Shortest Directed Networks in the Plane

Alastair Maxwell¹ · Konrad J. Swanepoel¹

Received: 18 March 2019 / Revised: 14 April 2020 / Published online: 12 June 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Given a set of sources and a set of sinks as points in the Euclidean plane, a *directed network* is a directed graph drawn in the plane with a directed path from each source to each sink. Such a network may contain nodes other than the given sources and sinks, called Steiner points. We characterize the local structure of the Steiner points in all shortest-length directed networks in the Euclidean plane. This characterization implies that these networks are constructible by straightedge and compass. Our results build on unpublished work of Alfaro, Campbell, Sher, and Soto from 1989 and 1990. Part of the proof is based on a new method that uses other norms in the plane. This approach gives more conceptual proofs of some of their results, and as a consequence, we also obtain results on shortest directed networks for these norms.

Keywords Euclidean Steiner problem · Shortest directed network · Normed plane · Straightedge and compass

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 05C20 · Secondary 49Q10 · 52A40 · 90B10

1 Introduction

In the well-studied Euclidean Steiner problem, a finite set of points in the plane is given, and the problem is to find a shortest network interconnecting all points. Its fascinating history is given a definitive treatment by Brazil et al. [4]. What distinguishes this problem from the well-known Minimal Spanning Tree problem, is

This work is partially based on a dissertation of the first author written as part of an MSc in Applicable Mathematics at the LSE.

✉ Konrad J. Swanepoel
k.swanepoel@lse.ac.uk

¹ Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics and Political Science, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, UK

that such a network (necessarily a tree) may contain new points, called *Steiner points*. The degree of such a Steiner point is always 3, and the angle between any two incident edges is 120° . In fact, any such tree is constructible using straightedge and compass. Despite the simplicity of this local structure, it is NP-hard to compute such a shortest network. On the other hand, there is an exact algorithm (GeoSteiner) that can feasibly compute these networks for given point sets of size in the thousands. For more detail, see Chapter 1 of Brazil and Zachariasen [5].

A directed version of this problem was introduced by Frank Morgan for undergraduate research at Williams College in the late 1980s [1–3]. In this problem, a set of sources and a set of sinks in the plane are given, and the object is to find a shortest directed network containing a directed path from each source to each sink. As these directed networks are not necessarily trees, they are much harder to study, and even their existence is non-trivial [2]. See Fig. 4(b) for an example of a shortest directed network that has a cycle. There is no known algorithm for finding such networks, and as a first step, the local structure of such networks has to be described. There are some partial results in [1, 3]. The main contribution of this paper is to complete their results with a complete description of the local structure of the directed edges incident to a Steiner point in a shortest directed network (Theorem 1). We also find new proofs of some of their results. Our characterization easily implies that any shortest directed network in the plane is constructible by straightedge and compass (Corollary 2), and that there exists an algorithm (even though prohibitively inefficient) that can compute them (Corollary 3).

We make use of norms other than the Euclidean, which on the one hand gives conceptually simpler proofs of some of the results in [1], and on the other hand also give examples of shortest directed networks for these norms.

2 Main Results

The digraphs $G = (V, E)$ in this paper will be *simple*, that is, they are without loops. We call the elements of V *nodes*. The elements of E , which we call *directed edges* or just *edges*, are directed pairs of distinct nodes, and denoted by $x \rightarrow y$, where x is the *tail* and y the *head* of the directed edge. The *indegree* of a node x is the number $\deg^-(x)$ of directed edges in E with head x , and the *outdegree* the number $\deg^+(x)$ of directed edges in E with tail x . The *degree* of x is the ordered pair $\deg(x) = (\deg^-(x), \deg^+(x))$.

Given a digraph $G = (V, E)$ and nodes $a, b \in V$, a *directed path* from a to b is a finite sequence of distinct vertices $a = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = b$ ($n \geq 1$) such that $x_i \rightarrow x_{i+1} \in E$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We allow a path with a single vertex. We call any directed path in G from a to b an (a, b) -*path*. Given subsets $A, B \subseteq V$, we say that G is an (A, B) -*network* if G contains an (a, b) -path for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. We do not require A and B to be disjoint. The nodes in $V \setminus (A \cup B)$ are called the *Steiner points* of the (A, B) -network. We call an (A, B) -network *simple* if for each Steiner point $s \in V$, $\deg^-(s) \geq 1$, $\deg^+(s) \geq 1$ and $\deg^-(s) + \deg^+(s) \geq 3$.

Let $X = (\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|)$ be a d -dimensional normed space. A *directed network* or *geometric digraph* in X is an embedding of a digraph $G = (V, E)$ into X such that each node in V is represented as a point in X and where each directed edge is drawn as a straight-line segment from its tail to its head. We allow distinct nodes in V to be represented by the same point in X . The *length* of a directed edge $x \rightarrow y$ in a directed network is its length in the norm $\|x \rightarrow y\| := \|x - y\|$. The *length* of a network G is the sum of the lengths of its directed edges and denoted $\|G\| := \sum_{x \rightarrow y \in E} \|x \rightarrow y\|$. We will sometimes work with the same network in $\mathbb{R}^d$ but with more than one norm. To avoid confusion, we will always use subscripts to distinguish between different norms. These norms are all introduced in Sect. 3.

Note that any (A, B) -network in X can be modified into a simple (A, B) -network without increasing its length. Indeed, given any Steiner point s of the (A, B) -network G , if $\deg^-(s) = 0$ or $\deg^+(s) = 0$, then s and its incident directed edges can be removed from G , and the new directed graph remains an (A, B) -network. Also, if $\deg^-(s) = \deg^+(s) = 1$, then s and its incident directed edges $x \rightarrow s$ and $s \rightarrow y$ can be replaced by a single directed edge $x \rightarrow y$ to obtain an (A, B) -network G' with $\|G'\| \leq \|G\|$. By applying these two procedures repeatedly, we obtain a simple (A, B) -network after finitely many steps.

Given finite sets A and B of points from X , a *shortest (A, B) -network* is an (A, B) -network of minimum length among all (A, B) -networks in X . From the remarks in the previous paragraph, we only have to consider simple (A, B) -networks when finding shortest ones. It is not obvious that any finite sets of points A and B in a finite-dimensional normed space X has a shortest (A, B) -network, as an (A, B) -network can have cycles and there is no immediate upper bound for the number of Steiner points in such a network. However, it has been shown that the number of Steiner points in a simple (A, B) -network is bounded by $O(|A| + |B|)$ in the Euclidean plane [2] and $O(|A|^2|B| + |A||B|^2)$ in any normed space (or indeed, any metric space) [8]. This, together with a compactness argument, shows that for any given finite subsets A and B of a finite-dimensional normed space, there always exists at least one shortest (A, B) -network.

Partial results on the local structure of Steiner points in a shortest (A, B) -network in the Euclidean plane can be found in [1, 3]. Our main result is a completion of these partial results into a full characterization.

Theorem 1 *The following is a complete list of all possibilities for the local geometric structure of a Steiner point s in a shortest (A, B) -network G in the Euclidean plane.*

Fig. 1 $\deg(s) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$

1. $\deg(s) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. The three directed edges incident to s are pairwise at 120° angles (Fig. 1).
2. $\deg(s) = (2, 2)$. One of the following two cases:
 - (a) Opposite pairs of directed edges lie on two straight lines, with directed edges alternating between incoming and outgoing (Fig. 2(a)).
 - (b) Opposite pairs of directed edges lie on two straight lines, directed edges do not alternate between incoming and outgoing, and the angles between the two incoming directed edges and between the two outgoing directed edges are $\geq 120^\circ$ (Fig. 2(b)).
3. $\deg(s) = (2, 3), (3, 2)$.
 In the case $(2, 3)$, the three outgoing directed edges are pairwise at 120° degrees, and the two incoming directed edges lie on a straight line (Fig. 3(a)). The case $(3, 2)$ is exactly opposite: the three incoming directed edges are pairwise at 120° degrees, and the two outgoing directed edges lie on a straight line (Fig. 3(b)).
4. $\deg(s) = (3, 3)$. The incoming and outgoing edges alternate, with consecutive directed edges at 60° angles (Fig. 4(a)).

Case 1 in the above theorem was well known and follows easily from classical results in elementary geometry (see Lemmas 15 and 16). Case 2(b) was known [3, Theorem 2.4] and we do not prove it in this paper, but case 2(a) is new, and is proved as Theorem 19 in Sect. 4. We present a proof that uses a different norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and that gives as a byproduct results for the ℓ_1 -plane (Corollaries 20 and 21 below).

Case 3 is new and the hardest part of the theorem. We devote Sect. 6 to its proof. It was known in the special case where the two incoming directed edges (where $\deg(s) = (2, 3)$) are orthogonal to one of the outgoing directed edges [1]. Case 4 was known [1], but we give a different proof in Sect. 5, this time using the norm

Fig. 2 $\deg(s) = (2, 2)$: two possibilities

Fig. 3 $\deg(s) = (2, 3)$ or $(3, 2)$

Fig. 4 Sources and sinks are alternating vertices of a regular hexagon. Since the directed network in **a** with $\deg(s) = (3, 3)$ is shortest, the directed network in **b** is also shortest and contains a cycle [1]

with the regular hexagon as unit ball (Theorem 23), and again with a corollary for shortest direct networks in this norm (Corollary 24).

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is that shortest directed networks can be constructed with straightedge and compass.

Corollary 2 *Given two finite sets A and B of points in the Euclidean plane, any shortest (A, B) -network can be constructed from A and B by straightedge and compass.*

Proof Consider the underlying graph G of a shortest (A, B) -network. Since we can easily construct a Steiner point of degree $(2, 2)$ from its neighbours, we may without loss of generality replace each Steiner point of degree 4 and its incident edges by two edges joining opposite neighbours. We can similarly replace the incoming (outgoing) edges of a Steiner point of degree $(2, 3)$ (degree $(3, 2)$, respectively) by an edge joining the two neighbours. What remains are Steiner points with three neighbours joined by edges that are pairwise at 120° . It is then clear that we can decompose G into a union of full Steiner trees (trees in which each non-Steiner point has degree 1) such that any two full Steiner trees intersect in only finitely many points. Each of these trees is constructible by the Melzak–Hwang algorithm [5, Section 1.2.1]. $\square$

Corollary 3 *Given finite sets A and B of points with rational coordinates in the Euclidean plane, there is an algorithm that constructs a shortest (A, B) -network.*

We leave open the following problems.

Problem 4 Find an algorithm that can feasibly compute shortest directed networks in the Euclidean plane, at least for a small number of sources and sinks.

The main difficulty in finding an algorithm lies in the enumeration of all possible digraph structures. Note that if there is only one source or one sink, then a shortest network has to be a minimum Steiner tree, which can be computed with the GeoSteiner algorithm [5, Section 1.4].

Problem 5 Find a characterization of the local structure of sources or sinks of shortest directed networks in the Euclidean plane analogous to that for Steiner points in Theorem 1.

Note that such a characterization is known in the undirected case: In a Steiner minimal tree, a given node either has degree 3 with all angles between incident edges equal to 120° , or has degree 2 with the angle between the two edges $\geq 120^\circ$, or has degree 1 [5, Theorem 1.2].

Problem 6 Find characterizations of the local structure of nodes (Steiner points, sources or sinks) of shortest directed networks in higher-dimensional Euclidean space analogous to that for Steiner points in Theorem 1.

Corollary 18 below gives some partial results on the above two problems.

Problem 7 Find characterizations of the local structure of nodes (Steiner points, sources or sinks) of shortest directed networks in other normed planes and spaces.

The undirected case of Problem 7, namely to characterize the local structure of Steiner points and terminals in Steiner minimal trees in normed planes, is known [9].

We also draw attention to the following attractive conjecture of Alfaro.

Conjecture 8 (Alfaro [1]) *In the Euclidean plane, suppose that the set A of sources and set B of sinks are the same. Then a shortest (A, B) -network does not have Steiner points and is a union of cycles.*

3 Basic Lemmas

By a *norm* defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we mean a function $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The unit ball of a norm is defined to be the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. We will compare norms, and to do this, it is useful to keep in mind that $\|x\|_a \geq \|x\|_b$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if the corresponding unit balls $B_a \subseteq B_b$.

We denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space by $\mathbb{E}^d$, that is, the d -dimensional normed space with norm

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}.$$

We will work with a variety of norms in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ apart from the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ with unit ball B_2 . The ℓ_1 -norm is defined by $\|(x, y)\|_1 = |x| + |y|$. Its unit ball B_1 is the convex hull of the four points $\pm e_1$ and $\pm e_2$, where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ form the standard unit basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, it follows that $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Alternatively,

$$\|(x, y)\|_2^2 = x^2 + y^2 \leq |x|^2 + 2|x||y| + |y|^2 = (|x| + |y|)^2 = \|(x, y)\|_1^2.$$

For any $\theta \in (0, 90^\circ)$ we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\|(x, y)\|_{1(\theta)} = |x| \cos \theta + |y| \sin \theta.$$

Lemma 9 For any $\theta \in (0^\circ, 90^\circ)$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\|(x, y)\|_2 \geq \|(x, y)\|_{1(\theta)}$.

Proof By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x, y)\|_{1(\theta)} &= \langle (|x|, |y|), (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \rangle \\ &\leq \|(|x|, |y|)\|_2 \|(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\|_2 = \|(x, y)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

The inequality in Lemma 9 is sharp with equality attained at the points $(\pm \cos \theta, \pm \sin \theta)$. This lemma can also be seen by noting that the unit ball $B_{1(\theta)}$ of $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ is a parallelogram circumscribing the Euclidean unit ball B_2 , and touching B_2 at the four points $(\pm \cos \theta, \pm \sin \theta)$. See Fig. 5 for the case $\theta = 60^\circ$, where the four points are labelled a_2, a_3, b_2, b_3 .

Fig. 5 The unit balls of $\|\cdot\|_h$, $\|\cdot\|_2$, $\|\cdot\|_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1(60^\circ)}$

Define the norm

$$\|(x, y)\|_H = \max \left\{ |x|, \frac{1}{2}|x| + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|y| \right\} = \max \left\{ |x|, \|(x, y)\|_{1(60^\circ)} \right\}.$$

Its unit ball B_H is a regular hexagon $a'_1 b'_1 a'_2 b'_2 a'_3 b'_3$ with vertices $a'_1 = -b'_2 = (-1, 1/\sqrt{3})$, $a'_2 = -b'_3 = (0, -2/\sqrt{3})$, $a'_3 = -b'_1 = (1, 1/\sqrt{3})$, circumscribing the Euclidean unit circle and touching it at $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3$ (Fig. 5), where $a_1 = -b_1 = (1, 0)$, $a_2 = -b_2 = (-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, $a_3 = -b_3 = (-1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2)$. Also define the norm

$$\|(x, y)\|_h = \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}|y|, |x| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|y| \right\}.$$

Its unit ball B_h is the regular hexagon $a_1 b_3 a_2 b_1 a_3 b_2$ inscribed in the Euclidean unit circle (Fig. 5). Note that although the normed plane $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_h)$ is not the same as $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_H)$, they are isometric.

Lemma 10 For any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\|(x, y)\|_h \geq \|(x, y)\|_2 \geq \|(x, y)\|_H$.

Proof For the first inequality, note that if $3x^2 \leq y^2$ then $x^2 + y^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}y^2$, while if $3x^2 \geq y^2$, then $x^2 + y^2 \leq (|x| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|y|)^2$. For the second inequality, note that by Lemma 9, $\|(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|_{1(60^\circ)}$, and trivially, $\|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \geq |x|$. $\square$

This lemma also follows from the fact that the unit balls $B_h \subseteq B_2 \subseteq B_H$.

Lemma 11 For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if a' and b' are the orthogonal projections of a and b onto the x -axis, then $\|a - b\|_H \geq \|a' - b'\|_H = \|a' - b'\|_2$.

Proof Note that $\|(x, y)\|_H \geq |x| = \|(x, 0)\|_H = \|(x, 0)\|_2$. $\square$

Lemma 12 Suppose that the unit ball B of the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a polygon with edges $p_i p_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$, where $p_{n+i} = -p_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $p_{2n} = p_0$. Then for any segment vw in the plane there exists a point c such that the segments vc and cw are each parallel to p_i and p_{i+1} for some $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and $\|v - w\| = \|v - c\| + \|c - w\|$.

Proof Let $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$ be such that the unit vector $\|w - v\|^{-1}(w - v)$ lies on the edge $p_i p_{i+1}$ of B . Then $w - v = \alpha p_i + \beta p_{i+1}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. It is then easy to see that $c = w - \beta p_{i+1} = v + \alpha p_i$ is the required point. $\square$

We call the union of the segments $vc \cup cw$ in the above lemma a *broken segment* that has the same length as vw . Likewise, we call the directed path consisting of the edges $v \rightarrow c$ and $c \rightarrow w$ a *broken edge*. Note that if we replace the directed edge $v \rightarrow w$ in an (A, B) -network by the broken edge $v \rightarrow c$, $c \rightarrow w$, then we still have an (A, B) -network of the same length.

We next note that only the directions of the directed edges incident to a vertex are important in characterizing the local structure of a node.

Lemma 13 Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be sources and sinks in a d -dimensional normed space X . Suppose that the (A, B) -network G with directed edge set $E = \{a_i \rightarrow o : a_i \neq o, i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{o \rightarrow b_j : b_j \neq o, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a shortest (A, B) -network. Let a'_i be any point on the ray from o to a_i and b'_j any point on the ray from o to b_j . Let $A' = \{a'_1, \dots, a'_m\}$ and $B' = \{b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}$. Then the network G' with directed edge set $E' = \{a'_i \rightarrow o : a'_i \neq o, i = 1, \dots, m\} \cup \{o \rightarrow b'_j : b'_j \neq o, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a shortest (A', B') -network.

Proof By scaling, we may assume that each $\|a'_i\| \leq \|a_i\|$ and each $\|b'_j\| \leq \|b_j\|$. If G' is not a shortest (A, B) -network, then G can be shortened by replacing the part of G that coincides with G' by a shorter network, which gives a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 14 All vertices and directed edges of a shortest (A, B) -network in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^d$ are contained in the convex hull of $A \cup B$.

Proof It is sufficient to prove that each Steiner point of the (A, B) -network G is contained in $\text{conv}(A \cup B)$. Suppose that some Steiner point $s \notin \text{conv}(A \cup B)$. Let H be a hyperplane that strictly separates s from all other nodes of G . Each directed edge e incident to s intersects H in some point p_e . If we project s , together with the part e' joining s and p_e of each edge e orthogonally onto H , then we obtain a shorter network, which is a contradiction. $\square$

The following is a well-known geometric result that goes back to Fermat and Torricelli [6, Problem 91].

Lemma 15 Let a, b, c be three points in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^d$. Then there is a unique point s that minimizes the sum of distances $\|a - s\|_2 + \|b - s\|_2 + \|c - s\|_2$ to the given points. If $\angle abc, \angle bca, \angle cab < 120^\circ$, then s is in the relative interior of $\text{conv}\{a, b, c\}$, and $\angle asb = \angle bsc = \angle csa = 120^\circ$. If, on the other hand, $\angle abc \geq 120^\circ$, say, then $s = b$.

A proof of the following lemma can be found in [7, p. 22].

Lemma 16 Let a, b, c be three points in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^d$ distinct from the origin o . Suppose that all three angles $\angle aob, \angle boc, \angle coa \geq 120^\circ$. Then a, b, c, o lie in the same 2-dimensional plane, and $\angle aob = \angle boc = \angle coa = 120^\circ$.

As a consequence of the previous two lemmas, we obtain the following properties of shortest directed networks in Euclidean space.

Lemma 17 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a shortest (A, B) -network in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^d$.

1. Let $v \in V$. Then the angle at v between any two incoming directed edges or any two outgoing directed edges at v is $\geq 120^\circ$. Consequently, $\deg^-(v), \deg^+(v) \leq 3$.
2. Let $v \in V \setminus B$ satisfy $\deg^+(v) = 1$. Then the angle at v between the outgoing directed edge and any incoming directed edge is $\geq 120^\circ$. Consequently, $\deg^-(v) \leq 2$.

3. Let $v \in V \setminus B$ satisfy $\deg^-(v) = 1$. Then the angle at v between the incoming directed edge and any outgoing directed edge is $\geq 120^\circ$. Consequently, $\deg^+(v) \leq 2$.

Proof 1. By Lemma 15, if some angle between two incoming directed edges is $< 120^\circ$, then we can replace these two directed edges by three directed edges joined to a Steiner point, oriented appropriately, to obtain a shorter (A, B) -network. It then follows from Lemma 16 that there cannot be more than three incoming edges.

2. Let the outgoing directed edge be $v \rightarrow b$ and consider any incoming directed edge $c \rightarrow v$ with $\angle bvc < 120^\circ$. Note that by minimality of G , since v is not a sink and has only one outgoing directed edge, any directed path from a source to a sink that uses $c \rightarrow v$ also has to use $v \rightarrow b$. We can then replace $v \rightarrow b$ and $c \rightarrow v$ by a Steiner point s from Lemma 15 and directed edges $c \rightarrow s$, $v \rightarrow s$ and $s \rightarrow b$, to obtain a shorter (A, B) -network.

3. Similar to 2 by changing the directions of all directed edges. $\square$

Corollary 18 [1, Theorem 3.1] *The only possible degrees of a node in a simple (A, B) -network in any Euclidean space of dimension at least 2 are $(1, 2)$, $(2, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, 3)$, $(3, 2)$ and $(3, 3)$.*

4 Steiner Points of Degree 4

Theorem 19 *Let $a_1b_1a_2b_2$ be a convex quadrilateral in the Euclidean plane with diagonals a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 intersecting in o . Let $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$ be the set of sources and $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$ the set of sinks. Then the network with directed edges $a_i \rightarrow s$ and $s \rightarrow b_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is a shortest (A, B) -network if, and only if $s = o$.*

Proof We first note that by the triangle inequality it follows that if the network with edge set $\{a_1 \rightarrow s, a_2 \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow b_1, s \rightarrow b_2\}$ is shortest, then $s = o$.

We next show the converse. By Lemma 13, it is sufficient to prove the theorem with $a_1b_1a_2b_2$ a rectangle. From now on we assume that $a_1b_1a_2b_2$ is a rectangle with half diagonals of length $\|a_1\|_2 = \|a_2\|_2 = \|b_1\|_2 = \|b_2\|_2 = 1$. We choose coordinates such that o is the origin, a_1 is in the first quadrant, and the axes bisect the four

Fig. 6 Proof of Theorem 19

angles created by the diagonals a_1a_2 and b_1b_2 at o . Let θ be the angle that oa_1 makes with the positive x -axis (see Fig. 6.)

Let N be any given (A, B) -network. We have to show that the total length $\|N\|_2 \geq 4$. Create a new (A, B) -network N' by replacing each edge $v \rightarrow w$ of N by a broken edge, that is, an edge $v \rightarrow s$ parallel to the x -axis, joined to an edge $s \rightarrow w$ parallel to the y -axis, where s is a new Steiner point of degree $(1, 1)$. Then

$$\|N\|_2 \geq \|N\|_{1(\theta)} = \|N'\|_{1(\theta)}, \quad (1)$$

by Lemmas 9 and 12. Each edge in N' is in one of 4 directions: the positive and negative x - and y -axes. Consider any (a_1, b_1) -path P in the new network N' . The total $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ -length of all the edges of P in the direction of the negative x -axis is at least $\|a_1 - b_1\|_{1(\theta)} = (2 \cos \theta) \cos \theta + 0 \sin \theta = 2 \cos^2 \theta$. Similarly, the total $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ -length of all the edges of an (a_2, b_2) -path in the direction of the positive x -axis is also at least $2 \cos^2 \theta$. In the same way, the total $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ -length of all the edges of an (a_2, b_1) -path in the direction of the positive y -axis is at least $2 \sin^2 \theta$, and of all the edges of an (a_1, b_2) -path in the direction of the negative y -axis is also at least $2 \sin^2 \theta$. Since we did not count any edge twice, we arrive at a lower bound for the $\|\cdot\|_{1(\theta)}$ -length of N' of

$$\|N'\|_{1(\theta)} \geq 2 \cos^2 \theta + 2 \cos^2 \theta + 2 \sin^2 \theta + 2 \sin^2 \theta = 4.$$

This, together with (1), finishes the proof that $\|N\|_2 \geq 4$. $\square$

As corollaries of the above Euclidean result, we obtain two results in the ℓ_1 -plane.

Corollary 20 *Consider the ℓ_1 -plane with unit ball with vertices $\pm e_1, \pm e_2$. Let $A = \{\pm e_1\}$ be the set of sources and $B = \{\pm e_2\}$ the set of sinks. Then the directed (A, B) -network with edge set $\{e_1 \rightarrow o, -e_1 \rightarrow o, o \rightarrow e_2, o \rightarrow -e_2\}$ is shortest.*

Proof Let N_0 denote the network described in the corollary, and let N be any (A, B) -network. Note that $\|N\|_2 \leq \|N\|_1$ for any network N . By Theorem 19, $\|N\|_2 \geq \|N_0\|_2$. It follows that $\|N\|_1 \geq \|N_0\|_2 = \|N_0\|_1$. $\square$

Since $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$, and because we can replace edges of shortest directed networks in the ℓ_1 -plane by broken edges without changing the length, it follows that in any shortest directed network in the ℓ_1 -plane, each vertex, including Steiner points, has indegree and outdegree at most 4 each. This can be attained.

Corollary 21 *In the ℓ_1 -plane, let $A = B = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2\}$. Then the (A, B) -network with the 8 edges $\{o \rightarrow \pm e_1, \pm e_1 \rightarrow o, o \rightarrow \pm e_2, \pm e_2 \rightarrow o\}$ is shortest.*

Proof The proof is the similar to that of Theorem 19: Consider all edges in the direction of the positive x -axis from $-e_1$ to e_1 , etc. $\square$

5 Steiner Points of Degree 6

In this section we prove part 4 of Theorem 1. Proposition 22 shows necessity of the angle condition on a Steiner point of degree (3, 3). Then Theorem 23, originally shown in [1], shows sufficiency.

Proposition 22 *Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ be two sets of three points each in the Euclidean plane such that $o \notin A \cup B$, and suppose that the network with edge set $\{a_1 \rightarrow o, a_2 \rightarrow o, a_3 \rightarrow o, o \rightarrow b_1, o \rightarrow b_2, o \rightarrow b_3\}$ is a shortest (A, B) -network. Then the incoming and outgoing edges alternate, with consecutive directed edges at 60° angles.*

Proof By Lemma 13 we may assume that each edge has unit length. By Lemma 17.1 we know that the incoming edges are at 120° angles, and the outgoing edges are at 120° angles. Denote the smallest angle between an incoming and outgoing edge by θ . Then $\theta \leq 60^\circ$. We show that $\theta = 60^\circ$ by contradiction. If $\theta < 60^\circ$, then the network can be shortened, as shown in Fig. 7. In particular, we then have that the intersections s_1 of a_2b_2 and a_3b_3 , s_2 of a_1b_1 and a_3b_3 , and s_3 of a_1b_1 and a_2b_2 are distinct and distinct from o , and it follows from the triangle inequality that the network with edge set

$$\{a_1 \rightarrow s_2, a_2 \rightarrow s_3, a_3 \rightarrow s_1, s_3 \rightarrow b_1, s_1 \rightarrow b_2, s_2 \rightarrow b_3, s_1 \rightarrow s_2, s_2 \rightarrow s_3, s_3 \rightarrow s_1\}$$

is strictly shorter than the original network. $\square$

Theorem 23 [1, Theorem 3.2] *Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ be sets of points in the Euclidean plane such that*

Fig. 7 Shortening a network with a Steiner point of degree (3, 3) if some angle is $< 60^\circ$

$$\angle a_1ob_2 = \angle b_2oa_3 = \angle a_3ob_1 = \angle b_1oa_2 = \angle a_2ob_3 = \angle b_3oa_1 = 60^\circ.$$

Then the network with edge set $\{a_1 \rightarrow o, a_2 \rightarrow o, a_3 \rightarrow o, o \rightarrow b_1, o \rightarrow b_2, o \rightarrow b_3\}$ is shortest among all directed (A, B) -networks.

Proof By Lemma 13, we may assume that the lengths $\|a_i - o\|_2$ and $\|b_i - o\|_2$ are all the same. Thus $a_1b_2a_3b_1a_2b_3$ is a regular hexagon. We may also assume without loss of generality that o is the origin and $\|a_i\|_2 = \|b_i\|_2 = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, as in Fig. 5. Denote the (A, B) -network described in the statement of the theorem by N_0 . Let N be any given (A, B) -network. We have to show that $\|N\|_2 \geq \|N_0\|_2$.

By Lemma 10, $\|N\|_2 \geq \|N\|_H$, and by Lemma 12, we can replace each edge of N by a broken edge consisting of two edges parallel to one of the main diagonals $a'_1b'_2, a'_2b'_3, a'_3b'_1$ of B_H (Fig. 5) to create a new network N' with all edges in one of the 6 directions a'_i, b'_i , and of the same length $\|N\|_H = \|N'\|_H$.

Consider any (a_1, b_1) -path P in N' . The only vectors on this path with negative x -component are those in the directions of a'_1 and b'_1 . If we project this path orthogonally onto the x -axis, the $\|\cdot\|_H$ -distance does not increase, by Lemma 11. It follows that the total $\|\cdot\|_H$ -length of the edges in the directions of a'_1 and b'_1 is at least 2. By symmetry, the total $\|\cdot\|_H$ -length of the edges on an (a_2, b_2) -path in the directions of a'_2 and b'_2 is at least 2, and the total $\|\cdot\|_H$ -length of the edges on an (a_3, b_3) -path in the directions of a'_3 and b'_3 is at least 2. Since we did not count any edge more than once, we obtain that $\|N'\|_H \geq 6$. If we put all the inequalities together, we obtain $\|N\|_2 \geq 6 = \|N_0\|_2$. $\square$

Corollary 24 Consider the normed plane $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_h)$ with unit ball the regular hexagon $B_h = a_1b_2a_3b_1a_2b_3$. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. Then the network with edge set $\{a_1 \rightarrow o, a_2 \rightarrow o, a_3 \rightarrow o, o \rightarrow b_1, o \rightarrow b_2, o \rightarrow b_3\}$ is shortest among all directed (A, B) -networks in $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_h)$.

Proof The proof is similar to that of Corollary 20. Since $B_h \subseteq B_2$, we have that $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_h$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let N_0 denote the network described in the corollary, and let N be any (A, B) -network. By Lemma 10, $\|N\|_2 \leq \|N\|_h$. By Theorem 23, $\|N\|_2 \geq \|N_0\|_2$. It follows that $\|N\|_h \geq \|N_0\|_2 = \|N_0\|_h$. $\square$

6 Steiner Points of Degree 5

Theorem 25 Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, s$ be points in the Euclidean plane. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$. Then the network with edges $a_i \rightarrow s$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $s \rightarrow b_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is a shortest (A, B) -network if and only if $\angle a_isa_j = 120^\circ$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ and $\angle b_1sb_2 = 180^\circ$.

Proof We first show that the condition is necessary for a Steiner point s of degree $(3, 2)$. By Lemmas 16 and 17, the three incoming directed edges $a_i \rightarrow s$ are pairwise at 120° angles, and the two outgoing directed edges $s \rightarrow b_i$ have to be at an angle of $\geq 120^\circ$. Therefore, $s \rightarrow b_1$ and $s \rightarrow b_2$ lie in different (closed) angles spanned by pairs of incoming edges. If $\angle b_1sb_2 \neq 180^\circ$, then, assuming without loss of generality that

Fig. 8 If b_1, s, b_2 are not collinear, the network can be shortened

the segment b_1b_2 intersects the segment a_1s at a point s' , the network can be shortened by replacing $s \rightarrow b_1$, $s \rightarrow b_2$ and $a_1 \rightarrow s$ by $s \rightarrow s'$, $a_1 \rightarrow s'$, $s' \rightarrow b_1$, $s' \rightarrow b_2$ as in Fig. 8. Therefore, $\angle b_1sb_2 = 180^\circ$.

To show the converse, suppose that a_1, a_2, a_3 satisfy $\angle a_i sa_j = 120^\circ$ for all distinct i, j , and b_1, b_2 satisfy $\angle b_1sb_2 = 180^\circ$. By Lemma 13, we may assume that the neighbours of s lie on the unit circle centred at s . By relabelling, we may also assume that b_1 lies inside $\angle a_1oa_2$ and b_2 inside $\angle a_2oa_3$. By a limit argument we may assume that $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$ are disjoint. We want to show that the network with directed edges $a_i \rightarrow s$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $s \rightarrow b_j$, $j = 1, 2$, is a shortest (A, B) -network. Let G be a simple shortest (A, B) -network. We will show that $\|G\|_2 \geq 5$. (We will only use the assumption that G is shortest in Case 2.3 in the last part of the proof).

If the underlying undirected graph of G has a cycle, then we can reorient some edges of the cycle in G so that the resulting digraph stays an (A, B) -network. Among all reorientations of G that are still (A, B) -networks, we choose one with an (a_2, b_2) -path P that minimizes the area of the region Γ bounded by P and the segments a_2a_3 and a_3b_2 (Fig. 9). Let Q be an (a_3, b_1) -path. By Lemma 14, Q crosses P . Let p be the first vertex on Q that is also on P . Let Q_1 be the subpath of Q from a_3 to

Fig. 9 Analysing the network G

p . Let P_1 be the part of P from a_2 to p . Let q be the last vertex on Q that is also on the part of P from p to b_2 . Let P_2 be the part of P from p to q , and P_3 the part of P from q to b_2 . We choose Q such that the part of Q from p to q coincides with P_2 . Let Q_3 be the part of Q from q to b_1 . Then no edge of Q_3 can be in the interior of the region Γ , otherwise Q_3 will cross P again, thus making a cycle which can be reoriented to make Γ smaller, which would contradict the minimality of Γ . It is possible for vertices of Q_3 other than q to lie on P_1 . Let R be an (a_1, b_2) -path without repeated vertices. Let r be the first point on R that is on $P_3 \cup Q_3$. We distinguish between two cases, depending on whether r is on P_3 (Fig. 10) or Q_3 (Fig. 11).

Case 1: r is on P_3 (including the case $r = q$). See Fig. 10. Then without loss of generality, the part of R from r to b_2 coincides with P . We may also assume that among all (a_1, b_2) -paths hitting $P_3 \cup Q_3$ first in P_3 , we have chosen one with r closest to q on the path P_3 . Let S be an (a_1, b_1) -path without repeated vertices, and let s be the last point on S that is also on R . Then s has to be on the part of R from a_1 to r , otherwise s would be on the part of P_3 from r to b_2 , not including r , and then S would either have to cross itself or enter the interior of Γ , which would contradict either the choice of S or the minimality of Γ . Again, because S does not cross itself, the part of S from s to b_1 cannot enter the polygon Δ bounded by the part of R from a_1 to r , the part of P_3 from r to b_2 and the segment a_1b_2 . Let t be the first point of S that is on Q_3 . We have now described all (a_i, b_j) -paths, so by minimality of G we have that G consists of the directed edges of non-zero length among $a_1 \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow t, q \rightarrow t, q \rightarrow r, s \rightarrow r, t \rightarrow b_1, r \rightarrow b_2, p \rightarrow q, a_2 \rightarrow p, a_3 \rightarrow p$. By applying the triangle inequality four times, we find that the perimeter of the quadrilateral $qrst$ is at least the sum of its diagonals $\|s - q\|_2 + \|t - r\|_2$. We now forget the directions of the directed edges, and replace the perimeter of $qrst$ by the diagonals to obtain a geometric graph that splits into a tree that connects a_1, a_2, a_3 and an edge-disjoint path that connects b_1 and b_2 . By Lemma 15 and the triangle inequality, the tree will have length bounded below by the length of the tree with edges a_1o, a_2o, a_3o , which equals 3. The path between b_1 and b_2 is bounded below by the distance $\|b_1 - b_2\|_2 = 2$. That is,

Fig. 10 Case 1

Fig. 11 Case 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G\|_2 &\geq \|a_1 - s\|_2 + (\|s - t\|_2 + \|q - t\|_2 + \|q - r\|_2 + \|s - r\|_2) \\
 &\quad + \|t - b_1\|_2 + \|r - b_2\|_2 + \|p - q\|_2 + \|a_2 - p\|_2 + \|a_3 - p\|_2 \\
 &\geq \|a_1 - s\|_2 + (\|s - q\|_2 + \|t - r\|_2) + \|t - b_1\|_2 + \|r - b_2\|_2 \\
 &\quad + \|p - q\|_2 + \|a_2 - p\|_2 + \|a_3 - p\|_2 \\
 &= (\|a_1 - s\|_2 + \|s - q\|_2 + \|p - q\|_2 + \|a_2 - p\|_2 + \|a_3 - p\|_2) \\
 &\quad + (\|t - b_1\|_2 + \|t - r\|_2 + \|r - b_2\|_2) \\
 &\geq (\|a_1 - p\|_2 + \|a_2 - p\|_2 + \|a_3 - p\|_2) + \|b_1 - b_2\|_2 \\
 &\geq (\|a_1 - o\|_2 + \|a_2 - o\|_2 + \|a_3 - o\|_2) + \|b_1 - b_2\|_2 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 15 in the last inequality and the triangle inequality in the others.

Case 2: r is on Q_3 (and $r \neq q$). See Fig. 11. Let s be the last point of R on Q_3 . Without loss of generality, the part of R from r to s coincides with Q_3 . By Lemma 14, the region Γ_0 bounded by the part of R from a_1 to s , the part of Q_3 from s to b_1 and the segment a_1b_1 , is connected. The directed edge e on R following s cannot be in Γ_0 , because R does not have repeated vertices and s is the last point of R on Q_3 . Therefore, e is in the interior of either the region Γ_2 bounded by Q_3 , the segment b_1a_2 , and the part of P from a_2 to q , or the region Γ_4 bounded by the part of R from a_1 to r , the part of Q_3 from q to r , P_3 and the segment a_1b_2 (and then $s = r$). Let t be the first point on R that is also on P . Without loss of generality, the part of R from t to b_2 coincides with P . If e is in the interior of Γ_2 , then t is either in P_1 or P_2 , or the path from s to t hits Q in the part from q to r before passing into Γ_4 .

We thus have three subcases, depending on whether t is on P_1 , P_2 , or P_3 .

Case 2.1: $t \in P_2$. See Fig. 12. By minimality of G , its edges are the ones of non-zero length among $a_1 \rightarrow r$, $r \rightarrow s$, $s \rightarrow b_1$, $s \rightarrow t$, $a_2 \rightarrow p$, $p \rightarrow t$, $t \rightarrow q$, $q \rightarrow r$, $q \rightarrow b_2$, $a_3 \rightarrow p$. Then we finish as in Case 1 by replacing the perimeter of the quadrilateral $rstq$ by its diagonals.

Case 2.2: $t \in P_3$. Then R hits the part of Q_3 from q to r before hitting P_3 at t . Let u be the point on Q_3 where R hits Q_3 first and let v be the last point of R on the part

Fig. 12 Case 2.1

of Q_3 from u to r (Fig. 13). By minimality of G , its edges are the ones of non-zero length among $a_1 \rightarrow r$, $r \rightarrow s$, $s \rightarrow b_1$, $s \rightarrow u$, $u \rightarrow v$, $v \rightarrow r$, $v \rightarrow t$, $t \rightarrow b_2$, $a_2 \rightarrow p$, $p \rightarrow q$, $q \rightarrow u$, $q \rightarrow t$, $a_3 \rightarrow p$. As before, we finish as in Case 1 by replacing the perimeter of the quadrilateral $rsuv$ by its diagonals.

Case 2.3: $t \in P_1$ (Fig. 14). This case is slightly more complicated since we now have a pentagon $pqrst$ instead of a quadrilateral. However, we show that in this case, at least one of the edges of the pentagon must be degenerate, and then we finish as before by replacing the edges of the resulting quadrilateral by its diagonals. Suppose to the contrary that all five edges of $pqrst$ have non-zero lengths. Since $\angle b_1 a_1 b_2 = 90^\circ < 120^\circ$, $\deg^+(a_1) = 1$ and $\deg^-(a_1) = 0$ by Lemmas 14 and 17. Thus $a_1 \neq r$, hence $\angle qrs = 120^\circ$ by Lemma 15. Since $\angle b_1 a_2 a_3 = 180^\circ - \angle b_1 a_1 a_3 = 120^\circ - \angle b_1 a_1 a_2 < 120^\circ$, and similarly, $\angle b_2 a_3 a_3 < 120^\circ$, we obtain in the same way that $\angle qpt = \angle pts = 120^\circ$. Finally, we either have that $b_1 \neq s$ and then also $\angle tsr = 120^\circ$, or $b_1 = s$, and then $\angle tsr = \angle tb_1 r \geq 120^\circ$ by Lemma 17. (In fact, equality has to hold since $\angle a_1 b_1 a_2 = 120^\circ$.) Similarly, $\angle rqp \geq 120^\circ$. It follows that the interior angle sum of $pqrst$ is at least $5 \cdot 120^\circ$, a contradiction.

Fig. 13 Case 2.2

Fig. 14 Case 2.3

Therefore, we have that at least one of the edges of $pqrst$ has zero length. If either $p = q$, $p = t$ or $s = t$, then we replace the perimeter of the quadrilateral by its diagonals and finish as in Case 1. If on the other hand, $r = q$ or $r = s$, then we can already split the underlying graph into two edge-disjoint connected subgraphs, one joining a_1, a_2, a_3 , and the other a path joining b_1 and b_2 . $\square$

Acknowledgements We thank Frank Morgan for providing copies of references [1, 3], and the referee for valuable comments leading to an improved paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Alfaro, M.: Existence of shortest directed networks in $\mathbf{R}^2$. Technical report, Williams College (1990)
2. Alfaro, M.: Existence of shortest directed networks in $\mathbf{R}^2$. *Pac. J. Math.* **167**, 201–214 (1995)
3. Alfaro, M., Campbell, T., Sher, J., Soto, A.: Length minimizing directed networks can meet in fours. Technical report, Williams College (1989)
4. Brazil, M., Graham, R.L., Thomas, D.A., Zachariasen, M.: On the history of the Euclidean Steiner tree problem. *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **68**, 327–354 (2014)
5. Brazil, M., Zachariasen, M.: *Optimal Interconnection Trees in the Plane. Algorithms and Combinatorics*, vol. 29. Springer, Cham (2015)
6. Dörrie, H.: *100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics*. Reprint of the 1965 Edition. Dover, New York (1982)

7. Gilbert, E.N., Pollak, H.O.: Steiner minimal trees. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **16**, 1–29 (1968)
8. Swanepoel, K.J.: On the existence of shortest directed networks. *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput.* **33**, 97–102 (2000)
9. Swanepoel, K.J.: The local Steiner problem in normed planes. *Networks* **36**, 104–113 (2000)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.