

Reply to the letter to the editor: Could the use of bone morphogenetic proteins in fracture healing do more harm than good to our patients?

Cornelis F. M. Sier ·
Suzanne N. Lissenberg-Thunnissen ·
David J. J. De Gorter · Inger B. Schipper

Received: 14 October 2011 / Accepted: 15 October 2011 / Published online: 3 December 2011
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

We thank Drs. Delimar, Smoljanovic, and Bojanic for their interest in our article [1]. We share their concern that the information about the clinical use of bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) should be thorough, clear, and state of the art. Our review deals with various aspects of BMP use in general fracture healing. We attempted to project new developments and knowledge from basic science into clinical situations. Where possible, we referred to previous and more extensive papers in order to provide a general overview of the use and efficacy of BMP treatment. We are aware that this format did not allow us to thoroughly cover all areas equally. With respect to the adverse effects of BMPs, we consider the suggested literature by Delimar et al., such as the recent review of BMP-2 treatment for spinal surgery, as valuable additional and more specific information to our paper [2]. We do appreciate the potential dangers of bias by industry-sponsored publications [3], but we have no connections whatsoever with the industry regarding this matter. This is emphasised by the unbiased conclusion of our paper, which states that the BMPs currently used to enhance bone fracture healing are suboptimal, expensive,

and cause significant side effects. The take-home message is that optimisation of BMPs is still required if these compounds are to have widespread use in patients to improve fracture healing. Moreover, we agree that most bone fractures can be cared for using correct treatment indications without the necessity of using BMPs. However, for the 5–30% of fractures that will not heal after nine months, BMPs or BMP-associated products could, indeed, be useful. Therefore, we think that the answer to the question: “Could the use of bone morphogenetic proteins in fracture healing do more harm than good to our patients”? depends on future research results and subsequent quality and properties of specific BMPs, combined with specifications of patients and fractures.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

C. F. M. Sier (✉) · S. N. Lissenberg-Thunnissen · I. B. Schipper
Surgery-Traumatology, Leiden University Medical Center,
Leiden, The Netherlands
e-mail: c.f.m.sier@lumc.nl

D. J. J. De Gorter
Molecular Cell Biology, Leiden University Medical Center,
Leiden, The Netherlands

Present Address:
D. J. J. De Gorter
Institute for Molecular Cell Biology, University of Münster,
Münster, Germany

References

1. Lissenberg-Thunnissen SN, De Gorter DJ, Sier CF, Schipper IB (2011) Use and efficacy of bone morphogenetic proteins in fracture healing. *Int Orthop* 35:1271–1280
2. Carragee EJ, Hurwitz EL, Weiner BK (2011) A critical review of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 trials in spinal surgery: emerging safety concerns and lessons learned. *Spine J* 11:471–491
3. Carragee EJ, Ghanayem AJ, Weiner BK, Rothman DJ, Bono CM (2011) A challenge to integrity in spine publications: years of living dangerously with the promotion of bone growth factors. *Spine J* 11:463–468