Erratum

Masao Aoki

Hom stacks

Received: 6 June 2006 / Published online: 1 August 2006

manuscripta math. (2006) Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1007/s00229-005-0602-1 Published online: 2 December 2005

The main theorem in our paper [1] requires the extra hypothesis that the functor

 $\pi: \operatorname{HOM}_{A}(\mathscr{X}_{A}, \mathscr{Y}_{A}) \to \operatorname{\underline{Lim}} \operatorname{HOM}_{A_{n}}(\mathscr{X}_{A_{n}}, \mathscr{Y}_{A_{n}})$

is essentially surjective for any complete local noetherian ring A. Our proof in

[1, page 51] is wrong because the stack \mathscr{G}_n is not proper over A_n in general. This hypothesis is satisfied in the following cases:

Case I. The stack \mathscr{Y} is separated.

In this case the stack \mathscr{G}_n is proper over A_n because it is separated and has a surjection $X_n \to \mathscr{G}_n$ from a proper scheme.

Case II. $\mathscr{Y} = B\mathbb{G}_m$.

We may assume \mathscr{X}_A is a proper scheme over A as in [1, page 50]. Then the functor π is essentially surjective by the Grothendieck existence theorem for proper schemes. Consequentially Theorem 5.1 in [1] is true.

References

[1] Aoki, M.: Hom Stacks. Manuscripta Mathematica 119, 37-56 (2006)

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00229-005-0602-1

M. Aoki: Research Institute for Mathematical Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. e-mail: maoki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp