

Erratum

Growth mechanisms for single-wall carbon nanotubes in a laser ablation process

C.D. Scott¹, S. Arepalli², P. Nikolaev², R.E. Smalley³

¹EM2, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058, USA

²GB Tech/Lockheed Martin, P.O. Box 58561, Houston, TX 77058, USA

³Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA

Appl. Phys. A **72**, 573–580 (2001)

Received: 18 July 2001/Accepted: 15 August 2001/Published online: 30 October 2001 – © Springer-Verlag 2001

The original paper [1] was published with an error in the estimate of growth rate of carbon nanotubes in the laser ablation process. The original paper indicated that for an estimated plume density of carbon of 10^{18} cm^{-3} , nanotubes grow at a rate of $100 \mu\text{m}/\mu\text{s}$. This number is in error. The actual number should be $0.005 \mu\text{m}/\mu\text{s}$, assuming a growth temperature of 1473 K. Therefore, to grow a nanotube to a length of a few microns it would take a few milliseconds instead of a few hundredths of a microsecond. This implies that growth must occur at lower temperatures, near the oven temperature, rather than in the hot ($\sim 4000 \text{ K}$) laser plume. As shown in the original paper, the temperatures measured by emission spectroscopy dropped to about 2000 K within about $50 \mu\text{s}$. Farther from the target, in the mixed region of the laser ablation tube, the temperature drops to the oven temperature within about $5 \mu\text{s}$ of the laser pulse. In that region the lasers may ablate suspended carbon clusters to form feedstock for further nanotube growth.

A corroboration of this estimate can be obtained from atomic force microscope measurements [2] of the length of

nanotubes and the time available to reach a witness plate (growth time). Single SWNTs were observed to be at least $25 \mu\text{m}$ long. The time of about 1 ms to reach the witness plate was estimated from the plume expansion speed. A lower estimate of SWNT growth rate is $25 \mu\text{m}/1 \text{ ms} = 0.025 \mu\text{m}/\mu\text{s}$. This estimate is within a factor of 5 of the kinetic estimate. Thus, they are in fairly reasonable agreement. The average speed of growth in the original paper was $0.15 \mu\text{m}/\mu\text{s}$, because of the assumption that the plume expands with a constant rate of $\sim 100 \text{ m/s}$. That is only true for the first few microseconds, but the plume slows down quickly, as can be seen in ICCD images.

References

1. C.D. Scott, S. Arepalli, P. Nikolaev, R.E. Smalley: Appl. Phys. A **72**, 573 (2001)
2. S. Arepalli, P. Nikolaev, W. Holmes, B. Files: Appl. Phys. Lett. **78**, 1610 (2001)