REVIEW ARTICLE



Fibroblast activation protein-targeted radionuclide therapy: background, opportunities, and challenges of first (pre)clinical studies

Bastiaan M. Privé^{1,2} · Mohamed A. Boussihmad¹ · Bart Timmermans¹ · Willemijn A. van Gemert¹ · Steffie M. B. Peters¹ · Yvonne H. W. Derks¹ · Sanne A. M. van Lith¹ · Niven Mehra³ · James Nagarajah¹ · Sandra Heskamp¹ · Harm Westdorp^{1,3}

Received: 16 November 2022 / Accepted: 8 February 2023 / Published online: 23 February 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Introduction Fibroblast activation protein (FAP) is highly overexpressed in stromal tissue of various cancers. While FAP has been recognized as a potential diagnostic or therapeutic cancer target for decades, the surge of radiolabeled FAP-targeting molecules has the potential to revolutionize its perspective. It is presently hypothesized that FAP targeted radioligand therapy (TRT) may become a novel treatment for various types of cancer. To date, several preclinical and case series have been reported on FAP TRT using varying compounds and showing effective and tolerant results in advanced cancer patients. Here, we review the current (pre)clinical data on FAP TRT and discuss its perspective towards broader clinical implementation. **Methods** A PubMed search was performed to identify all FAP tracers used for TRT. Both preclinical and clinical studies were included if they reported on dosimetry, treatment response or adverse events. The last search was performed on July 22 2022. In addition, a database search was performed on clinical trial registries (date 15th of July 2022) to search for prospective trialson FAP TRT.

Results In total, 35 papers were identified that were related to FAP TRT. This resulted in the inclusion of the following tracers for review: FAPI-04, FAPI-46, FAP-2286, SA.FAP, ND-bisFAPI, PNT6555, TEFAPI-06/07, FAPI-C12/C16, and FSDD. **Conclusion** To date, data was reported on more than 100 patients that were treated with different FAP targeted radionuclide therapies such as [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04, [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPIand [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂. In these studies, FAP targeted radionuclide therapy has resulted in objective responses in difficult to treat end stage cancer patients with manageable adverse events. Although no prospective data is yet available, these early data encourages further research.

Keywords Fibroblast activation protein · Cancer-associated fibroblasts · Radionuclide therapy · Lutetium-177 · Yttrium-90

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Theragnostic.

Bastiaan M. Privé and Mohamed A. Boussihmad shared first author.

Bastiaan M. Privé Bastiaan.prive@radboudumc.nl

- ¹ Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, PO Box 9101, Radboudume, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ² Department of Radiation Oncology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ³ Department of Medical Oncology, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Introduction

According to Global Cancer Statistics, there were roughly 19.3 million new cancer diagnoses and 10.0 million cancer deaths globally in 2020 [1]. Cancers develop in complex environments composed of tumor cells and the surrounding stroma. As early as 1889, the "seed and soil" idea highlighted the interdependent relevance of both elements [2]. The majority of diagnostic and therapeutic approaches have focused on tumor cells. However, the tumor microenvironment (TME) is receiving incremental attention.

The TME consists of immune cells, vasculature, extracellular matrix, and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF). Treatment failure can occur as a result of the development of an immunosuppressive TME that shields tumor cells from



therapeutic agents. In solid tumors, CAFs are one of the TMEs most prevalent components [3]. However, CAFs are heterogeneous cells, and various conditions have been shown to result in both tumor-promoting and tumor-suppressive activities [4]. They are able to remodel the ECM structure, thereby contributing to tumor initiation, neovascularization, and metastasis. This can either act as a physical barrier to prevent the infiltration of immune cells or as a structural scaffold for intercellular interaction between tumor cells and nontumor cells in the TME [5]. Adversely, CAFs can release a variety of chemokines and cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), CC-chemokine ligand 2, and transforming growth factor (TGF), in order to attract inhibitory immune cell subsets in the tumor stroma, hence promoting immune evasion [6]. Platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β (PDGFR- β), α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA), and fibroblast activation protein (FAP) are only a few of the biological markers found in CAFs. FAP is a type II integral membrane glycoprotein from the serine protease family that has a role in fibrogenesis and ECM remodeling. Because of the wide distribution of FAP in numerous cancer types (e.g., sarcoma, prostate cancer, breast cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, head and neck cancer, colorectal cancer) the question arises whether FAP can be a novel therapeutic target in cancer (Fig. 1).

Despite the literature's inconsistent findings about the prognostic significance of FAP in malignancies, high expression of FAP has been demonstrated to be an independent poor prognostic predictor for outcomes in lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and colon cancer [7, 8]. FAP overexpression has been shown to enhance tumor development in a mouse model which may afterwards be decreased by anti-FAP antibodies [9]. However, sibrotuzumab (a humanized version of the mouse anti-FAP antibody) was ineffective as a therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer in an early phase II study [10]. Yet, the field of nuclear medicine has recently seen an increase in interest of radiolabeled small molecules that target FAP. It has been hypothesized that positron emission tomography (PET) of radiolabeled FAP inhibitors (FAPI) with short-living positron emitters (e.g., ⁶⁸Ga/¹⁸F) may replace ¹⁸F-FDG in several major tumor types (e.g., breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, sarcoma) [3, 11]. Moreover, due to the high tumor uptake of FAP tracers and low expression of FAP in healthy tissues, FAP is deemed as a promising target for FAP TRT. As response to TRT is a result of the cytotoxic effect of radiation and not to a direct inhibitory effect of a FAP-binding molecule, the earlier reports on anti-FAP antibodies (e.g., sibrotuzumab) does not apply using this approach. While the FAP-targeting agent ensures uptake in the tumor stroma, the cross-fire effect of the radiolabeled (alpha or beta emitting) radionuclides deliver tumoricidal doses to the ECM including the cancer cells. Currently, several clinical trials are investigating ¹⁷⁷Lu, ⁹⁰Y, and ²²⁵Ac-labeled FAP-targeting tracers (e.g., NCT04939610, NCT04849247, ACTRN12621000935831), and several small case series have been published to date. Therefore, we aimed to review the presently reported results on therapeutic FAP ligands for TRT and provide an overview of the active studies. Finally, potential opportunities and challenges are discussed.

Method

Search strategy

The selection of FAP ligand types for this review was based on a combination of electronic library searches. First, the different FAP ligands used for TRT were analyzed. Second, literature was searched by universal Pub-Med searches (see Supplementary Table 1) for the FAP ligands. This resulted in the inclusion of FAPI-04, FAPI-46, FAP-2286, SA.FAP, ND-bisFAPI, PNT6555, TEF-API-06/07, FAPI-C12/C16, and FSDD.

For each FAP-targeting molecule, the PubMed results were screened for preclinical and clinical studies on FAP TRT. Both preclinical and clinical studies and conference abstracts were included. The last search was performed on the 22 of July 2022.

For preclinical studies, data was reported on the percentage of injected dose per gram (%ID/g), dosimetry, and treatment response. Retrospective as well as prospective data of clinical studies were included if they reported on either dosimetry, treatment response, or adverse events. FAP imaging studies were excluded from this report.

A database search was performed on clinical trial registries such as clinicaltrials.gov (date 15 of July 2022) to search for active prospective trials using the terms: fibroblast activation protein, cancer-associated fibroblasts, FAP, and FAPI.

Results

mAbF19

the monoclonal antibody F19 ([¹³¹I]I-mAbF19) [12]. Seventeen patients with hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer were given [¹³¹I]I-mAbF19 intravenously. Respectively, seven, four, and six patients received 0.2, 2, or 8 mg/m² of mAbF19 labeled with ~ 370 MBq ¹³¹I, approximately 7 days prior to surgery. Visualization of [¹³¹I]I-mAbF19 accumulation was made possible by the γ -decay of ¹³¹I. Fifteen of the 17 patients showed selective uptake of [¹³¹I]I-mAbF19 in metastases, with a 21:1 tumor to liver ratio. Administration of ¹³¹III-mAbF19 was not linked to any toxicities. At the time of surgery, between 0.001 and 0.016% of the administered [¹³¹I]I-mAbF19 dose per gram tumor (%ID/g tumor) were detected in the metastases. The authors suggested potential diagnostic and therapeutic application of humanized [¹³¹I] I-mAbF19. Based on the results with the murine mAb F19, and to address problems of immune responses to murine antibodies, a few years later, a humanized version of the mAb F19 (sibrotuzumab) was developed. In a phase I dose escalation study of $[^{131}I]$ I-sibrotuzumab study, 26 patients (n =20 colorectal cancer and n = 6 with non-small lung cancer) received either 5 mg/m², 10 mg/m², 25 mg/m², or 50 mg/ m² sibrotuzumab labeled with 296–370 MBg ¹³¹I. A total of 218 infusions of sibrotuzumab were administered during the first 12 weeks of the study, with 24 patients being evaluable. Three patients were excluded from study because of symptoms of clinical immune responses to the humanized antibody. Unfortunately, both studies on FAP TRT failed to show significant efficacy with no objective tumor responses observed [12, 13]. Therefore, FAP TRT was rested, until the last few years when novel small-molecules targeting FAP were developed.

FAPI-04

Preclinical studies

The first study on FAP inhibitors (FAPI) was published in 2018 by Lindner and colleagues. In this study, BALB/c nu/ nu mice were inoculated with HT-1080 cells transfected with FAP. When the tumor had grown to approximately 1 cm³, several different [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-labeled FAPIs were injected via the tail vein. In this study, FAPI-04 was the best candidate for TRT as it showed high tumor accumulation, slower excretion, and no significant increase in background activity. While [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-13 showed higher tumor uptake leading to higher doses, the retention of the tracer in the blood was also higher. It was hypothesized that this may lead to higher bone marrow toxicity during treatment. Therefore, FAPI-04 was chosen as the preferred theranostic tracer despite having a rather short tumor retention time. The authors suggest using FAPI-04 with a radionuclide that follows this shorter retention time (e.g., ¹⁵³SM, beta decay, and $t_{1/2}$ of 1.92 days or ⁹⁰Y, beta decay, and $t_{1/2}$ of 2.66 days).

In 2020, Watabe et al. evaluated FAPI-04 in a murine tumor model [14]. Mice with human pancreatic cancer xenografts (PANC-1 or MIA PaCa-2) received 7.21 ± 0.46 MBq $[^{64}$ Cu]Cu-FAPI-04 (t_{1/2} 12.7 h) to enable PET imaging. For TRT, PANC-1 xenografted mice received 34 KBq of [²²⁵Ac] Ac-FAPI-04. When compared to untreated control mice, [²²⁵Ac]Ac-FAPI-04 injection significantly reduced tumor growth without significantly altering body weight. Following these results, the authors postulated that [²²⁵Ac]Ac-FAPI-04 showed potential for treatment of pancreatic cancer. In 2021, Ma et al. described a preclinical study of FAPI-04 [15]. The authors hypothesize that ²¹¹At may be best suited for FAPI-04 TRT due to the alpha decay and the short $T_{1/2}$ of 7.2 h compared to 90 Y, 177 Lu (beta decay, $t_{1/2}$ 6.7 days), 225 Ac (alpha decay, $t_{1/2}$ 10 days), and ¹⁸⁸Re (beta decay, $T_{1/2}$ 16.9 hours). However, due to limited availability of ²¹¹At, they used ¹³¹I as it has similar chemical characteristics to ²¹¹At. In this work, FAPI-02 and FAPI-04 precursors were synthesized for ²¹¹At and ¹³¹I labeling. [¹³¹I]I-FAPI-04 produced good tumor accumulation and good tumor-to-organ ratios, which were shown by SPECT/CT imaging. Additionally, intratumoral injection of [¹³¹I]I-FAPI-04 effectively reduced tumor development in U87MG xenograft mice side effects. In January 2022, Ma et al. described the in vitro and in vivo therapeutic effect of [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 in a glioma tumor model [16]. Four-week-old BALB/c-nude mice were inoculated with U87MG and MCF-7 cells. [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 (0.3 MBq) was administrated to U87MG xenografts via intravenous or intratumoral injection when the tumor volume reached ~ 200 mm³. [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 clearly inhibited tumor growth and increased median survival in a dosedependent manner without significantly harming healthy organs. [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 therapy was similarly accompanied with enhanced apoptosis and decreased proliferation. All of these findings implied that [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 may be a successful approach for TRT with FAPI-04. No clinical trial using [²¹¹At]At-FAPI-04 has been reported to date.

Clinical data

The study of Lindner and colleagues in 2018 presented a patient with metastasized breast cancer who received ~ 2.9 GBq [90 Y]Y-FAPI-04. Imaging with [68 Ga]Ga-FAPI-04 and [90 Y]Y-FAPI-04 revealed high tracer uptake in metastases. After treatment with [90 Y]Y-FAPI-04, the patient reported significantly less pain. Furthermore, no side effects were observed, especially with respect to the bone marrow [17]. The authors anticipated that higher activities can be given resulting in better tumoricidal effects.

In 2021, Kuyumcu et al. published a dosimetry study where a low dose of $[^{177}Lu]Lu$ -FAPI-04 (267.5 ± 8.6 MBq) was given to four patients with metastatic advanced-stage cancer (breast cancer, thymic carcinoma, thyroid cancer, and ovarian

cancer) [18]. Data acquisition was obtained using whole-body SPECT/CT imaging, and blood samples were collected for bone marrow dosimetry. With [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04, the mean absorbed dose to healthy organs at risk was low: 0.25 ± 0.16 mGy/MBq (range: 0.11-0.47 mGy/MBq), 0.11 ± 0.08 mGy/ MBq (range: 0.06-0.22 mGy/MBq), and $0.04 \pm 0.002 \text{ mGy/}$ MBq (range: 0.04-0.046 mGy/MBq) for kidneys, liver, and bone marrow, respectively (Table 1). For bone, lymph node, liver, and soft tissue metastases, the mean absorbed dose was 0.62 ± 0.55 mGy/MBq, 0.38 ± 0.22 mGy/MBq, 0.33 ± 0.21 mGy/MBq, and 0.37 ± 0.29 mGy/MBq, respectively. Therefore, the dose to the organs at risk was lower compared to the dose to tumors. However, the authors concluded that employing different radioisotopes may be preferred due to the short tumor retention time of FAPI-04. Moreover, development of tracers with better tumor retention was warranted.

FAPI-46

Preclinical studies

In recent years, the group of the University of Heidelberg (Germany) studied several FAPI compounds in the search for a FAP tracer with the best properties for TRT. FAPI-46 proved to be most suitable as a theranostic agent due to a longer tumor retention (compared with FAPI-04) while having a similar uptake in healthy tissues [19].

The therapeutic response of [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 and ²²⁵AclAc-FAPI-46 was evaluated in a pancreatic cancer model [20]. Eighteen PANC-1 xenograft mice received 3 MBq (n = 6), 10 MBq (n = 6), or 30 MBq (n = 6) [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-FAPI-46, and 11 PANC-1 xenograft mice were injected with 3 kBq (n = 3), 10 kBq (n = 2), or 30 kBq (n = 6)²²⁵Ac]Ac-FAPI-46. Both [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 and [²²⁵Ac] Ac-FAPI-46 demonstrated quick renal excretion and resulted in a tumor uptake of ~ 0.3 %ID/g at 3 h and ~ 0.1 %ID/g at 24 h. Kidney uptake was approximately 1-2 %ID/g at 3 h and 0.2-0.5 %ID/g at 24 h for both [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 and [²²⁵Ac]Ac-FAPI-46. Both [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 and [²²⁵Ac] Ac-FAPI-46 showed tumor suppression along with a little reduction in body weight. The authors postulated that FAPI TRT may have a role in pancreatic cancer. However, radionuclides with shorter half-life seem more suitable for FAPI-46-based therapy.

Clinical data

The first clinical data in humans on FAPI-46 was published in 2020. This study reported on a patient with metastasized ovarian cancer and a patient with pancreatic cancer that both received 6 GBq [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46 as a last-line treatment. Significant tumor uptake was seen, with low uptake in healthy organs. No follow-up data was reported

Table '	1 Clinical outcomes of	FAP targeted radionucl	ide therapy							
Year	Author	Drug	Total GBq	z	Tumor	Response	Side effects	Tumor dosimetry (Gy/GBq)	Bone marrow dosimetry (Gy/ GBq)	Kidney dosimetry (Gy/GBq)
2018	Linder et al. [17]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAPI-04	2.9	1	Breast	Less pain	None	NR	NR	NR
2021	Kuyumcu et al. [18]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-04	0.27	4	Breast, thymus, thy- roid, and ovarium	NR	NR	0.62	0.002	0.25
2020	Linder et al. [21]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAPI-46	9	7	Ovarium and pan- creas	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2021	Kratochwil et al. [22]	[¹⁵³ Sm]Sm/[⁹⁰ Y] Y-FAPI-46	20 + 8	1	Sarcoma	Stable disease	None	NR	NR	NR
2021	Rathke et al. [23]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAPI-46	Up to 28.1	1	Colorectal and breast	Mixed response	None	NR	NR	NR
2021	Assadi et al. [24]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-46	1.85-4.44	18	Various (breast, colon, ovarium, etc.)	NR	1p grade I-III hema- totoxicity	NR	NR	0.886
2022	Kaghazchi et al. [25]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-46	1.85	1	Pancreas	NR	None	NR	NR	NR
2022	Barashki et al. [26]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-46	7.4	-	Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A	Clinical improvement	None	NR	NR	NR
2022	Fu et al. [27]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-46	22.3	-	Radioiodine-refrac- tory thyroid cancer	NR	None	NR	NR	NR
2022	Fu et al. [28]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-FAPI-46	3.7	-	Nasopharyngeal	Mixed response	None	NR	NR	NR
2022	Ferdinandus et al. [29]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAPI-46	3.8–7.8	10	Pancreas and sarcoma	NR	4p grade III hemato- toxicity	1.28	0.04	0.52
2022	Fendler et al. [30]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAPI-46	3.7-7.4	21	Sarcoma, pancreas, prostate, gastric	1p partial response, 7p stable disease	<pre>8p (38%) grade > III mostly hematotox- icity</pre>	2.81	0.04	0.53
2021	Baum et al. [33]	[⁹⁰ Y]Y-FAP-2286	5.8	11	Pancreas, breast, rec- tum, and ovarium	2p with stable dis- ease, 3p pain relief	3p grade III, mostly hematotoxicity	3	0.05	1
2022	McConathy et al. [34]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]-FAP-2286	3.7	З	Colorectal and peri- toneal	NR	No grade III/IV	NR	NR	NR
2021	Ballal et al. [36]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-DOTA. SA.FAPI	3.2	-	Breast	Less pain	None	1.48-3.46	NR	NR
2021	Ballal et al. [37]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-DOTA. SA.FAPI	2.96	б	Breast	NR	NR	0.6	0.001	0.62
2021	Ballal et al. [37]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. (SA.FAPI) ₂	1.48	2	Thyroid, breast, and paraganglioma	NR	NR	6.7	0.02	0.37
2022	Ballal et al. [38]	[¹⁷⁷ Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. (SA.FAPI) ₂	8.2	15	Radioiodine-refrac- tory differentiated thyroid cancer	4p with partial response, 3p with stable disease	No grade III/IV	10.8	0.3	0.026

of these patients [21]. Another study showed a patient with metastatic fibrous spindle cell soft tissue sarcoma that was treated with 20 GBq [¹⁵³Sm]Sm-FAPI-46 and 8 GBq [⁹⁰Y] Y-FAPI-46 (in an unreported amount of cycles) [22]. The patient received FAPI TRT following all standard of care treatments. FAPI TRT was well tolerated and resulted in stable disease for 8 months. Later, Rahtke and colleagues described a patient with metastatic breast and colorectal cancer, who progressed after several lines of chemotherapy [23]. Both colorectal and breast cancer metastases showed tumor targeting on [68Ga]Ga-FAPI-04 PET/CT. The patient received 3 cycles with a total of 28.1 GBg [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46. Radioligand treatment had a mixed effect with the peritoneal metastases disappearing, but only a little success in treating the breast cancer metastases (despite similar tracer uptake on the FAPI-PET/CT). The overall survival (OS) of this patient was 11 months. At the end of 2021, Assadi et al. demonstrated the therapeutic potential and the feasibility of ¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 in patients with advanced, non-operable tumors or tumors that were refractory to conventional therapies [24]. Eighteen patients (ovarian cancer (n = 2), sarcoma (n = 1), colon cancer (n = 3), breast cancer (n = 5), pancreatic cancer (n = 2), prostate cancer (n = 1), cervical cancer (n = 1), round-cell tumor (n = 1), lung cancer (n = 1)1), and anaplastic thyroid cancer (n = 1)) were treated with doses of 1.85–4.44 GBg [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 per cycle. Ten patients received 2 or more cycles of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46. Therapy was performed in a compassionate use setting. Nearly all patients tolerated the treatment well. However, there was one sarcoma patient with grade 3 anemia, grade 1 thrombocytopenia, and grade 1 leukopenia. Another patient complained of pain increment following treatment. No clear progression-free or OS data could be drawn from this study due to its retrospective design. The median absorbed dose to the kidneys was 0.886 (0.076-1.39) mGy/MBq. The authors did not report on tumor or bone marrow dosimetry. All in all, the authors postulated that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 seems feasible and safe.

In the beginning of 2022, four case reports were published. The first is a patient with end-stage metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with no standard of care options available. The patient was treated with 1.85 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 [25]. [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 showed gradual washout of the tumor lesions within 6 days. The OS in this patient was 45 days. The authors suggested that FAPI-46 may not be the ideal tracer for theranostic applications. The second case report suggested otherwise. Here, TRT with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 was described in a patient with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A syndrome [26]. This patient was also out of conventional options and received 7.4GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46. Following treatment, the patient reported clinical improvement. No grade > 3 AEs were observed. The third case report described a radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer patient with no conventional treatment options. The patient was treated with four cycles of in total 22.3 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 [27]. Uptake was observed 72-h post injection on whole-body scintigraphy. Follow-up exams showed stable disease per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1. No therapy-related adverse symptoms were reported. The authors claimed that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 may be useful in the treatment of advanced radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer. Lastly, a case report showed the use of 3.7 GBy [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 in a 25-year-old patient with undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma [28]. No therapy-related AEs were observed. Eight weeks after TRT, imaging demonstrated a mixed response. The patient had an OS of 3 months.

More recently, a larger case series was published using [90Y]Y-FAPI-46 and reported on its safety, dosimetry, and viability [29]. Between June 2020 and March 2021, nine patients underwent treatment for pancreatic cancer (n =3) or metastatic soft-tissue or bone sarcoma (n = 6). All patients received a median activity of 3.8 GBq for their first cycle, and three patients received 2-3 cycles with a median of 7.4 GBq per cycle. The median follow-up was 44 days (IQR 36-84 days). Five patients died during followup. All five deaths were considered to be due to tumor progression and not related to [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46. Overall, FAP TRT with [90Y]Y-FAPI-46 was well tolerated and showed few AEs. However, four patients developed grade 3 bone marrow toxicity probably related to TRT. Although there were indications of a tumor response, the follow-up was too short for proper response evaluation. Median bone marrow and renal absorbed dose were 0.04 Gy/GBq (IQR, 0.03-0.06 Gy/GBq) and 0.52 Gy/GBq (IQR, 0.41-0.65 Gy/ GBq) per cycle, respectively. They suggested that more cycles of [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46 were feasible given the low radiation doses to organs at risk. Median absorbed dose for tumor lesions after the first cycle was 1.28 Gy/GBg (IQR, 0.83-1.71 Gy/GBq) per cycle for target lesions. In July 2022, the third and latest published study using ⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46 was reported by Fendler et al. (which potentially included patients of the prior study) [30]. The effectiveness (following RECIST) and safety (following CTCAE 5.0) of [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46 were reported in in 16, 3, 1, and 1 patient(s) with sarcoma, pancreatic, prostate, and gastric cancer. These 21 patients, received 47 cycles of 3.7-7.4GBq [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46-RLT. Thirteen out of the 21 patients received two or more cycles. Eight (38%) patients experienced a grade 3 or 4 adverse event, which were mostly bone marrow toxicities. A response per RECIST was seen in approximately a third of the patients. There was 1 partial response and 7 stable diseases. Median progression-free survival (95% CI) was 3.4 (1.1-5.7) months. The median OS was significantly longer for patients that had a RECIST response (14.4 months vs. 6.6 months). The mean (standard deviation $[\pm]$) radiation dose was 0.53 ± 0.04 , 0.04 ± 0.01 , 2.81 ± 1.25 , and 2.15 ± 0.67 Gy/GBq for kidney, bone marrow, tumor lesion with highest radioligand uptake, and lesion with second highest uptake. None of the organs at risk reached threshold doses (Table 1). The authors concluded that [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46 was well tolerated and had organ radiation doses below critical range. More studies are awaited.

FAP-2286

Preclinical trials

The first preclinical data of FAP-2286 was published in 2020 by Zboralski et al. [31]. Following intravenous injection, in vivo biodistribution in mice showed renal excretion of FAP-2286, little uptake in normal tissues, and fast absorption of ¹¹¹In and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-labelled FAP-2286 (30 MBq/nmol or 60 MBq/nmol) in FAP-positive tumors that were sustained for 120 h. After a single intravenous dose, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 had a high anti-tumor activity in HEK-293 xenografts that expressed the FAP receptor. Tumor growth inhibition was ~ 115% at day 14 posttreatment with no significant weight loss. According to the authors, FAP-2286 offered an attractive profile for TRT due to its good tumor uptake and retention in FAPpositive tumors. [177Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 treatment was also compared to FAPI-46 in biochemical and cellular tests. in vivo imaging, and effectiveness experiments [32]. High affinity was shown for both cell surface FAP expressed on fibroblasts and FAP recombinant protein by FAP-2286 and its metal complexes. In mice, biodistribution investigations revealed that [¹¹¹In]In-FAP-2286 (30 MBq) and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 (30 MBq) rapidly and persistently accumulated in FAP-positive tumors, with urinary excretion and little uptake in healthy tissues. High tumor-to-background signal ratio was observed from 1-h post injection onward (in tumor: 11.1 % ID/g). Accumulation of [¹¹¹In]In-FAP-2286 was stably maintained in the HEK-FAP tumors with 9.1 %ID/g at 48 h after injection. The organs with the highest non-target uptake were the kidneys. However, tumor to kidney ratio improved over time, with a 7.5 ratio of tumor to kidney at 48 h. In the HEK tumors that expressed FAP as well as xenografts derived from a sarcoma patient, [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-FAP-2286 showed anti-cancer efficacy (e.g., 111% and 113% of tumor growth inhibition following 30 and 60 MBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286, respectively) without causing any significant weight loss. In addition, compared to [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-FAPI-46, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 showed longer tumor retention (up to 72 h) and better tumor control. In conclusion, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 showed encouraging preclinical data warranting clinical translation.

Clinical trials

The first-in-human results of [177Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 reported toxicity, dosimetry, and feasibility of 5.8 ± 2.0 GBq (per cycle) [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 in 11 patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma (n = 5), breast cancer (n = 4), rectal cancer (n = 1), and ovarian cancer (n = 1) [33]. One patient received a single cycle, 9 patients received 2 cycles, and 1 patient received 3 cycles. [177Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 showed tolerable side effects and prolonged tumor retention (up to 10 days). Three patients reported pain relief following treatment. According to RECIST 1.1, two patients had a stable disease, whereas the other nine had disease progression. No grade 4 adverse events were observed. Grade 3 events occurred in 3 patients: one developed pancytopenia, one leukopenia, and one pain increment. The mean absorbed doses were kidneys, 1.0 ± 0.6 Gy/GBq (range, 0.4-2.0 Gy/ GBq); red marrow, 0.05 ± 0.02 Gy/GBq (range, 0.03-0.09Gy/GBq); and bone metastases, 3.0 ± 2.7 Gy/GBq (range, 0.5-10.6 Gy/GBq) (Table 1). A clinical trial is currently recruiting patients to test [177Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 in a prospective setting.

At the 2022 conference of the Society of Nuclear Medicine, preliminary results of the LUMIERE trial (NCT04939610) were discussed. The LuMIERE study a prospective phase I/II study in patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors evaluating the safety, dosimetry, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary anti-tumor activity of ¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 [34]. The study started in 2021 and is estimated to be completed in 2026. At time of abstract submission, a total of 3 patients got treated with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 (3.7 GBq, one patient received 3 cycli). None of the 3 patients reported any grade 3/4 AEs or clinically significant laboratory abnormalities. At a meeting later that year (European Association of Nuclear Medicine 2022), it was reported that 11 patients yet underwent [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286 (3.7-7.4 GBq) with one patient having a partial response and one stable disease. The final results of this clinical trial are awaited.

SA.FAPI

Preclinical data

In 2020, Moon et al. presented the first data on DOTA. SA.FAPi. This tracer was labelled with ⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁷⁷Lu with high radiochemical yield (> 97%) [35]. First proof-ofprinciple in vivo PET imaging animal studies of the [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-DOTA.SA.FAPi precursor in a HT-29 human colorectal cancer xenograft mouse model indicated promising results with high accumulation in tumor and low background signal. Ex vivo biodistribution showed highest uptake in tumor (5.2 %ID/g) at 60-min post injection with overall low uptake in healthy tissues. However, [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTA.SA.FAPi also showed higher uptake in bone and small intestines. No in vivo results of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi were presented.

Clinical data

In September 2019, a 31-year-old woman was found to have advanced metastatic breast cancer (ER-PR-HER2neu+) [36]. On a compassionate use basis, the patient was given a single cycle of 3.2 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPI. In accordance with [68Ga]Ga-DOTA.SA.FAPI PET/CT scans, physiological radiotracer uptake of [177Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPI was seen in the liver, kidneys, pancreas, and background muscle uptake. Intense radiotracer accumulation was observed in all tumor lesions. After finishing the therapy, the patient observed clinical benefit by having less severe headache. No adverse treatment-related events were noted. The dose to the main tumor and the brain metastasis was approximately 1.48 mGy/MBg and 3.46 mGy/MBg, respectively. The next study of SA.FAPI reported on a total of ten patients (four with breast cancer, five patients with thyroid cancer, and one with paraganglioma). Three patients received 2.96 GBg [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPI, and seven patients were treated with 1.48 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPI)₂ [37]. No proper treatment response data was presented in this study. However, patients that received [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPi)₂ completed more cycles and had a longer survival compared to patients that received [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA. SA.FAPi. The investigators found that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. (SA.FAPI)₂ had higher tumor-absorbed doses when compared to [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPI. The median absorbed doses to the lesions were 0.603 (IQR: 0.230-1.810) Gy/ GBq and 6.70 (IQR: 3.40-49) Gy/GBq dose per cycle in the [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi, and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPi), groups, respectively. The mean dose to the kidneys were 0.618 ± 0.015 Gy/GBq for [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi, while the mean dose with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂ was 0.374 ± 0.26 Gy/GBq. The dose to the bone marrow was 0.001 ± 0.0003 Gy/GBq vs. 0.0173 ± 0.0182 Gy/GBq with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi or [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPi)₂ respectively. Moreover, both tracers had a higher uptake in colon of 0.43-0.47 Gy/GBq or 1.16-2.87 Gy/GBq using [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi or [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. (SA.FAPi)₂, respectively. All in all, this first dosimetry study demonstrated significantly tumor-absorbed doses with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPI)₂. The authors suggested that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. $(SA.FAPI)_2$ was preferred over [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi.

Another study was published on $[^{177}Lu]Lu$ -DOTAGA. (SA.FAPI)₂ in radioiodine-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer [38]. Forty-five cycles of $[^{177}Lu]Lu$ -DOTAGA.(SA. FAPI)₂ were administered in 15 patients, with a median cumulative administered dose of 8.2 ± 2.7 GBq. Four

patients had a partial response, and three patients showed stable disease. None showed grade III/IV toxicity. The median absorbed doses to tumor lesions, kidneys, bone marrow, and colon were 10.8 (IQR: 4.16 to 89.7), 0.3 ± 0.3 and 0.026 ± 0.02 , 0.04 ± 1.97 mSv/MBq, respectively. These preliminary findings indicate that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA. (SA.FAPI)₂ seemed safe, appears to be effective, and may provide a new therapeutic option for patients with aggressive radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer who failed on all conventional therapy. A short while later, a case report emerged which described a 56-year-old man with aggressive medullary thyroid carcinoma, behaving clinically like anaplastic thyroid cancer. He was treated with one cycle of 1.65 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPI)₂. This resulted in a reduction of the tumor mass in the neck and improved quality of life [39]. Taken together, first results on [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPI)2 are encouraging.

ND-bisFAPI

There is one study on ND-bisFAPI [40]. The objective of this work was to create a bivalent FAP ligand that might be used for TRT as well as diagnostic PET imaging. In vitro studies of competitive binding to FAP, cellular internalization, and efflux characteristics were determined using FAP-positive A549-FAP cells and a murine tumor model. ND-bisFAPI demonstrated selective uptake, a high internalized proportion, and a delayed cellular efflux in A549-FAP cells. At 24, 72, 120, and 168 h, biodistribution analyses revealed that [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-ND-bisFAPI had a greater tumor uptake than [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04. A549-FAP tumors received four times more radiation from [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-ND-bisFAPI than from [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04. 37 MBq of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-ND-bisFAPI slowed tumor development considerably. However, the survival following [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-ND-bisFAPI did not differ significantly from [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04. All in all, ND-bisFAPI, which can be labeled with ¹⁸F and ¹⁷⁷Lu, may be promising option for TRT. No clinical trial has been reported to date.

PNT6555

PNT6555 is a novel FAP-targeting ligand. There is one conference abstract which described preclinical data of PNT6555 [41]. PNT6555 was labeled with ⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁷⁷Lu which showed potent activity in FAP inhibition assays using human, mouse, and rat sources of FAP. Direct organ analysis revealed little [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555 accumulation and retention in healthy tissues while revealing significant tumor retention up to 168 h (> 10 %ID/g). Importantly, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555 showed rapid renal clearance. In a murine tumor model, a single dosage of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555 or [²²⁵Ac]

Ac-PNT6555 showed dose-dependent anti-tumor efficacy, with no apparent weight loss seen at any of the tested dosage levels. Clinical translation of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga/[¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu/[²²⁵Ac] Ac-PNT6555 is pending with its phase I–II trial recently starting recruiting (NCT05432193). This phase I trial will assess the safety and tolerability of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-PNT6555 and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555 in patients with solid tumors that show FAP expression. Patients with FAP-avid disease on [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-PNT6555 PET/CT will be eligible to receive up to 6 cycles of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555.

FAP tracers with albumin-binding moieties

EB-FAPI

FAP-targeted molecular imaging radiotracers have shown promising results; however, rapid clearance and inadequate tumor retention seem to be a recurring limitation. In order to overcome these limitations, FAPI tracers with albuminbinding moieties were developed. A group conjugated Evans Blue to the FAPI complex [42, 43]. Several EB-FAPI-Bn were synthesized, which were based on FAPI-02, and labeled with ¹⁷⁷Lu. [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EB-FAPI-B1 showed high tumor accumulation in U87MG tumor bearing mice, with tumor retention up to 96-h post injection (12.42 ± 1.54 %ID/g). The kidney uptake of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EB-FAPI-B1 was 16.38 ± 2.98 %ID/g 8-h post injection which then declined to 8.13 ± 1.36 %ID/g at 48-h post injection. Uptake in other healthy organs uptake was low.

Tumor growth inhibition was observed after administration of 7.4 MBq, 18.5 MBq, and 30 MBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EB-FAPI-B1. Slight weight loss was observed in each treatment groups but within normal range. There are two trials registered on clinicaltrials.gov using [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EB-FAPI. Both of these studies started in June 2022. The first study (NCT05400967) will be performed in patients with advanced cancer and will investigate dosimetry of [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-EB-FAPI following an injection of 1.11 GBq. Moreover, they will also assess the safety and therapeutic response. In a second study NCT05410821, 20 radioactive iodine refractory thyroid cancer patients will be treated with up to 3 cycles of 1.11, 2.22, or 3.33 GBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA-EB-FAPI. The aim of this phase II study is to assess safety, tolerability, and overall response rate.

TEFAPI-06 and TEFAPI-07

TEFAPI-06 and TEFAPI-07 are two albumin-binding FAPI tracers based on FAPI-04 than can be labeled with [44] ⁶⁸Ga, ⁸⁶Y, and ¹⁷⁷Lu. Compared with FAPI-04, TEFAPI-06/07 showed improved tumor uptake and retention in a pancreatic cancer mice model. The mean %ID/g at 24- and 96-h

post injection of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-06 in tumor were 8.7 (± 0.7) and 7.3 (± 2.3), respectively, whereas the mean %ID/g to kidneys were 3.2 (± 0.8) and 2.7 (± 0.5), respectively. The doses to tumors of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-06 and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-07 were similar. However, doses to kidneys were higher with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-07 (24 hours: 8.7 ± 2.3 %ID/g, 96 hours: 10.2 ± 3.3 %ID/g). Tumor growth inhibition was observed with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-06 and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-06 and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-TEFAPI-07 and was higher compared to [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04 and a control group. No clinical trial of TEFAPI-06 or TEFAPI-07 is yet reported.

FAPI-C12 and FAPI-C16

Zhang et al. conjugated two fatty acids, lauric acid (C12) and palmitic acid (C16) to FAPI-04, to create two albuminbinding FAPI radiopharmaceuticals: FAPI-C12 and FAPI-C16, respectively [45]. Whole-body SPECT imaging of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-C12, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-C16, and [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-FAPI-04 showed longer circulation time and significantly higher tumor uptake compared to FAPI-04. Moreover, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-C16 had a higher tumor uptake at both 24 h $(11.22 \pm 1.18 \ \% ID/g)$ and 72 h $(6.50 \pm 1.19 \ \% ID/g)$ compared to [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-C12 (24 h, 7.54±0.97 %ID/g; 72 h, 2.62 ± 0.65 %ID/g). The tumor uptake of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04 was much lower $(1.24 \pm 0.54 \%$ ID/g at 24 h after injection). 29.6 MBq [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-C16 resulted in significant tumor volume inhibition in HT-1080-FAP tumor-bearing mice. The median survival with [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-C16 (28 days) was much longer compared with [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-C12 (12 days) or [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04 (10 days) which was much longer than that of the [177Lu]Lu-FAPI-04-treated group of which the median survival was only 10 days.

FSDD

FSDD are FAP tracers with an albumin-binding moiety. In this study, FSDD₀I, FSDD₁I, and FSDD₃I, were labeled with ⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁷⁷Lu and tested in human hepatocellular carcinoma patient-derived xenografts (HCC-PDXs) [46]. PET imaging showed that [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-FSDD₀I had a better blood retention and tumor uptake compared with [68Ga]Ga-FAPI-04, [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-FSDD₁I, and [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-FSDD₃I, and was therefore selected for further studying. For biodistribution studies, FSDD₀I was labeled with ¹⁷⁷Lu. At 1-h post injection, [177Lu]Lu-FSDD0I had high accumulated in the tumor (14.44 \pm 1.174 %ID/g), bone (12.11 \pm 2.83 %ID/g), and blood $(10.30 \pm 2.03 \text{ \%ID/g})$. The authors postulate that the high bone uptake may be related to the physiological expression of FAP in murine osteoblasts and bone marrow stem cells. At the latest time point (48-h post injection), the ID%/g in tumor was ~ 5. The kidney uptake at 1- and 48-h post injection was ~ 5 ID%/g and ~ 1 ID%/g, respectively.

Because of this, the authors will select FSDD01 for their following studies. Hitherto, no prospective study is registered.

Discussion

This review provides an overview of the currently reported therapeutic tracers that target FAP for TRT. FAP is overexpressed by cancer-associated fibroblasts of several tumor types [47–49]. While the first outcomes of prospective trials of FAP TRT are still awaited, preclinical studies and selected case series showed encouraging data that FAP TRT may become a treatment option for different cancer types. Moreover, in current studies, FAP TRT seemed well tolerated, which corroborates with FDA-approved TRT in patients with neuro-endocrine tumors ([¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTATE) and castration-resistant prostate cancer ([¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA).

With the recent success of PSMA ligands in prostate cancer (e.g., [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617), many investigators have put their attention to the development of FAP tracers. To date, several FAP tracers have been reported with most data referring to four compounds: FAPI-04, FAPI-46, FAP-2286, and DATAGA.(SA.FAPI)₂. Since compassionate care programs differ across the world, with some countries having a liberal policy, there is reported literature of more than 100 patients that have received TRT using FAP tracers. At present, the most promising clinical data of FAP TRT was reported in advanced sarcoma, breast, thyroid, and pancreatic cancer [30, 33]. In these end-stage patients, there is an unmet need for novel anti-cancer therapies. However, the present studies had a retrospective study design with potential selection bias to more fit patients. Moreover, most studies had suboptimal protocols (e.g., dosimetry from whole-body scans using minimal time points, non-randomized, no systematic reporting on AE or outcomes) with short follow-up which may have skewed the outcomes. Importantly, while it is anticipated that most of these malignancies are sensitive to radiation as external beam radiotherapy generally result in a response, it is unknown whether alfa or beta radiation will also result in tumoricidal effects. Therefore, the present reports only suggest that FAP TRT may have efficacy in advanced cancer patients, and results of the first prospective studies are warranted.

To date, the reported side effects show a favorable toxicity profile with limited and manageable high-grade adverse events. The most important reported adverse events are related to bone marrow toxicity (anemia, leukopenia, and reduced number of platelets). This is in concordance to known side effects of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTATATE. At present, it is not feasible to determine which FAP inhibitor has the best toxicity profile, because of the small cohorts and lack of prospective data with systematic reporting on AEs. Yet, the present real-life data suggest that toxicity is low which supports further studying.

Although studies mostly relied on whole-body scans with limited number scanning of time points, there is dosimetry data of FAP TRT for tumors and the organs at risk (e.g., bone marrow and kidneys). The absorbed dose to the tumor ranges from 0.62 ± 0.55 Gy/GBq, 2.81 ± 1.25 Gy/Gbq, 3.0 ± 2.7 Gy/GBq, and 6.70 (IQR: 3.40–49) Gy/GBq, for [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04, [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAP-2286, and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂, respectively. These dosimetry outcomes are in line with the tumor-absorbed doses of [177Lu]Lu-DOTATATE and [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 in neuro-endocrine tumors and prostate cancer, which are 4.4 (range: 0.1-32.0) and 3.25 ± 3.19 Gy/GBq, respectively [50-52]. The doses to the bone marrow and kidneys of the FAP tracers are also within range of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTATATE and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 [50–52]. This data suggests that sufficient radiation doses are absorbed by tumors using FAP TRT, and thus, responses can be anticipated.

The most important challenges of FAP TRT are related to the retention time of FAP tracers in tumor, which is pivotal to enable tumoricidal doses. At present, the best results are reported on [177Lu]Lu-FAP-2286, [177Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPi)₂, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PNT6555, and the albumin-binding tracers (e.g., [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EB-FAP) showing uptake up to 168-h post injection. However, this also seemed to increase the dose to the organs at risk. Other investigators tried to overcome the issue of short tumor retention by labelling it (e.g., FAPI-46) with 90 Y, which has a shorter T_{1/2} of 2.7 days vs 6.6 days of ¹⁷⁷Lu. Therefore, the physical half-life of the isotope better matched the biological half-life of the tracer. Future studies will tell whether FAP tracers with prolonged retention time or FAP tracers with faster pharmacokinetics and shorter living radionuclides end up in better results. Moreover, we need to identify the malignancies that have good expression of FAP and are sensitive to alfa or beta radiation. Hence, most tumors show FAP expression in the TME, while some cancers actually express FAP on their cellular membrane (e.g., sarcoma, certain ovarian, and pancreatic cancers) [53]. Therefore, basket studies including different malignancies are awaited. On the other hand, this broad applicability is also its main opportunity with its potential use in a whole array of cancers. It is also postulated that FAP TRT is particularly useful in combination with other anti-cancer treatments. Here, FAP TRT could increase the permeability of the TME resulting in higher efficacy of concomitant chemo- and immunotherapies. All in all, we now await the first prospective phase I/II data of FAP TRT which are anticipated in 2023.

Conclusion

The long circulation time of FAP-targeting antibodies and poor tumor retention time of FAP-targeting small molecules used to be a matter of concern. However, several teams have developed methods to overcome this limitation by labeling small molecules that target FAP to radionuclides with shorter half-lives. Moreover, there is incremental reporting of novel tracers that show tumor uptake up to 168-h post injection. To date, data was reported on more than 100 patients that were treated with different FAP-targeted radionuclide therapies such as [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-FAPI-04, [⁹⁰Y]Y-FAPI-46, [¹⁷⁷Lu] Lu-FAP-2286, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPI, and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂. In these case series, FAP-targeted radionuclide therapy has resulted in objective responses in difficult to treat end-stage cancer patients with manageable adverse events. Although no prospective study data is yet available, the early data encourages further studying. For now, we await the first prospective phase I/II data of FAP TRT.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-023-06144-0.

Author contribution All authors were involved in writing and reviewing of the manuscript. BP and MB were involved in the study design, paper analysis, and manuscript design.

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate N/A

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71:209–49. https://doi.org/10. 3322/caac.21660.
- Paget S. The distribution of secondary growths in cancer of the breast. 1889. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 1989;8:98-101.
- Kalluri R. The biology and function of fibroblasts in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2016;16:582–98.
- 4. Mueller MM, Fusenig NE. Friends or foes bipolar effects of the tumour stroma in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004;4:839–49.
- 5. Yang X, Lin Y, Shi Y, Li B, Liu W, Yin W, et al. FAP Promotes immunosuppression by cancer-associated fibroblasts in the tumor

🖉 Springer

microenvironment via STAT3-CCL2 signaling. Cancer Res. 2016;76:4124-35.

- Baeriswyl V, Christofori G. The angiogenic switch in carcinogenesis. Semin Cancer Biol. 2009;19:329–37.
- Zou B, Liu X, Zhang B, Gong Y, Cai C, Li P, et al. The expression of FAP in hepatocellular carcinoma cells is induced by hypoxia and correlates with poor clinical outcomes. J Cancer. 2018;9:3278–86.
- Wikberg ML, Edin S, Lundberg IV, Van Guelpen B, Dahlin AM, Rutegård J, et al. High intratumoral expression of fibroblast activation protein (FAP) in colon cancer is associated with poorer patient prognosis. Tumour Biol. 2013;34:1013–20.
- 9. Cheng JD, Dunbrack RL Jr, Valianou M, Rogatko A, Alpaugh RK, Weiner LM. Promotion of tumor growth by murine fibroblast activation protein, a serine protease, in an animal model. Cancer Res. 2002;62:4767–72.
- 10. Hofheinz RD, al-Batran SE, Hartmann F, Hartung G, Jäger D, Renner C, et al. Stromal antigen targeting by a humanised monoclonal antibody: an early phase II trial of sibrotuzumab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Onkologie. 2003;26:44-8.
- Zhao L, Chen J, Pang Y, Fu K, Shang Q, Wu H, et al. Fibroblast activation protein-based theranostics in cancer research: A stateof-the-art review. Theranostics. 2022;12:1557–69.
- Welt S, Divgi CR, Scott AM, Garin-Chesa P, Finn RD, Graham M, et al. Antibody targeting in metastatic colon cancer: a phase I study of monoclonal antibody F19 against a cell-surface protein of reactive tumor stromal fibroblasts. J Clin Oncol. 1994;12:1193–203.
- Scott AM, Wiseman G, Welt S, Adjei A, Lee FT, Hopkins W, et al. A Phase I dose-escalation study of sibrotuzumab in patients with advanced or metastatic fibroblast activation protein-positive cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2003;9:1639–47.
- Watabe T, Liu Y, Kaneda-Nakashima K, Shirakami Y, Lindner T, Ooe K, et al. Theranostics targeting fibroblast activation protein in the tumor stroma: (64)Cu- and (225)Ac-labeled FAPI-04 in pancreatic cancer xenograft mouse models. J Nucl Med. 2020;61:563–9.
- Ma H, Li F, Shen G, Cai H, Liu W, Lan T, et al. Synthesis and preliminary evaluation of (131)I-labeled FAPI tracers for cancer theranostics. Mol Pharm. 2021;18:4179–87. https://doi.org/10. 1021/acs.molpharmaceut.1c00566.
- Ma H, Li F, Shen G, Pan L, Liu W, Liang R, et al. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of (211)At-labeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor for glioma treatment. Bioorg Med Chem. 2022;55: 116600.
- Lindner T, Loktev A, Altmann A, Giesel F, Kratochwil C, Debus J, et al. Development of quinoline-based theranostic ligands for the targeting of fibroblast activation protein. J Nucl Med. 2018;59:1415–22. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.118. 210443.
- Kuyumcu S, Kovan B, Sanli Y, Buyukkaya F, Has Simsek D, Özkan ZG, et al. Safety of fibroblast activation protein-targeted radionuclide therapy by a low-dose dosimetric approach using 177Lu-FAPI04. Clin Nucl Med. 2021;46:641–6.
- Loktev A, Lindner T, Burger E-M, Altmann A, Giesel F, Kratochwil C, et al. Development of fibroblast activation protein–targeted radiotracers with improved tumor retention. Journal of Nuclear Medicine. 2019;60:1421. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.118.224469.
- Liu Y, Watabe T, Kaneda-Nakashima K, Shirakami Y, Naka S, Ooe K, et al. Fibroblast activation protein targeted therapy using [177Lu]FAPI-46 compared with [225Ac]FAPI-46 in a pancreatic cancer model. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2022;49:871–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-021-05554-2.
- Lindner T, Altmann A, Krämer S, Kleist C, Loktev A, Kratochwil C, et al. Design and development of ^{99m}Tc-labeled FAPI tracers for SPECT imaging and ¹⁸⁸Re therapy. Journal of Nuclear

Medicine. 2020;61:1507. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119. 239731.

- 22. Kratochwil C, Giesel FL, Rathke H, Fink R, Dendl K, Debus J, et al. [(153)Sm]Samarium-labeled FAPI-46 radioligand therapy in a patient with lung metastases of a sarcoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:3011–3.
- Rathke H, Fuxius S, Giesel FL, Lindner T, Debus J, Haberkorn U, et al. Two Tumors, one target: preliminary experience with 90Y-FAPI therapy in a patient with metastasized breast and colorectal cancer. Clin Nucl Med. 2021;46:842–4.
- Assadi M, Rekabpour SJ, Jafari E, Divband G, Nikkholgh B, Amini H, et al. Feasibility and therapeutic potential of 177Lufibroblast activation protein inhibitor-46 for patients with relapsed or refractory cancers: a preliminary study. Clin Nucl Med. 2021;46:e523–30.
- Kaghazchi F, Aghdam RA, Haghighi S, Vali R, Adinehpour Z. 177Lu-FAPI therapy in a patient with end-stage metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Clin Nucl Med. 2022;47:e243–5.
- Barashki S, Divband G, Askari E, Amini H, Aryana K. Fibroblast activation protein inhibitor imaging and therapy in a patient with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A syndrome. Clin Nucl Med. 2022;47:e284–6.
- 27. Fu H, Huang J, Sun L, Wu H, Chen H. FAP-targeted radionuclide therapy of advanced radioiodine-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer with multiple cycles of 177Lu-FAPI-46. Clin Nucl Med. 2022.
- Fu K, Pang Y, Zhao L, Lin L, Wu H, Sun L, et al. FAP-targeted radionuclide therapy with [(177)Lu]Lu-FAPI-46 in metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2022;49:1767–9.
- Ferdinandus J, Costa PF, Kessler L, Weber M, Hirmas N, Kostbade K, et al. Initial clinical experience with (90)Y-FAPI-46 radioligand therapy for advanced-stage solid tumors: a case series of 9 patients. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:727–34.
- Fendler WP, Pabst KM, Kessler L, Fragoso Costa P, Ferdinandus J, Weber M, et al. Safety and efficacy of 90Y-FAPI-46 radioligand therapy in patients with advanced sarcoma and other cancer entities. Clin Cancer Res. 2022.
- Zboralski D, Osterkamp F, Simmons AD, Bredenbeck A, Schumann A, Paschke M, et al. 571P Preclinical evaluation of FAP-2286, a peptide-targeted radionuclide therapy (PTRT) to fibroblast activation protein alpha (FAP). Annals of Oncology. 2020;31:S488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.685.
- 32. Zboralski D, Hoehne A, Bredenbeck A, Schumann A, Nguyen M, Schneider E, et al. Preclinical evaluation of FAP-2286 for fibroblast activation protein targeted radionuclide imaging and therapy. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2022;49:3651–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05842-5.
- Baum RP, Schuchardt C, Singh A, Chantadisai M, Robiller FC, Zhang J, et al. Feasibility, biodistribution, and preliminary dosimetry in peptide-targeted radionuclide therapy of diverse adenocarcinomas using (177)Lu-FAP-2286: first-in-humans results. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:415–23. https://doi.org/10.2967/ jnumed.120.259192.
- 34. Jonathan McConathy, Mallika Dhawan, Ajit H. Goenka, Emerson A. Lim, Yusuf Menda, Beth Chasen, et al. LuMIERE: a phase 1/2 study investigating safety, pharmacokinetics, dosimetry, and preliminary antitumor activity of 177Lu-FAP-2286 in patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors. Cancer Research. 2022;82:Page 1 6403.
- 35. Moon ES, Elvas F, Vliegen G, De Lombaerde S, Vangestel C, De Bruycker S, et al. Targeting fibroblast activation protein (FAP): next generation PET radiotracers using squaramide coupled bifunctional DOTA and DATA(5m) chelators. EJNMMI Radiopharm Chem. 2020;5:19.

- 36. Ballal S, Yadav MP, Kramer V, Moon ES, Roesch F, Tripathi M, et al. A theranostic approach of [(68)Ga]Ga-DOTA.SA.FAPi PET/CT-guided [(177)Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi radionuclide therapy in an end-stage breast cancer patient: new frontier in targeted radionuclide therapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:942-4.
- Ballal S, Yadav MP, Moon ES, Kramer VS, Roesch F, Kumari S, et al. First-in-human results on the biodistribution, pharmacokinetics, and dosimetry of [(177)Lu]Lu-DOTA.SA.FAPi and [(177)Lu] Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)(2). Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2021;14.
- Ballal S, Yadav MP, Moon ES, Roesch F, Kumari S, Agarwal S, et al. Novel fibroblast activation protein inhibitor-based targeted theranostics for radioiodine-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer patients: a pilot study. Thyroid. 2022;32:65–77.
- 39. Ballal S, Yadav MP, Moon ES, Rösch F, ArunRaj ST, Agarwal S, et al. First-in-human experience with 177Lu-DOTAGA.(SA. FAPi)2 therapy in an uncommon case of aggressive medullary thyroid carcinoma clinically mimicking as anaplastic thyroid cancer. Clinical Nuclear Medicine. 2022;47:e444-e5. https://doi.org/10.1097/rlu.00000000004164.
- 40. Li H, Ye S, Li L, Zhong J, Yan Q, Zhong Y, et al. (18)F- or (177) Lu-labeled bivalent ligand of fibroblast activation protein with high tumor uptake and retention. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2022;49:2705–15.
- 41. Robin M. Hallett SEP, Kyle E. Novakowski, Mark H. Dornan, Shin Hye Ahn, Shuang Pan, Wu Wengen, Liu Yuxin, David G., Sanford VSH, Quang-De Nguyen, Anthony P. Belanger, Jack H. Lai, William W. Bachovchin, Joe A. B. McCann. Pre-clinical characterization of the novel Fibroblast Activation Protein (FAP) targeting ligand PNT6555 for the imaging and therapy of cancer. Cancer Research. 2022;82:1 - 6403.
- 42. Wen X, Xu P, Shi M, Liu J, Zeng X, Zhang Y, et al. Evans bluemodified radiolabeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor as long-acting cancer therapeutics. Theranostics. 2022;12:422–33.
- 43. Wen X, Xu P, Shi M, Guo Z, Zhang X, Khong P-L, et al. ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-EB-FAPI imaging and therapy of fibroblast activation protein (FAP) positive Tumors. Journal of Nuclear Medicine. 2022;63:4017.
- Xu M, Zhang P, Ding J, Chen J, Huo L, Liu Z. Albumin binderconjugated fibroblast activation protein inhibitor radiopharmaceuticals for cancer therapy. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:952–8.
- 45. Zhang P, Xu M, Ding J, Chen J, Zhang T, Huo L, et al. Fatty acid-conjugated radiopharmaceuticals for fibroblast activation protein-targeted radiotherapy. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2022;49:1985–96. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05591-x.
- 46. Meng L, Fang J, Zhao L, Wang T, Yuan P, Zhao Z, et al. Rational design and pharmacomodulation of protein-binding theranostic radioligands for targeting the fibroblast activation protein. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. 2022;65:8245–57. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c02162.
- 47. Siveke JT. Fibroblast-activating protein: targeting the roots of the tumor microenvironment. Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine. 2018;59:1412–4. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.118.214361.
- Garin-Chesa P, Old LJ, Rettig WJ. Cell surface glycoprotein of reactive stromal fibroblasts as a potential antibody target in human epithelial cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:7235–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.18.7235.
- Hamson EJ, Keane FM, Tholen S, Schilling O, Gorrell MD. Understanding fibroblast activation protein (FAP): substrates, activities, expression and targeting for cancer therapy. Proteomics Clin Appl. 2014;8:454–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/prca. 201300095.
- Del Prete M, Buteau F-A, Arsenault F, Saighi N, Bouchard L-O, Beaulieu A, et al. Personalized 177Lu-octreotate peptide

receptor radionuclide therapy of neuroendocrine tumours: initial results from the P-PRRT trial. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. 2019;46:728–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-4209-7.

- 51. Violet J, Jackson P, Ferdinandus J, Sandhu S, Akhurst T, Iravani A, et al. Dosimetry of (177)Lu-PSMA-617 in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: correlations between pretherapeutic imaging and whole-body tumor dosimetry with treatment outcomes. J Nucl Med. 2019;60:517–23. https://doi. org/10.2967/jnumed.118.219352.
- 52. Peters SMB, Privé BM, de Bakker M, de Lange F, Jentzen W, Eek A, et al. Intra-therapeutic dosimetry of [(177)Lu]

Lu-PSMA-617 in low-volume hormone-sensitive metastatic prostate cancer patients and correlation with treatment outcome. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2022;49:460–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05471-4.

 Liu F, Qi L, Liu B, Liu J, Zhang H, Che D, et al. Fibroblast activation protein overexpression and clinical implications in solid tumors: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0116683. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116683.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.