**IMAGE OF THE MONTH** 



## The value of [<sup>18</sup>F]FET PET and somatostatin receptor imaging for differentiating pseudoprogression in residual meningioma

Katharina J. Müller<sup>1</sup> · Annamaria Biczok<sup>2</sup> · Christian Schichor<sup>2</sup> · Louisa von Baumgarten<sup>2</sup> · Nathalie L. Albert<sup>3</sup>

Received: 8 September 2023 / Accepted: 13 October 2023 / Published online: 28 October 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

## Image of the month

An 84-year-old male presented with transitional meningioma WHO° 1 (Figure part A, prior to resection). After completed therapy (resection, cyberknife radiosurgery, fractionated radiation), he showed right-sided residues infiltrating the transverse and sigmoid sinuses (Figure part B, after multimodal therapy). At follow-up 12 months later, MRI showed a new heterogeneous contrast enhancement in the left occipital resection cavity, suggestive of tumor progression (Figure part C, follow-up) [1]. Due to limited availability of somatostatin receptor (SSTR) PET imaging, [<sup>18</sup>F]FET PET was performed as an alternative method. The left occipital lesion showed minor radionuclide uptake on [18F]FET PET (TBR<sub>max</sub>: 2.4, TBR<sub>mean</sub>: 1.2; red arrow), whereas the right-sided meningioma showed intense uptake (TBR<sub>max</sub>: 4.6; white arrow). Analysis of [<sup>18</sup>F]FET uptake dynamics revealed decreasing time-activity curves (TTP<sub>min</sub>:12.5 min) in the right-sided meningioma and increasing curves in the left occipital lesion. Three weeks later, we performed SSTR imaging using [<sup>18</sup>F]SiTATE, showing typical SSTR expression of the right-sided meningioma (SUV<sub>max</sub>: 17.1; white arrow), but no typical SSTR expression in the left occipital lesion (SUV<sub>max</sub>: 1.9; red arrow) [2]. Together with the moderate [<sup>18</sup>F]FET uptake, these findings were interpreted as pseudoprogression, confirmed by further follow-up.

The incidence of posttherapeutic pseudoprogression in meningioma is still unknown but considered rare [3]. With an increasing range of treatment options, diagnostic strategies are required to distinguish tumor recurrence more accurately from pseudoprogression [3]. However, when rapid clinical access to SSTR imaging is limited, this may delay diagnosis [4, 5]. To our knowledge, this is the first case demonstrating the value of dual tracer PET imaging in the detection of pseudoprogression in meningioma.

Nathalie L. Albert Nathalie.Albert@med.uni-muenchen.de

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Neurology, University Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Campus Grosshadern, Marchioninistr. 15, 81377 Munich, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Campus Grosshadern, Marchioninistr. 15, 81377 Munich, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Campus Grosshadern, Marchioninistr. 15, 81377 Munich, Germany



**Acknowledgements** We thank the patient for granting permission to publish this article.

board approval was required for this case report. The article was written according to the CARE reporting guidelines.

Author contribution K. J. M.: writing original draft, visualization. A. B.: writing-review and editing. C. S.: writing-review and editing. L. B.: writing-review and editing. N. L. A.: supervision, visualization, writing-review and editing.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## Declarations

**Ethical approval** Written informed consent in respect of this case report was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. No ethics

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

- Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. JNS. 2015;122(1):4–23. https://doi.org/10.3171/2014.7.JNS131644.
- Ilhan H, Lindner S, Todica A, et al. Biodistribution and first clinical results of 18F-SiFAlin-TATE PET: a novel 18F-labeled somatostatin analog for imaging of neuroendocrine tumors. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2020;47(4):870–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-019-04501-6.
- Wirsching HG, Steiner L, Becker D, et al. Increase in contrast-enhancing volume of irradiated meningiomas reflects tumor progression and not pseudoprogression. Neuro Oncol. 2021;23(9):1612–3. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab119.
- Hennrich U, Benešová M. [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC: the first FDA-approved 68Ga-radiopharmaceutical for PET imaging. Pharmaceuticals. 2020;13(3):38. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph130 30038.
- Unterrainer M, Kunte SC, Unterrainer LM, et al. Next-generation PET/CT imaging in meningioma—first clinical experiences using the novel SSTR-targeting peptide [18F]SiTATE. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2023;50:3390–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-023-06315-z.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.