



Correction to: Comparison of diffusion-weighted imaging and MR elastography in staging liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis

Weon Jang^{1,2,3} · Seongil Jo⁴ · Ji Soo Song^{1,2,3} · Hong Pil Hwang⁵ · Seong-Hun Kim⁶

Published online: 26 May 2023
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

Correction to:

Abdominal Radiology (2021) 46:3889–3907 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-021-03055-2>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. The author says that articles Chen et al. 2014 (reference 53) and Fu et al. 2016 (reference 49) cited in Table 1 report the same cohorts and data. Thus, Fu et al. 2016 (reference 49) should be excluded from this analysis which is listed below:

49. Fu F, Shi D, Zhu S, et al. (2016) Evaluation of hepatic fibrosis by using stretched-exponential and mono-exponential diffusion-weighted MR imaging. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine 9(11):21358 -21367

This does not affect the results and conclusions presented. Here is the updated Table 1 and reference section.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-021-03055-2>.

✉ Ji Soo Song
pichgo@gmail.com

¹ Department of Radiology, Jeonbuk National University Medical School and Hospital, Jeonju, Korea

² Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea

³ Biomedical Research Institute of Jeonbuk National University Hospital, 20 Geonji-ro, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54907, Korea

⁴ Department of Statistics, Inha University, Incheon, Korea

⁵ Department of Surgery, Jeonbuk National University Medical School and Hospital, Jeonju, Korea

⁶ Department of Internal Medicine, Jeonbuk National University Medical School and Hospital, Jeonju, Korea

Table 1 Characteristics of studies that assessed the diagnostic accuracy of DWI

Study	No. of patient	Distribution of fibrosis stage 0/1/2/3/4	Interval between DWI and biopsy (day)	Magnetic field strength (T)	Breath- ing method	b-value used	MR scanner
Lewin et al.	54	1/30/8/6/10	NR	1.5	RT	0,200,400,800	Symphony, Siemens
Taouli et al.	30	11/5/4/4/6	42	1.5	BH	0,50,300,500,700,1000	Avanto, Siemens
Taouli et al.	44	15/7/2/6/14	51	1.5	BH	0,500	Gyroscan Intera, Philips
Sandrasegaran et al.	78	11/16/10/14/27	75	1.5	BH	50,400	Avanto, Siemens
Do et al.	56	22/7/5/4/21	32	1.5	BH	0,50,500	Avanto, Sonata, and Symphony, Siemens
Bonekamp et al.	88	33/20/2/6/27	< 365	1.5	BH	0,750	Signa, GE; Avanto, Sie- mens
Fujimoto et al.	55	12/9/11/11/12	7	1.5	RT	0,1000	Symphony, Siemens
Ibrahim et al.	76	38/20/12/5/1	10	1.5	FB	300,500,700,1000	Achieva, Philips
Kovac et al.	44	0/14/3/6/21	15	1.5	RT	0,800	Avanto, Siemens
Vaziri-Bozorg et al.	44	11/11/11/11/0	< 60	1.5	BH	0,500,700,1000	Signa, GE
Catanzaro et al.	72	F0–F1: 20/14, F3–F4: 38	NR	1.5	RT	0,500	Avanto, Siemens
Kovac et al.	38	0/5/12/8/13	60	1.5	RT	0,800	Avanto, Siemens
Bonekamp et al.	85	35/17/2/7/24	37	1.5	BH	0,750	Signa, GE; Avanto, Sie- mens
Chen et al.	35	10/4/9/11/1	< 30	3.0	RT	0,50,100,200,400,600,800	Discovery, GE
Hong et al.	76	18/14/14/20/10	27	3.0	RT	0,200,600,800	Signa, GE
Razek et al.	70	20/12/22/7/9	60	1.5	FB	0,400,800	Symphony, Siemens
Tokgoz et al.	138	70/32/15/7/14	NR	1.5	NR	0,600	Gyroscan Intera, Philips
Yoon et al.	55	11/7/7/9/21	NR	3.0	BH	0,25,50,75,100,200, 500,800	Verio, Siemens
Zaiton et al.	102	0/35/22/25/20	10±6	1.5	RT	200,500,700,1000	Achieva, Philips
Chung et al.	57	21/1/6/7/22	15.9	1.5	RT	0,30,60,100,150,200, 400,600,900	Avanto, Siemens
Ding et al.	145	34/7/12/14/78	NR	1.5	FB	0,500	Aera, Siemens
Kocakoc et al.	44	F0–F1: 22, F2– F4: 23	NR	1.5	NR	100,600,1000	NR, GE
Murphy et al.	89	36/28/11/9/5	NR	3.0	FB	0,100,500	Signa, GE
Parente et al.	59	43/9/5/F3–F4: 2	NR	3.0	RT	0,10,20,40,80,160,200,400, ,800,1000	Achieva, Philips
Feier et al.	77	21/7/8/12/29	45±2	3.0	NR	50,300,600	Magnetom Trio, Siemens
Emara et al.	50	9/16/7/15/3	30	1.5	NR	0,500	Gyroscan Intera, Philips
Taha et al.	44	14/6/5/8/11	NR	1.5	BH	0,500	Achieva, Philips
Shayesteh et al.	68	31/10/10/12/5	NR	1.5	RT	600,1000	Optima, GE
Yang et al.	81	6/11/13/15/26	NR	1.5	FB	0,200,500,1000,1500, 2000	Aera, Siemens
Wang et al.	76	32/12/6/6/20	60	1.5	NR	50,500,1000	Espreo, Siemens

Table 1 (continued)

Study	No. of patient	Distribution of fibrosis stage 0/1/2/3/4	Interval between DWI and biopsy (day)	Magnetic field strength (T)	Breath- ing method	b-value used	MR scanner
Ichikawa et al.	129	19/13/14/19/64	< 90	3.0	RT	0,10,20,30,40,50,80, 100,200,500,1000	Discovery, GE
Hennedige et al.	63	12/12/10/8/21	62.6	1.5	FB	0,500	Signa, GE

BH breath hold, RT respiratory triggered, FB free breathing, NR not reported

References

1. Loomba R, Adams LA (2020) Advances in non-invasive assessment of hepatic fibrosis. Gut. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-317593>
2. Lu Q, Lu C, Li J, et al. (2016) Stiffness Value and Serum Biomarkers in Liver Fibrosis Staging: Study in Large Surgical Specimens in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B. Radiology 280(1):290–299. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2016151229>
3. Bohte AE, de Niet A, Jansen L, et al. (2014) Non-invasive evaluation of liver fibrosis: a comparison of ultrasound-based transient elastography and MR elastography in patients with viral hepatitis B and C. European radiology 24(3):638–648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-013-3046-0>
4. Wu WP, Chou CT, Chen RC, et al. (2015) Non-Invasive Evaluation of Hepatic Fibrosis: The Diagnostic Performance of Magnetic Resonance Elastography in Patients with Viral Hepatitis B or C. PLoS one 10(10): <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140068>
5. Yin M, Talwalkar JA, Glaser KJ, et al. (2007) Assessment of hepatic fibrosis with magnetic resonance elastography. Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association 5(10):1207–1213.e1202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2007.06.012>
6. Wang Y, Ganger DR, Levitsky J, et al. (2011) Assessment of chronic hepatitis and fibrosis: comparison of MR elastography and diffusion-weighted imaging. AJR American journal of roentgenology 196(3):553–561. <https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.10.4580>
7. Huwart L, Sempoux C, Vicaut E, et al. (2008) Magnetic resonance elastography for the noninvasive staging of liver fibrosis. Gastroenterology 135(1):32–40. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.03.076>
8. Taouli B, Tolia AJ, Losada M, et al. (2007) Diffusion-weighted MRI for quantification of liver fibrosis: preliminary experience. AJR Am J Roentgenol 189(4):799–806. <https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.07.2086>
9. Lewin M, Poujol-Robert A, Boëlle PY, et al. (2007) Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md) 46(3):658–665. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.21747>
10. Annet L, Peeters F, Abarca-Quinones J, et al. (2007) Assessment of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in liver fibrosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 25(1):122–128. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.20771>
11. Razek AA, Khashaba M, Abdalla A, Bayomy M, Barakat T (2014) Apparent diffusion coefficient value of hepatic fibrosis and inflammation in children with chronic hepatitis. La Radiologia medica 119(12):903–909. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11547-014-0408-x>
12. Jiang H, Chen J, Gao R, et al. (2017) Liver fibrosis staging with diffusion-weighted imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Abdom Radiol (NY) 42(2):490–501. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-016-0913-6>
13. Chang W, Lee JM, Yoon JH, et al. (2016) Liver Fibrosis Staging with MR Elastography: Comparison of Diagnostic Performance between Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B and Those with Other Etiologic Causes. Radiology 280(1):88–97. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2016150397>
14. Hennedige TP, Wang G, Leung FP, et al. (2016) Magnetic Resonance Elastography and Diffusion Weighted Imaging in the Evaluation of Hepatic Fibrosis in Chronic Hepatitis B. Gut and liver. <https://doi.org/10.5009/gnl16079>
15. Costa-Silva L, Ferolla SM, Lima AS, Vidigal PVT, Ferrari TCDA (2018) MR elastography is effective for the non-invasive evaluation of fibrosis and necroinflammatory activity in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. European journal of radiology 98:82–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2017.11.003>
16. Kim YS, Jang YN, Song JS (2018) Comparison of gradient-recalled echo and spin-echo echo-planar imaging MR elastography in staging liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. Eur Radiol 28(4):1709–1718. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-5149-5>
17. Ichikawa S, Motosugi U, Morisaka H, et al. (2015) MRI-based staging of hepatic fibrosis: Comparison of intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging with magnetic resonance elastography. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI 42(1):204–210. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24760>
18. Wang Q-B, Zhu H, Liu H-L, Zhang B (2012) Performance of magnetic resonance elastography and diffusion-weighted imaging for the staging of hepatic fibrosis: A meta-analysis. Hepatology 56(1):239–247. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.25610>
19. McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM, and the P-DTAG, Clifford T, Cohen JF, Deeks JJ, Gatsonis C, Hooft L, Hunt HA, Hyde CJ, Korevaar DA, Leeflang MMG, Macaskill P, Reitsma JB, Rodin R, Rutjes AWS, Salameh JP, Stevens A, Takwoingi Y, Tonelli M, Weeks L, Whiting P, Willis BH (2018) Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. JAMA 319 (4):388–396. 10.1001/jama.2017.19163
20. Lee J, Kim KW, Choi SH, Huh J, Park SH (2015) Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Studies Evaluating Diagnostic Test Accuracy: A Practical Review for Clinical Researchers-Part II. Statistical Methods of Meta-Analysis. Korean J Radiol 16 (6):1188–1196. <https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2015.16.6.1188>
21. Kim KW, Lee J, Choi SH, Huh J, Park SH (2015) Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Studies Evaluating Diagnostic Test Accuracy: A Practical Review for Clinical Researchers-Part I. General Guidance and Tips. Korean J Radiol 16 (6):1175–1187. <https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2015.16.6.1175>
22. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 6(7): <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
23. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. (2011) QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 155(8):529–536. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009>

24. Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AW, et al. (2005) Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol* 58(10):982–990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.02.022>
25. Chyou PH (2012) A simple and robust way of concluding meta-analysis results using reported P values, standardized effect sizes, or other statistics. *Clin Med Res* 10(4):219–223. <https://doi.org/10.3121/cmr.2012.1068>
26. Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page M, Welch V (2019) Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0.
27. Arends LR, Hamza TH, van Houwelingen JC, et al. (2008) Bivariate random effects meta-analysis of ROC curves. *Med Decis Making* 28(5):621–638. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x08319957>
28. Deville WL, Buntinx F, Bouter LM, et al. (2002) Conducting systematic reviews of diagnostic studies: didactic guidelines. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2:9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-2-9>
29. IntHout J, Ioannidis JPA, Borm GF (2014) The Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman method for random effects meta-analysis is straightforward and considerably outperforms the standard DerSimonian-Laird method. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 14(1):25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-25>
30. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 315(7109):629–634. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629>
31. Rustogi R, Horowitz J, Harmath C, et al. (2012) Accuracy of MR elastography and anatomic MR imaging features in the diagnosis of severe hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 35(6):1356–1364. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.23585>
32. Kim D, Kim WR, Talwalkar JA, Kim HJ, Ehman RL (2013) Advanced fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Noninvasive assessment with MR elastography. *Radiology* 268(2):411–419. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.13121193>
33. Bonekamp D, Bonekamp S, Ou HY, et al. (2014) Assessing liver fibrosis: comparison of arterial enhancement fraction and diffusion-weighted imaging. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 40(5):1137–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24472>
34. Kocakoc E, Bakan AA, Poyrazoglu OK, et al. (2015) Assessment of Liver Fibrosis with Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging Using Different b-values in Chronic Viral Hepatitis. *Medical principles and practice: international journal of the Kuwait University, Health Science Centre* 24(6):522–526. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000434682>
35. Murphy P, Hooker J, Ang B, et al. (2015) Associations between histologic features of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and quantitative diffusion-weighted MRI measurements in adults. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 41(6):1629–1638. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24755>
36. Taouli B, Chouli M, Martin AJ, et al. (2008) Chronic hepatitis: Role of diffusion-weighted imaging and diffusion tensor imaging for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis and inflammation. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 28(1):89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.21227>
37. Shayesteh M, Shayesteh AA, Motamedfar A, et al. (2018) The clinical value of the apparent diffusion coefficient of liver magnetic resonance images in patients with liver fibrosis compared to healthy subjects. *J Family Med Prim Care* 7(6):1501–1505. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_299_18
38. Choi YR, Lee JM, Yoon JH, Han JK, Choi BI (2013) Comparison of magnetic resonance elastography and gadoxetate disodium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the evaluation of hepatic fibrosis. *Investigative radiology* 48(8):607–613. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLI.0b013e318289ff8f>
39. Godfrey EM, Patterson AJ, Priest AN, et al. (2012) A comparison of MR elastography and 31P MR spectroscopy with histological staging of liver fibrosis. *European radiology* 22(12):2790–2797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-012-2527-x>
40. Do RKG, Chandanara H, Felker E, et al. (2010) Diagnosis of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis with diffusion-weighted imaging: Value of normalized apparent diffusion coefficient using the spleen as reference organ. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 195(3):671–676. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.09.3448>
41. Feier D, Balassy C, Bastati N, et al. (2016) The diagnostic efficacy of quantitative liver MR imaging with diffusion-weighted, SWI, and hepatospecific contrast-enhanced sequences in staging liver fibrosis—a multiparametric approach. *European radiology* 26(2):539–546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-015-3830-0>
42. Chen J, Yin M, Talwalkar J, et al. (2017) Diagnostic performance of MR elastography and vibration-controlled transient elastography in the detection of hepatic fibrosis in patients with severe to morbid obesity. *Radiology* 283(2):418–428. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2016160685>
43. Ibrahim HR, El-Hamid AA, Tohamy A, Habba MR (2011) Diagnostic value of apparent diffusion coefficient calculated with diffusion-weighted MRI for quantification of liver fibrosis. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* 42(2):119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrm.2011.05.003>
44. Zaiton F, Dawoud H, El Fiki IM, Hadhoud KM (2014) Diffusion weighted MRI and transient elastography assessment of liver fibrosis in hepatitis C patients: Validity of non invasive imaging techniques. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* 45(2):279–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrm.2014.02.007>
45. Vaziri-Bozorg SM, Ghasemi-Esse AR, Khalilzadeh O, Mazloumi M, Nassiri-Toosi M, Ghanaati H, Rokni-Yazdi H (2012) Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosis of liver fibrosis and inflammation in chronic viral hepatitis: the performance of low or high B values and small or large regions of interest. *Canadian Association of Radiologists journal = Journal l'Association canadienne des radiologues* 63 (4):304–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carj.2011.04.002>
46. Bonekamp S, Torbenson MS, Kamel IR (2011) Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the staging of liver fibrosis. *Journal of clinical gastroenterology* 45(10):885–892. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e318223bd2c>
47. Taouli B, Tolia AJ, Losada M, et al. (2007) Diffusion-weighted MRI for quantification of liver fibrosis: Preliminary experience. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 189(4):799–806. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.07.2086>
48. Taha Ali TF, El Hariri MA (2017) Diffusion-weighted MRI in liver fibrosis staging: Added value of normalized ADC using spleen and renal cortex as reference organs. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* 48(1):23–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrm.2016.11.004>
49. Yoon JH, Lee JM, Baek JH, et al. (2014) Evaluation of hepatic fibrosis using intravoxel incoherent motion in diffusion-weighted liver MRI. *Journal of computer assisted tomography* 38(1):110–116. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RCT.0b013e3182a589be>
50. Fujimoto K, Tonan T, Azuma S, et al. (2011) Evaluation of the mean and entropy of apparent diffusion coefficient values in chronic hepatitis C: correlation with pathologic fibrosis stage and inflammatory activity grade. *Radiology* 258(3):739–748. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.10100853>
51. Yoon JH, Lee JM, Joo I, et al. (2014) Hepatic fibrosis: prospective comparison of MR elastography and US shear-wave elastography for evaluation. *Radiology* 273(3):772–782. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.14132000>
52. Chen C, Wang B, Shi D, et al. (2014) Initial study of biexponential model of intravoxel incoherent motion magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of the liver fibrosis. *Chinese medical journal* 127(17):3082–3087

53. Kovač JD, Ješić R, Stanisavljević D, et al. (2012) Integrative role of MRI in the evaluation of primary biliary cirrhosis. *European radiology* 22(3):688–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-011-2296-y>
54. Parente DB, Paiva FF, Oliveira Neto JA, Machado-Silva L, Figueiredo FA, Lanzoni V, Campos CF, do Brasil PE, Gomes Mde B, Perez Rde M, Rodrigues RS (2015) Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Diffusion Weighted MR Imaging at 3.0 T: Assessment of Steatohepatitis and Fibrosis Compared with Liver Biopsy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *PloS one* 10 (5):e0125653. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125653>
55. Chung SR, Lee SS, Kim N, Yu ES, Kim E, Kuhn B, Kim IS (2015) Intravoxel incoherent motion MRI for liver fibrosis assessment: a pilot study. *Acta radiologica* (Stockholm, Sweden: 1987) 56 (12):1428–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185114559763>
56. Ding Y, Rao SX, Zhu T, et al. (2015) Liver fibrosis staging using T1 mapping on gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI compared with DW imaging. *Clinical radiology* 70(10):1096–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2015.04.014>
57. Catanzaro R, Sapienza C, Milazzo M, et al. (2013) Liver fibrosis: evaluation with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in patients with chronic liver disease. *Minerva gastroenterologica e dietologica* 59(3):313–320
58. Batheja M, Vargas H, Silva AM, et al. (2015) Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) in assessing hepatic fibrosis: performance in a cohort of patients with histological data. *Abdominal imaging* 40(4):760–765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-014-0321-8>
59. Venkatesh S, Wang G, Lim S, Wee A (2014) Magnetic resonance elastography for the detection and staging of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. *European radiology* 24(1):70–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-013-2978-8>
60. Shi Y, Xia F, Li QJ, et al. (2016) Magnetic Resonance Elastography for the Evaluation of Liver Fibrosis in Chronic Hepatitis B and C by Using Both Gradient-Recalled Echo and Spin-Echo Echo Planar Imaging: A Prospective Study. *The American journal of gastroenterology* 111(6):823–833. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2016.56>
61. Wang J, Malik N, Yin M, et al. (2017) Magnetic resonance elastography is accurate in detecting advanced fibrosis in autoimmune hepatitis. *World journal of gastroenterology* 23(5):859–868. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i5.859>
62. Cui J, Heba E, Hernandez C, et al. (2016) Magnetic resonance elastography is superior to acoustic radiation force impulse for the Diagnosis of fibrosis in patients with biopsy-proven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A prospective study. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)* 63(2):453–461. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28337>
63. Park CC, Nguyen P, Hernandez C, et al. (2016) Magnetic Resonance Elastography vs Transient Elastography in Detection of Fibrosis and Noninvasive Measurement of Steatosis in Patients With Biopsy-Proven Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology*. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.10.026>
64. Imajo K, Kessoku T, Honda Y, et al. (2016) Magnetic Resonance Imaging More Accurately Classifies Steatosis and Fibrosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Than Transient Elastography. *Gastroenterology* 150(3):626–637.e627. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.11.048>
65. Shi Y, Guo Q, Xia F, et al. (2014) MR elastography for the assessment of hepatic fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection: does histologic necroinflammation influence the measurement of hepatic stiffness? *Radiology* 273(1):88–98. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.14132592>
66. Yoshimitsu K, Mitsufuji T, Shinagawa Y, Fujimitsu R, Morita A, Urakawa H, Hayashi H, Takano K (2016) MR elastography of the liver at 3.0 T in diagnosing liver fibrosis grades: preliminary clinical experience. *European radiology* 26 (3):656–663. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-015-3863-4>
67. Kovač JD, Ješić R, Stanisavljević D, Kovač B, Maksimović R (2013) MR imaging of primary sclerosing cholangitis: Additional value of diffusion-weighted imaging and ADC measurement. *Acta Radiologica* 54(3):242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185112471792>
68. Ichikawa S, Motosugi U, Enomoto N, Matsuda M, Onishi H (2016) Noninvasive hepatic fibrosis staging using mr elastography: The usefulness of the bayesian prediction method. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25551>
69. Loomba R, Cui J, Wolfson T, et al. (2016) Novel 3D Magnetic Resonance Elastography for the Noninvasive Diagnosis of Advanced Fibrosis in NAFLD: A Prospective Study. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 111(7):986–994
70. Eaton JE, Dzyubak B, Venkatesh SK, et al. (2016) Performance of magnetic resonance elastography in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)* 31(6):1184–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.13263>
71. Chou CT, Chen RC, Wu WP, Lin PY, Chen YL (2017) Prospective Comparison of the Diagnostic Performance of Magnetic Resonance Elastography with Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Elastography for Pre-operative Staging of Hepatic Fibrosis in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology* 43(12):2783–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2017.08.1879>
72. Hong Y, Shi Y, Liao W, et al. (2014) Relative ADC measurement for liver fibrosis diagnosis in chronic hepatitis B using spleen/renal cortex as the reference organs at 3 T. *Clinical radiology* 69(6):581–588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2014.01.004>
73. Yang L, Rao S, Wang W, Chen C, Ding Y, Yang C, Grimm R, Yan X, Fu C, Zeng M (2018) Staging liver fibrosis with DWI: is there an added value for diffusion kurtosis imaging? *European radiology*:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-5245-6>
74. Emara DM, Reda MM, Elwazzan DA (2017) Utility of diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) in assessment of liver fibrosis. *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajme.2017.06.007>
75. Sandrasegaran K, Akisik FM, Lin C, et al. (2009) Value of diffusion-weighted MRI for assessing liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 193(6):1556–1560. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.09.2436>
76. Tokgoz O, Unal I, Turgut GG, Yildiz S (2014) The value of liver and spleen ADC measurements in the diagnosis and follow up of hepatic fibrosis in chronic liver disease. *Acta clinica Belgica* 69(6):426–432. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2295333714y.0000000062>
77. Jang S, Lee JM, Lee DH, Joo I, Yoon JH, Chang W, Han JK (2016) Value of MR elastography for the preoperative estimation of liver regeneration capacity in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25517>
78. Asbach P, Klatt D, Schlosser B, et al. (2010) Viscoelasticity-based staging of hepatic fibrosis with multifrequency MR elastography. *Radiology* 257(1):80–86. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.10092489>
79. Sohrabpour AA, Mohamadnejad M, Malekzadeh R (2012) Review article: the reversibility of cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 36(9):824–832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.12044>
80. Castera L (2012) Noninvasive methods to assess liver disease in patients with hepatitis B or C. *Gastroenterology* 142(6):1293–1302.e1294. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.02.017>
81. Rosenkrantz AB, Oei M, Babb JS, Niver BE, Taouli B (2011) Diffusion-weighted imaging of the abdomen at 3.0 Tesla: image quality and apparent diffusion coefficient reproducibility compared with 1.5 Tesla. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI* 33 (1):128–135. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.22395>

82. Zhang JL, Sigmund EE, Chandarana H, et al. (2010) Variability of renal apparent diffusion coefficients: limitations of the monoexponential model for diffusion quantification. *Radiology* 254(3):783–792. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.09090891>
83. Dvorne HA, Jajamovich GH, Bane O, et al. (2016) Prospective comparison of magnetic resonance imaging to transient elastography and serum markers for liver fibrosis detection. *Liver International* 36(5):659–666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.13058>
84. Kromrey ML, Le Bihan D, Ichikawa S, Motosugi U (2020) Diffusion-weighted MRI-based Virtual Elastography for the Assessment of Liver Fibrosis. *Radiology* 295(1):127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020191498>
85. Singh S, Venkatesh SK, Loomba R, et al. (2016) Magnetic resonance elastography for staging liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a diagnostic accuracy systematic review and individual participant data pooled analysis. *Eur Radiol* 26(5):1431–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-015-3949-z>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.