



Correction to: Artificial intelligence in assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma treatment response

Bradley Spieler¹ · Carl Sabottke² · Ahmed W. Moawad³ · Ahmed M. Gabr⁴ · Mustafa R. Bashir⁵ · Richard Kinh Gian Do⁶ · Vahid Yaghmai⁷ · Radu Rozenberg⁸ · Marielia Gerena⁹ · Joseph Yacoub¹⁰ · Khaled M. Elsayer¹¹

Published online: 24 May 2021
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Abdominal Radiology
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-021-03056-1>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained errors in the table 1. The correct Table 1 is given below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-021-03056-1>.

✉ Bradley Spieler
bspie1@lsuhsc.edu

Carl Sabottke
cfs121090@gmail.com

Ahmed W. Moawad
awmoawad@gmail.com

Ahmed M. Gabr
aamgabr@gmail.com

Mustafa R. Bashir
mustafa.bashir@duke.edu

Richard Kinh Gian Do
dok@mskcc.org

Vahid Yaghmai
vyaghmai@hs.uci.edu

Radu Rozenberg
radurozenberg@yahoo.com

Marielia Gerena
marielia.gerena@lumc.edu

Joseph Yacoub
joeyacoub@gmail.com

Khaled M. Elsayer
KMElsayer@mdanderson.org

- ² Department of Medical Imaging, College of Medicine, University of Arizona, P.O. Box 245067, Tucson, AZ 85724-5067, USA
- ³ Department of Imaging Physics, College of Medicine, The University of Texas, P.O. Box 301402, Houston, TX 77230-1402, USA
- ⁴ Department of Interventional Radiology, OHSU and Tanta University, Egypt, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239-3011, USA
- ⁵ Department of Radiology, Duke University Medical Center, Box 3808, Durham, NC 27710, USA
- ⁶ Department of Radiology, 300 East 66th Street Floors 5-6, New York, NY 10065, USA
- ⁷ Department of Radiology, University of California, Irvine, 101 City Drive South Bldg. 1, Rt. 140, Orange, CA 92868, USA
- ⁸ Department of Radiology, Thunder Bay Regional Health Sciences Centre, 980 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada
- ⁹ Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging, Loyola University Medical Center, 2160 S. First Ave, Maywood, IL 60153, USA
- ¹⁰ Department of Radiology, Georgetown University, 3800 Reservoir Rd NW, Washington, DC 20007, USA
- ¹¹ Department of Abdominal Imaging, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1400 Pressler St, Houston, TX 77030, USA

¹ Department of Radiology, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, 1542 Tulane Avenue, Rm 343, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA

Table 1 Summary of the 2018 version of the LI-RADS TRA four treatment response categories

Response category	Characteristics
LR-TR Nonevaluable	Cannot evaluate due to image degradation or omission
LR-TR Nonviable	No lesional enhancement OR A treatment-specific expected enhancement pattern
LR-TR Equivocal	Enhancement atypical for treatment-specific expected enhancement pattern and not meeting criteria for probably or definitely viable
LR-TR Viable	Nodular, mass-like, or thick irregular tissue in or along the treated lesion with any of the following: 1. APHE 2. Washout appearance 3. Enhancement similar to pre-treatment

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.