



Correction to: Similar spatial patterns of soil quality indicators in three poplar-based silvo-arable alley cropping systems in Germany

René Beuschel¹ · Hans-Peter Piepho² · Rainer Georg Joergensen¹ · Christine Wachendorf¹

Published online: 29 October 2018

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: *Biology and Fertility of Soils*

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1324-3>

Table 2 of the original version of this article unfortunately contains the superscript letters “#” and “\$” within columns instead of the statistical letters “a” and “b”. Superscript letters “#” and “\$” within columns were changed to “a” and “b”, respectively. The correct Table 2 is as follows:

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1324-3>

✉ René Beuschel
r.beuschel@uni-kassel.de

¹ Department of Soil Biology and Plant Nutrition, University of Kassel, Nordbahnhofstraße 1a, 37213 Witzenhausen, Germany

² Biostatistics Unit, Institute of Crop Science, University of Hohenheim, Fruwirthstraße 23, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

Table 2 Mean values of soil organic C (SOC), soil microbial biomass C (MBC) and N (MBN), ergosterol and the ratios SOC to total N (TN), ergosterol to fungal glucosamine (GlcN) and fungal C to bacterial C at different distances from the tree rows at two soil depths combined for three alley cropping systems. Clay content and pH were used as covariates. Mean values and probability values based on the GLIMMIX procedure

| | SOC (mg g ⁻¹) | SOC/TN | MBC [#] (μg g ⁻¹) | MBN [#] (μg g ⁻¹) | Ergosterol (μg g ⁻¹) | Ergosterol/fungal GlcN | Fungal C/ bacterial C |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0–5 cm | | | | | | | |
| Tree row | 21.1 ^a ** | 11.3 ^a *** | 541 (6.3) ^a *** | 85 (4.4) ^a ** | 4.95 ^a *** | 5.84 ^a * | 3.10 ^a * |
| 1 m | 18.4 ^b | 10.7 ^b | 357 (5.9) ^b * | 65 (4.2) ^b | 2.50 ^b | 3.39 ^b | 2.59 ^b |
| 7 m | 17.4 ^b | 10.5 ^b | 299 (5.7) ^b * | 52 (3.9) ^b | 2.12 ^b | 2.78 ^b | 2.61 ^b |
| 24 m | 17.7 ^b | 10.6 ^b | 340 (5.8) ^b * | 59 (4.1) ^b | 2.48 ^b | 3.48 ^b | 2.53 ^b |
| SED | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.08 ^S | 0.10 ^S | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.10 |
| Probability values | | | | | | | |
| Clay | 0.008 | ns | ns | < 0.001 | ns | ns | ns |
| pH | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 5–20 cm | | | | | | | |
| Tree row | 17.0 ^a | 10.5 ^a | 282 (5.6) ^a | 41 (3.7) ^a | 1.86 ^a | 2.50 ^a | 2.92 ^a |
| 1 m | 17.8 ^a | 10.7 ^a | 282 (5.6) ^a | 47 (3.8) ^a | 1.85 ^a | 1.93 ^a | 2.59 ^a |
| 7 m | 17.8 ^a | 10.6 ^a | 268 (5.6) ^a | 46 (3.8) ^a | 1.53 ^a | 1.82 ^a | 2.49 ^a |
| 24 m | 17.2 ^a | 10.5 ^a | 264 (5.6) ^a | 44 (3.8) ^a | 1.73 ^a | 2.15 ^a | 2.52 ^a |
| SED | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.09 ^S | 0.16 ^S | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.16 |
| Probability values | | | | | | | |
| Clay | 0.01 | ns | ns | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | ns | ns |
| pH | ns | < 0.001 | ns | 0.005 | ns | 0.03 | 0.02 |

Means with no letter in common within a column indicate significant differences between distances of each soil depth (Tukey-Kramer, $P < 0.05$), $n = 12$; asterisks show significant differences between soil depths of each distance (probability values were Bonferroni-Holm corrected for multiple testing; *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$); SED: mean standard error of differences between distances of each soil depth; ns: not significant; if covariates were significant, covariate-adjusted means are presented; all two- and threefold interactions were insignificant. Ergosterol to fungal GlcN presented in $\mu\text{g mg}^{-1}$.

[#] Back-transformed data from the logarithmic scale are presented as medians and data on the logarithmic scale in brackets

^S Mean standard error of differences between distances on the logarithmic scale