Correction to: Weighted scoring elections: is Borda best?

D. Marc Kilgour¹ · Jean-Charles Grégoire² · Angèle M. Foley¹

Published online: 26 November 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Social Choice and Welfare

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-021-01347-6>

In the original publication of the article, some of the data in Tables 5 and 7 were wrong. The correct Tables 5 and 7 should read as given below.

The original has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-021-01347-6>.

✉ D. Marc Kilgour
mkilgour@wlu.ca

Jean-Charles Grégoire
gregoire@emt.inrs.ca

Angèle M. Foley
ahamel@wlu.ca

¹ Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON N2L 3C5, Canada

² Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique, Montréal, QC H5A 1K6, Canada

Table 5 Condorcet efficiency of Borda variants

Case	$I3$	$I2$	$L3$	I	$L2$	Cv	$I/2$	L	$I/3$	$L/2$	$L/3$
3-IAC	88.57	89.49	90.25	92.27	92.27	92.53	91.48	91.48	85.90	81.83	76.47
3-IC	78.51	79.06	80.90	85.81	85.81	88.76	91.12	91.12	87.69	85.57	82.46
3-Spa	62.50	66.03	71.20	80.86	80.86	87.86	83.44	83.44	66.84	59.66	53.66
4-IAC	75.43	77.64	83.06	84.27	86.55	88.21	88.02	87.80	84.00	78.20	71.73
4-IC	67.32	70.10	76.81	78.78	82.10	85.59	86.31	87.01	84.38	80.84	76.33
4-Spa	46.33	50.53	62.97	68.66	76.75	87.37	88.04	87.19	80.03	74.99	73.68
5-IAC	63.08	66.30	77.13	76.23	82.54	85.04	84.27	85.41	83.05	77.88	70.76
5-IC	60.12	63.79	74.97	74.48	80.93	84.49	84.03	86.31	83.68	79.63	73.63
5-Spa	35.47	39.63	56.75	58.16	72.57	82.36	82.35	76.84	80.09	58.35	54.32
6-IAC	53.70	57.81	72.40	69.76	78.65	82.74	81.33	84.10	81.52	76.28	68.61
6-IC	53.84	57.70	73.26	69.77	79.56	83.01	81.43	84.52	81.53	77.29	70.27
6-Spa	29.22	32.62	52.03	49.70	70.73	82.89	75.99	80.09	80.63	66.17	63.73

Table 7 Standard Borda versus Cervone

Case	F	Standard Borda (L)				Cervone (Cv)			
		G	CE	$E[D]$	$PMax$	G	CE	$E[D]$	$PMax$
3-IAC	94.05	86.04	91.48	0.1603	91.98	87.00	92.53	0.142	92.98
3-IC	91.80	83.65	91.12	0.1631	91.85	81.06	88.76	0.206	89.74
3-Spa	100	83.44	83.44	0.3312	83.44	87.86	87.86	0.251	87.86
4-IAC	83.77	73.55	87.80	0.2261	89.17	73.86	88.21	0.222	89.50
4-IC	82.69	71.95	87.01	0.2402	88.48	70.72	85.59	0.276	87.11
4-Spa	100	87.19	87.19	0.2562	87.19	87.37	87.37	0.294	87.37
5-IAC	76.68	65.49	85.41	0.2952	86.49	65.10	85.04	0.312	85.91
5-IC	75.22	64.92	86.31	0.2809	87.15	63.29	84.49	0.326	85.49
5-Spa	100	76.84	76.84	0.5189	76.84	82.36	82.36	0.435	82.36
6-IAC	67.69	56.93	84.10	0.3522	84.63	55.99	82.74	0.391	83.33
6-IC	68.43	57.84	84.53	0.3413	85.18	56.81	83.01	0.389	83.60
6-Spa	100	80.09	80.10	0.4543	80.10	82.89	82.89	0.437	82.89

F Probability C^* exists, G (Unconditional) Probability Winner is C^* . All probabilities in %

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.