers of the troposphere near the Himalaya foothills (~30°N), as postulated by EHP is associated with rising warm moist air and increased condensation heating due to increased advection of warm moist air from the Indian Ocean and Arabian Sea. Indeed, Fig. 6c, d show that relative to PENSO, EHP induces a larger and deeper moist layer in the lower troposphere to middle troposphere over central and northern India (20–30 N).

For PENSO (Fig. 6b), increased easterly zonal wind shear is found in the region 5°N–20°N, with stronger near surface westerlies, indicating a strengthened large-scale monsoon during La Nina (Webster and Yang 1992). The moistening of the troposphere in northern India appears to be coupled to strong drying over the northern Indian Ocean (0°–10°N). For EHP, as evident from the large-scale wind shear, the large-scale monsoon is also enhanced for high aerosol over northern India, although the enhancement is not as strong from the large-scale circulation perspective compared to PENSO. This is also seen in the weaker near-surface westerly anomalies in EHP. However, it should be noted that the large-scale monsoon wind shear is affected not only by rainfall (latent heating) over Indian but also by rainfall outside of the India subcontinent, such as over Southeast Asia as in the case of PENSO (Webster and Yang 1992; Krishnamurti et al. 1981). For rainfall over the Indian subcontinent, the EHP effect seems to have a stronger local impact than PENSO. Another major difference between PENSO and EHP is that low-level easterlies are more well-developed over the northern India, near the foothills regions. These low level easterlies could play an important role in bringing moisture from the Bay of Bengal to and initiating heavy rain events over the Himalayan foothills and northwest India (Lau and Kim 2012).






**Fig. 6** Changes in zonal mean ( $75^{\circ}$ – $90^{\circ}$ E) temperature and streamfunction (*left*) and specific humidity and zonal winds (*right*) for PENSO (*top*) and EHP (*bottom*) for May–June. *Large and small circles* represent significance level of 1 and 5 %, respectively

## 5 Combined impacts ENSO and EHP

As shown in the scatter diagram in Fig. 4, El Niño years with low NAI values and La Niña years with high NAI values are well-separated. In this section, we select the La Niña years with high NAI values (1988, 2000, 2008) and El Niño years with low NAI values (1982, 1983, 1997, 2005) to examine the combined effects (COM), and possible mutual amplification of ENSO and EHP. The COM anomalies is obtained as the difference between composites for these two sets of years. For simplicity, only the May–June composites are shown. The anomalous precipitation and wind patterns induced by the combined effect exhibit features that bear strong similarity to EHP (Fig. 7a) except that the zone of significant increased rainfall is much larger and the magnitude is much enhanced compared to EHP and PENSO alone. Similar to EHP, strongly developed zonal westerly anomalies are found over  $5^{\circ}$ – $15^{\circ}$ N extending from  $50^{\circ}$ E– $120^{\circ}$ E. Under COM, the atmospheric warming over the Himalayas and the Tibetan Plateau are strongly

enhanced ( $>2.5^{\circ}$  C over extensive region in the upper troposphere) and extend to the surface of the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 7b). The warm-above-cool-below tropospheric temperature dipole over central and northern India becomes very well developed. These results imply that the EHP can mutually modulate and amplify the ENSO effects on summer monsoon rainfall the India subcontinent.

The regional rainfall anomalies in EHP, PENSO and COM are likely associated with changes in convective potential (Wang et al. 2009b; Wang 2013), as reflected in the anomalies of the low-level moist static energy (MSE). The MSE anomalies computed at 850 hPa for each of the three cases are shown in Fig. 8 (contour line). Clearly, under PENSO, remote large-scale forcing from La Niña is associated an increase in MSE over northern Indian and the Himalaya foothills. However, the most pronounced signal is the reduced MSE over extensive regions of the Indian Ocean, the Arabian Sea, and the southern Bay of Bengal, as well as the Arabian Peninsular. The inverse relationship between convective potential, and hence rainfall variations




**Fig. 7** Changes in **a** precipitation and 850 hPa wind and **b** zonal mean (75°–90°E) temperature and streamfunction (right, 75°–90°E) for COM (ENSO with abnormal NAI years) for May–June. *Large and small circles* represent significance level of 1 and 5 %, respectively

over northern India land region, and the oceanic regions to the south are consistent with previous work on intrinsic modes of interannual rainfall variations of the Indian monsoon (Lau et al. 2000). For EHP, the region of positive MSE (increased convective potential) is more expansive compare to PENSO, spanning not only northern India and the Himalaya foothills, but also the entire subcontinent, including the adjacent oceans of the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal. Under COM, the convective potential over the Indian subcontinent is even more enhanced and expansive, as evident in the large positive MSE over northern India and the IGP, and much of the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal. A decomposition of the different terms in the expression for  $MSE = C_p T + Lq + gz$ , for PENSO, EHP and COM (Fig. 8) shows that the largest contribution to change in MSE is from the moisture term ( $Lq$ ), much smaller contribution from temperature ( $C_p T$ ) and negligible amount from potential energy change ( $gz$ ). Note that the first two terms of MSE tend to oppose each other, especially in EHP and COM. However, the positive contribution from the moisture term overcomes the negative contribution from the temperature term ( $C_p T$ ), especially over northeastern India. This suggests that that increase in low level convective instability due to enhanced moisture transport from the Indian Ocean prevails to overcome the stabilization effect due to solar dimming from aerosol and clouds. It is also possible that that the warm/dry and stable condition over the Middle East/West Asia during La Nina in May–June may increase the residence time of dust aerosols in the atmosphere, leading to sustained heating of the atmosphere and strengthening of the prevailing monsoon low-level southwesterly flow (Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014). The enhanced low level flow allows more moisture

transport into northern India, and amplifies the ENSO influence through the EHP effect.

The possible amplification and regional modulation of ENSO impacts on Indian monsoon rainfall by EHP can be further quantified by examining the changes in rainfall over northern India (70°–95°E, 20°–25°N) and the Himalayan foothill region (15°–95°E, 25°–30°N) for the early (May–June) and the peak (July–August) monsoon. As shown in Fig. 9, for PENSO May–June, rainfall over both regions are increased by approximately 20–21 %, due to the large-scale remote forcing associated with La Nina, but with minimal aerosol impact. For EHP with minimal ENSO impact, rainfall is also increased at a slightly higher percentage of 22–25 %. For COM, increase in rainfall at 25–35 % is significantly more pronounced compared to PENSO. In all cases, the May–June rainfall increase in northern India is stronger than in the foothills region. The changes are highly significant exceeding 1 % confidence level, especially for EHP and COM, based on Student's  $t$  test. Interestingly, in July–August, PENSO does not have a significant impact on rainfall over the two regions (Fig. 9b), whereas EHP increases (decreases) rainfall in the foothills (northern India) at about 5 %. In COM, when EHP combined with ENSO effects, rainfall over the foothill regions is further enhanced (~14 %), marginally significant at 10 % confidence level, while over northern India, the reduction remained at approximately 5 %. It should be pointed out that because of the intensity and total rain amount is much higher during the peak monsoon, the same percentage change in rainfall would mean a much larger absolute amount of rainfall. A 14 % increase in rainfall during the active monsoon spell in the foothill regions could spell major disaster.



**Fig. 8** Changes in moist static energy (MSE; contour) for PENSO (*top row*), EHP (*middle row*), and COM (*bottom row*) for May–June at 850 hPa. *Shading in left, middle and right represent three terms ( $C_pT$ ,  $Lq$ , and  $gz$ ) of the MSE, respectively. Unit of MSE is in  $10^3$  J/kg*

## 6 Summary discussion


In this study, we present observational evidence of amplification of ENSO influence on the Indian summer monsoon by, and regional forcing from absorbing aerosols via EHP and related feedback processes. Results are obtained based on high-minus-low composite analysis carried out separately for (a) PENSO, i.e., ENSO years with normal NAI over northern India, (b) EHP, i.e., years with NAI exceeding one standard-deviation, and (c) COM, i.e., combined effects of La Nina (El Nino) years and high (low) AOD years. Key findings include:

- On interannual time scales, La Nina (El Nino) is associated with high (low) AOD over northwestern India and

the northern Arabia Sea, during the pre-monsoon period (April–May), indicative of desert dusts as the primary source of aerosols.

- During PENSO La Nina years, the Indian monsoon is strengthened with increased rainfall over northeastern India in May–June, in association with an enhanced meridional circulation with anomalous rising motion, over northern India and anomalous sinking motion over southern India and the North Indian Ocean.
- During EHP years, the basic response is similar to PENSO, except that the magnitude of the anomalous rainfall and rising motion is stronger over the Himalaya foothills and the Bay of Bengal in May–June. The increased rainfall appears to be associated with, increased moisture transport from the Arabian Sea and

**Fig. 9** Changes in precipitation (*color bars*) induced by the PENSO, EHP, and combined (COM) effects for **a** May–June and **b** July–August. *Vertical error line bars* represent mean standard error of change in precipitation. *Green* denotes the Himalayan foothills (25°–30°N, 75°–95°E); *yellow* denotes the Indo-Gangetic Plain (20°–25°N, 75°–95°E)



Indian Ocean to northern Indian and the Bay of Bengal by way of well-organized large-scale low-level cyclonic circulation encompassing the entire Indian subcontinent and adjacent oceans. This is followed by a weakened monsoon with suppressed rainfall, and reduced low level westerlies over the Indian subcontinent in July–August, consistent with a scenarios postulated by EHP and related effects (Lau et al. 2010; Meehl et al. 2008).

- Under the combined effects of ENSO and strong EHP forcing in COM, the increased rainfall in May–June over northern India and the Himalayas foothills are substantially amplified compared to PENSO and EHP. Specifically, May–June rainfall over the Indo-Gangetic Plain is increased by 25–30 %, compared to ~20 % for PENSO. In July–August, rainfall over the Himalayan

foothills increases by 14 %, but slightly reduced over the eastern IGP, compared to no significant signals during PENSO.

The key findings suggest that the EHP effect by absorbing aerosol may play an important role in amplifying the ENSO influence on the Indian monsoon. The present results are also consistent with previous findings (Vinoj et al. 2014; Lau 2014) that on fast time scales (1–2 weeks) the build up of aerosols over northern Indian and the Arabian Sea in the pre-monsoon season is likely to be from long-range transport of dusts from deserts of the Middle East, and West Asia regions. These dust aerosols are strong absorbers of solar radiation, especially when coated with fine black carbon aerosols as they pass over densely populated and highly industrial complex of the Indo-Gangetic Plain (Srivastava

and Ramachandran 2012; Kedia et al. 2014). As a result, strong heating of the atmosphere by the mixture of dust and black carbon can induce or trigger EHP-like diabatic heating feedback, altering the subsequent evolution of the Indian monsoon (Lau et al. 2009). Additionally, the emission of dust aerosols from the desert surface, and their transport to northern India are strongly dependent on strength of the southwest monsoon winds, which are also strongly influenced by ENSO (Lau et al. 2008). Since the EHP effects are highly nonlinear, requiring aerosol concentration over the IGP and the foothills regions to build-up to a critical loading, such that the anomalous heating from EHP can overcome the stability effect due to the aerosol semi-direct effect, it is possible that the ENSO may serve as an important forcing agent that operates as a triggering mechanism for the EHP effect during the pre- and early monsoon season. Once, the trigger is activated, the EHP and related feedback processes including aerosol microphysics effects may further modulate the Indian monsoon response to ENSO forcing. The EHP effect, being intrinsically fast (days to weeks) atmospheric processes, will be in quasi-equilibrium with, and contribute to the changing coupled atmosphere–land–ocean system in the monsoon regions, manifesting in regional ENSO-aerosol feedbacks on seasonal, interannual and possibly climate change time scales.

For individual years, the main features identified from the composite can be seen, but not as clearly as in the composite. It is because of possible influence factors on inter-annual variability of the monsoon rainfall. These include case-by-case differences in ENSO strengths and evolutions, and associated dust and moisture transport, phase and amplitude of the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD), local sea surface temperature anomalies and feedbacks, snow cover over Eurasia, as well as natural variability (Achuthavarier et al. 2012; Cherchi and Navarra 2013). Thus it should be noted that the impact of specific factor for each individual year cannot be isolated easily due to uneven interference of other factors.

On the other hand, there are several works about an obvious weakening of the Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM)–ENSO relationship in the late 1970s which is related to mean state change (Krishna Kumar et al. 1999), impact of Indian Ocean Dipole (Ashok et al. 2004), change in the SST anomaly pattern in equatorial Pacific (Krishna Kumar et al. 2006; Ashok et al. 2007), and many others. Recently, Wu et al. (2012) showed that ENSO–ISM relationships depend on three types of ENSO influences on the ISM and there is the observed weakening in the ISM–ENSO relationship around the late 1970s in the type of DJF and JJAS cases which is corresponding to coherent influence of both the preceding winter and concurrent summer eastern equatorial Pacific SST anomalies. It is not clear whether the observed weakening is linked to EHP effect because this

study focused on ENSO–EHP links. This topic should be explored as a challenging topic along with global warming and associated dryness/wetness including IOD–EHP links in the future study.

**Acknowledgments** This work was funded by the Korea Meteorological Administration Research and Development Program under Grant KMIPA2015-2085. Partial support was provided by the GSFC Strategic Science Support, and the NASA Interdisciplinary (IDS) Investigations.

**Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Conflict of interest** None.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Achuthavarier D, Krishnamurthy V, Kirtman BP, Huang B (2012) Role of the Indian Ocean in the ENSO–Indian summer monsoon teleconnection in the NCEP climate forecast system. *J Clim* 25:2490–2508. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00111.1
- Adler RF, Huffman GJ, Chang A, Ferraro R, Xie P, Janowiak J, Rudolf B, Schneider U, Curtis S, Bolvin D, Gruber A, Susskind J, Arkin P (2003) The version 2 global precipitation climatology project (GPCP) monthly precipitation analysis (1979–present). *J Hydrometeorol* 4:1147–1167
- Ashok K, Guan Z, Saji NH, Yamagata T (2004) On the individual and combined influences of the ENSO and the Indian Ocean dipole on the Indian summer monsoon. *J Clim* 17:3141–3155
- Ashok K, Behera SK, Rao SA, Weng H, Yamagata T (2007) El Niño Modoki and its possible teleconnection. *J Geophys Res* 112:C11007. doi:10.1029/2006JC003798
- Bellouin N, Boucher O, Haywood J, Reddy MS (2005) Global estimate of aerosol direct radiative forcing from satellite measurements. *Nature* 438:1138–1141
- Bollasina M, Nigam S (2011) Absorbing aerosols and pre-summer monsoon hydroclimate variability over the Indian subcontinent: the challenge in investigating links. *Atmos Res* 94:338–344. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.06.008
- Bollasina M, Nigam S, Lau KM (2008) Absorbing aerosols and summer monsoon evolution over South Asia: an observational portrayal. *J Clim* 21:3221–3239. doi:10.1175/2007JCLI2094.1
- Bollasina MA, Ming Y, Ramaswamy V (2011) Anthropogenic aerosols and the weakening of the South Asian summer monsoon. *Science* 334:502–505. doi:10.1126/science.120499
- Bollasina MA, Ming Y, Ramaswamy V (2013) Earlier onset of the Indian monsoon in the late twentieth century: the role of anthropogenic aerosols. *Geophys Res Lett* 40:3715–3720. doi:10.1002/grl.50719
- Cherchi A, Navarra A (2013) Influence of ENSO and of the Indian Ocean Dipole on the Indian summer monsoon variability. *Clim Dyn* 41:81–103. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1602-y
- Chung CE, Ramanathan V (2006) Weakening of north Indian SST gradients and the monsoon rainfall in India and the Sahel. *J Clim* 19:2036–2045. doi:10.1175/JCLI3820.1

- Chung CE, Ramanathan V, Kiehl JT (2002) Effects of the south-Asian absorbing haze on the northeast monsoon and surface-air heat exchange. *J Clim* 15:2462–2476
- Collier JC, Zhang GJ (2009) Aerosol direct forcing of the summer Indian monsoon as simulated by the NCAR CAM3. *Clim Dyn* 32:313–332. doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0464-9
- Cowan T, Cai W (2011) The impact of Asian and non-Asian anthropogenic aerosols on 20th century Asian summer monsoon. *Geophys Res Lett* 38:L11703. doi:10.1029/2011GL047268
- Dimri AP (2012) Relationship between ENSO phases and north-west India winter precipitation. *Int J Climatol* 33:1917–1923. doi:10.1002/joc.3559
- Ganguly D, Rasch PJ, Wang H, Yoon JH (2012) Climate response of the South Asian monsoon system to anthropogenic aerosols. *J Geophys Res* 117:D13209. doi:10.1029/2012JD017508
- Gautam R, Hsu NC, Lau KM, Kafatos M (2009a) Aerosol and rainfall variability over the Indian monsoon region: distributions, trends and coupling. *Ann Geophys* 29:3691–3703
- Gautam R, Hsu NC, Lau KM, Tsay SC, Kafatos M (2009b) Enhanced pre-monsoon warming over the Himalayan-Gangetic region from 1979 to 2007. *Geophys Res Lett* 36:L07704. doi:10.1029/2009GL037641
- Gautam R, Hsu NC, Lau KM (2010) Premonsoon aerosol characterization and radiative effects over the Indo-Gangetic Plains: implications for regional climate warming. *J Geophys Res* 115:D17208. doi:10.1029/2010JD013819
- Haywood J, Boucher O (2000) Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: a review. *Rev Geophys* 38:513–543. doi:10.1029/1999RG000078
- Hsu NC, Herman JR, Torres O, Holben BN, Tanre D, Eck TF, Smirnov A, Chatenet B, Lavenu F (1999) Comparisons of the TOMS aerosol index with Sun-photometer aerosol optical thickness: results and applications. *J Geophys Res* 104(D6):6269–6279
- Huffman GJ, Adler RF, Bolvin DT, Gu G (2009) Improving the global precipitation record: GPCP version 2.1. *Geophys Res Lett* 36:L17808. doi:10.1029/2009GL040000
- Jacobson MZ (2001) Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols. *Nature* 409:695–698
- Kedia S, Ramachandran S, Holben BN, Tripathi SN (2014) Quantification of aerosol type, and sources of aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic Plain. *Atmos Environ* 98:607–619. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.022
- Kerr RA (2007) Pollutant hazes extend their climate-changing reach. *Science* 315:1217. doi:10.1126/science.315.5816.1217
- Kim MK, Lau WKM, Chin M, Kim KM, Sud YC, Walker GK (2006) Atmospheric teleconnection over Eurasia induced by aerosol radiative forcing during boreal spring. *J Clim* 19:4700–4718. doi:10.1175/JCLI3871.1
- Kim D, Wang C, Ekman AML, Barth MC, Rasch P (2008) Distribution and direct radiative forcing of carbonaceous and sulfate aerosols in an interactive size-resolving aerosol-climate model. *J Geophys Res* 113:D16309. doi:10.1029/2007JD009756
- Kirtman B, Shukla J (2000) Influence of the Indian summer monsoon on ENSO. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 126:213–239
- Krishna Kumar K, Rajagopalan B, Cane MA (1999) On the weakening relationship between the Indian monsoon and ENSO. *Science* 284:2156–2159. doi:10.1126/science.284.5423.2156
- Krishna Kumar K, Rajagopalan B, Hoerling M, Bates G, Cane M (2006) Unraveling the mystery of Indian monsoon failure during El Niño. *Science* 314:115–119. doi:10.1126/science.1131152
- Krishnamurti TN, Ardanuy P, Ramanathan Y, Pasch R (1981) On the onset vortex of the summer monsoon. *Mon Weather Rev* 109:344–363
- Kuhlmann J, Quaas J (2010) How can aerosols affect the Asian summer monsoon? Assessment during three consecutive pre-monsoon seasons from CALIPSO satellite data. *Atmos Chem Phys* 10:4673–4688
- Lau KM (2014) Desert dust and monsoon rain. *Nat Geosci* 7:255–256. doi:10.1038/ngeo2115
- Lau KM, Kim KM (2006) Observational relationships between aerosol and Asian monsoon rainfall, and circulation. *Geophys Res Lett* 33:L21810. doi:10.1029/2006GL027546
- Lau KM, Kim KM (2010) Fingerprinting the impacts of aerosols on long-term trends of the Indian summer monsoon regional rainfall. *Geophys Res Lett* 37(16):L16705. doi:10.1029/2010GL043255
- Lau KM, Kim KM (2011) Comment on “‘Elevated heat pump’ hypothesis for the aerosol-monsoon hydroclimate link: ‘Grounded’ in observations?” by S. Nigam and M. Bollasina. *J Geophys Res* 116:D07203. doi:10.1029/2010JD014800
- Lau KM, Kim KM (2012) The 2010 Russian heat wave/wildfires and Pakistan flood: teleconnection of extremes. *J Hydrometeorol* 13:392–403. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-11-016.1
- Lau KM, Kim KM, Yang S (2000) Dynamical and boundary forcing characteristics of regional components of the Asian summer monsoon. *J Clim* 13:2461–2482
- Lau KM, Kim MK, Kim KM (2006) Aerosol induced anomalies in the Asian summer monsoon: the role of the Tibetan Plateau. *Clim Dyn* 26:855–864. doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0114-z
- Lau KM et al (2008) The joint aerosol-monsoon experiment: a new challenge for monsoon climate research. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 89:369–383. doi:10.1175/BAMS-89-3-369
- Lau KM, Kim MK, Hsu C, Holben B (2009) Possible influences of air pollution, dust and sandstorms on the Indian monsoon. *WMO Bull* 58(1):22–30
- Lau KM, Kim MK, Kim KM, Lee WS (2010) Enhanced surface warming and accelerated snow melt in the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau induced by absorbing aerosols. *Environ Res Lett* 5:025204. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/2/025204
- Lee WS, Kim MK (2010) Effects of radiative forcing by black carbon aerosol on spring rainfall decrease over Southeast Asia. *Atmos Environ* 44(31):3739–3744. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.058
- Manoj MG, Devara PCS, Safai PD, Goswami BN (2011) Absorbing aerosols facilitate transition of Indian monsoon breaks to active spells. *Clim Dyn* 37:2181–2198. doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0971-3
- Meehl GA, Arblaster JM, Collins WD (2008) Effects of black carbon aerosols on the Indian monsoon. *J Clim* 21:2869–2882. doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1777.1
- Menon S, Hansen J, Nazarenko L, Luo Y (2002) Climate effects of black carbon aerosols in China and India. *Science* 297:2250–2253
- Nigam S, Bollasina M (2011) Reply to comment by K. M. Lau and K. M. Kim on “‘Elevated heat pump’ hypothesis for the aerosol-monsoon hydroclimate link: ‘Grounded’ in observations?”. *J Geophys Res* 116:D07204. doi:10.1029/2010JD015246
- Peterson TC, Easterling DR, Karl TR, Groisman P, Nicholls N, Plummer N, Torok S, Auer I, Boehm R, Gullett D, Vincent L, Heino R, Tuomenvirta H, Mestre O, Szentimrey T, Salinger J, Forland E, Hanssen-Bauer I, Alexandersson H, Jones P, Parker D (1998) Homogeneity adjustments of in situ atmospheric climate data: a review. *Int J Climatol* 18:1493–1517
- Ramana MV, Ramanathan V, Podgorny IA, Pradhan BB, Shrestha B (2004) The direct observations of large aerosol radiative forcing in the Himalayan region. *Geophys Res Lett* 31:L05111. doi:10.1029/2003GL018824
- Ramanathan V, Carmichael G (2008) Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon. *Nat Geosci* 1:221–227. doi:10.1038/ngeo156
- Ramanathan V, Crutzen PJ, Lelieveld J et al (2001) Indian Ocean Experiment: an integrated analysis of the climate forcing and effects of the great Indo-Asian haze. *J Geophys Res* 106:28371–28398

- Ramanathan V, Chung C, Kim D et al (2005) Atmospheric brown clouds: impact on South Asian climate and hydrologic cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:5326–5333. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0500656102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0500656102)
- Randles CA, Ramaswamy V (2008) Absorbing aerosols over Asia: a Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory general circulation model sensitivity study of model response to aerosol optical depth and aerosol absorption. *J Geophys Res* 113:D21203. doi:[10.1029/2008JD010140](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010140)
- Rasmusson EM, Carpenter TH (1983) The relationship between eastern equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature and summer monsoon rainfall over India and Sri-Lanka. *Mon Weather Rev* 111:517–528
- Rienecker MM, Suarez MJ, Gelaro R et al (2011) MERRA: NASA's modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications. *J Clim* 24:3624–3648. doi:[10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1)
- Sanap SD, Pandithurai G (2014) Inter-annual variability of aerosols and its relationship with regional climate over Indian subcontinent. *Int J Climatol*. doi:[10.1002/joc.4037](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4037)
- Singh RP, Dey D, Tripathi SN, Tare V, Holben B (2004) Variability of aerosol parameters over Kanpur, northern India. *J Geophys Res* 109:D23206. doi:[10.1029/2004JD004966](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004966)
- Smith TM, Reynolds RW, Peterson TC, Lawrimore J (2008) Improvements to NOAA's historical merged land–ocean surface temperature analysis (1880–2006). *J Clim* 21:2283–2296
- Srivastava R, Ramachandran S (2012) The mixing state of aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic Plain and its impact on radiative forcing. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 139:137–151. doi:[10.1002/qj.1958](https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.1958)
- Torres O, Bhartia PK, Herman JR, Ahmad Z, Gleason J (1998) Derivation of aerosol properties from satellite measurements of back-scattered ultraviolet radiation: theoretical basis. *J Geophys Res* 103(D14):17,099–17110
- Vinoj V, Rasch PJ, Wang H, Yoon JH, Ma PL, Landu K (2014) Short-term modulation of Indian summer monsoon rainfall by West Asian dust. *Nat Geosci* 7:308–313. doi:[10.1038/ngeo2107](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2107)
- Wang C (2013) Impact of anthropogenic absorbing aerosols on clouds and precipitation: a review of recent progresses. *Atmos Res* 122:237–249. doi:[10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.11.005)
- Wang B, Wu R, Lau KM (2001) Interannual variability of the Asian summer monsoon: contrasts between the Indian and the western North Pacific–East Asian monsoons. *J Clim* 14:4073–4090
- Wang C, Jeong GR, Mahowald N (2009a) Particulate absorption of solar radiation: anthropogenic aerosols vs. dust. *Atmos Chem Phys* 9:3935–3945
- Wang C, Kim D, Ekman AML, Barth MC, Rasch PJ (2009b) Impact of anthropogenic aerosols on Indian summer monsoon. *Geophys Res Lett* 36:L21704. doi:[10.1029/2009GL040114](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040114)
- Webster PJ, Yang S (1992) Monsoon and ENSO: selectively interactive systems. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 118:877–926
- Wu R, Chen J, Chen W (2012) Different types of ENSO influences on the Indian summer monsoon variability. *J Clim* 25:903–920. doi:[10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00039.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00039.1)