Correction to: a risk stratification model for nodal peripheral T-cell lymphomas based on the NCCN-IPI and posttreatment Deauville score

Ho-Young Yhim^{1,2} · Yong Park³ · Yeon-Hee Han⁴ · Sungeun Kim⁵ · Sae-Ryung Kang⁶ · Joon-Ho Moon⁷ · Ju Hye Jeong⁸ · Ho-Jin Shin⁹ · Keunyoung Kim¹⁰ · Yoon Seok Choi¹¹ · Kunho Kim¹² · Min Kyoung Kim¹³ · Eunjung Kong¹⁴ · Dae Sik Kim¹⁵ · Jae Seon Eo¹⁶ · Ji Hyun Lee¹⁷ · Do-Young Kang¹⁸ · Won Sik Lee¹⁹ · Seok Mo Lee²⁰ · Young Rok Do²¹ · Jun Soo Ham²² · Seok Jin Kim²² · Won Seog Kim²² · Joon Young Choi²³ · Deok-Hwan Yang²⁴ · Jae-Yong Kwak^{1,2}

Published online: 17 September 2018

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-4093-1>

Unfortunately, the original version of this article contained several errors made during final step of article production. In the results section (fourth sentence) of the Abstract, the incomplete sentence, “31.4% in high-risk group and 4.7% in treatment failure group; $P < 0.001$) and overall survival (5-year survival, 82.1%, 45.5%, and 14.7%, respectively; $P < 0.001$), was included incorrectly and it should be removed from the abstract. In the section of Development of the risk-stratification model of the main Results (second paragraph, fourth sentence), quotation marks were included inappropriately and it should be corrected as follows: “The treatment failure rate in patients with a Deauville score of 3 and a low NCCN-IPI risk was similar to that in patients with a Deauville

score of 1 or 2 and a low-intermediate NCCN-IPI risk, although the number of patients in a Deauville score of 3 and a low NCCN-IPI risk was small.” In the Discussion, the fourth sentence fourth paragraph should read as follows: “This suggests that the value of PET in the detection of microscopically viable lymphoma in the BM at the end of treatment is limited.” Also, the fifth sentence fourth paragraph should read as follows: “In addition, in a retrospective study in 102 patients with extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma investigating the prognostic value of the posttreatment Deauville score, Kim et al. found that a posttreatment Deauville score of 1 or 2 was significantly associated with better PFS and OS than a score of 3 or 4, and a score of 2 was determined as the cut-off score for predicting a complete metabolic response.” In the Discussion, third and fourth paragraphs were improperly separated and it should be merged into single paragraph for a continuous explanation.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-4093-1>

✉ Deok-Hwan Yang
drydh1685@hotmail.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Affiliations

Ho-Young Yhim^{1,2} · Yong Park³ · Yeon-Hee Han⁴ · Sungeun Kim⁵ · Sae-Ryung Kang⁶ · Joon-Ho Moon⁷ · Ju Hye Jeong⁸ · Ho-Jin Shin⁹ · Keunyoung Kim¹⁰ · Yoon Seok Choi¹¹ · Kunho Kim¹² · Min Kyoung Kim¹³ · Eunjung Kong¹⁴ · Dae Sik Kim¹⁵ · Jae Seon Eo¹⁶ · Ji Hyun Lee¹⁷ · Do-Young Kang¹⁸ · Won Sik Lee¹⁹ · Seok Mo Lee²⁰ · Young Rok Do²¹ · Jun Soo Ham²² · Seok Jin Kim²² · Won Seog Kim²² · Joon Young Choi²³ · Deok-Hwan Yang²⁴ · Jae-Yong Kwak^{1,2}

¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Chonbuk National University Medical School, Jeonju, South Korea

² Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Chonbuk National University-Biomedical Research Institute of Chonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju, South Korea

³ Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

⁴ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Chonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju, South Korea

⁵ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Seoul, South Korea

⁶ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital, Jeollanam-do, South Korea

⁷ Department of Internal Medicine, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Daegu, South Korea

⁸ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Daegu, South Korea

⁹ Department of Internal Medicine, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, South Korea

¹⁰ Department of Nuclear Medicine and Biomedical Research Institute, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, South Korea

¹¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon, South Korea

¹² Department of Nuclear Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon, South Korea

¹³ Department of Internal Medicine, Yeungnam University College of Medicine, Daegu, South Korea

¹⁴ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Yeungnam University College of Medicine, Daegu, South Korea

¹⁵ Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Guro Hospital College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

¹⁶ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Korea University Guro Hospital College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

¹⁷ Department of Internal Medicine, Dong-A University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea

¹⁸ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Dong-A University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea

¹⁹ Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Inje University Busan Paik Hospital, Busan, South Korea

²⁰ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Inje University Busan Paik Hospital, Busan, South Korea

²¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Dongsan Medical Center, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu, South Korea

²² Department of Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

²³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

²⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital, 322 Seoyang-ro, Hwasun, Jeollanam-do 519-763, Republic of Korea