



Correction to: Prognostic value of positron emission tomography in resected stage IA non-small cell lung cancer

Hsiu-Ping Chou¹ · Kuan-Hsun Lin¹ · Hsu-Kai Huang¹ · Li-Fan Lin² · Ying-Yi Chen¹ · Ti-Hui Wu¹ · Shih-Chun Lee¹ · Hung Chang¹ · Tsai-Wang Huang¹

Published online: 13 July 2021
© European Society of Radiology 2021

Correction to: European Radiology

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-021-07801-4>

The original version of this article, published on 25 March 2021, unfortunately contained a mistake. The following correction has therefore been made in the original: Several values in Table 3 were incorrect; the corrected table is given below. The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-021-07801-4>

✉ Tsai-Wang Huang
chi-wang@yahoo.com.tw

¹ Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, 325, Section 2, Cheng-Kung Road, Taipei 114, Taiwan

² Department of Nuclear Medicine, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

Table 3 Multivariable Cox regression model in patients with stage IA3 lung non-small cell lung cancer with or without relapse

Factor	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
GGO ratio	0.001 (0.001–0.178)	0.014
SUVmax of tumor (cat.)		
≤ 4	1	0.001
> 4	8.986 (2.356–34.273)	
EGFR status		
Mutation	1	
Wild type	0.775 (0.216–2.774)	0.695
No test	0.445 (0.133–1.485)	0.188
Operation		
Sublobar resection	1	0.360
Anatomic resection	0.236 (0.066–1.954)	
Differentiation		
Well	1	
Moderate	1.894 (0.547–6.553)	0.313
Poor	1.168 (0.294–4.639)	0.825
Adenocarcinoma		
Adenocarcinoma	1	0.515
Others	0.513 (0.069–3.831)	
Gender (female)	2.995 (0.781–11.481)	0.110
CEA	0.913 (0.783–1.064)	0.242
Smoking		
No	1	
Yes	2.484 (0.674–9.156)	0.172
Ex-smoker	1.126 (0.184–6.871)	0.898

The multivariable Cox-regression model shows that the ground-glass opacity ratio and standardized uptake value were predictors of the relapse rate. Although a high hazard ratio was observed for EGFR mutation type, it was not significant.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor, GGO = ground-glass opacity, HR = hazard ratio, SUVmax = maximum standardized uptake value