COMMENTARY



## AASLD Practice Guidance on the Use of TIPS, Variceal Embolization, and Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration in the Management of Variceal Hemorrhage

Dong Il Gwon<sup>1</sup>

Received: 9 December 2023/Accepted: 16 December 2023/Published online: 9 February 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Recently published AASLD (American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases) practice guidance, which was developed by consensus of an expert panel is a comprehensive one on the use of interventional radiology endovascular techniques in the management of hemorrhage from esophageal, gastrofundal, and ectopic varices [1]. This guidance addresses the recent advancements in the interventional procedures including transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS), variceal embolization, and retrograde transvenous obliteration (RTO). Moreover, this document reviews the anatomy of portosystemic collaterals and clinical use of these endovascular treatments. Although TIPS is a common procedure for variceal hemorrhage, I would like to address on the RTO procedures in this commentary. RTO is a promising treatment for the prevention of recurrent hepatic encephalopathy (HE) and bleeding of gastric varices (GVs). Furthermore, RTO may help restore portal blood flow to improve the liver function and survival in patients with cirrhosis and preserved liver function. Plug-assisted retrograde transvenous obliteration (PARTO) has been widely performed since 10 years ago, which uses of vascular plug and gelfoam [2]. PARTO deceases the procedure time and prevents procedure-related complications as it does not require an indwelling balloon catheter and sclerosing agents. Except embolization of prominent efferent vein using microcoils in a few cases, the procedure time could be markedly shortened because hand-cut gelfoam sponges (1–2 mm) themselves is used, and their larger size is usually sufficient to embolize efferent veins such as left inferior phrenic and paravertebral veins during shunt embolization. It also eliminates the need of sclerosing agents, thereby avoiding its complications. Not only has this advance been associated with high technical and clinical success, but the method is also simper, easier, and safer to perform. Although limited by a lack of clinical data, PARTO has the potential to be a treatment of choice for portosystemic shunt (PSS) associated with GVs and HE.

Patients with cirrhosis and large PSS tend to have lower portal pressure than those without shunts, indicating that they may have a certain degree of capacity to buffer increases in portal pressure after RTO. In fact, a previous study reported that an immediate increase in the hepatic venous pressure gradient of  $\geq 20\%$  above baseline was able to predict significant improvements in liver function at 6 months after RTO [3]. However, the degree of portal hypertension and the amount of residual hepatic function reserve vary greatly among patients. Abrupt increase in portal pressure following RTO may lead to the development of complications, such as esophageal variceal bleeding, intractable ascites, and hepatorenal syndrome.

Although primary prophylaxis has been well established for managing esophageal varices, no concrete guidelines are available for GVs. Previous studies indicated that in patients who have not bled from GVs and a large PSS, RTO may lower bleeding risk, though with no survival benefits. The most widely used prognostic scores such as MELD and Child–Pugh scores were shown to be predictive of clinical outcomes in cirrhotic patients treated with RTO. Recently, Choi et al. developed and validated a simple prognostic model, namely the albumin-bilirubin-

Dong Il Gwon radgwon@amc.seoul.kr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Republic of Korea

international normalized ratio (INR) (ABI) score, by assigning 1 point each to three independent laboratory parameters (albumin < 3.0 g/dL, total bilirubin  $\geq$  1.5 mg/ dL, and INR  $\geq$  1.5) for use in patients with cirrhosis undergoing RTO [4]. In this study, low ABI score group (0 or 1), moderate ABI score group (2), and high ABI score (3) showed significantly different survival rates. In this retrospective study, they also found that ABI score showed a better calibration function compared with MELD and Child–Pugh scores, both of which overestimated the risk of mortality or liver transplant in the high-risk population. However, further study is necessary to help physicians and patients in deciding to proceed with RTO by accurately predicting survival outcomes.

Funding This commentary was not supported by any funding.

## Declarations

Conflict of interest I have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval N/A.

**Informed Consent** For this type of commentary, formal consent is not required.

**Consent for Publication** For this type of commentary, consent for publication is not required.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

- Lee EW, Eghtesad B, Garcia-Tsao G, Haskal ZJ, Hemandez-Gea V, Jalaeian H, et al. AASLD practice guidance on the use of TIPS, variceal embolization, and retrograde transvenous obliteration in the management of variceal hemorrhage. Hepatology. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1097/HEP.000000000000530.
- 2. Gwon DI, Ko GY, Yoon HK, Sung KB, Kim JH, Shin JH, et al. Gastric varices and hepatic encephalopathy: treatment with vascular plug and gelatin sponge-assisted retrograde transvenous obliteration-a primary report. Radiology. 2013;268:281–7.
- 3. Uehara H, Akahoshi T, Tomikawa M, Kinjo N, Hashimoto N, Nagao Y, et al. Prediction of improved liver function after balloonoccluded retrograde transvenous obliteration: relation to hepatic vein pressure gradient. J Gastroentrol Hepatol. 2012;27:137–41.
- Choi WM, Yoo SY, Chang JI, Han S, Kim YS, Sinn DH, et al. A model for predicting poor survival in patients with cirrhosis undergoing portosystemic shunt embolization. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2023;38:1629–36.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.