**EDITORIAL** 

## CML—where do we stand in 2015?

## Rüdiger Hehlmann

Received: 28 January 2015 / Accepted: 28 January 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

## Introduction

The progress with CML treatment continues at a rapid pace. Five-year survival ranges around 91 % and 10-year survival around 84 % up from 11 % with busulfan 30 years ago. Figure 1 gives an overview of what has been achieved over the past 32 years.

Table 1 summarizes published evidence on 5–10-year survival as reported from ten selected randomized and observational studies. Four studies report 8–10-year survival rates after 5.8–9.9 years of median observation time.

Deep molecular responses are achieved by the majority of imatinib-treated patients. It is expected that second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) will induce even higher response rates earlier. Treatment goal for CML is no longer palliation or prolongation of survival, but discontinuation of therapy and cure.

This special CML issue of the Annals summarizes the situation in CML in 2015 through 15 state-of-the-art reviews on various aspects of research, management, and public health. As the basis for progress with diagnosis and treatment, review 1 updates the molecular biology of CML, the role of BCR-ABL in proliferation and progression, and important pathways altered in CML.

Reviews 2, 3, and 4 summarize current choices of first- and second-line treatments including their advantages and pitfalls, discuss causes of resistance, and give management recommendations according to predefined cytogenetic and molecular response levels. Adverse drug effects of the various TKI may limit indications of the newer TKI. Review 5, therefore, advises which adverse effects should be expected, how

R. Hehlmann (🖂)

adverse effects can be avoided, and how they can be managed once they do occur. Management of CML blast crisis is a challenge to hematologists also in the TKI era, although blast crisis occurs at a much lower rate than in the pre-TKI era and mostly early after the start of treatment (review 6). Management of CML and pregnancy gains increasing importance with improved long-term survival, and review 7 addresses the safety of TKI during pregnancy, treatment alternatives, and fertility preservation.

Hematopoietic cell transplantation continues to be an option in CML, not only in late disease phases but also in early good risk patients with a low transplantation risk (review 8).

Review 9 addresses the current experience with TKI discontinuation and what the open questions are in order to improve the rate of potential cures. An option to improve outcome of TKI treatment may be interferon  $\alpha$  either in combination with TKI or as maintenance after TKI discontinuation (review 10). Since prognosis of CML changes according to type of therapy, a new prognostic score has been proposed for TKI-treated patients. Due to the low rate of CML-related deaths, other causes of death may compete and require consideration (review 11).

Disease monitoring has proved to be the key factor for successful disease management. Failure to achieve complete cytogenetic response has remained the most important indication for a change of therapy. Molecular monitoring is increasingly important as an early indicator of failure and as an early response predictor and criterion for treatment discontinuation. Interlaboratory standardization has successfully been achieved by a concerted internationally exemplary European effort (review 12). Chances and limitations of early response predictors of survival are analyzed in review 13.

Due to the reduced mortality, prevalence of CML is increasing worldwide (review 14) which poses serious health economic challenges due to high TKI costs. Review 15 deals with the cost of care as a public health issue with an eye on

Medizinische Fakultät Mannheim, Universität Heidelberg, Pettenkoferstr. 22, Mannheim 68169, Germany e-mail: R.Hehlmann@urz.uni-heidelberg.de



German CML Study Group, update 2014



Study	Treatment mg	п	Age at diagnosis, median, years	5-year survival (%)	10-year survival (%)	Med. observation time (years)
CML-IV [1]	IM 400-800	1536	53	90	84	7.1 (max. 11.7)
IRIS [2]	IM 400	553	50	89	85 (8 years)	8
GIMEMA [3]	IM 400-800	559	52	90	NA	5
Hammersmith [4]	IM 400	204	46.3	83	NA	3.2
PETHEMA [5]	IM 400	210	44	97.5	NA	4.2
TOPS [6]	IM 400	157	45	94 (4 years)	NA	3.5
	IM 800	319	48	93.4 (4 years)		3.5
MDACC [7]	IM 400 IM 800	70 201	48.3	NR	80 84	9.9 (min. 8)
ILTE [8] (CCR only)	IM NR	832	51 <sup>a</sup>	98 (6 years)	95 (8 years)	5.8
ENEStnd [9]	IM 400 Nilo 600	283 282	46 47	92 94	NA	5
	Nilo 800	281	47	96		
Dasision [10]	IM 400 Dasa 100	260 259	49 46	90 91	NA	5
Median (estimate)				91	84	

Table 1	Long-term	survival	data	of	CML	201	4
---------	-----------	----------	------	----	-----	-----	---

NR not reported, min. minimum, IM imatinib, NA not available, Nilo nilotinib, Dasa dasatinib

<sup>a</sup> At registration

generic imatinib which will become generally available also in the EU and the USA in 2016.

The reviews of this issue cannot cover the rapidly evolving CML field completely, but they address issues of relevance for CML per se and for CML as a model disease. Solutions found for CML might be applied to other cancers in the future. The reviews provide a basis to better understand and critically follow ongoing developments in this expanding field in research, disease management, and promotion of public health.

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Hehlmann et al (2014) J Clin Oncol 32(5):415-23
- 2. Deininger et al ASH (2009) Abstract. 1126
- 3. Baccarani et al EHA (2009) Haematologica 94(suppl.2):254 Abstr. 626
- 4. de Lavallade et al (2008) J Clin Oncol 26(20):3358-63
- 5. Cervantes et al (2010) Haematologica 95(8):1317-24
- 6. Baccarani et al (2014) Int J Hematol 99(5):616-24
- 7. Sasaki et al ASCO (2014) Abstract 7024
- 8. Gambacorti-Passerini et al (2011) J Natl Cancer Inst 103(7):553-61
- 9. Larson et al ASCO (2014) Abstract 7073
- 10. Cortes et al ASH (2014) Abstract 152