#### ULTRASOUND



# Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography in predicting contralateral inguinal hernia in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis

K. M. A. Dreuning<sup>1</sup> · C. E. M. ten Broeke<sup>1</sup> · J. W. R. Twisk<sup>2</sup> · S. G. F. Robben<sup>3</sup> · R. R. van Rijn<sup>4</sup> · J. I. M. L. Verbeke<sup>5</sup> · L. W. E. van Heurn<sup>1</sup> · J. P. M. Derikx<sup>1</sup>

Received: 21 April 2018 / Revised: 4 June 2018 / Accepted: 21 June 2018 / Published online: 27 July 2018  $\odot$  The Author(s) 2018

#### Abstract

**Objectives** The incidence of children developing metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia (MCIH) is 7–15%. Contralateral groin exploration during unilateral hernia repair can prevent MCIH development and subsequent second surgery and anaesthesia. Preoperative ultrasonography is a less invasive strategy and potentially able to detect contralateral patent processus vaginalis (CPPV) prior to MCIH development.

**Methods** We queried MEDLINE, Embase and Cochrane library to identify studies regarding children aged < 18 years diagnosed with unilateral inguinal hernia without clinical signs of contralateral hernia, who underwent preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin. We assessed heterogeneity and used a random-effects model to obtain pooled estimates of sensitivity, specificity and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC).

**Results** Fourteen studies (2120 patients) were included, seven (1013 patients) in the meta-analysis. In studies using surgical exploration as reference test (n = 4, 494 patients), pooled sensitivity and specificity were 93% and 88% respectively. In studies using contralateral exploration as reference test following positive and clinical follow-up after negative ultrasonographic test results (n = 3, 519 patients), pooled sensitivity was 86% and specificity 98%. The AUC (0.984) shows high diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography for detecting CPPV, although diagnostic ultrasonographic criteria largely differ and large heterogeneity exists. Reported inguinal canal diameters in children with CPPV were 2.70  $\pm$  1.17 mm, 6.8  $\pm$  1.3 mm and 9.0  $\pm$  1.9 mm.

**Conclusion** Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography to detect CPPV seems promising, though may result in an overestimation of MCIH prevalence, since CPPV does not invariably lead to MCIH. Unequivocal ultrasonographic criteria are mandatory for proper diagnosis of CPPV and subsequent prediction of MCIH.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-018-5625-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

K. M. A. Dreuning k.m.dreuning@amc.uva.nl

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Paediatric Surgery, Paediatric Surgical Center of Amsterdam, Emma Children's Hospital AMC & VU University Medical Center, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Methodology and Applied Biostatistics, and the Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, VU University Medical Center, De Boelelaan 1089a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Radiology, Maastricht University Medical Center, P. Debyelaan 25, 6229 HX Maastricht, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Radiology, Academic Medical Center/Emma Children's Hospital, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, VU University Medical Center, De Boelelaan 1117, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### **Key Points**

- Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography for detection of CPPV in children with unilateral inguinal hernia is high.
- Preoperative ultrasonographic evaluation of the contralateral groin assumedly results in an overestimation of MCIH prevalence.
- Unequivocal ultrasonographic criteria are mandatory for proper diagnosis of CPPV and risk factor identification is needed to predict whether CPPV develops into clinically apparent MCIH.

Keywords Hernia, inguinal · Ultrasonography · Child

#### Abbreviations

CPPV	Contralateral patent processus vaginalis
MCIH	Metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia
PRISMA	Preferred Reported Items for Systematic
	Reviews and Meta-Analysis statement
PROSPERO	International Prospective Register of
	Systematic Reviews
PV	Processus vaginalis
WLIR	Width of low echoic region of the internal ring

## Introduction

Inguinal hernia is the most common surgical condition in childhood and presents in approximately 0.8-5% of term children and more than 30% in premature born children [1, 2]. At the time of surgery, the hernia is unilateral in 80% of children. However, 7–15% of children develop a hernia on the opposite side after unilateral hernia repair, a metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia (MCIH), with the highest risk in children under the age of 6 months or with initial left-sided hernia [3, 4]. Medical history and physical examination of the groin are not adequate to detect a hidden (or asymptomatic) patent processus vaginalis (PV) [5-7], which is likely to develop into an MCIH. MCIH necessitates a second operation and anaesthesia. The repeated use of anaesthetics possibly affect the development of children's brains [8]. Preventive strategies have been proposed since the 1950s and exploration of the contralateral groin during unilateral hernia repair is frequently used to detect contralateral patent processus vaginalis (CPPV). However, contralateral exploration carries risks of operative complications (e.g. wound infection, haematoma or testicular atrophy) and is unnecessary if the processus vaginalis is closed [9, 10]. Therefore, management of the contralateral groin remains controversial.

If contralateral hernia development could be predicted prior to symptomatic hernia repair, individual recommendations regarding contralateral exploration can be made more consciously. Accurate preoperative evaluation of the contralateral inguinal canal could prevent unnecessary contralateral exploration and might reduce the incidence of children developing MCIH and obviating the need for a second operation and anaesthesia. Previous studies show that preoperative ultrasonography has high accuracy for detecting both symptomatic and asymptomatic inguinal hernias in children, as the sensitivity for diagnosing CPPV is 98% and 97%, respectively [6, 11, 12]. False negative rates of ultrasonography-based detection of CPPV are difficult to determine since most studies do not evaluate the contralateral inguinal canal intra- or postoperatively [13].

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to assess the diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin to detect CPPV and its potential value to predict development of MCIH in children with unilateral inguinal hernia.

### Methods

### Protocol

A systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reported Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement on all literature regarding preoperative ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool for contralateral inguinal hernia in children. The protocol was registered (PROSPERO 2017, CRD42017058269).

#### Literature search

We queried EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed, the Cochrane library databases and reference lists of eligible articles for the selection of studies. The search strategy was conducted in December 2016 (Appendix 1) and updated in November 2017.

### **Eligibility criteria**

Articles were considered eligible irrespective of language or publication date and status. Inclusion criteria were (a) children under 18 years old diagnosed with unilateral inguinal hernia who had (b) no clinical signs of contralateral hernia and (c) underwent preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin prior to surgical hernia repair. Patients diagnosed with (a) bilateral inguinal hernia or (b) inguinal hernia associated with non-descendent testis were excluded from data analysis.

# Study selection and methodological quality assessment

Two reviewers independently performed the screening and selection of studies based on title and abstract, and full text for final selection. The full text of articles was retrieved by contacting the authors if it was not available via our library and attempts were made to translate articles that were not reported in English. The most complete study was included if the same data was repeatedly reported. Modified Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool was used to independently assess the methodological quality of the included studies. Inconsistencies were solved by second joint review of the literature or by consulting a third independent review author.

#### Data extraction and analysis

Appendix 2 comprises data that was systematically extracted from the studies included in this systematic review by the two reviewers and recorded in a data collection form. Missing data were calculated if possible and unpublished data or further details were retrieved by contacting study author(s).

Surgery, i.e. contralateral exploration, and clinical followup were used as the reference standard following positive index test results. Negative index test results were followed by surgery, clinical follow-up or no reference test. Studies that performed contralateral exploration in all patients, regardless of the index test results, are referred to as 'complete cases'. Studies that solely performed contralateral exploration following positive index test results are referred to as 'incomplete cases'.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager (version 5.3.5) and Meta-DiSc (version 1.4). The available data were inserted in 2 × 2 tables to compute sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) for each study. A random-effects model was used to obtain pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity including their 95% confidence intervals. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the  $\chi^2$  and  $I^2$  statistic. The summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve was used to estimate the area under the curve (AUC) that represented overall diagnostic performance of the index test.

#### Results

#### Literature search

Literature search and manual reference analysis yielded 1346 potentially eligible studies. Duplicates were removed and 916 records were excluded after the initial screening. Nine more records were excluded after full text screening and 14 studies were included in this review (Fig. 1).

#### **Study characteristics**

The 14 studies were published between 1992 and 2017. They included 2120 children diagnosed with unilateral inguinal hernia undergoing preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin. Study and index test characteristics are described in Tables 1 and 2. If preoperative ultrasonography yielded positive test results, contralateral exploration (n = 12 studies) and clinical follow-up (n = 2 studies) were performed, negative index test results were followed by contralateral exploration (n = 4 studies), clinical follow-up (n = 7 studies) or no other reference test (n = 3 studies). Generally, the index test (preoperative ultrasonography) was considered positive if there was flow of abdominal fluid or structures through an open PV or the diameter of the PV was more than 4 mm; it was considered negative if the PV was closed or the diameter was less than 4 mm. The flow chart in Appendix 3 shows how diagnostic test accuracy results were determined. Seven studies were included in the meta-analysis [14-20].

#### Methodological quality

Quality assessment using the QUADAS-2 tool indicated a moderate to high risk of bias concerning the selection of patients, because among others patient selection was not specified [18] and exclusions were made based on sex [5, 16, 21] or age [15, 17, 22]. The risk of bias regarding the flow and timing domain was also considered high as most studies limited the use of contralateral exploration as the reference standard to a preset part of their study population [5, 7, 11, 18–20, 22, 23]. Assessment of bias regarding the index test domain and overall applicability concerns were considered low (Fig. 2a, b).

#### Studies excluded from the meta-analysis

Seven studies were excluded from the meta-analysis. In five studies, diagnostic accuracy test results could not be determined because no reference test was performed [5, 11, 22] or the diagnostic or reference test results could not be extracted, calculated or obtained from the authors [7, 23] (Table 3). Two studies were excluded because clinical follow-up was used as reference standard in all children, irrespective of the index test results. Their diagnostic accuracy test results were

Fig. 1 PRISMA flow chart of the study selection



therefore related to the occurrence of MCIH in children during clinical follow-up and could not be used to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography to detect CPPV [13, 21].

# Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography in complete cases

A total of 494 children from four different studies were included as complete cases (Table 4). The diagnostic sensitivity ranged from 78% (at a specificity of 20%) to 100% (at a specificity of 92%) [14–17]. The pooled sensitivity was 93% (95% CI 88–96%;  $\chi^2 = 11.97$ , p < 0.01;  $I^2 = 74.9\%$ ) and the pooled specificity was 88% (95% CI 84–92%;  $\chi^2=14.04$ , p < 0.01;  $I^2 = 78.6\%$ ) (Fig. 3a). Significant heterogeneity exists in the estimated sensitivity ( $I^2 = 74.9\%$ , p < 0.01) and specificity ( $I^2 = 78.6\%$ , p < 0.01) between studies. The AUC of the SROC was 0.984 (Fig. 4a).

# Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography in incomplete cases

Three studies with 519 children were included in this part of the meta-analysis (Table 5). The follow-up period ranged from

12 to 48 months. The diagnostic sensitivity ranged from 75% (at a specificity of 97%) to 100% (at a specificity of almost 99%) [13, 18–20]. The pooled sensitivity was 86% (95% CI 78–92%;  $\chi^2 = 17.07$ , p < 0.01;  $I^2 = 88.3\%$ ) and the pooled specificity was 98% (95% CI 96–99%;  $\chi^2 = 1.15$ , p < 0.01;  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ ) (Fig. 3b). The AUC of the SROC was 0.996 (Fig. 4b).

# Diameter of the width of low echoic region of the internal ring (WLIR) [19]

Three studies including 424 children contributed data regarding the WLIR of the contralateral inguinal ring. In children without patency of the contralateral PV the mean diameter of the contralateral ring was  $1.34 \pm 1.26$  mm,  $3.5 \pm 0.4$  mm [13, 20] or less than 6.0 mm in boys in particular [19]. The mean WLIR in children diagnosed as having a CPPV was  $6.8 \pm 1.3$  mm and in children diagnosed as having a contralateral hernia the mean WLIR was  $2.70 \pm 1.17$  mm or  $9.0 \pm 1.9$  mm [13, 20].

### Discussion

In this review, we aimed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin to

Country	Study design	Index test	Reference test		Patients	Male	Female	Age (mean/median)	Follow-up
			Positive ITR	Negative ITR	"	( <i>o</i> /) <i>u</i>	(oz.) n		(SIMIOIII)
Taiwan	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	None	203	203 (100)	I	3 days–13 years (mean	1
Taiwan	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	Surgical exploration	179	Unclear	Unclear	3 days–14 years (mean	I
srael	Cohort study	Ultrasound	exprotation Surgical	Clinical follow-up	200	Unclear	Unclear	6 months–13 years	36–48
<b>3angladesh</b>	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	None	30	17 (56.7)	13 (43.3)	2.5–14 years	I
lapan	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	None	348	168 (48.3)	180 (51.7)	Unclear	I
lapan	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Clinical follow-up	Clinical follow-up	105	47 (44.8)	58 (55.2)	5 days–13 years	36
Turkey	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	Surgical exploration	46	41 (89.1)	5 (10.9)	(1116-000 + / 111011015) 35 days-2 years (mean	I
<b>Turkey</b>	Cohort study	Ultrasound	exploration Clinical follow-up	Clinical follow-up	29	29 (100)	Ι	50 days-4 years (mean 20 4 monthe)	11
Turkey	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	Clinical follow-up	121	Unclear	Unclear	20.4 monues) 36 day–15 years	6-12
Scotland	Cohort study	Ultrasound	exprotation Surgical	Surgical	23	23 (100)	I	(Illean 4.7 years) 0-325 days (mean 86 days)	I
Saudi Arabia	Cohort study	Ultrasound	Surgical	Exproration Surgical	246	207 (84.1)	39 (15.9)	0-1 year (median 45	I
lapan	Cohort study	Ultrasound	exprotation Surgical	Exproration Clinical follow-up	271	163 (60.1)	108 (39.9)	weeks) 0–14 years (median 2	24
lapan	Case-control	Ultrasound	Surgical	Clinical follow-up	32	21 (65.6)	11 (34.4)	<pre>ycars</pre>	≥ 12
Pakistan	cross-sectional study	Ultrasound	exploration Surgical exploration	Clinical follow-up	287	264 (92.0)	23 (8.0)	0.5 - 132 months	≤ 24
	Taiwan Taiwan Iaiwan Iapan Turkey Turkey Turkey Scotland Saudi Arabia Iapan akistan	TaiwarnCohort studyTaiwarnCohort studyIsraelCohort studyBangladeshCohort studyBangladeshCohort studyIapanCohort studyIapanCohort studyInrkeyCohort studyInrkeyCohort studySoulandCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySoulandCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort studyIapanCohort studySaudi ArabiaCohort study<	TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundTaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundIsraelCohort studyUltrasoundBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundIapanCohort studyUltrasoundIapanCohort studyUltrasoundInrkeyCohort studyUltrasoundInrkeyCohort studyUltrasoundInrkeyCohort studyUltrasoundInrkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSoudi ArabiaCohort studyUltrasoundSoudi ArabiaCohort studyUltrasoundInrkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSudi ArabiaCohort studyUltrasoundIapanCohort studyUltrasoun	Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Faiwan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Impan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Inrkey         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Inrkey         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Inrkey         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical           Surdiand         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical <td>Taiwan         Contextudy         Ultrasound         Surgical         Reguverno           Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Rarel         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical</td> <td>Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Farael         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         179           Israel         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         30           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         348           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         30           Iapan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         348           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         105           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         23           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         246           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical</td> <td>TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203 (100)TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203 (100)IsraelCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalSurgical exploration179UnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone200UnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3017 (56.7)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3416 (43.8)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3017 (56.7)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3447 (44.8)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2420 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2420 (84.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasound</td> <td>TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone2032031000<math>-</math>TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203UnclearUnclearIsnelCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalSurgicalSurgical schlow-up200UnclearUnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone301756.713&lt;(433)</td> BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3017<(56.7)	Taiwan         Contextudy         Ultrasound         Surgical         Reguverno           Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Rarel         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical exploration           Izreko         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical exploration         Surgical	Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Taiwan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Farael         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         179           Israel         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         203           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         30           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         348           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         30           Iapan         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         None         348           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         105           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         23           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         246           Inkey         Cohort study         Ultasound         Surgical	TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203 (100)TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203 (100)IsraelCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalSurgical exploration179UnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone200UnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3017 (56.7)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3416 (43.8)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3017 (56.7)BangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone3447 (44.8)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration4641 (89.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2320 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2420 (100)InkeyCohort studyUltrasoundSurgical exploration2420 (84.1)InkeyCohort studyUltrasound	TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone2032031000 $-$ TaiwanCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone203203UnclearUnclearIsnelCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalSurgicalSurgical schlow-up200UnclearUnclearBangladeshCohort studyUltrasoundSurgicalNone301756.713<(433)	Invanie         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical surgical         None         203 (100)         -         3 day-13 years (mean 326 months)           Inivanie         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None         203 (100)         -         3 day-13 years (mean 326 months)           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical exploration         179         Unclear         3 day-14 years 326 months)           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None         30         17 (56.7)         13 (43.3)         2.5-14 years 43.1 months)           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None         34         17 (56.7)         13 (43.3)         2.5-14 years 43.1 months)           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None         34         17 (56.7)         13 (43.2)         2.5-14 years 43.1 months)           Bangladesh         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         None         34         14 (48.1)         5 (49.2-15) years (mean 43.1 months)           Intexp         Cohort study         Ultrasound         Surgical         Surgical (60/0v-up 5 (20/0)         2.5 (14.9)         2.5 (14.9)

870

ITR index test results

Table 2 Index test	characteristics and	d diagnostic test criteria of the	included studies ( $n = 14$	(	
Author, year	Index test	Transducer	Examination	Diagnostic criteria for contralateral PPV or inguinal hernia	WLIR <sup>a</sup> of contralateral hernia
Chen, 1998	Ultrasound	7.0 MHz linear array transducer	At rest and straining	<ul> <li>(A) Presence of bowel loops or omentum in inguinal canal</li> <li>(B) Presence of fluid in processus vaginalis</li> <li>(C) No bowel loops, omentum or fluid in processus vaginalis, but widening of the cord at the level of internal ring. WLIR &gt;</li> </ul>	PPV > 4 mm
Chou, 1996	Ultrasound	7.0 MHz linear array transducer	At rest and straining	4 mm is considered an occur nerma (A) Diameter of internal inguinal ring > 4 mm (B) Presence of fluid in the processus vaginalis (C) Presence of bowel loops or other peritoneal structures	PPV > 4 mm
Erez, 1996	Ultrasound	7.0 MHz linear array transducer	At rest, no straining	In the inguitation can at The presence of fluid, characterised by a homogeneous and more hypoechoic appearance, wider than a PPV	PPV > 3 mm (< 1 year) $PPV > 4 mm (1-2 years)$
Hasanuzzaman, 2011	Ultrasound	7.5 MHz linear array transducer	At rest and straining	CPPV that was not detectable at rest could be visualised as a hydrocele owing to the inflow or peritoneal fluid into a	rrv > 2 mm (> 2 years) Unclear
Hata, 2004	Ultrasound	7.5 MHz linear array transducer	At rest and straining	processus vagmants on straming (A) Hydrocele, owing to inflow of physiologic ascites into the processus vaginalis, detectable while straining (B) Expanded processus vaginalis owing to the protrusion of a viscus, detectable while straining	Unclear
Kaneda, 2015	Ultrasound	10.0 MHz linear array	At rest, no straining	(C) Hydrocele > 10 mm in longitudinal length Major axis of the contralateral PPV in millimetres	Unclear
Kazez, 1998	Ultrasound	transcucer 5.0 MHz linear array	Unclear	(A) PPV: 2–3 mm	PPV > 4  mm
Kazez, 2001	Ultrasound	ransqueer 7.5 MHz linear array	Unclear	(b) remut: > 4 mm (A) PPV: 2-4 mm	PPV > 4  mm
Kervancioglu, 2000	Ultrasound	transducer 7.5 MHz linear array transducer	At rest, no straining	(b) Remia $> 4$ mm (A) Presence of fluid, bowel loops or omentum in the inguinal canal (B) PPV width $\ge 4$ mm	PPV > 4 mm
Lawrenz, 1994	Ultrasound	7.0 MHz linear array	At rest and straining	(C) Extension of bowel loops or omentum into the scrotum (A) PPV	Unclear
Shehata, 2013	Ultrasound	transducer 10.0 MHz linear array transducer	At rest and straining	<ul> <li>(A) PPV with intra-abdominal organ observed in inguinal canal</li> <li>(B) PPV visit seen as a cyst at the internal ring of the inguinal canal</li> <li>(C) PPV is widened with increases in abdominal pressure and length</li> </ul>	Unclear
Toki, 2003	Ultrasound	10.0 MHz annular array transducer	At rest and straining	of the PPV > 20 mm (A) PPV with intra-abdominal organ observed in inguinal canal (B) PPV is cyst-like, exceeding 20 mm along the major axis at the internal ring of the inguinal canal (C) PPV is widened with abdominal pressure increment and length of the PPV $\geq 20$ mm	Unclear
Uno, 1992	Ultrasound	3.75 MHz convex array	Unclear	(D) PPV contains moving fluid without PPV widening Unclear	Boys $\geq 7$ mm
Zaidi, 2017	Ultrasound	transducer 7.5–11.0 MHz linear array transducer	Unclear	Inguinal hemia/PPV if maximum observed diameter of the inguinal canal at the internal ring $\ge 4.5$ mm	Outs $\geq 4$ mm PPV $\geq 4.5$ mm
<i>PPV</i> patent processus <sup>a</sup> WLIR of contralater	s vaginalis, <i>WLIR</i> al side as criteria	width of low echoic region o for diagnosis of contralateral	f the internal ring PPV or inguinal hernia		

🙆 Springer

Fig. 2 Methodological quality assessment of the included studies using the QUADAS-2 tool. **a** Risk of bias and applicability concerns summary about each domain are shown for each included study; **b** risk of bias and applicability concerns about each domain presented as percentages across included studies. Red circle with minus sign, high risk; yellow circle with question mark, unclear risk; green circle with plus sign, low risk



detect CPPV and its potential value to predict MCIH in children with unilateral inguinal hernia.

Seven studies were included in this meta-analysis. They were subdivided into two groups: 'complete' and

**Table 3**Diagnostic accuracy test results from studies that were excluded from the meta-analysis (n = 7)

Author, year	Patients ( <i>n</i> )	TP	FP	FN	TN	Follow-up (months)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	US CPPV/MCIH (%)	Clinical CPPV/ MCIH (%)
Chen, 1998	203	62	3	_	_	_	_	_	95.4	_	32.0	_
Hasanuzzaman, 2011	30	11	1	—	_	-	-	-	91.7	—	40.0	-
Hata, 2004	348	74	4	-	_	-	-	-	94.9	-	22.4	-
Kaneda, 2015 <sup>a</sup>	105	9	27	2	67	36	81.8	71.3	25	97.1	34.3	10.5
Kazez, 2001 <sup>a</sup>	29	0	11	0	18	11	-	62	_	100	37.9	0
Kervancioglu, 2000	121	10	0	—	_	6–12	-	-	100	—	8.3	-
Toki, 2003	271	-	-	4	-	24	_	_	_	-	_	_

*TP* true positive, *FP* false positive, *FN* false negative, *TN* true negative, *PPV* positive predictive value, *NPV* negative predictive value, *US* ultrasound, *CPPV* contralateral patent processus vaginalis, *MCIH* metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia

<sup>a</sup> Clinical findings during follow-up were used to calculate the amount of true positives (TP), false positives (FP), false negatives (FN) and true negatives (TN)

'incomplete' cases. Studies referred to as complete cases reported both the positive and negative index test results of contralateral exploration and showed high pooled sensitivity (93%) and moderate pooled specificity (88%). Incomplete cases reported only the positive index test results of contralateral exploration and the negative index test results of the findings of clinical followup, and show lower pooled sensitivity (86%) though higher pooled specificity (98%). AUC implies that diagnostic performance of preoperative ultrasonography is very good at detecting CPPV in complete (0.984) and incomplete cases (0.996). These results indicate that preoperative ultrasonography is less likely to result in a high number of false negatives in the complete cases. In the incomplete cases, the false positive rate (FPR) is low (2.1%) compared to the FPR of complete cases (11.5%). Negative preoperative ultrasonographic test results yield positive perioperative findings during contralateral exploration more often compared to the amount of clinically apparent hernias that actually develop

postoperatively. These results suggest that patency of the contralateral PV is much more often detected during contralateral exploration than an MCIH actually develops during follow-up.

It is reported that at first presentation, 40% of the children diagnosed with a unilateral inguinal hernia also have a patent PV on the contralateral side [24–26]. However, the process of obliteration of a patent PV continues in the first few months of life, causing a successive decrease in the PV patency incidence and thus the incidence of positive surgical findings with advancing age. A patent PV during contralateral exploration will therefore not necessarily develop into a clinically relevant inguinal hernia: the estimated childhood risk of developing a clinically apparent inguinal hernia in case of the presence of a patent PV is only between 25% and 50% [24]; however, it considerably increases the risk for MCIH development, and risk factors to predict whether a CPPV actually develops into an MCIH or not need to be identified. This corresponds to the results of Kaneda et al., who related both the positive and negative ultrasonographic test results to clinical follow-up

**Table 4** Diagnostic accuracy test results from complete cases included in the meta-analysis (n = 4). Complete cases: all patients underwent both the index test (preoperative ultrasonography) and reference test (surgical

exploration), irrespective of the index test results. Clinical findings during follow-up (if reported) were used to calculate the amount of false negatives (FN) and true negatives (TN)

Author, year	Patients (n)	TP	FP	FN	TN	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	US CPPV <sup>a</sup> (%)	OR CPPV <sup>b</sup> (%)
Chou, 1996	179	49	11	0	119	100	91.5	81.7	100	33.5	27.4
Kazez, 1998	46	23	2	1	20	95.8	90.9	92	95.2	54.3	52.2
Lawrenz, 1994	23	14	4	4	1	77.8	20.0	77.8	20.0	78.3	78.3
Shehata, 2013	246	75	20	7	144	91.7	87.7	75.9	96.2	38.6	33.3

*TP* true positive, *FP* false positive, *FN* false negative, *TN* true negative, *PPV* positive predictive value, *NPV* negative predictive value, *US* ultrasound, *CPPV* contralateral patent processus vaginalis

<sup>a</sup> Amount (%) of contralateral patent processus vaginalis as determined by ultrasonography

<sup>b</sup> Amount (%) of contralateral patent processus vaginalis detected intraoperatively



Fig. 3 Pooled sensitivity and specificity forest plots including the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of a complete cases and b incomplete cases

and reported a high 'false positive rate' of 75% (27/36). In contrast to the other studies included in this review, the number of true positives is extremely low (Tables 3, 4 and 5), implying that only 25% of the CPPVs actually developed into a clinically apparent MCIH. Prevalence of CPPV as determined by preoperative ultrasonography was 34.3%, though after clinical follow-up the prevalence of MCIH decreased to 10.5% [13]. As the index test results are considered positive on the basis of the presence of CPPV, regardless whether it actually develops into a clinically apparent MCIH or not, one can assume that preoperative ultrasonography overestimates MCIH prevalence (Tables 2 and 3).

The preoperative major mean CPPV axis as determined using ultrasound was significantly wider in patients with contralateral inguinal hernia compared to patients without contralateral hernia. Unfortunately, there was great variability in the diameter of the contralateral WLIR among the included studies. The mean WLIR in children diagnosed with contralateral hernia or patent PV was  $2.70 \pm 1.17$  mm,  $6.8 \pm 1.3$  mm or  $9.0 \pm 1.9$  mm [13, 20], whereas in other studies children with a mean WLIR of  $1.34 \pm 1.26$  mm,  $3.5 \pm 0.4$  mm and less than 6.0 mm were diagnosed as having no patent PV [13, 19, 20]. Previously, Erez et al. prospectively compared the sonographic dimensions of the symptomatic, unilateral inguinal canal with the surgical findings in 642 children undergoing inguinal hernia repair and perioperatively found a PPV, 'full hernia' and 'large hernia' when a mean groin width of  $4.9 \pm 1.1$  mm,  $7.2 \pm 2.0$  mm and  $12.8 \pm 3.6$  mm was ultrasonically detected [27].

One of the difficulties interpreting our study results is that the diagnostic ultrasonographic criteria to detect CPPV differ among the included studies (Table 2). First, some studies differentiate between CPPV and contralateral inguinal hernia,



Fig. 4 Summary receiver operating characteristic curve of preoperative ultrasonography for detection of contralateral patent processus vaginalis in a complete cases and b incomplete cases. SROC summary receiver operating characteristic curve, AUC area under the curve

Tation was	only perio	orme	u w	nen	the h	idex test (pi	coperative	tives (ITV) and	a true nega			
Author, year	Patients ( <i>n</i> )	TP	FP	FN	TN	Follow-up (months)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	US CPPV <sup>a</sup> (%)	Clinical MCIH <sup>b</sup> (%)
Erez, 1996	200	38	2	0	160	36-48	100	98.8	95.0	100	20.0	19.0
Uno, 1992	32	4	1	0	27	$\geq 12$	100	96.4	80	100	15.6	12.5
Zaidi, 2017	287	39	6	13	229	$\leq$ 24	75.0	97.4	86.7	94.6	15.7	18.1

**Table 5** Diagnostic accuracy test results from incomplete cases included in the meta-analysis (n = 3). Incomplete cases: surgical exploration was only performed when the index test (preoperative

ultrasonography) yielded positive test results. Clinical findings during follow-up (if reported) were used to calculate the amount of false negatives (FN) and true negatives (TN)

TP true positive, FP false positive, FN false negative, TN true negative, PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value, US ultrasound, CPPV contralateral patent processus vaginalis, MCIH metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia

<sup>a</sup> Amount (%) of contralateral patent processus vaginalis as determined by ultrasonography

<sup>b</sup> Amount (%) of metachronous contralateral inguinal hernias that occurred during clinical follow-up

while others consider CPPV as potential hernia sac and include them in the category 'contralateral hernia' [5, 14, 23]. Thus, unlike others, Erez et al. used the hypoechoic width at the middle of the inguinal canal as the point of reference, instead of e.g. the maximum diameter or width of the inguinal canal at the internal ring [27]. A CPPV or contralateral hernia is further considered as the patency of the PV, as the presence of fluid, bowel loops or omentum in an open PV, or as the diameter of the internal ring exceeds a certain value (e.g.  $\geq 4$  or 4.5 mm). This shows the necessity of establishing more adequate homogeneous ultrasonographic criteria to accurately detect CPPV [28]. The results should be interpreted with caution because of high heterogeneity. Most studies included in our metaanalysis (n = 7) only explored the contralateral groin if preoperative ultrasonography yielded positive test results. This indicates a higher probability of the presence of CPPV during surgery, which could lead to an overestimation of the index test results. False negative rates of ultrasonography (FNR) for CPPV and FNR regarding the occurrence of MCIH might also be imprecise, since only four studies surgically explored all contralateral groins irrespective of the preoperative ultrasonographic test results [14-17] and only four studies have reported postoperative outcomes among patients who were not explored (Tables 1 and 2) [13, 18–20]. The use of different cut-off values to detect CPPV in the included studies might cause threshold effects to occur, also leading to heterogeneity of the results. Unfortunately, this meta-analysis did not provide enough data to explore the effects of differences in study characteristics on the estimates of the diagnostic test accuracy.

In conclusion, preoperative ultrasonography of the contralateral groin to detect CPPV seems promising, but may result in an overestimation of MCIH prevalence. More adequate, unequivocal ultrasonographic criteria are mandatory for proper diagnosis of CPPV and careful consideration should be given to what the appropriate reference standard is to establish accurate diagnosis of MCIH. Finally, risk factors need to be identified that can predict whether a CPPV actually develops into a clinically relevant MCIH or not. **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank Faridi S. van Etten-Jamaludin for her contribution regarding the execution and updating of the search strategy and Alev Taner for the translation of a Turkish article.

Funding The authors state that this work has not received any funding.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Guarantor** The scientific guarantor of this publication is JPM Derikx, MD PhD.

**Conflict of interest** The authors of this manuscript declare no relationships with any companies whose products or services may be related to the subject matter of the article.

**Statistics and biometry** One of the authors, Professor JWR Twisk, has significant statistical expertise.

**Informed consent** Written informed consent was not required for this study because it comprises a systematic review of published literature.

**Ethical approval** Institutional review board approval was not required because it comprises a systematic review of published literature.

#### Methodology

· Diagnostic accuracy test systematic review and meta-analysis

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

#### References

- 1. Burgmeier C, Dreyhaupt J, Schier F (2014) Comparison of inguinal hernia and asymptomatic patent processus vaginalis in term and preterm infants. J Pediatr Surg 49:1416–1418
- 2. Chang SJ, Chen JYC, Hsu CK et al (2016) The incidence of inguinal hernia and associated risk factors of incarceration in pediatric

inguinal hernia: a nation-wide longitudinal population-based study. Hernia 20:559–563

- Steven M, Greene O, Nelson A, Brindley N (2010) Contralateral inguinal exploration in premature neonates: is it necessary? Pediatr Surg Int 26:703–706
- Nataraja RM, Mahomed AA (2011) Systematic review for paediatric metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia: a decreasing concern. Pediatr Surg Int 27:953–961
- Chen KC, Chu CC, Chou TY, Wu CJ (1998) Ultrasonography for inguinal hernias in boys. J Pediatr Surg 33:1784–1787
- 6. Toki A, Ogura K, Miyauchi A (1995) Ultrasonographic diagnosis of inguinal hernia in children. Pediatr Surg Int 10:541–543
- Toki A, Watanabe Y, Sasaki K et al (2003) Ultrasonographic diagnosis for potential contralateral inguinal hernia in children. J Pediatr Surg 38:224–226
- Andropoulos DB, Greene MF (2017) Anesthesia and developing brains - implications of the FDA warning. N Engl J Med 376:905– 907
- Erdoğan D, Karaman İ, Aslan MK et al (2013) Analysis of 3,776 pediatric inguinal hernia and hydrocele cases in a tertiary center. J Pediatr Surg 48:1767–1772
- Ein SH, Njere I, Ein A (2006) Six thousand three hundred sixty-one pediatric inguinal hernias: a 35-year review. J Pediatr Surg 41:980– 986
- Hata S, Takahashi Y, Nakamura T et al (2004) Preoperative sonographic evaluation is a useful method of detecting contralateral patent processus vaginalis in pediatric patients with unilateral inguinal hernia. J Pediatr Surg 39:1396–1399
- Kokorowski PJ, Wang HH, Routh JC et al (2013) Evaluation of the contralateral inguinal ring in clinically unilateral inguinal hernia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hernia. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10029-013-1146-z
- Kaneda H, Furuya T, Sugito K et al (2015) Preoperative ultrasonographic evaluation of the contralateral patent processus vaginalis at the level of the internal inguinal ring is useful for predicting contralateral inguinal hernias in children: a prospective analysis. Hernia 19:595–598
- Chou TY, Chu CC, Diau GY et al (1996) Inguinal hernia in children: US versus exploratory surgery and intraoperative contralateral laparoscopy. Radiology 201:385–388
- Kazez A, Serhatlioglu S, Akfirat M et al (1998) An alternative approach to contralateral exploration in infants with unilateral inguinal hernia: ultrasonography. Pediatr Cerrahi Derg 12:10–14

- Lawrenz K, Hollman AS, Carachi R, Cacciaguerra S (1994) Ultrasound assessment of the contralateral groin in infants with unilateral inguinal hernia. Clin Radiol 49:546–548
- Shehata SM, Ebeid AE, khalifa OM et al (2013) Prospective comparative assessment of ultrasonography and laparoscopy for contralateral patent processus vaginalis in inguinal hernia presented in the first year of life. Ann Pediatr Surg 9:6–10
- Erez I, Rathaus V, Werner M et al (1996) Preoperative sonography of the inguinal canal prevents unnecessary contralateral exploration. Pediatr Surg Int 11:487–489
- Uno T, Mochida Y, Wada H, Harada Y (1992) Ultrasonic exploration of contralateral side in pediatric patients with inguinal hernia. Surg Today 22:318–321
- Zaidi SH, Rahman JU, Siddiqui TS et al (2017) Exploration of the contralateral groin in paediatric inguinal hernia or hydrocele based on ultrasound findings - is it justified? J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 29:26–29
- Kazez AP (2001) The relation between patent processus vaginalis defined by ultrasonography and the clinical hernia: second step study. Pediatr Cerrahi Derg 15:107–110
- Hasanuzzaman SM, Chowdhury LH, Sarker RN et al (2011) Ultrasonographic evaluation of contralateral exploration of patent processus vaginalis in unilateral inguinal hernia. Mymensingh Med J 20:192–196
- Kervancioglu R, Bayram MM, Ertaskin I, Ozkur A (2000) Ultrasonographic evaluation of bilateral groins in children with unilateral inguinal hernia. Acta Radiol 41:653–657
- Wang KS, Committee on Fetus and Newborn, American Academy of Pediatrics; Section on Surgery, American Academy of Pediatrics (2012) Assessment and management of inguinal hernia in infants. Pediatrics 130:768–773
- Palmer LS (2013) Hernias and hydroceles. Pediatr Rev 34:457–464 quiz 464
- Rowe MI, Copelson LW, Clatworthy HW (1969) The patent processus vaginalis and the inguinal hernia. J Pediatr Surg 4:102–107
- Erez I, Rathause V, Vacian I et al (2002) Preoperative ultrasound and intraoperative findings of inguinal hernias in children: a prospective study of 642 children. J Pediatr Surg 37:865–868
- Sameshima YT, Yamanari MG, Silva MA et al (2017) The challenging sonographic inguinal canal evaluation in neonates and children: an update of differential diagnoses. Pediatr Radiol 47:461–472