



## Correction to: Diversity in radiology: the right thing to do, the smart thing to do

Theodore R. Hall<sup>1</sup> · Kathleen Brown<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 13 April 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

### Correction to: *Pediatric Radiology* (2022) 52:1711–1718 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-022-05416-5>

A corrected version of Table 1 is provided. The following corrections have been made:

- “Diagnostic Radiology Residents” Men – 3,459 (73.5)
- “Diagnostic Radiology Residents” Women – 1,244 (26.5)
- “Diagnostic Radiology Residents” Total Non-duplicated – 4,703
- “U.S. Medical Graduates” Total Non-duplicated – 20,390
- “Diagnostic Radiology Applicants” Total Non-duplicated – 2,405

Of note, a comparison of this 2020 data with the 2010 data published by Chapman et al. [5] shows that compared to

the 2010 demographic data (below) there was no significant change in the number or percentage of men and women in DR and IR residency training programs:

- Men – 3,271 (72.2)
- Women – 1,260 (27.8)
- Total Non-duplicated – 4,531

On page 1714 within the paragraph beginning with “By the 2020 U.S. Census. Women...”, the correct percentage for the women who were diagnostic radiology residents is 26.5% (instead of 35.9%). The point being made about underrepresentation remains valid.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-022-05416-5>.

---

✉ Theodore R. Hall  
thall@mednet.ucla.edu

<sup>1</sup> UCLA Department of Radiology, Section of Pediatric Imaging, 757 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>2</sup> UCLA Department of Radiology, Section of Cardiothoracic Imaging, Los Angeles, CA, USA

**Table 1** 2020 demographic distribution by gender, Hispanic ethnicity and race of the U.S. population, U.S. medical school graduates, diagnostic radiology applicants, residents, practicing physicians and faculty members

Group	Gender		Ethnicity			Race				Total	
	Men	Women	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	White	Asian	Black	AI/AN/NH/PI	Multi-race	Non-duplicated	
2020 U.S.Census	163.2 M (49.2)	168.6 M (50.8)	<b>60.7 M (18.5)</b>	197.2 M (60.1)	250.4 M (76.3)	19.4 M (5.9)	<b>44.0 M (13.4)</b>	<b>4.9 M (1.5)</b>	9.2 M (2.8)	328,239,523	
U.S.medical school graduates	10,280 (50.4)	10,110 (49.5)	1,063 (5.3)	504 (2.5)	<b>10,897 (54.6)</b>	4,299 (21.6)	1,238 (6.2)	47 (0.3)	1,598 (8.0)	20,390	
Diagnostic radiology applicants <sup>a</sup>	1,743 (72.5)	662 (27.5)	<b>171 (8.1)</b>	254 (12.1)	<b>986 (47.1)</b>	528 (25.2)	<b>132 (6.3)</b>	21 (1.0)		2,405	
Diagnostic radiology residents <sup>b</sup>	3,459 (73.5)	<b>1,244 (26.5)</b>	<b>302 (6.9)</b>	222 (5.0)	2,583 (59.2)	1,190 (27.8)	<b>173 (3.9)</b>	8 (0.2)	187 (4.3)	4,703	
Diagnostic radiology practicing physicians	20,595 (73.5)	<b>7,413 (26.5)</b>	<b>1,106 (4.4)</b>	480 (1.9)	18,252 (73.0)	4,240 (16.9)	<b>658 (2.6)</b>	<b>75 (0.3)</b>	187 (0.7)	<b>28,008</b>	
Diagnostic radiology faculty <sup>c</sup>	7,161 (70.1)	<b>3,052 (29.9)</b>	239 (2.5)	216 (2.2)	5,915 (62.0)	2,718 (28.5)	<b>228 (2.3)</b>	<b>21 (0.2)</b>	198 (2.0)	10,213	

Based on comparison of the 2010 and 2020 U.S. censuses, numbers in **bold** represent increases, *italics* represent declines; percentages for both are in parentheses for the U.S. population, U.S. medical school graduates, radiology applicants, residents, practicing physicians and radiology faculty members (Comparison of data abstracted from [5])

AI/AN/NH/PI American Indian/Alaskan native/Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, M million

<sup>a</sup> Electronic Residency Application Service (ERAS) data include other race/ethnicity and unknown race/ethnicity, and do not have a multi-race designation

<sup>b</sup> Includes diagnostic radiology and interventional-radiology-integrated residents with International Medical Graduate (IMG) pathway, U.S. and Canadian medical graduates and U.S. doctor of osteopathic medicine graduates

<sup>c</sup> Number of full-time faculty at all U.S. medical schools as of Dec. 31, 2020

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in

the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.