



Correction to: Brain PET amyloid and neurodegeneration biomarkers in the context of the 2018 NIA-AA research framework: an individual approach exploring clinical-biomarker mismatches and sociodemographic parameters

Artur Martins Coutinho^{1,2,3} · Geraldo F. Busatto^{2,4} · Fábio Henrique de Gobbi Porto^{2,4} · Daniele de Paula Faria^{1,2} · Carla Rachel Ono^{1,3} · Alexandre Teles Garcez^{1,2} · Paula Squarzoni^{2,4} · Fábio Luiz de Souza Duran^{2,4} · Maira Okada de Oliveira⁵ · Eduardo Sturzeneker Tres⁵ · Sonia Maria Dozzi Brucki⁵ · Orestes Vicente Forlenza⁶ · Ricardo Nitrini⁵ · Carlos Alberto Buchpiguel^{1,2,3}

Published online: 26 June 2020

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-020-04714-0>

In the last paragraph of the subsection “Recruitment of the study population and clinical Evaluation” (Material and methods session) where it is read:

Finally, all participants were classified concerning their socioeconomic status (SES) using a five-category scale (from A—the highest, to D—the lowest) validated for use in our country, namely the ABA scale. The ABA scale is based on monthly financial incomes from each family. “A” represents the upper income socioeconomic class, “B” the upper middle class, “C” lower middle class, and “D” the lowest-income class [29] (<http://www.aba.com.br/wp-content/uploads/content/7727632a373615b34f2a5726fcc5c9e2.pdf> - content in portuguese).

It should be stated:

Finally, all participants were classified concerning their socioeconomic status (SES) using a five-category scale (from A—the highest, to E—the lowest) validated for use in our country, namely the ABA scale. The ABA scale is based on monthly financial incomes from each family. “A” represents the upper income socioeconomic class, “B” the upper middle class, “C” lower middle class, and “D” and “E” the lowest-income classes [29] (<http://www.aba.com.br/wp-content/uploads/content/7727632a373615b34f2a5726fcc5c9e2.pdf> - content in portuguese).

In the Results session, in the end of the “Clinical and demographic data” subsection, the following statement is missing: No individuals were classified according to their SES under the “E” class.

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Erratum.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-020-04714-0>

✉ Artur Martins Coutinho
arturcoutinho@gmail.com

¹ Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine (LIM43), Department of Radiology and Oncology, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

² Núcleo de Apoio a Pesquisa em Neurociência Aplicada (NAPNA), Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³ Centro de Medicina Nuclear do Instituto de Radiologia, Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, 2º andar, Rua Doutor Ovídio Pires de Campos, 872, Cerqueira Cesar, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁴ Laboratory of Psychiatric Neuroimaging (LIM 21), Department of Psychiatry, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁵ Department of Neurology, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁶ Laboratory of Neuroscience (LIM 27), Department of Psychiatry, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

In addition to Reference 29 [ABA. Critério de classificação sócio-econômica. 2007. <http://www.aba.com.br/wp-content/uploads/content/7727632a373615b34f2a5726fcc5c9e2.pdf> - content in portuguese). Assessed 7 Mar 2018.]:

- MATTAR, Fauze Najib. Análise crítica dos estudos de estratificação socioeconômicos da ABAABIPEME. Revista de Administração, v. 30, n.1, p. 57–74, jan/mar 1995.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.