Correction to: Diagnostic performance of fusion (US/MRI guided) prostate biopsy: propensity score matched comparison of elastic versus rigid fusion system

Mariaconsiglia Ferriero¹ · Gabriele Tuderti · Gian Luca Muto¹ · Cristian Fiori² · Alfredo Maria Bove¹ · Riccardo Mastroianni¹ · Umberto Anceschi¹ · Leonardo Misuraca¹ · Aldo Brassetti¹ · Sabrina De Cillis² · Enrico Checcucci² · Salvatore Guaglianone¹ · Michele Gallucci¹ · Francesco Porpiglia² · Giuseppe Simone¹

Published online: 10 February 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Correction to: World Journal of Urology

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-021-03921-0>

Correction text:

The authors realized that there is a typographic mistake in Table 2 after the original publication of the article. The correct Table 2 is given below:

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-021-03921-0>.

✉ Mariaconsiglia Ferriero
marilia.ferriero@gmail.com

¹ Department of Urology, “Regina Elena” National Cancer Institute, Via Elio Chianesi 53, 00144 Rome, RM, Italy

² San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin, Urology, Orbassano, Italy

Table 2 Positive predictive value of PCa detection of elastic versus rigid fusion system, stratified for PI-RADS Score in a per target analysis

Per target PPV (%)	PI-RADS score	Elastic System <i>N</i> (%)	Rigid System <i>N</i> (%)	<i>p</i>
Any GS	Any	58/83 (69.8)	47/83 (56.6)	0.077
	3	5/18 (27.8)	7/19 (36.8)	0.728
	4	40/50 (80)	25/43 (58.1)	0.025
	5	13/15 (86.7)	15/21 (71.4)	0.424
GS>6	Any	42/83 (50.6)	42/83 (50.6)	1
	3	2/18 (11.1)	5/19 (26.3)	0.405
	4	29/50 (58)	22/43 (51.1)	0.537
	5	11/15 (73.3)	15/21 (71.4)	0.900

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.