

Augmented Reality Applications in Interventional Radiology: Possibilities and Challenges

Uli Fehrenbach¹ 

Received: 8 February 2023 / Accepted: 21 February 2023 / Published online: 22 March 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Technical innovations increasingly affect various areas of everyday life. A field that has come into focus in recent years, especially in lifestyle applications, is augmented reality (AR). AR claims to combine real-life with virtual content for an immersive experience known as “mixed reality”.

Besides entertainment, the AR approach is also being evaluated in various medical specialties to explore its potential as an assist device in patient treatment [1]. The classic use of AR in medicine applies to surgical disciplines [2]. Typical applications used by surgeons run on special high-end headsets that combine the real image of the surgical site with previously acquired radiological images and thus facilitate navigation. Initial limitations in implementation of the technology such as the bulky appearance of the devices and their cabling, as well as the insufficient computing power, are increasingly receding as further technical developments become available [3]. Nowadays, almost everyone carries a powerful minicomputer in the form of a personal smartphone in their pocket. This could enable the use of performance-intensive applications without the costly installation and purchase of hardware.

Besides surgery and medical education, AR tools have also been developed for interventional radiology [4]. The most extensively studied AR applications support imaging-guided punctures as presented in this manuscript by Morita et al. [5]. The smartphone AR application presented herein supports the interventionalist with a rotatable 3D live

overlay of the puncture tract in out-of-plane CT-guided needle placements. Two different types of easy to perform image registration were investigated in a phantom study, and their results show that both methods achieved equally high accuracy with short procedure times. The use of the app in the technically demanding out-of-plane puncture technique, which can be challenging, especially for less experienced interventionalists, is worth highlighting. The main advantages of smartphone-based solutions over needle-guidance assist systems from the manufacturers of CT scanners would be their low initial cost and wide availability without the need for complex installations.

The main task for us as interventionalists is to scientifically evaluate the applicability and accuracy of those novel AR applications. And this is precisely where the greatest challenges lie. Anyone who performs CT-guided punctures knows that the main task of the interventionalist is to adjust for deviations from the originally planned puncture tract. This particularly affects organ systems that move in a respiratory-dependent manner, such as the liver and lungs. Preclinical studies on immobile phantoms cannot adequately represent this real-life scenario and simplify the technical challenges of image registration in patients. Thus, comparability usually exists only to immobile puncture targets, which also pose little challenge in routine clinical practice. Therefore, the main advantage of AR applications here would be to reduce radiation exposure, which would be straightforward and inexpensive with applications such as the one presented in this manuscript. On the other hand, the wider availability of the required hardware tends to give rise to “third-party” software solutions, which are usually only evaluated on a small scale. However, it is essential to evaluate the applications on a larger scale to provide recommendations and enable

✉ Uli Fehrenbach
uli.fehrenbach@charite.de

¹ Department of Radiology, Charité Universitätsmedizin
Berlin, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany

their translation into clinical routine. For this, we need extensive exchange within the IR community, which could be accomplished through our international societies, to enable further distribution and technical developments. Even though experienced interventionalists are critical of such applications, which in their view are not necessary, it is essential to investigate their potential. It is our task to transfer modern technologies into our clinical routine by evaluating them in a scientifically adequate way, and thus to further develop our field of expertise. Being open-minded to innovations moves our specialty forward and makes it even more attractive to future generations of interventionalists.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This commentary was not supported by any funding.

Declarations

Conflict of interest U. Fehrenbach reports grants and personal fees from Siemens, Bayer, IPSEN, Asahi Intecc, ESGAR and GE.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this

article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Solbiati L, Gennaro N, Muglia R. Augmented reality: from video games to medical clinical practice. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2020;43(10):1427–9.
2. Bollen E, Awad L, Langridge B, Butler PEM. The intraoperative use of augmented and mixed reality technology to improve surgical outcomes: a systematic review. *Int J Med Robot*. 2022;18(6): e2450.
3. Moosburner S, Remde C, Tang P, et al. Real world usability analysis of two augmented reality headsets in visceral surgery. *Artif Organs*. 2019;43(7):694–8.
4. Park BJ, Hunt SJ, Martin C 3rd, Nadolski GJ, Wood BJ, Gade TP. Augmented and mixed reality: technologies for enhancing the future of IR. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2020;31(7):1074–82.
5. Morita S, Suzuki K, Yamamoto T, Endo S, Yamazaki H, Sakai S (2023) Out-of-Plane Needle Placements Using 3D Augmented Reality Protractor on Smartphone: An Experimental Phantom Study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.