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#### Abstract

We find an explicit formula for the conformal vector of any quantum affine $W$-algebra in its free field realization.


## 1. Introduction

The chiral part of a (super)conformal field theory is a vertex algebra which admits a conformal vector $L$, for which the eigenvalues of the energy operator $L_{0}$ lie in $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the multiplicity of the 0 eigenvalue is 1 . An important class of such vertex algebras are quantum affine W-algebras $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)[\mathrm{KRW}],[\mathrm{KW}]$ (see also [DSK]), attached to a "good" datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ), where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a basic Lie superalgebra, i.e. a simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 with reductive even part $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and a fixed non-degenerate even invariant supersymmetric bilinear form (.|.), $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is such that the eigenspace decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to ad $x$ defines a $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{j} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and $k \in \mathbb{F}$.
A datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ) is called $\operatorname{good}$ if $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}^{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{f}$ ) denotes the centralizer of $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ ), and we use notation $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq m}=\underset{\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{m}}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_{j}$, and similarly for $\geq m$, or $<m$, or $>m$. We also denote by $p_{>0}$,
$p_{j}$, etc., the projection of $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}, \mathfrak{g}_{j}$, etc., along (1.1). A special case of a good datum is a Dynkin datum, defined by $x$ and $f$ from an $s \ell_{2}$-triple $\{e, x, f\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, where $[x, e]=e,[e, f]=x,[x, f]=-f$.

Recall that a bilinear form (.|.) on $\mathfrak{g}$ is called even if $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=0$, supersymmetric (resp. superskewsymmetric) if $(a \mid b)=(-1)^{p(a) p(b)}(b \mid a)$ (resp. $\left.-(-1)^{p(a) p(b)}(b \mid a)\right)$, and invariant if $([a, b] \mid c)=(a \mid[b, c])$.

In [KRW] for an arbitrary datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ) a vertex algebra homology complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes F^{\mathrm{ch}} \otimes F^{\mathrm{ne}}, d_{(0)}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

was constructed, where $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal affine vertex algebra of level $k$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, and $F^{\text {ch }}$ (resp. $F^{\text {ne }}$ ) is the vertex algebra of free charged fermions based on $\mathfrak{g}_{>0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}$ with reversed parity (resp. of free neutral fermions based on $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ ), and $d_{(0)}$ is an explicitly constructed odd derivation of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f):=V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes F^{\mathrm{ch}} \otimes F^{\text {ne }}$.

Recall [K2] that for the construction of the vertex algebra of free fermions based on a vector superspace $A$, one needs a superskewsymmetric bilinear form on $A$. In the case of $F^{\text {ch }}$ this bilinear form is defined via the pairing of $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ and its dual $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}$, which is identified with $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$, using the bilinear form (.|.); the former is non-degenerate since the latter is. In the case of $F^{\text {ne }}$ this bilinear form is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
<a, b>^{\mathrm{ne}}=(f \mid[a, b]), a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bilinear form (1.4) is non-degenerate if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ad } f: \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2} \text { is a vector superspace isomorphism. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since property (1.2) is equivalent to $\left[f, \mathfrak{g}_{j}\right]=\mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ if $j \leq 1 / 2[\mathrm{KW}]$, property (1.5) holds for any good datum.

The $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of the complex (1.3) is defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg} V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})=\operatorname{deg} F^{\mathrm{ne}}=0, \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{g}_{>0}=-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}=1
$$

The homology of the complex (1.3) is called the quantum affine $W$-algebra, attached to the datum $(\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k)$, and is denoted by $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$.

For a good datum, $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, f\right]=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, hence the orbit $G_{0}(f)$ is Zariski open in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and therefore the vertex algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is independent, up to isomorphism, of the choice of $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, satisfying (1.2).

The main result of $[\mathrm{KW}]$ on the structure of the vertex algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is Theorem 4.1, which states that for a good datum the $j^{\text {th }}$ homology of the complex (1.3) is zero if $j \neq 0$, and the 0 -th homology is the vertex algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, which is a subalgebra of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ freely generated by $d_{(0)}$-closed elements $J^{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$ consisting of eigenvectors of ad $x$. The elements $J^{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}$ can be recursively computed, using equations (4.11) and (4.12) from [KW]. The "building blocks" for construction of elements $J^{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}$ are the elements $J^{(a)}, a \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}$, defined in [KRW], see formula (2.7) in Sect. 2 of the present paper. Theorem 4.1(a) from [KW] states that for each $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-j}^{f}\left(=\mathfrak{g}^{f} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-j}\right)$ the element $J^{\{a\}}-J^{(a)}$ lies in the subalgebra of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, generated by elements $J^{(b)}$, where $b \in \mathfrak{g}_{-s}$ with $0 \leq s<j$ (recall that $\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{f} \neq 0$ only for $j \leq 0$ by (1.2)), and by the neutral fermions.

Consider the subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ generated by the elements $J^{(v)}$ with $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$, and by the neutral fermions. It follows from the above
discussion that, for a good datum, all elements $J^{\{v\}}, v \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}$, lie in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$. It is easy to see that the elements $J^{(v)}$ with $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and neutral fermions generate a subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, and that the $J^{(v)}$ with $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{<0}$ generate an ideal $U_{<0}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \cap U_{<0}=0$. Hence, the canonical map $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ induces a vertex algebra homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the vertex algebra $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of the universal affine vertex algebra $V^{k^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)$ of "shifted" level $k^{\prime}([\mathrm{KW}]$, formula (2.5)), and the vertex algebra $F^{\text {ne }}$, the map (1.6) may be viewed as a free field realization (FFR) of the Walgebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$.

In the case of a good datum, for the $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{f}$ with $j=0$ or $-1 / 2$ the elements $J^{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}$ are uniquely determined by the $a_{i}$ and they are explicitly constructed in [KW], Sect. 2. The construction of these elements is still valid for an arbitrary datum, satisfying property (1.5). Furthermore, provided that $k \neq-h^{\vee}$ (i.e. $k$ is not the critical level), we also constructed there an energy-momentum element $L$, with respect to which the elements $J^{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}$ have conformal weight $1-m_{i}$, where $\left[x, a_{i}\right]=m_{i} a_{i}$, and this construction is again valid for an arbitrary datum satisfying property (1.5).

In [KW], Theorem 5.1(c), we found an explicit expression for $L$ in terms of the elements $J^{\left(a_{i}\right)}$ and the neutral fermions in the case of minimal W-algebras, which allowed us to compute the FFR (1.6) for these W-algebras explicitly (see [KW], Theorem 5.2).

The main results of the present paper, valid for an arbitrary datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ) satisfying property (1.5), are Theorem 3.1, which gives an explicit expression of the element $J^{\{f\}}$ in terms of the elements $J^{(f)}, J^{(a)}$ with $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$, and of neutral free fermions, and Theorem 3.2, which states that $L=-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} J^{\{f\}}$, provided that $k \neq-h^{\vee}$. This leads to an explicit formula for the image of $L$ under the FFR (1.6) for an arbitrary quantum affine W -algebra, attached to a good datum.

Our Theorem 3.2 assumes that $k \neq-h^{\vee}$, i.e. $k$ is not critical, but the construction of the $W$-algebra and Theorem 3.1 hold for an arbitrary $k$. However the structure of the $W$-algebra $W^{-h^{\vee}}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is dramatically different [FF1]. This $W$-algebra for $f=f_{p r}$ plays an important role in the geometric Langlands correspondence.

Throughout the paper the base field $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 .

## 2. The Complex $\left(\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f), d_{(0)}\right)$ and the $\mathbf{W}$-Algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$

First, recall the construction of vertex algebras $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}), F^{\mathrm{ch}}$ and $F^{\text {ne }}$. We shall use the very convenient language of non-linear Lie conformal superalgebras and $\lambda$-brackets [DSK].

Given a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with an invariant supersymmetric bilinear form $B$, consider the $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-module $\mathbb{F}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with the following non-linear $\lambda$-bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{\lambda} b\right]=[a, b]+\lambda B(a, b) 1, \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the universal enveloping vertex algebra $V^{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ of this non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra. One often fixes such a bilinear form (.|.), lets $B(a, b)=k(a \mid b), k \in \mathbb{F}$, and uses the notation $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})=V^{B}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ is called the universal affine vertex algebra for $\mathfrak{g}$ of level $k$.

The vertex algebra $F(A)$ of fermions based on the vector superspace $A$ with a skewsupersymmetric bilinear form $<., .>$ is defined as the universal enveloping vertex algebra of the $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-module $\mathbb{F}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with the non-linear $\lambda$-bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{\lambda} b\right]=<a, b>1, \quad a, b \in A \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a datum $(\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k)$ as described in the introduction, the associated homology complex $\left(\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f), d_{(0)}\right)$ is constructed as follows. Let $A^{\text {ch }}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{>0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}\right)$, where $\Pi$ stands for the reversal of parity, and define on it a skewsupersymmetric bilinear form $<., .>^{\text {ch }}$ by the pairing of the vector superspace $\Pi \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ and its dual $\Pi \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}$, and let $A^{\text {ne }}=\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ with the bilinear form $\langle a, b\rangle^{\text {ne }}$ defined by (1.4). Then $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is the universal enveloping vertex algebra of the non-linear Lie confirmal superalgebra $\mathbb{F}[\partial]\left(\mathfrak{g} \oplus A^{\mathrm{ch}} \oplus A^{\text {ne }}\right)$ with the $\lambda$-brackets defined by (2.1) and (2.2) on the summands and zero between the distinct summands. The vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is isomorphic to $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes F^{\mathrm{ch}} \otimes F^{\mathrm{ne}}$, where $F^{\mathrm{ch}}=F\left(A^{\mathrm{ch}}\right)$ and $F^{\mathrm{ne}}=F\left(A^{\text {ne }}\right)$. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})=\operatorname{deg} F^{\mathrm{ne}}=0, \quad \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{g}_{>0}=-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}=1 \text { on } F^{\mathrm{ch}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of this vertex algebra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)=\underset{j \in \mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} \mathcal{C}_{j}^{k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to define the differential $d_{(0)}$ choose a basis $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, compatible with parity and the $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-grading (1.1), let $\left\{u^{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$ be its dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the bilinear form (.|.), i.e. $\left(u_{i} \mid u^{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$, and denote by $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ (resp. $\left.\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{j}}\right)$ the part of $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$, which is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ ). Let $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ be the corresponding to $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ basis of $\Pi \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$, and let $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ be the dual basis of $\Pi \mathfrak{g}_{>0}^{*}$. Let $\left\{\Phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ be the corresponding to $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ basis of $A^{\text {ne }}$. For $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $\Phi_{u}=\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}} \gamma_{i} \Phi_{i}$ (resp. $\varphi_{u}=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}} \gamma_{i} \varphi_{i}$ ) if $p_{1 / 2} u$ (resp. $p_{>0} u$ ) $\gamma_{i} u_{i}$, where $\gamma_{i} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Introduce the following element of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d= & \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}\left((-1)^{p(i)} u_{i} \otimes \varphi^{i} \otimes 1+\left(f \mid u_{i}\right) \otimes \varphi^{i} \otimes 1\right)+\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}} 1 \otimes \varphi^{i} \otimes \Phi_{i} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)} 1 \otimes: \varphi^{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{\left[u_{j}, u_{i}\right]}: \otimes 1, \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(i)$ stands for the parity $p\left(u_{i}\right)$ in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The element $d$ is independent of the choice of the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. One checks that $\left[d_{\lambda} d\right]=0$ ([KRW], Theorem 2.1), therefore $\left[d_{(0)}, d_{(0)}\right]=0$ and $d_{(0)}^{2}=0$. Thus, $d_{(0)}$ is a homology differential of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$. The homology of the complex $\left(\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f), d_{(0)}\right)$ is the quantum affine W -algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$.

One has the following formulas for the action of $d_{(0)}$ of the generators of the vertex $\operatorname{algebra} \mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)(\mathrm{cf} .[\mathrm{KRW}]$, formula(2.4)), where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, and thereafter we skip the
tensor product signs:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{(0)} a & =\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}\left((-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi^{j}\left[u_{j}, a\right]:+k\left(a \mid u_{j}\right) \partial \varphi^{j}\right) \\
d_{(0)} \varphi_{a} & =p_{>0}(a)+(a \mid f)+(-1)^{p(a)} \Phi_{a}+\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}: \varphi^{j} \varphi_{\left[u_{j}, p_{>0} a\right]}: ; \\
d_{(0)} \varphi^{i} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, s \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i) p(j)} c_{j, s}^{i}: \varphi^{j} \varphi^{s}:, \text { where }\left[u_{j}, u_{s}\right]=\sum_{i} c_{j, s}^{i} u_{i} ; \\
d_{(0)} \Phi_{a} & =\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(u_{j} \mid[a, f]\right) \varphi^{j} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the "building blocks" for elements of the W-algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ are the following elements of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{(v)}=v+\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(v)+p(j)}: \varphi_{\left[v, u_{j}\right]} \varphi^{j}: . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ the subalgebra of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, generated by the elements $J^{(v)}\left(v \in S_{\leq 0}\right), \varphi^{i}\left(i \in S_{>0}\right)$, and $\Phi_{i}\left(i \in S_{1 / 2}\right)$. By (2.6), this subalgebra is $d_{(0) \text {-invariant. Let, as above, } \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \text { be the subalgebra of } \mathcal{C}_{-}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \text {, generated }{ }^{\text {a }} \text {. }}$ by the $J^{(v)}\left(v \in S_{\leq 0}\right)$, and the $\Phi_{i}\left(i \in S_{1 / 2}\right)$. Then, by (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f) \cap d_{(0)} \mathcal{C}_{-}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\kappa(a, b)=s t r_{\mathfrak{g}}(\operatorname{ad} a)(\operatorname{ad} b)$ be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(a, b)=2 h^{\vee}(a \mid b) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the projection $p_{j}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ (resp $p_{>0}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ ) along the grading (1.1), define the "partial" Killing forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{j}(a, b)=\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(p_{j}(\operatorname{ad} a)(\operatorname{ad} b)\right)\left(\text { resp. } \kappa_{>0}(a, b)=\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(p_{>0}(\operatorname{ad} a)(\operatorname{ad} b)\right) .\right. \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elements $J^{(v)}$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ obey $\lambda$-brackets of the universal affine vertex algebra $V^{B_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)$ [KRW , Theorem 2.4(c)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{(a)}{ }_{\lambda} J^{(b)}\right]=J^{(a, b)}+\lambda B_{0}(a, b), a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}(a, b)=k(a \mid b)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa(a, b)-\kappa_{0}(a, b)\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, (2.11) holds for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{i}, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ with $i j \geq 0$ (of course $B_{0}(a, b)=0$ if $i j \geq 0$ and $i$ or $j$ is non-zero). Hence, we have the following

Corollary 2.1. The factor algebra of the vertex algebra $V^{B_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}\right)$ by the ideal, generated by $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$, is isomorphic to the vertex algebra $V^{B_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)$.

The proof of the following formula from [KW], formula (2.6), uses formulas (2.6):

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{(0)}\left(J^{(v)}\right)= & \sum_{j \in S_{>0}}\left([f, v] \mid u_{j}\right) \varphi^{j}+\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(v)(p(j)+1)}: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{p_{1 / 2}\left[v, u_{j}\right]}: \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j \in S_{>0} \\
\left[v, u_{j}\right] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}}(-1)^{p(j)(p(v)+1)}: \varphi^{j} J^{\left(\left[v, u_{j}\right]\right)}:+\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}\left(k\left(v \mid u_{j}\right)+\kappa_{>0}\left(v, u_{j}\right)\right) \partial \varphi^{j} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on we shall assume that condition (1.5) holds, so that we can define the basis $\left\{\Phi^{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ of $A^{\text {ne }}$, dual to $\left\{\Phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ with respect to the bilinear form (1.4). Then we have: $d_{(0)} \Phi^{i}=\varphi^{i}$.

As has been mentioned in the introduction, for a good grading the $d_{(0)}$-closed elements $J^{\{a\}}$ are uniquely determined for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{f}$ for $j=0$ or $-1 / 2$. The $d_{(0)}$-closed elements $J^{\{a\}}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ can be constructed, provided that (1.5) holds, and they are as follows (see [KRW], Theorem 2.4(a)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\{a\}}=J^{(a)}+\frac{(-1)^{p(a)}}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{j}, a\right]}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

These elements obey $\lambda$-brackets of the universal affine vertex algebra $V^{B_{1 / 2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}} J^{\{b\}}\right]=J^{\{[a, b]\}}+\lambda B_{1 / 2}(a, b), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1 / 2}(a, b)=k(a \mid b)+\kappa_{>0}(a, b)-\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{1 / 2}(a, b) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $d_{(0)}$-closed elements $J^{\{v\}}$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{f}$ are as follows (see [KW], Theorem 2.1(d)]):

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\{v\}}= & J^{(v)}-\frac{(-1)^{p(v)}}{3} \sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{i} \Phi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{j},\left[u_{i}, v\right]\right]}: \\
& +\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(: J^{\left(\left[v, u_{i}\right]\right)} \Phi^{i}:-\left(k\left(v \mid u_{i}\right)+\kappa_{>0}\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right) \partial \Phi^{i}\right), \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and one has ([KW], Theorem 2.1(e)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\{a\}} J^{\{v\}}\right]=J^{\{[a, v]\}} \text { if } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{f} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. The elements $\varphi^{i}$ coincide with the elements, denoted by $\varphi_{i}^{*}$ in [KRW] and [KW], but are different from the elements, denoted by $\varphi^{i}$ in [DSK]. The advantage of this less natural choice is that then the construction of the $W$-algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ works for an arbitrary finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with an arbitrary supersymmetric (possibly degenerate) invariant bilinear form (.|.). (The simplicity of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nondegeneracy of (.|.) are needed in the next sections.)

## 3. A Formula for $J^{\{f\}}$ and the Energy-Momentum Element $L$ of $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$

Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, containing a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$. It is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose a set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, compatible with the grading (1.1). Recall that the dual Coxeter number $h^{\vee}$ of the simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the given invariant bilinear form (.|.) is the half of the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator $\sum_{j \in S} u^{j} u_{j}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, and it is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\vee}=(\rho \mid \theta)+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \mid \theta) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the highest root and $\rho$ is the half of the difference between sums of positive even roots and positive odd roots. Provided that $k \neq-h^{\vee}$, the energy-momentum (or Virasaro) element of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is defined by [KRW] for an arbitrary datum, satisfying (1.5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L^{\mathfrak{g}}+\partial x+L^{\mathrm{ch}}+L^{\mathrm{ne}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\mathfrak{g}} & =\frac{1}{2\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)} \sum_{j \in S}: u^{j} u_{j}: \\
L^{\mathrm{ch}} & =\sum_{j \in S_{>0}}\left(1-m_{j}\right):\left(\partial \varphi^{j}\right) \varphi_{j}:-m_{j}: \varphi^{j} \partial \varphi_{j}: \\
L^{n e} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}:\left(\partial \Phi^{j}\right) \Phi_{j}:
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $m_{j}$ are defined by $\left[x, u_{j}\right]=m_{j} u_{j}$.
The central charge of this Virasoro element is equal to (see [KRW], Remark 2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathfrak{g}, x, k)=\operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sdim} \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}-\frac{12}{k+h^{\vee}}\left|\rho-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) x\right|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to this $L$ the elements $\varphi_{j}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \varphi^{j}\right)$ are primary of conformal weight $1-m_{j}$ (resp. $m_{j}$ ), the $\Phi_{j}$ are primary of conformal weight $1 / 2$, and $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ has conformal weight $1-j$ and is primary, unless $j=0$ and $(a \mid x) \neq 0$. Actually one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{\lambda} a\right]=(\partial+\lambda) a-\lambda^{2} k(a \mid x) \text { for } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it was shown in [KRW] that the element $d$, defined by (2.5) is primary of conformal weight 1 , hence $\left[d_{\lambda} L\right]=\lambda d$ and $d_{(0)} L=\left.\left[d_{\lambda} L\right]\right|_{\lambda=0}=0$. Hence, the homology class of $L$ defines an energy-momentum element of the vertex algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, which is denoted again by $L$. Note that though, for a good datum, the $W$ algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is independent, up to isomorphism, of the choice of $x$ with given $f$ [AKM], the element $L$ does depend on $x$.

As has been mentioned in the introduction, the explicit expressions of the elements $J^{\{a\}}$ which generate the subalgebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ of the vertex algebra $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, associated to a good datum, are known only for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-j}$, where $j=0$ and $\frac{1}{2}$. In view of (1.6) it is important to find an explicit expression for $J^{\{f\}}$. This is the first main result of
the paper. The second main result is the formula $L=-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} J^{\{f\}}$ in $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$. Both results hold for an arbitrary datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ), satisfying $(1.5)$.

Let

$$
\Omega_{0}=\sum_{j \in S_{0}}\left(\operatorname{ad} u^{j}\right)\left(\operatorname{ad} u_{j}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.1. The operator $\Omega_{0}$ is diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ for each $j>0$.
Proof. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$; it is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, containing $x$. Choose a set of positive roots in $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$, compatible with the $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-grading (1.1), and let $e_{i}, f_{i}$ be the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then for each $j>0$, the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ is the sum of lowest weight modules with the lowest weight vectors that are commutators of the $e_{i}$, such that $e_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$. Since the restriction of $\Omega_{0}$ to each of these summands is diagonalizable, proposition follows.

Let $\rho_{>0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\rho_{j}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}=\mathfrak{h}$ be the half of the difference between the sums of positive even and positive odd roots of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ ). (We idenitfy $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ using (.|.)).

Proposition 3.2. The element $\rho_{>0}$ lies in the center of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ if (1.5) holds.
Proof. Since $\left[L^{\text {ch }}{ }_{\lambda} J^{(a)}\right]=(\partial+\lambda)\left(J^{(a)}-a\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(\rho_{>0}-h^{\vee} x \mid a\right)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, the Jacobi identity

$$
\left[J^{(a)}{ }_{\lambda}\left[J^{(b)}{ }_{\mu} L\right]\right]-(-1)^{p(a) p(b)}\left[J^{(b)}{ }_{\mu}\left[J^{(a)}{ }_{\lambda} L\right]\right]=\left[\left[J^{a)}{ }_{\lambda} J^{(b)}\right]_{\lambda+\mu} L\right], a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}
$$

is equivalent to the equation $0=-(\lambda+\mu)^{2}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid[a, b]\right)$. Hence $\left(\left[\rho_{>0}, a\right] \mid b\right)=0$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. It follows that $\left[\rho_{>0}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]=0$.

Theorem 3.1. For the datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ), satisfying (1.5), the following element of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is $d_{(0)}$-closed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\{f\}}=J^{(f)} & +\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \Phi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{0}}: J^{\left(u^{j}\right)} J^{\left(u_{j}\right)}: \\
& -\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \partial J^{(x)}+\partial J^{\left(\rho_{>0}\right)}+\frac{k+h^{\vee}}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Assuming that the datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k$ ) satisfies (1.5), and that $k \neq-h^{\vee}$, the element $L+\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} J^{\{f\}}$ of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is $d_{(0)}$-exact. Consequently $L=-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} J^{\{f\}}$ in $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$.

As an immediate consequence of (2.11), (2.12), (2.14)-(2.17) and Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 , we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Provided that the datum $(\mathfrak{g}, x, f, k)$ is good and $k \neq-h^{\vee}$, one has a homomorphism of the vertex algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ to the vertex algebra $V^{B_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right) \otimes$
$F^{\text {ne }}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\{a\}} \mapsto & a+\frac{(-1)^{p(a)}}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{j}, a\right]}: \text { if } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}, \\
J^{\{v\}} \mapsto & \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}:\left[v, u_{i}\right] \Phi^{i}:-\frac{(-1)^{p(v)}}{3} \sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{i} \Phi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{j},\left[u_{i}, v\right]\right]}: \\
& -\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(k\left(v \mid u_{i}\right)+\kappa_{>0}\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right) \partial \Phi^{i} \text { if } v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{f}, \\
L \mapsto & \frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{0}}: u^{j} u_{j}:+\partial\left(\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) x-\rho_{>0}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.2. If $k=-h^{\vee}$, then $J^{\{f\}}$ is a central element of $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let $U$ and $V$ be finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ with a non-degenerate even pairing $<., .>: U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Choose dual bases $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{u^{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $U$ and $V$ respectively, i.e. $<u_{i}, u^{j}>=\delta_{i, j}$. Then for any $A \in \operatorname{End} U$ and $B \in \operatorname{End} V$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}_{U} A=\sum_{i \in I}(-1)^{p(i)}<A u_{i}, u^{i}>, \operatorname{str}_{V} B=\sum_{i \in I}(-1)^{p(i)}<u_{i}, B u^{i}> \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $p(i)$ stands for $p\left(u_{i}\right)\left(=p\left(u^{i}\right)\right)$. This will be used to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. For $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{<0}(u, v)=\kappa_{>0}(u, v)-\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}>0} p_{>0} \text { ad }[u, v],  \tag{4.2}\\
& \kappa_{>0}(u, v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa(u, v)-\kappa_{0}(u, v)+\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}>0} p_{>0} \operatorname{ad}[u, v]\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
& \kappa_{0}(u, v)=\left(\Omega_{0} u \mid v\right)=\left(u \mid \Omega_{0} v\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $(\operatorname{ad} u)(\operatorname{ad} v)$ preserves the $\frac{1}{2} Z$-grading (1.1) and that $p(u)=p(v)$. In order to prove (4.2), we use (4.1) with
$U=\mathfrak{g}_{>0}, V=\mathfrak{g}_{<0},<., .>=(. \mid),. A=p_{>0}(\operatorname{ad} v)(\operatorname{ad} u), B=p_{>0}(\operatorname{ad} u)(\operatorname{ad} v)$.
We have by the second and then the first formula in (4.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{<0}(u, v)=\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{<0}} B=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{i} \mid\left[u,\left[v, u^{i}\right]\right]\right)=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\left[\left[u_{i}, u\right], v\right] \mid u^{i}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{p(u) p(v)} \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\left[v,\left[u, u_{i}\right]\right] \mid u^{i}\right)=(-1)^{p(u) p(v)} \operatorname{str}_{g_{>0}} A \\
& =(-1)^{p(u) p(v)} \operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}} \operatorname{ad}[v, u]+\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}}(\operatorname{ad} u)(\operatorname{ad} v)=-\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}} \operatorname{ad}[u, v]+\kappa_{>0}(u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (4.3) follows from (4.2) since $\kappa(u, v)=\kappa_{>0}(u, v)+\kappa_{0}(u, v)+\kappa_{<0}(u, v)$. The proof of (4.4) is similar, by letting $U=V=\mathfrak{g}_{0}, A=(\operatorname{ad} u)(\operatorname{ad} v)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let, as before, $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ and $\left\{u^{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$, i.e. $\left(u_{i} \mid u^{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$, and let $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left[u_{i}, u^{i}\right]=2 \rho_{>0}  \tag{4.5}\\
\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}} \text { ad } v=2\left(\rho_{>0} \mid v\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Since the LHS is independent on the choice of dual bases, we may take for $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{>0}}$ the basis $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_{>0}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$, so that the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$ is $\left\{e_{-\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_{>0}}$ with $\left(e_{\alpha} \mid e_{-\alpha}\right)=1$, and hence $\left[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}\right]=\alpha$. Then (4.5) follows.

For (4.6) we have:

$$
\operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}} \text { ad } v=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\left[v, u_{i}\right] \mid u^{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(v \mid\left[u_{i}, u^{i}\right]\right)=2\left(v \mid \rho_{>0}\right)
$$

by (4.5).
Denote by I, II, ..., IV the operator $d_{(0)}$, applied to each of the six terms in the RHS of the formula for $J^{\{f\}}$ in Theorem 3.1. We have to prove that the sum of these six elements of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is equal to 0 .

By formula (2.13) for $v=f$, element I is equal to the sum of the following four elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{A}=\sum_{j \in S_{3 / 2}}: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{\left[f, u_{i}\right]}:, I_{B}=-\sum_{j \in S_{1}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:  \tag{4.7}\\
& I_{C}=-\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:, I_{D}=\sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(k\left(f \mid u_{j}\right)+\kappa_{>0}\left(f, u_{j}\right)\right) \partial \varphi^{j} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.13) for $v=f$ and the last formula in (2.6), using that $d_{(0)}$ is an odd derivation of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, one obtains that element II is equal to the sum of the following five elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I I_{A}=\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:, I I_{B}=\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{i \in S_{3 / 2}}\left(f \mid\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right]\right): \Phi^{j} \varphi^{i}:  \tag{4.9}\\
& I I_{C}=\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{i \in S_{1}}(-1)^{p(j)(p(i)+1)}: \Phi^{j} \varphi^{i} \Phi_{\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right]}:  \tag{4.10}\\
& I I_{D}=-\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+1)}: \Phi^{j} \varphi^{i} J^{\left(\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right]\right)}:  \tag{4.11}\\
& I I_{E}=\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(k\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right] \mid u_{i}\right)+\kappa_{>0}\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right)\right): \Phi^{j} \partial \varphi^{i}: \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $I_{A}+I I_{B}=0$, and since also $I_{C}+I I_{A}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I+I I=I_{B}+I_{D}+I I_{C}+I I_{D}+I I_{E} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. One has
(a) $I I_{C}=0$.
(b) $I I_{D}=\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{k \in S_{0}}: \Phi_{\left[u^{k}, u_{i}\right]} \varphi^{i} J^{\left(u_{k}\right)}:$.

Proof. By (4.10), using that

$$
\Phi_{\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{k}\right]}=\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left\langle u_{i},\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{k}\right]\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}} \Phi^{i}
$$

we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{C} & =\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{k \in S_{1}}(-1)^{p(j)(p(k)+1)}\left\langle u_{i},\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{k}\right]\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{j} \varphi^{k} \Phi^{i}: \\
& =\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{k \in S_{1}}(-1)^{(p(i)+p(j)(p(k)+1)}\left\langle u_{i},\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{k}\right]\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{j} \Phi^{i} \varphi^{k}: \\
& =\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{k \in S_{1}}\left(\left[\left[f, u_{i}\right],\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right] \mid u_{k}\right): \Phi^{j} \Phi^{i} \varphi^{k}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

If one exchanges $i$ and $j$ in the summation of the last expression, $I I_{C}$ doesn't change. On the other hand, looking at each summand in this expression, we see that it changes the sign, hence $I I_{C}=-I I_{C}$, proving (a).

By (4.11), using that, for $i, j \in S_{1 / 2}$,

$$
\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right]=\sum_{k \in S_{0}}\left(\left[\left[f, u_{j}\right], u_{i}\right] \mid u^{k}\right) u_{k}
$$

we obtain:

$$
I I_{D}=-\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{k \in S_{0}}(-1)^{p(i) p(k)}: \Phi_{\left[u_{i}, u^{k}\right]} \varphi^{i} J^{\left(u_{k}\right)}:
$$

proving (b).
Next, we treat the term III. For that introduce structure constants $c_{i j}^{k}$ and $c_{j}^{k}(v)$ for $i, j, k \in S_{>0}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ by

$$
\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} c_{i j}^{k} u_{k}, \quad\left[v, u_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} c_{j}^{k}(v) u_{k}
$$

Lemma 4.4. (a) For $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $k \in S_{>0}$ one has:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\varphi_{\lambda}^{k} J^{(v)}\right]=\sum_{j \in S_{>0}} c_{j}^{k}(v) \varphi^{j}}  \tag{4.14}\\
: \varphi^{k} J^{(v)}:-(-1)^{p(v)(p(k)+1)}: J^{(v)} \varphi^{k}:=\sum_{j \in S_{>0}} c_{j}^{k}(v) \partial \varphi^{j} \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

(b) For $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ and $j \in S_{>0}$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& :: \Phi_{v} \varphi^{j}: J^{(u)}:=: \Phi_{v}: \varphi^{j} J^{(u)}::+\sum_{k \in S_{>0}} c_{k}^{j}(u):\left(\partial \Phi_{v}\right) \varphi^{k}:,  \tag{4.16}\\
& :: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{v}: J^{(u)}:=: \varphi^{j}: \Phi_{v} J^{(u)}::+\sum_{k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(u) p(v)} c_{k}^{j}(u): \varphi^{k} \partial \Phi_{v}: . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It uses the $\lambda$-bracket calculus, see [K2], [DSK]. Formula (4.14) follows by the non-commutative Wick formula, (4.15) by quasicommutativity, and (4.16) by quasiassociativity of a vertex algebra. As an example, we prove here (4.16). By quasiassociativity we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& :: \Phi_{v} \varphi^{j}: J^{(u)}:-: \Phi_{v}: \varphi^{j} J^{(u)}:: \\
& \quad=(-1)^{p(u)(p(j)+1)}\left(\int_{0}^{-\partial}: \Phi_{v}\left[J^{(u)}{ }_{\lambda} \varphi^{j}\right] d \lambda:-: \Phi_{v} \int_{0}^{-\partial}\left[J^{(u)}{ }_{\lambda} \varphi^{j}\right] d \lambda:\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.14), we obtain that the RHS is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{k \in S_{>0}} c_{k}^{j}(u)\left(\int_{0}^{-\partial}: \Phi_{v} \varphi^{k}: d \lambda-: \Phi_{v} \int_{0}^{-\partial} \varphi^{k} d \lambda:\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in S_{>0}} c_{k}^{j}(u)\left(\partial: \Phi_{v} \varphi^{k}:-: \Phi_{v} \partial \varphi^{k}:\right)=\sum_{k \in S_{>0}} c_{k}^{j}(u):\left(\partial \Phi_{v}\right) \varphi^{k}:
\end{aligned}
$$

proving (4.16)
We have, by formula (2.13), for $i \in S_{0}$ :

$$
d_{(0)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}=\sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(f \mid\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]\right) \varphi^{j}+\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+1)}: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]}: .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{(0)} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:=A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(f \mid\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]\right): \varphi^{j} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:,  \tag{4.19}\\
& A_{2}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+1)}:: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]}: J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:  \tag{4.20}\\
& A_{3}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(f \mid\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]\right): J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} \varphi^{j}:,  \tag{4.21}\\
& A_{4}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+1)}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} \varphi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]}: \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to simplify expressions for those elements, recall the operator $\Omega_{0}$, defined by (3.4). By Proposition 3.1, this operator is diagonalizable in $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$. Hence we can choose
$u_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ ) to be eigenvectors of $\Omega_{0}$; denote by $a_{i}$ (resp. $b_{i}$ ) the corresponding eigenvalues.

We have by (4.16):

$$
A_{2}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+1)}: \varphi^{j} \Phi_{\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:+\sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} c_{i, k}^{j}: \varphi^{k} \partial \Phi_{\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]}: .
$$

The first sum in this expression is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]} \varphi^{j} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}: \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the second sum is equal to

$$
\sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}}: \varphi^{k} \sum_{i \in S_{0}} \partial \Phi_{\left[u^{i},\left[u_{i}, u_{k}\right]\right]}: .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=A_{2}^{\prime}+\sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}} a_{k}: \varphi^{k} \partial \Phi_{k}: \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we obtain, using (2.6), (4.14) and (4.21),

$$
A_{3}=A_{1}-\sum_{k \in S_{1}} \sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1}} c_{i, k}^{j}\left(f \mid\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]\right) \partial \varphi^{k}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=A_{1}-\sum_{k \in S_{1}} b_{k}\left(f \mid u_{k}\right) \partial \varphi^{k} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\sum_{j \in S_{1}} c_{i, k}^{j}\left(f \mid\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]\right)=\left(f \mid \Omega_{0}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)
$$

Finally, for $A_{4}$, given by (4.22), we have, using (4.15) and (4.23):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4}=A_{2}^{\prime}-\sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}} a_{k}:\left(\partial \varphi^{k}\right) \Phi_{k}: \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.18)-(4.26) we obtain that the element III is equal to the sum of the four elements

$$
\begin{align*}
& I I I_{A}=-\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(f \mid\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]\right): \varphi^{j} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:  \tag{4.27}\\
& I I I_{B}=-\sum_{i \in S_{0}} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{\left[u^{i}, u_{j}\right]} \varphi^{j} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:  \tag{4.28}\\
& I I I_{C}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1}} b_{j}\left(f \mid u_{j}\right) \partial \varphi^{j}  \tag{4.29}\\
& I I I_{D}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} a_{j}\left(:\left(\partial \varphi^{j}\right) \Phi_{j}:-: \varphi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}:\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

We have:

$$
I I I_{A}=\sum_{j \in S_{1}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi^{j} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}\left(\left[f, u_{j} \mid u^{i}\right]\right) J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:
$$

hence, by (4.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I I_{A}=-I_{B} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 4.3(b), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{D}=-I I I_{B} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (4.13) and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I+I I+I I I=I_{D}+I I_{E}+I I I_{C}+I I I_{D} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{D}+I I I_{C}= & \sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left(f \mid u_{j}\right)+\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)\right) \partial \varphi^{j},  \tag{4.34}\\
I I_{E}+I I I_{D}= & \left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}:-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}:\left(\partial \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{i}}\right) \varphi^{i}: \\
& +\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{\left[u_{i}, \rho_{>0}\right]} \partial \varphi^{i}: . \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, by (4.8) and (4.29), we have, using (4.3) and (2.9):
$I_{D}+I I I_{C}=\sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(\left(k+\frac{1}{2} b_{j}\right)\left(f \mid u_{j}\right)+h^{\vee}\left(f \mid u_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{0}\left(f, u_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{>0}} \operatorname{ad}\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right) \partial \varphi^{j}$.
Applying (4.4) and (4.6) to the RHS, we obtain (4.34).
In order to prove (4.35), we rewrite (4.30) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I I_{D} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(:\left(\partial \varphi^{k}\right) \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{k}}:-: \varphi^{k} \partial \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{k}}:\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(: \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{k}} \partial \varphi^{k}:-:\left(\partial \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{k}}\right) \varphi^{k}:\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also rewrite (4.12), using (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6), as follows:

$$
I I_{E}=\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}:-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{\Omega_{0} u_{i}} \partial \varphi^{i}:+\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{\left[u_{i}, \rho_{>0}\right]} \partial \varphi^{i}:
$$

Adding up these two expressions, we get (4.35).
Lemma 4.5. One has

$$
\Omega_{0}(u)=2\left[\rho_{>0}, u\right] \text { for } u \in \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}
$$

Proof. Since elements I, II, III lie in the image of $d_{(0)}$ and $d_{(0)}^{2}=0$, we obtain, using (4.33):

$$
0=d_{(0)}\left(I_{D}+I I I_{C}\right)+d_{(0)}\left(I I I_{E}+I I I_{D}\right)
$$

Substituting here (4.34) and (4.35) and using formulas (2.6) for the action of $d_{(0)}$, we obtain:

$$
0=\sum_{i, j \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}<u_{j}, \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{0}\left(u_{i}\right)-\left[\rho_{>0}, u_{i}\right]>^{\mathrm{ne}}: \varphi^{i} \partial \varphi^{j}: .
$$

Due to the non-degeneracy of the bilinear form $<.,\rangle^{\text {ne }}$, the lemma follows.
Finally, we treat the remaining three elements IV, V, and VI. Using (2.13), we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I V=-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(f \mid u_{j}\right) \partial \varphi^{j}-\frac{k+h^{\vee}}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} \partial: \Phi_{j} \varphi^{j}:  \tag{4.36}\\
& V=-\sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right) \partial \varphi^{j}+\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} \partial: \Phi_{\left[\rho_{>0}, u_{j}\right]} \varphi^{j}: \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V I=\frac{k+h^{\vee}}{2} \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(: \varphi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}:-: \Phi_{j} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding up (4.36)-(4.38), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& I V+V+V I=-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(f \mid u_{j}\right) \partial \varphi^{j}-\sum_{j \in S_{1}}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right) \partial \varphi^{j} \\
& -\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi_{j} \partial \varphi^{j}:+\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}} \partial: \Phi_{\left[\rho_{>0}, u_{j}\right]} \varphi^{j}: \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding up (4.33) and (4.39), and using (4.34), (4.35) and Lemma 4.5, we conclude that $d_{(0)} J^{\{f\}}=0$, completing the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 3.2

First, introduce the following convenient notation. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}$ ') be the sum of some $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ 's (resp. the remaining $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$ 's) in (1.1). Then we let $\delta_{u, \mathfrak{a}}=1$ (resp. 0 ) if $u \in \mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}$ '). Then we have for $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}\left(u_{i} \mid v\right) u^{i}=\delta_{v, \mathfrak{g}_{<0}} v ; \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}\left(v \mid u^{i}\right) u_{i}=\delta_{v, \mathfrak{g}_{>0}} v ;  \tag{5.1}\\
& \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}\left(u \mid u^{i}\right)\left(u_{i} \mid v\right)=\delta_{u, \mathfrak{g}_{>0}}(u \mid v)=\delta_{v, \mathfrak{g}_{<0}}(u \mid v) ;  \tag{5.2}\\
& \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}\left(u \mid u^{i}\right)\left(v \mid u_{i}\right)=\delta_{u, \mathfrak{g}_{>0}}(v \mid u)=\delta_{v, \mathfrak{g}_{<0}}(v \mid u) . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar formulas hold if we replace $S_{>0}$ by $S_{0}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$ by $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$; these formulas will be denoted by (5.1)', (5.2)' and (5.3)'.

Next, let $v^{\text {ch }}$ denote the second summand on the right in (2.7). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\mathrm{ch}}=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\left[v, u_{j}\right] \mid u^{i}\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by condition (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i}=\left[u^{(i)}, f\right], i \in S_{1 / 2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\left\{u^{(i)}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}$, dual to $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}$ with respect to the bilinear form (1.4).

Next, by the quasiassociativity of the normally ordered product, we have for $i, j, k, l \in$ $S_{>0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& :: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \varphi_{k}:=: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k}:+(-1)^{p(j)} \delta_{j, k} \partial \varphi_{i} ;  \tag{5.6}\\
& :: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \varphi_{k}:=: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi^{k}:+(-1)^{(p(i)+1)(p(j)+1)} \delta_{i, k} \partial \varphi^{j} ;  \tag{5.7}\\
& :: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{l}::=: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{l}:+(-1)^{p(k)} \delta_{j, k}:\left(\partial \varphi_{i}\right) \varphi^{l}: \\
& \quad-(-1)^{p(j) p(k)}(-1)^{p(i)(p(j)+p(k))} \delta_{i, l}: \varphi_{k} \partial \varphi^{j}: . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. We have, using (5.4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i}\left(u_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:=\sum_{i \in S_{0}}:\left(u^{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}} u_{i}:  \tag{5.9}\\
& \sum_{i \in S_{0}}:\left(u^{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(u_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\sum_{i, j, k, l \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left(\left[u_{l}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{l}: \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{i, j, k \in S_{>0} \\
\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right] \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k},\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right)\left(:\left(\partial \varphi_{i}\right) \varphi^{j}:-: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Using the invariance of the bilinear form (.|.) and (5.1)', we obtain

$$
\sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i}\left(u_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\sum_{j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: p_{0}\left(\left[u_{k}, u^{j}\right]\right) \varphi_{j} \varphi^{k}:,
$$

which is the first equality in (5.9) after replacing indices $j, k$ by $i, j$. The proof of the second equality in (5.9) is the same.

Using the invariance of the bilinear form (.|.) and (5.2)', we obtain

$$
\sum_{i \in S_{0}}:\left(u^{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(u_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\sum_{j, k, r, s \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)+p(r)}\left(\left[u_{s}, u^{r}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{j}\right]\right):: \varphi_{j} \varphi^{k}:: \varphi_{r} \varphi^{s}::
$$

Using (5.8), we see that this is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{j, k, r, s \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)+p(r)}\left(\left[u_{s}, u^{r}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{j}\right]\right): \varphi_{j} \varphi^{k} \varphi_{r} \varphi^{s}: \\
& +\sum_{j, k, s \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}\left(\left[u_{s}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{j}\right]\right):\left(\partial \varphi_{j}\right) \varphi^{s}: \\
& -\sum_{j, k, s \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}\left(u_{s} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{j}\right]\right]\right): \varphi_{j} \partial \varphi^{s}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last term we used the invariance of (.|.) and relabeling of indices; we also used that $(a \mid b) \neq 0$ implies that $p(a)=p(b)$ in order to simplify the sign. Now (5.10) easily follows.

Lemma 5.2. Recalling that $\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} c_{i j}^{k} u_{k}$ for $i, j, k \in S_{>0}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i, j, k, \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)} c_{i j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}:=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{<0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:, \\
\sum_{i, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}:\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right] \varphi_{i} \varphi^{k}:-\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)} c_{i j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}:  \tag{5.11}\\
\quad=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{\geq 0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Using that $c_{i j}^{k}=\left(\left[u_{i}, u_{j}\right] \mid u^{k}\right)$, that the bilinear form (.|.) is invariant, equation (5.1), and that $p(i)+p(k)=p(j)$ if $c_{i j}^{k} \neq 0$, we obtain :

$$
\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)} c_{i j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}:=\sum_{j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} p_{<0}\left[u_{j}, u^{k}\right]:,
$$

from which (5.11) follows.
By (5.11), the LHS of (5.12) is equal to

$$
\left(\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}-\sum_{\substack{i, j \in S_{>0} \\\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right] \in \mathfrak{g}_{<0}}}\right)(-1)^{p(i)}:\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right] \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: .
$$

Formula (5.12) follows.
Lemma 5.3. The expression

$$
A_{<0}=\sum_{i, j, k, l \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left(\left[u_{l}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{<0}\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{l}:
$$

is equal to $\frac{1}{2} A_{\neq 0}$, where $A_{\neq 0}$ is obtained from $A_{<0}$ by replacing $p_{<0}$ by $p_{\neq 0}$.
Proof. Exchanging $i$ with $k$ and $j$ with $l$ in $A_{<0}$, we obtain

$$
A_{<0}=\sum_{i, j, k, l}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left(\left[u_{l}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{>0}\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{l}:
$$

Adding the two expressions for $A_{<0}$, we obtain $A_{\neq 0}$.
From (5.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mathrm{ch}}=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(f \mid\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 5.4. We have

$$
\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi^{i}\left[f, u_{i}\right]^{\mathrm{ch}}:=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \Phi_{\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:
$$

Proof. Substituting in the LHS the expression (5.4) for $v=\left[f, u_{i}\right]$, we obtain, by invariance of (.|.) and (1.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}} \sum_{j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left\langle u_{i},\left[u_{j}, u^{k}\right]\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{i} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j}: \\
& =\sum_{j, k, \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left\langle u_{i},\left[u_{j}, u^{k}\right]\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{i} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j}: \\
& =\sum_{j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \Phi_{\left[u_{j}, u^{k}\right]} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j}:
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the lemma.
Lemma 5.5. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}= & -f-\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi^{i}\left[f, u_{i}\right]:+1 / 2 \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i} u_{i}: \\
& -\partial \rho_{>0}+\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \partial J^{(x)}-\frac{k+h^{\vee}}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}: \Phi^{i} \partial \Phi_{i}: \\
& -h^{\vee} \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}:-\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)} c_{i j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}: \\
& +\sum_{i, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}:\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right] \varphi_{i} \varphi^{k}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L=d_{(0)}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right)+P_{0} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in S}: u^{j} u_{j}:+\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \partial x+\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L^{\mathrm{ch}}+\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L^{\mathrm{ne}} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing, as usual, dual bases $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{h^{i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, l$, of $\mathfrak{h}$ and root vectors $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}},\left\{e_{-\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}}$of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\left(e_{\alpha} \mid e_{-\alpha}\right)=1$, we obtain, using quasicommutativity of the normally ordered product, that the first term in the RHS of (5.15) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}}(-1)^{p(\alpha)}: e_{\alpha} e_{-\alpha}:+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} h^{i} h_{i}-\partial \rho= & \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: u_{i} u^{i}: \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i} u_{i}:-\partial \rho_{>0} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial x^{\mathrm{ch}}=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)} m_{i} \partial\left(: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{i}:\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.16) and (5.17), equation (5.15) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: u_{i} u^{i}:+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i} u_{i}:-\partial \rho_{>0} \\
& \quad+\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \partial J^{(x)}-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}:+\frac{k+h^{\vee}}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}:\left(\partial \Phi^{i}\right) \Phi_{i}: \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we compute $d_{(0)}\left(: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right), i \in S_{>0}$, using (2.6) and that $d_{(0)}$ is an odd derivation of the normally ordered product:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{(0)}\left(: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right)=: u_{i} u^{i}:+\sum_{j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(k)} c_{i j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}:+\left(f \mid u_{i}\right) u^{i} \\
& \quad+(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi_{u_{i}}\left[u^{(i)}, f\right]:-k: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}:-\sum_{k \in S_{>0}}:\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right] \varphi_{i} \varphi^{k}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used for the 3-rd term in the RHS that $\left(f \mid u_{i}\right)=0$ if $p(i) \neq 0$, and formula (5.5) for the 4-th term. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)} d_{(0)}\left(: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right)=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: u_{i} u^{i}:+\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)} c_{i, j}^{k}: \varphi_{k} \varphi^{j} u^{i}: \\
& +f-\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi^{i}\left[u_{i}, f\right]: \\
& -\sum_{i, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}:\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right] \varphi_{i} \varphi^{k}:-k \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}: \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We have used for the 3-rd term in the RHS that $f=\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(f \mid u_{i}\right) u^{i}$, and for the 4-th term that

$$
-\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi^{i}\left[u_{i}, f\right]:=\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)} d_{(0)}\left(: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right)
$$

Therefore $\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L-\sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)} d_{(0)}\left(: \varphi_{i} u^{i}:\right)$ is the difference of the right hand sides of equations (5.18) and (5.19), which is $P_{0}$.

Lemma 5.6. We have

$$
P_{0}=-J^{\{f\}}+P_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}= & \sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(f \mid\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:+\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \Phi_{\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k, \ell \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left(\left[u_{\ell}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{\ell}: \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right)\left(: \partial \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:-: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right) \\
& +\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \partial: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \\
& -h^{\vee} \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}+\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{>0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, by (5.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L+J^{\{f\}} \equiv P_{1} \quad \bmod \operatorname{Im} d_{(0)} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we compute, using Lemma 5.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in S_{0}}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:=\sum_{i \in S_{0}}: u^{i} u_{i}:+2 \sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \\
& \quad+\sum_{i, j, k, \ell \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)+p(k)}\left(\left[u_{\ell}, u^{k}\right] \mid p_{0}\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{\ell}: \\
& \quad+\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right)\left(: \partial \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:-: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right) . \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for $P_{0}$, defined in Lemma (5.5), and $J^{\{f\}}$, defined in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}+J^{\{f\}}=A \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A= & \left(f^{\mathrm{ch}}+\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \Phi^{i}\left[f, u_{i}\right]^{\mathrm{ch}}:\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}\left(: u^{i} u_{i}:-: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:\right) \\
& +\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \partial: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:-h^{\vee} \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}: \\
& +\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: p_{\geq 0}\left(\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}: \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used Lemma 5.4 for the first term, formula (5.21) for the second term and formula (5.12) for the last term.

From (5.23) it is straightforward to deduce that $A=P_{1}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.7. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2}= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{0}\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right)\left(:\left(\partial \varphi_{i}\right) \varphi^{j}:-: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right) \\
& +\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid\left[u_{j}, u^{i}\right]\right) \partial\left(: \varphi_{i} \varphi^{j}:\right)-h^{\vee} \sum_{i \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{i}: \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{<0}\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right):\left(\partial \varphi_{i}\right) \varphi^{j}: \\
& +\sum_{i, j, k \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left(u_{j} \mid\left[u^{k}, p_{>0}\left[u_{k}, u^{i}\right]\right]\right): \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
P_{2}=P_{1}-\frac{1}{2} d_{(0)} \sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \varphi_{\left[u_{i}, u^{j}\right]} \varphi_{j} \varphi^{i}:
$$

Consequently, by (5.20), we have

$$
\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L+J^{\{f\}} \equiv P_{2} \quad \bmod \operatorname{Im} d_{(0)}
$$

Proof. It is similar to that of Lemma 5.6, and therefore is omitted .
Lemma 5.8. Let

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i, j \in S_{>0}}\left(a_{i j}:\left(\partial \varphi_{i}\right) \varphi^{j}:+b_{i j}: \varphi_{i} \partial \varphi^{j}:\right),
$$

where $a_{i j}, b_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}$.
Then $d_{(0)} \varphi=0$ implies that $\varphi=0$.
Proof. It is clear from (2.6).
Now it is easy to complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 5.7, $\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) L+$ $J^{\{f\}} \equiv P_{2} \bmod \operatorname{Im} d_{(0)}$, where $d_{(0)} P_{2}=0$ since $d_{(0)} L=0=d_{(0)} J^{\{f\}}$. But $P_{2}$ has the form of $\varphi$ in Lemma 5.8, hence $P_{2}=0$.

## 6. Examples

6.1. Minimal $W$-algebras. Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}=\mathfrak{h}$ be the highest root for some ordering of roots of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The W-algebra $W^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}, \theta / 2, e_{-\theta}\right)$ is called a minimal W -algebra [KRW], [KW] if the $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-grading (1.1) has the form

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{j=-1}^{1} \mathfrak{g}_{j}, \text { where } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=\mathbb{F} e_{-\theta}
$$

In this case $f=e_{-\theta}$ lies in the non-zero nilpotent orbit of minimal dimension in one of the simple components of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Conversely, if $f$ lies in the non-zero orbit of minimal dimension in a simple component of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$, then the corresponding $W$-algebra is a minimal $W$-algebra in all cases, except when $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{osp}(3 \mid n)$ and the simple component of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is $\mathrm{So}_{3}$. Minimal $W$-algebras were studied in detail in [KRW] and [KW].

Obviously, for a minimal $W$-algebra, $\rho_{1}=x$, and it follows from [KW], formulas (5.6), (5.10), that $\rho_{1 / 2}=\left(h^{\vee}-2\right) x$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{>0}=\left(h^{\vee}-1\right) x \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\rho_{>0}-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) x=-(k+1) x$, and the FFR, given by Corollary 3.1, coincides with that, given by [KW], Theorem 5.2.
6.2. Principal $W$-algebras. Let $\left\{e_{*}, \rho^{\vee}, f_{*}\right\}$ be a principal $s l_{2}$-triple, where
$x=\rho^{\vee}$ is the half of the sum of positive coroots of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Then the datum ( $\mathfrak{g}, \rho^{\vee}, f_{*}, k$ ) is a Dynkin datum. The corresponding W-algebra $W^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}, \rho^{\vee}, f_{*}\right)$ is called the principal W-algebra, associated to $\mathfrak{g}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{g}_{ \pm 1 / 2}=0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{h}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{>0}=\rho\left(\in \mathfrak{h}^{*}=\mathfrak{h}\right), \text { and } \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f_{*}}=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the half of the sum of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence the FFR in this case is a homomorphism $W^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}, \rho^{\vee}, f_{*}\right) \rightarrow V^{B_{0}}(\mathfrak{h})$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \mapsto \frac{1}{2\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)} \sum_{j \in S_{0}}: u^{j} u_{j}:+\partial \rho^{\vee}-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} \partial \rho \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The principal $W$-algebras for arbitrary simple Lie algebras were first constructed in [FF].

The element $2 x$ is determined by its Dynkin labels $2 \alpha_{i}(x), i=1, \ldots$, rank $\mathfrak{g}$, which are known to take values 0,1 , and 2 . In the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra all the Dynkin labels of $2 \rho^{\vee}$ are equal to 2 .

Let now $\mathfrak{g}$ be a basic Lie superalgebra, which is not a Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ may have several non-isomorphic sets of simple roots, and the Dynkin diagrams, corresponding to the choices of positive roots, compatible with the grading (1.1) may be different. Below we list the Dynkin labels $2 \alpha_{i}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right), i=1, \ldots$, rank $\mathfrak{g}$, for all basic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$, which are not Lie algebras. For exceptional Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ they can be found in [H2]. We use notation for basic Lie superalgebras and their Dynkin diagrams from [K1].
I. $A(m, n), m>n \geq 0, m-n=2 k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

where the number of white nodes at the beginning and the end is equal to $k$, and the number of grey nodes is $2(n+1)$.
II. $A(m, n), m>n \geq 0, m-n=2 k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ :

where the number of white nodes at the beginning (resp. end) is equal to $k$ (resp. $k-1$ ), and the number of grey nodes is $2(n+1)$.
III. $A(m, m), m \geq 1$ :


In all cases I-III the total number of nodes is $m+n+1$.
$I V . B(m, n), m \geq 0, n \geq 1$ :

where the number of white nodes is $m-n$ if $m \geq n$, and is $n-m-1$ if $m \geq n-1$; the total number of nodes is $m+n$.
V. $C(n), n \geq 3$ :

where the number of white nodes is $n-2$.
VI. $D(m, n), m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ :

where the number of white nodes is $m-n-1$, if $m \geq n+1$, and is $n-m$ if $m \leq n$; the total number of nodes is $m+n$
VII. $D(2,1 ; a)$ :

where the simple roots are $\left\{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3},-\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}\right\}$.
VIII. F(4):

where the simple roots are $\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta+\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta-\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}\right), \frac{1}{2}(-\delta+\right.$ $\left.\left.\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}\right), \epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}\right\}$.
IX. G(3):

where the simple roots are $\left\{\delta,-\delta+\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{1}\right\}$.
Looking at these diagrams, we see that for all basic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$, except for $A(m, n)$ with $m-n$ even, which we shall exclude from consideration, the $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-grading (1.1), corresponding to the principal nilpotent element, is defined by

$$
\alpha_{i}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)=1\left(\operatorname{resp}=\frac{1}{2}\right) \text { if } \alpha_{i} \text { is even (resp. odd) }
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots$, rank $\mathfrak{g}$, are simple roots.. Hence this grading is compatible with the parity and $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{h}$. It follows that (6.2) still holds. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$ ) is a purely odd space, spanned by the $e_{\alpha_{i}}$ (resp. $e_{-\alpha_{i}}$ ), where the $\alpha_{i}$ are all odd simple roots. The element $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ can be chosen as follows. Let $f^{0}$ (resp. $f^{1}$ ) be the sum of all $e_{-\alpha_{i}}$ with $\alpha_{i}$ even (resp. odd); then

$$
f=f^{0}+\left[f^{1}, f^{1}\right]
$$

Remark 6.1. It is probably impossible to write down a complete FFR of an arbitrary $W$ algebra, with explicit expressions for all elements beyond those of conformal weight $1, \frac{3}{2}$, and $L$. However, in many cases (including the minimal one) the $W$-algebra $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ is generated by elements of conformal weight 1 and $\frac{3}{2}$ (this happens, for example, when $\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}^{f}$ generates $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}^{f}$ ). In such cases formulas (2.14) and (2.17) can be extended to the complete FFR of this $W$-algebra.
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## 7. Appendix A. A more Conceptual Proof of Theorem 3.2 for Dynkin Datum

The proof uses the following properties of the Dynkin datum:
(i) the restriction of the bilinear form (.|.) to $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ is non-degenerate;
(ii) (even part of $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right) \cap\left(\right.$ center of $\left.\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)=\mathbb{F} f$;
(iii) $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ is a direct sum of an abelian Lie algebra, basic Lie superalgebras, and $g l(n \mid n)$ with $n \geq 1$;
(iv) $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f} \mid x\right)=0$.

Properties (i) and (iv) obviously hold. Property (ii) holds by the Brylinski-Kostant Theorem $[\mathrm{BK}],[\mathrm{P}]$ in the Lie algebra case, and its analogue in the Lie superalgebra case, which follows from [H1] and [H2]. Property (iii) for classical (resp. exceptional) basic Lie superalgebras holds by [Ho] (resp. [H1].

Lemma 7.1. Let $L$ be the element of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, given by (3.1), and let $L^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} J^{\{f\}}$ , where $J^{\{f\}}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ given by Theorem 3.1. Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ and $J^{\{a\}} \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ be defined by (2.14). Then
(a) $\left[L_{\lambda} J^{\{a\}}\right]=(\partial+\lambda) J^{\{a\}}+\lambda^{2}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid a\right)$.
(b) $\left[L_{\lambda}^{\prime} J^{\{a\}}\right]=(\partial+\lambda) J^{\{a\}}+\lambda^{2}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid a\right)$.

Proof. From (2.11) and (2.14) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\{a\}} J^{(v)}\right]=J^{([a, v])}+\lambda B_{0}(a, b), a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\{a\}} \Phi_{u}\right]=(-1)^{p(a)} \Phi_{[a, u]}, a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}, u \in \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.1) and (7.2) we deduce, by making use of the non-commutative Wick formula, for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}, i \in S_{0}, j \in S_{1 / 2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[J^{\{a\}} \lambda: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:\right]=: J^{\left(\left[a, u^{i}\right]\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:+(-1)^{p(a) p(i)}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(\left[a, u_{i}\right]\right)}:} \\
& +(-1)^{p(i)} \lambda J^{\left(\left[u_{i},\left[u^{i}, a\right]\right]\right)}+\lambda\left(B_{0}\left(a, u^{i}\right) J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}+(-1)^{p(i)} B_{0}\left(a, u_{i}\right) J^{\left(u^{i}\right)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} B_{0}\left(a,\left[u^{i}, u_{i}\right]\right), \\
& {\left[J^{\{a\}}: \Phi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}:\right]=(-1)^{p(a)(p(j)+1)} \lambda: \Phi^{j} \Phi_{\left[a, u_{j}\right]}:+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{p(a)} \lambda^{2}\left\langle\left[a, u^{j}\right], u_{j}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ne}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(-1)^{p(a)} \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left(<u_{i},\left[a, u^{(j)}\right]>^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{i} \partial \Phi_{j}:-<u_{j},\left[a, u^{(i)}\right]>^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{j} \partial \Phi_{i}:\right), \\
& {\left[J^{\{a\}} \lambda: \Phi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:\right]=(-1)^{p(a)}: \Phi_{\left[a, u^{j}\right]} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:+(-1)^{p(a) p(j)}: \Phi^{j} J^{\left(\left[a,\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right]\right)}: .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up both sides of the first formula over $i \in S_{0}$, the second and third formula over $j \in S_{1 / 2}$, we obtain the following three formulas for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in S_{0}}\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:\right]=2\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \lambda J^{(a)},  \tag{7.3}\\
& \sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}\left[a_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{ne}}: \Phi^{j} \partial \Phi_{j}:\right]=-2 \lambda a^{\mathrm{ne}} \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a^{\text {ne }}$ is the secind term on the right in (2.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in S_{1 / 2}}\left[J^{\{a\}}(-1)^{p(j)}: \Phi^{j} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{j}\right]\right)}:\right]=0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}} J^{(f)}\right]=0=\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}} J^{(x)}\right] \text { for } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Proposition 3.2,
we obtain from (7.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\{a\}}{ }_{\lambda} J^{\left(\rho_{>0}\right)}\right]=\lambda\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left(a \mid \rho_{>0}\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can complete the proof of the lemma. Formula (a) is straightforward by the discussion in Sect. 3, cf. (3.4). Below we shall prove (b). We have for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}} J^{\{f\}}\right]=\left[J^{\{a\}} J^{(f)}\right]+\sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}(-1)^{p(i)}\left[J^{\{a\}}: \Phi^{i} J^{\left(\left[f, u_{i}\right]\right)}:\right]} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S_{0}}\left[J^{\{a\}}: J^{\left(u^{i}\right)} J^{\left(u_{i}\right)}:\right]-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left[J^{\{a\}} \partial J^{(x)}\right] \\
& +\left[J^{\{a\}}{ }_{\lambda} \partial J^{\left(\rho_{>} 0\right)}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \sum_{i \in S_{1 / 2}}\left[J_{\lambda}^{\{a\}}: \Phi^{i} \partial \Phi_{i}:\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first and the fourth terms on the right are equal to 0 by (7.6), and the second term equals 0 by (7.5). The third term equals $-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \lambda J^{(a)}$ by (7.3). The fifth term equals $\lambda^{2}\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left(a \mid \rho_{>0}\right)$ by (7.7). The sixth term equals $-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \lambda a^{\text {ne }}$ by (7.4). Thus, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[J^{\{f\}} J^{\{a\}}\right] } & =-\left[J^{\{a\}}-\partial-\lambda J^{\{f\}}\right]=-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)(\partial+\lambda) J^{\{a\}}-\lambda^{2}\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left(a \mid \rho_{>0}\right) \\
& =-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)\left((\partial+\lambda) J^{\{a\}}+\lambda^{2}\left(a \mid \rho_{>0}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

proving formula (b). Here we have used property (iv) of a Dynkin data.
Remark 7.1. The same proof shows that Lemma 7.1 holds for arbitrary grading (1.1), satisfying (1.5), if we replace the coefficient of $\lambda^{2}$ by $\left(\rho_{>0} \mid a\right)-\left(k+h^{\vee}\right)(x \mid a)$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $M$ be an element of confirmal weight 2 (with respect to $L$ ), which lies in the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$, generated by the elements $J^{\{a\}}, a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\{a\}}{ }_{\lambda} M\right]=0 \text { for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f} . \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M=0$.
Proof. By property (iii) of a Dynkin datum, the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ generated by the elements $J^{\{a\}}, a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$, is the affine vertex algebra $V^{B_{1 / 2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}\right)$ (see (2.15), (2.16)), associated to the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$, which is a direct sum of its center, some basic Lie superalgebras and Lie superalgebras $g l(n \mid n), n \geq 1$, and a non-degenerative bilinear form on it, for all, but finitely many values of $k$.. Therefore, for all, but finitely many values of $k$, this vertex algebra carries the Sugawara element, with respect to which all elements $J^{\{a\}}, a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$, have conformal weight 1 (see e.g. [K2]); for $g l(n \mid n)$ we use the modification of the Sugawara construction, discussed in Appendix B. Hence, the center of $V^{B_{1 / 2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}\right)$ consists of the multiples of the vacuum vector. Since condition (7.8) implies that M is a central element of $V^{B_{1 / 2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}\right)$, we conclude that $M=0$ for all, but finitely many $k$, hence for all $k$.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. Since L has conformed weight 2 (hereafter conformal weight is meant with respect to L ), by [KW], Theorem 4.1(a), L is $d_{(0)}$-equivalent to an element of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\left\{f^{\prime}\right\}}=J^{\left(f^{\prime}\right)}+M_{1} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{f}$ and $M_{1}$ lies in the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ generated by the $J^{(a)}$ with $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1 / 2}$ and the $\Phi_{i}, i \in S_{1 / 2}$. Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ and let $J^{\{v\}} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ be an element, given by [KW], Theorem 4.1(a). Since $J^{\left\{f^{\prime}\right\}}$ is $d_{(0)}$-equivalent to L, we have in $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\left\{f^{\prime}\right\}} J^{\{v\}}\right]=\partial J^{\{v\}}+O(\lambda) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, due to (2.8), equation (7.10) is an equality in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$. It is easy to conclude from (7.9), using (2.11) (which can be used since $f^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$ ), that we have the following equality in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J^{\left\{f^{\prime}\right\}} J^{\{v\}}\right]=J^{\left\{\left[f^{\prime}, v\right]\right\}}+A+B+O(\lambda) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\sum: \partial^{i_{1}} J^{\left(v_{1}\right)} \ldots \partial^{i_{n}} J^{\left(v_{n}\right)}$ : with $n \geq 2$ or $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n} \geq 1$, and $B$ is a linear combination of normally ordered products, involving the $\Phi_{i}$. Comparing (7.10) and (7.11), we conclude that

$$
\left[f^{\prime}, \mathfrak{g}^{f}\right]=0
$$

Hence, by condition (ii), we have

$$
f^{\prime}=\gamma f \text { for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}
$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{L}-\gamma J^{(f)}$ is a sum of normally ordered products of elements of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ of conformal weight $<2$. It follows that, for $J^{\{f\}}$ from Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L-\gamma J^{\{f\}}=M \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where M is a $d_{(0)}$-closed element of conformal weight 1 . Hence, by [KW], Theorem 4.1(b), M is a linear combination of elements of the form : $J^{\{a\}} J^{\{b\}}$ : and $\partial J^{\{c\}}$, where $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$. By Lemma 7.1, M satisfies (7.8), hence, by Lemma 7.2, $M=0$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\gamma J^{\{f\}} \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.2, it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=-\frac{1}{k+h^{\vee}} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For that we use the following formula, which can be deduced from the discussion of properties of L in Sect. 3 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{\lambda} J^{(v)}\right]=\left(\partial+\Delta_{v} \lambda\right) J^{(v)}+\lambda^{2}\left(\rho_{>0} \mid v\right), v \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{v}$ is the conformal weight of $J^{(v)}$. Using formula (7.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{\lambda} \gamma J^{\{f\}}\right]=(\partial+2 \lambda) \gamma J^{\{f\}}+\lambda^{3}\left(-\gamma\left(k+h^{\vee}\right) \frac{c(\mathfrak{g}, x, k)}{12}+\gamma \beta\right) \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\left(\rho_{>0} \mid \rho_{>0}\right)-(\rho \mid \rho)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\rho_{1 / 2} \mid \rho_{>0}\right)+\frac{1}{24} \operatorname{str}_{\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1 / 2}} \Omega_{0}
$$

Using (7.13) and comparing (7.16) with

$$
\left[L_{\lambda} L\right]=(\partial+2 \lambda) L+\frac{\lambda^{3}}{12} c(\mathfrak{g}, x, k)
$$

where $c(\mathfrak{g}, x, k)$, given by (3.3), is non-zero for generic k , we obtain (7.14) (and also that $\beta=0$ ). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 8. Appendix B. Theorem 3.2 for $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{gl}(n \mid n)$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with an even invariant supersymmetric bilinear form (.|.). In order to apply the Sugawara construction, we need two properties:
(i) the bilinear form (.|.) is non-degenerate, so that we can choose dual bases $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{u^{i}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to this form and construct the Casimir operator

$$
\Omega=\sum_{i} u^{i} u_{i} \in U(\mathfrak{g})
$$

(ii) the Casimir operator $\Omega$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ as a scalar (which we denoted by $2 h^{\vee}$ ).

In this Appendix we consider $\mathfrak{g}=g l(n \mid n)$ with the bilinear form $(a \mid b)=\operatorname{str} a b$. The property (i) holds, but (ii) fails. However, we will show that the Sugawara operator $L^{\mathfrak{g}}$, appearing in the formula (3.2) for L can be modified, so that the resulting modified $L$ is a Virasoro vector, which satisfies a modified Theorem 3.2 with the modified $J^{\{f\}}$.

Let $I$ be the identity matrix in $\mathfrak{g}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sum_{i}: u^{i} u_{i}: \in V^{k}(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following formulas are obtained by straightforward computations, where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega(a)=-2(a \mid I) I  \tag{8.2}\\
& {\left[a_{\lambda} \omega\right]=2 \lambda k a-2 \lambda(a \mid I) I}  \tag{8.3}\\
& {\left[a_{\lambda} I\right]=\lambda k(a \mid I)}  \tag{8.4}\\
& {\left[a_{\lambda}: I^{2}:\right]=2 \lambda k(a \mid I) I .} \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Introduce the modified Sugawara operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\mathfrak{g}}=\frac{1}{2 k} \omega+\frac{1}{2 k^{2}}: I^{2}: . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to deduce from (8.3)-(8.5) the following two formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[a_{\lambda} L^{\mathfrak{g}}\right]=\lambda a, \text { hence }\left[L^{\mathfrak{g}} a\right]=(\partial+\lambda) a}  \tag{8.7}\\
& {\left[L^{\mathfrak{g}} L^{\mathfrak{g}}\right]=(\partial+2 \lambda) L^{\mathfrak{g}}} \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 8.1. The element $L^{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by (8.6) is a Virasoro vector of $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ with central charge 0 , for which $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ have conformal weight 1 . Hence, $V^{k}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a conformal vertex algebra of CFT type for all $k \neq 0$.

Finally, we have the following version of Theorem 3.2 for $\mathfrak{g}=g l(n \mid n)$.
Theorem 8.1. Let $L^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be defined by (8.6), let $L$ be defined by (3.2) with this $L^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let $\tilde{J}^{\{f\}}$ be the element, defined in Theorem 3.1 for $h^{\vee}=0$. Then the element

$$
J^{\{f\}}=\tilde{J}^{\{f\}}-\frac{1}{2 k}: I^{2}:
$$

is $d_{(0)}$-closed and $L=-\frac{1}{k} J^{\{f\}}$ is an energy-momentum vector of $W^{k}(\mathfrak{g}, x, f)$ with central charge given by formula (3.3) with $h^{\vee}=0$.

Proof. By the same proof as that of Theorem 3.1, the element $\tilde{J}^{\{f\}}$ is $d_{(0)}$-closed, and also, by (2.6), the element $I$ is $d_{(0)}$ - closed, hence the same holds for : $I^{2}$ :. Hence the element $J^{\{f\}}$ is $d_{(0)}$-closed.

Let $\tilde{L}=\frac{1}{2 k} \omega+\partial x+L^{c h}+L^{n e}$ (cf. (3.2)). By the same proof as that of Theorem 3.2, we have: $\tilde{L}+\frac{1}{k} \tilde{J}^{\{f\}}$ is $d_{(0)}$-exact. Since this element coincides with $L+\frac{1}{k} J^{\{f\}}$, the theorem is proved.
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