



A Unified Approach to the Klein–Gordon Equation on Bianchi Backgrounds

Hans Ringström 

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden.
E-mail: hansr@kth.se

Received: 21 August 2018 / Accepted: 8 November 2018
Published online: 19 February 2019 – © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract: In this paper, we study solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation on Bianchi backgrounds. In particular, we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of solutions in the direction of silent singularities. The main conclusion is that, for a given solution u to the Klein–Gordon equation, there are smooth functions u_i , $i = 0, 1$, on the Lie group under consideration, such that $u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1$ and $u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\sigma - u_0$ asymptotically converge to zero in the direction of the singularity (where σ is a geometrically defined time coordinate such that the singularity corresponds to $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$). Here u_i , $i = 0, 1$, should be thought of as data on the singularity. Interestingly, it is possible to prove that the asymptotics are of this form for a large class of Bianchi spacetimes. Moreover, the conclusion applies for singularities that are matter dominated; singularities that are vacuum dominated; and even when the asymptotics of the underlying Bianchi spacetime are oscillatory. To summarise, there seems to be a universality as far as the asymptotics in the direction of silent singularities are concerned. In fact, it is tempting to conjecture that as long as the singularity of the underlying Bianchi spacetime is silent, then the asymptotics of solutions are as described above. In order to contrast the above asymptotics with the non-silent setting, we, by appealing to known results, provide a complete asymptotic characterisation of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation on a flat Kasner background. In that setting, u_σ does, generically, not converge.

1. Introduction

The subject of this paper is the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation

$$\square_g u - m^2 u = 0 \tag{1}$$

on a spacetime (M, g) , where m is a constant. In practice, we are going to consider the somewhat more general equation

$$\square_g u + \varphi_0 u = 0, \tag{2}$$

where φ_0 is a function. We impose specific restrictions on φ_0 as we go along. We are not going to consider arbitrary (M, g) , but rather focus on Bianchi spacetimes; i.e., Lorentz manifolds of the following type.

Definition 1. A *Bianchi spacetime* is a Lorentz manifold (M, g) , where $M = G \times I$; $I = (t_-, t_+)$ is an open interval; G is a connected 3-dimensional Lie group; and g is of the form

$$g = -dt \otimes dt + a_{ij}(t)\xi^i \otimes \xi^j, \tag{3}$$

where $\{\xi^i\}$ is the dual basis of a basis $\{e_i\}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $a_{ij} \in C^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$ are such that $a_{ij}(t)$ are the components of a positive definite matrix $a(t)$ for every $t \in I$.

We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of solutions as $t \rightarrow t_-$, where we assume, at the very minimum, t_- to represent a singularity in the following sense.

Definition 2. Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. Then t_- is said to be a *monotone volume singularity* if there is a $t_0 \in I$ such that the mean curvature, say $\theta(t)$, of $G_t := G \times \{t\}$ is strictly positive on (t_-, t_0) and if

$$\tau := \frac{1}{3} \ln \sqrt{\det a} \rightarrow -\infty \tag{4}$$

as $t \rightarrow t_-$, where a is the matrix with components a_{ij} .

Remark 3. In what follows, we always assume, without loss of generality, that a monotone volume singularity is such that $\tau(t_0) > 0$; note that this can be ensured by rescaling the frame $\{e_i\}$, if necessary.

1.1. Time coordinates. Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. If t_- is a monotone volume singularity, then τ can be used as a time coordinate in a neighbourhood of the singularity; note that $\partial_t \tau = \theta/3$. From now on, we refer to τ as the *logarithmic volume density*. In some situations it is more convenient to use a time coordinate σ satisfying

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{3}(\det a)^{-1/2}. \tag{5}$$

In what follows, we define σ by, in addition, requiring $\sigma = 0$ to correspond to $\tau = 0$. For the monotone volume singularities of interest here, $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$ corresponds to $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$; cf. Sect. 11.1 below for a more detailed discussion.

1.2. Silence. One aspect which is of central importance in the analysis of the asymptotics is whether observers asymptotically lose the ability to communicate in the direction of the singularity or not. To be more specific, let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime and t_- be a monotone volume singularity. Then t_- is said to be a *silent monotone volume singularity* if

$$\|a^{-1/2}\| \in L^1(t_-, t_0], \tag{6}$$

where $a^{-1/2}$ denotes the inverse of the square root of the matrix a ; cf. Lemma 46 below for some of the consequences this assumption has for the causal structure. In the present paper, we are mainly interested in silent monotone volume singularities. However, as an illustration, we also describe the asymptotics for one Bianchi spacetime with a Cauchy horizon; cf. Sect. 7 below.

1.3. Main results, rough description. Consider the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation in the direction of a silent monotone volume singularity. Assume the underlying Bianchi geometry to satisfy Einstein’s equations; cf. Sects. 2 and 3 below for details. Then there are two main conclusions. First, there are general conditions on the stress energy tensor and the geometry ensuring that u_σ is bounded in the direction of the singularity, where u is a solution to the Klein–Gordon equation; cf. Sect. 2.5 below. Second, there are convergence results; cf. Sects. 4–6 below. In each of these sections, we formulate general results. However, we also provide examples, illustrating that the results apply in the orthogonal perfect fluid setting. The typical conclusion is that, for a solution u to the Klein–Gordon equation on the relevant background, there are functions u_0 and u_1 such that

$$u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1, \quad u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\sigma - u_0 \quad (7)$$

converge to zero asymptotically; cf. Sects. 4–6 below for details. In particular, it is of interest to note that these asymptotics seem to be universal; i.e., independent of the background, as soon as the monotone volume singularity under consideration is silent. Combining the results of this paper with unpublished results by Bernhard Brehm yields the conclusion that the asymptotics are such even in the case of generic oscillatory vacuum Bianchi type VIII and IX solutions; cf. Examples 15 and 18 below. In order to contrast the above asymptotics with the asymptotics of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation in the case of a non-silent monotone volume singularity, we consider the Klein–Gordon equation on a flat Kasner background in Sect. 7 below.

1.4. Outline. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 below, we describe the general assumptions we make concerning the background Bianchi spacetimes; describe the notation we use; recall the Raychaudhuri equation (the coefficients of which play a central role in the arguments); conformally rescale the metric; formulate the equation with respect to the conformally rescaled metric; discuss the Bianchi classification; and define the basic energies. We end the section by stating the main energy estimate; cf. Sect. 2.5. This estimate implies a bound on u_σ . Before turning to the question of convergence, we devote Sect. 3 to a discussion of the developments corresponding to Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data. In particular, we appeal to results in the literature in order to demonstrate that the developments have monotone volume singularities. We also discuss the silence of the monotone volume singularities and the maximality of the developments. Given this background material, we turn to the question of convergence in Sects. 4–6. In Sect. 4.1, we state a result ensuring convergence of u_σ ; cf. Proposition 12 below. As an application, we demonstrate, e.g., that on generic Bianchi class A vacuum backgrounds, solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation are such that u_σ converges; cf. Example 15. In Sect. 4.2, we provide conditions ensuring that the asymptotics are as described in (7). We also note that on generic Bianchi class A vacuum backgrounds, the conditions are satisfied; cf. Example 18. It is important to note that, due to unpublished results of Bernhard Brehm (building on the arguments of [Bre]), the results of Sect. 4 apply even in the generic oscillatory Bianchi type VIII and IX vacuum settings. In Sect. 5, we turn to the convergent setting; here convergent means, in particular, that a rescaled version of the second fundamental form converges and that $\dot{\theta}/\theta^2$ converges. The main result is Proposition 19. This result is based on stronger assumptions than those of Sect. 4, but it also gives stronger conclusions. Moreover, it applies in several different settings. In Example 22, we demonstrate that it applies to stiff fluids for all

Bianchi types except $VI_{-1/9}$ (in the case of Bianchi type $VI_{-1/9}$, we are unaware of any appropriate results in the literature). In Examples 24, 29 and 30 we give further applications of Proposition 19. Finally, in Sect. 6, we treat an exceptional case, not covered by Proposition 19.

The results of Sects. 4–6 concern silent monotone volume singularities. In order to contrast the behaviour in the silent setting with the behaviour in the presence of a horizon, we consider the Klein–Gordon equation on a flat Kasner background in Sect. 7. In particular, we demonstrate that u_σ does not converge in that case. It is also of interest to consider the question of blow up of the solution. In the case of non-flat Kasner backgrounds, as well as certain isotropic Bianchi type I backgrounds, this is done in [AFaF]. In Sect. 8, we derive conclusions concerning blow up using the results of [Rin] (for non-flat Kasner backgrounds). The reason for doing so is that the results of [Rin] yield interesting information concerning the regularity of the function u_1 appearing in (7). Moreover, the corresponding observations naturally lead to an important open problem.

In Sect. 9, we provide the geometric background material on which the arguments of the paper is based. In particular, we derive the Raychaudhuri equation in the setting of interest here; obtain geometric inequalities on which the energy estimates are based; demonstrate a divergence type result that we use in the proof of the energy estimates; and derive results concerning the causal structure in the silent setting. The results concerning the causal structure are needed in order to justify that it is sufficient to consider solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation with compactly supported initial data in the study of the singularity. In Sects. 10 and 11, we discuss the conformal rescaling of the metric; the corresponding reformulation of the equation; and the relation between the time coordinates τ and σ . In Sects. 12 and 13, we then derive energy estimates. We also prove the propositions appearing in Sects. 5 and 6. In Sect. 14 we write down the proofs of the propositions and examples in Sect. 4; in Sect. 15 we write down the proofs of the examples in Sect. 5; and in Sect. 16 we write down the proofs of the examples in Sect. 6. Finally, in the appendix, we provide the background material concerning the Bianchi developments needed in the examples in Sects. 4–6. We also prove the statements made in Sect. 8.

1.5. Previous results. Clearly, there are several papers with related and partially overlapping results; cf., e.g., [ARen, Pet, Rin, AFaF, Bac] and references cited therein. In [ARen], Alan Rendall and Paul Allen study perturbations of FLRW cosmological models which are spatially flat and have \mathbb{T}^3 spatial topology. In particular, the authors consider a linear hyperbolic equation on isotropic Bianchi type I backgrounds. In [Pet], Oliver Lindblad Petersen considers the linear wave equation on Kasner backgrounds, including the flat Kasner solution. In [Rin], we consider systems of linear wave equations on a class of background geometries (for a detailed description of the requirements, cf. [Rin]). The main results concern optimal energy estimates, but we also derive asymptotic information, in some cases a homeomorphism between initial data and asymptotic data. In [AFaF] Artur Alho, Grigorios Fournodavlos and Anne Franzen consider the wave equation on isotropic Bianchi type I backgrounds and on non-flat Kasner backgrounds. Moreover, they provide an open criterion for L^2 -blow up of the solution. In [Bac], Alain Bachelot considers Klein–Gordon type equations on FLRW backgrounds. In practice, he considers warped product type geometries (in this sense, the results are more general than FLRW). Moreover, he considers Big Bang, Big Crunch, Big Rip, Big Brake and Sudden Singularities.

2. Main Energy Estimate

In the previous section, we formulated the questions of interest for general Bianchi spacetimes. However, we are here, in practice, interested in solutions to Einstein’s equations. In other words, we assume that

$$\text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}Sg + \Lambda g = T, \tag{8}$$

where Ric and S are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of g respectively; Λ is the cosmological constant; and T is the stress energy tensor. In some of the results, we only impose general conditions on the stress energy tensor. However, we also illustrate that the general conditions are satisfied for large classes of Bianchi spacetimes with matter of orthogonal perfect fluid type.

Next, we introduce the terminology in terms of which we formulate the assumptions. To begin with, we define the *energy density* and the *mean pressure* by

$$\rho := T_{00}, \quad \bar{p} := \frac{1}{3}a^{ij}T_{ij} \tag{9}$$

respectively, where the components are calculated with respect to the frame $\{e_\alpha\}$; here $e_0 := \partial_t$ and the e_i are given in Definition 1. Moreover, a^{ij} are the components of the matrix a^{-1} . From now on, sub- and superscripts refer to the frame $\{e_\alpha\}$, Greek indices range from 0 to 3 and Latin indices range from 1 to 3. We denote the induced metric and second fundamental form on G_t by \bar{g} and \bar{k} respectively, and we think of these objects as being defined on G . Introduce

$$\theta := \text{tr}_{\bar{g}}\bar{k}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{ij} := \bar{k}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\theta\bar{g}_{ij}. \tag{10}$$

These objects are referred to as the *mean curvature* and the *shear* respectively. In analogy with [WaH], it is also convenient to introduce the rescaled quantities

$$\Sigma_{ij} := \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{ij}}{\theta}, \quad \Omega_\rho := \frac{3\rho}{\theta^2}, \quad \Omega_{\bar{p}} := \frac{3\bar{p}}{\theta^2}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda := \frac{3\Lambda}{\theta^2}, \quad \Omega_{\text{tot}} = \Omega_\rho + 3\Omega_{\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_\Lambda. \tag{11}$$

With the above notation, Raychaudhuri’s equation can be written

$$-3\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\theta^2} = 1 + q, \tag{12}$$

where

$$q := 3\Sigma^{ij}\Sigma_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\text{tot}}; \tag{13}$$

cf. Sect. 9.1 below for a justification of this statement. We refer to q as the *deceleration parameter* in analogy with the terminology introduced in [WaH, p. 1414].

2.1. Conformal rescaling. In practice, it is convenient to rescale the metric according to $\hat{g} := \theta^2 g/9$; cf. Sect. 10 below for details. In the case of a monotone volume singularity, \hat{g} can then be written

$$\hat{g} = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + \hat{a}_{ij}(\tau)\xi^i \otimes \xi^j.$$

Here $\tau \in \mathcal{I}_0$, where $\mathcal{I}_0 := (-\infty, \tau_0)$ is the image of (t_-, t_0) under τ . Moreover, $\hat{a}_{ij} = \theta^2 a_{ij}/9$. Using this notation, (2) can be rewritten

$$-u_{\tau\tau} + \hat{a}^{ij}e_i[e_j(u)] + (q - 2)u_\tau - 2X_0(u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 u = 0; \tag{14}$$

cf. Sect. 11 below for a justification of this statement. Here q is defined by (13); $\hat{\varphi}_0 := 9\theta^{-2}\varphi_0$; and \hat{a}^{ij} are the components of the inverse of the matrix with components \hat{a}_{ij} . In order to explain the origin of the vector field X_0 , let $\xi_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the one form defined by

$$\xi_G(X) := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr ad}_X, \tag{15}$$

where the linear map $\text{ad}_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $\text{ad}_X Y = [X, Y]$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then X_0 is the metrically related vector field. In other words, if $X_0 = X_0^i e_i$ and $\xi_{G,i} = \xi_G(e_i)$, then $X_0^i = \hat{a}^{ij} \xi_{G,j}$.

2.2. The Bianchi classification. Some of the results of the present paper are divided according to the Bianchi classification. Next, we therefore explain the associated terminology. Three-dimensional Lie groups can be divided according to whether they are unimodular or non-unimodular. For completeness, we recall one characterisation of unimodularity.

Definition 4. A connected Lie group G is said to be *unimodular* if $\xi_G = 0$, where $\xi_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by (15). A connected Lie group which is not unimodular is said to be *non-unimodular*.

This definition leads to the division into Bianchi class A and Bianchi class B.

Definition 5. Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. Then, if the associated Lie group is unimodular, the spacetime is said to be of *Bianchi class A*, and if the associated Lie group is non-unimodular, the spacetime is said to be of *Bianchi class B*.

In what follows, we also speak of Bianchi class A and B Lie groups. Beyond the division of Bianchi spacetimes and 3-dimensional Lie groups into classes, there is, for each class, a division into types. This division can be found in many references, but in the case of Bianchi class A, we refer the reader to [RinAtt, Table 1, p. 409] (since we appeal to the results of [RinAtt] quite frequently in the present paper). In the case of Bianchi class B, the division into types can be found in, e.g., [RadNon, Table 1, p. 16] (again, we appeal to the results of [RadNon] quite frequently in the present paper). In the case of Bianchi class B, there is one type which is considered “exceptional”, namely Bianchi type VI_{-1/9}. The reason for this is that if one considers (given a left invariant Riemannian initial metric) the momentum constraint as a linear equation for a left invariant second fundamental form, then this equation has a degeneracy which occurs exactly for Bianchi type VI_{-1/9}; cf. [RadNon, Subsection 11.3, pp. 62–64], in particular [RadNon, Lemma 11.13, p. 63], for more details. This means that for Bianchi class B, the degrees of freedom are maximised for Bianchi type VI_{-1/9}. In the applications of the general results, we exclude the exceptional case due to the lack of references concerning the asymptotics of the corresponding Bianchi spacetimes. Finally, let us refer the reader interested in a historical overview of the origins of the Bianchi classification to [KraEtal].

2.3. *Orthogonal perfect fluids with a linear equation of state.* A natural matter model to consider is an orthogonal perfect fluid with a linear equation of state. In practice, this means that the stress energy tensor is of the form

$$T = (\rho + p)dt \otimes dt + pg, \tag{16}$$

where $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$ and γ is a constant. Here ρ is the *energy density*, p is the *pressure*, and ρ and p only depend on t . Note that \bar{p} introduced in (9) then equals p . We restrict our attention to $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$. Moreover, we impose additional restrictions on γ in particular cases. The special case that $\gamma = 2$ is referred to as a *stiff fluid*. When we consider an orthogonal perfect fluid with a linear equation of state, we typically also assume that $\Lambda = 0$.

2.4. *Energies.* In order to have a flexible notion of an energy, it is convenient to introduce a function f with the following properties: $f \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$; $f > 0$; $f \in C^1$; f'/f is uniformly bounded on $(-\infty, 0]$; and $f' \geq 0$. Note that the requirements on f imply that $f \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Given, e.g., a solution u to (14) corresponding to initial data at $\tau = 0$ that are compactly supported on G , define

$$\mathcal{E}[u] := \frac{1}{2} \int_G \left[u_\tau^2 + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) e_j(u) + f^2 u^2 \right] \mu_h. \tag{17}$$

Here

$$h := \delta_{ij} \xi^i \otimes \xi^j. \tag{18}$$

Note that, due to Corollary 45 below, $\mathcal{E}[u]$ is well defined, smooth, and we are allowed to differentiate under the integral sign. In what follows, we tacitly consider the constituents of $\mathcal{E}[u]$, as well as $\mathcal{E}[u]$ itself, as depending on τ as opposed to t . In order to define higher order energies, it is convenient to introduce the following terminology.

Definition 6. A *vector field multiindex* is an ordered set of pairs of integers

$$K = \{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_k, j_k)\}, \tag{19}$$

where $i_l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $l = 1, \dots, k$; and $0 \leq j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l = 1, \dots, k$. Moreover, j_l is only allowed to be zero if $k = 1$, and the vector field multiindices $\{(1, 0)\}$, $\{(2, 0)\}$ and $\{(3, 0)\}$ should be thought of as being the same vector field multiindex, denoted by 0 . For the vector field multiindex K given by (19),

$$e_K := e_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots e_{i_k}^{j_k}, \quad |K| := j_1 + \cdots + j_k.$$

Here $|K|$ is referred to as the *order* of K . Moreover, if $K = 0$, then $e_K(\phi) = \phi$.

With h and f as above, define

$$\mathcal{E}_l[u] := \frac{1}{2} \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} \left([e_K(u)]_\tau^2 + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i[e_K(u)] e_j[e_K(u)] + f^2 [e_K(u)]^2 \right) \mu_h. \tag{20}$$

2.5. *The main energy estimate.* Given the above terminology, we are in a position to formulate the main energy estimate.

Theorem 7. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{p}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that it only depends on t . Assume that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$, where*

$$\gamma_{\bar{S}} := \frac{\bar{S}_+}{\theta^2}, \tag{21}$$

$\bar{S}_+ := \max\{0, \bar{S}\}$ and $\bar{S}(\tau)$ is the scalar curvature of the spatial hypersurface corresponding to τ . Assume, moreover, that

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0| + \|\hat{a}^{-1}\| \leq \mathfrak{f}^2 \tag{22}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$, where \hat{a}^{-1} is the matrix with components \hat{a}^{ij} and \mathfrak{f} is a function with the properties stated at the beginning of Sect. 2.4. Then there is a constant C_l such that for every smooth solution u to (14) corresponding to compactly supported initial data,

$$\mathcal{E}_l[u](\tau) \leq C_l \mathcal{E}_l[u](0) \exp \left[2 \int_\tau^0 [2 - q(\tau')] d\tau' \right] \tag{23}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$.

Remark 8. The theorem follows from Corollary 64 below. Note also that under the assumptions of the theorem, $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ corresponds to $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$; cf. Lemma 52 below.

Concerning the assumptions, let us remark the following. First, if T satisfies the dominant energy condition, then $\rho \geq \bar{p}$ and $\rho \geq 0$. For all the Bianchi types except IX, $\bar{S} \leq 0$, so that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} = 0$; cf., e.g., [RinSta, Appendix E]. The condition on $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$ is thus only non-trivial in the case of Bianchi type IX. Moreover, in the case of Bianchi type IX orthogonal perfect fluids with $2/3 < \gamma \leq 2$, it can be demonstrated that $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$ converges to zero exponentially with respect to τ -time (though we do not provide a proof of this statement here). In that sense, the condition on $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$ is not even a restriction for a large class of Bianchi type IX solutions. Next, we are mainly interested in singularities for which $\theta \rightarrow \infty$. In that setting, Ω_Λ converges to zero; cf. (11). If, in addition, either the parameter $\Omega_{\bar{p}}$ converges to zero asymptotically, or the average pressure \bar{p} is non-negative, then q is ≥ 0 asymptotically; cf. (11) and (13). Combining this observation with the fact that $\partial_\tau \theta = -(1+q)\theta$, cf. (12), it follows that θ tends to infinity exponentially. In particular, in the case of the Klein–Gordon equation, $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially. In that sense, the condition (22) is not very restrictive as far as $\hat{\varphi}_0$ is concerned. Finally, note that if $\tau(t_a) = 0$, then

$$\int_{t_-}^{t_a} \|a^{-1/2}(t)\| dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\|^{1/2} d\tau. \tag{24}$$

In other words, the requirement that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|^{1/2}$ be integrable is equivalent to the requirement of silence. To demand that there be a function \mathfrak{f} such that (22) holds and such that \mathfrak{f} is L^1 is thus natural. At the beginning of Sect. 2.4, we require \mathfrak{f} to satisfy a few additional technical conditions. However, the main requirement is integrability of \mathfrak{f} ; i.e., silence. Roughly speaking, we thus expect that if the underlying Bianchi geometry is silent, then an estimate of the form (23) should hold.

Next, let us point out that we only demand that the initial data for u have compact support in order for the energies \mathcal{E}_l to be well defined. Moreover, due to the causal structure in the silent setting, analysing the asymptotics in the general case can be reduced to analysing the asymptotics of solutions corresponding to initial data with compact support. Even for non-compact Lie groups G and smooth solutions u to the Klein–Gordon equation (not necessarily corresponding to initial data with compact support), we are, in fact, under quite general circumstances able to conclude the existence of functions u_1 and u_0 such that the expressions appearing in (7) tend to zero asymptotically; cf. Sects. 4–6 below.

Concerning the asymptotics, it can be verified that (23) implies that

$$\int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [e_K(u_\sigma)]^2 \mu_h \leq C_l \mathcal{E}_l[u](0), \tag{25}$$

cf. Sect. 11.1, where we use the time coordinate σ introduced in (5). Combining this observation with Sobolev embedding and the character of the causal structure in the silent setting yields the conclusion that $u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma)$ is asymptotically bounded in any local (in space) C^k norm; cf. the arguments presented in the proof of Proposition 19 below for a justification of this statement. Integrating this estimate, it is clear that $u(\cdot, \sigma)$ does not grow faster than linearly in any local C^k norm.

3. Geometric Properties of Bianchi Developments

In the applications, we wish to deduce, rather than assume, that the Bianchi space-times have a monotone volume singularity. In the present section, we therefore interrupt the presentation of energy estimates in order to consider the implications of Einstein’s equations. We use initial data as the starting point for our discussion and focus on the orthogonal perfect fluid setting.

Definition 9. *Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data* for Einstein’s equations consist of the following: a connected 3-dimensional Lie group G ; a left invariant metric \bar{g} on G ; a left invariant symmetric covariant 2-tensor field \bar{k} on G ; and a constant $\rho_0 \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S} - \bar{k}^{ij} \bar{k}_{ij} + (\text{tr}_{\bar{g}} \bar{k})^2 &= 2\rho_0 \\ \bar{\nabla}_i \text{tr}_{\bar{g}} \bar{k} - \bar{\nabla}^j \bar{k}_{ij} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 10. Here \bar{S} and $\bar{\nabla}$ denote the scalar curvature and Levi-Civita connection of \bar{g} respectively. Moreover, indices are raised and lowered with \bar{g} .

Remark 11. When we speak of Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data we take it for granted that $\Lambda = 0$.

Next, we consider the different Bianchi classes separately.

3.1. Bianchi class A. Given Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data such that G is a unimodular Lie group, a corresponding development is introduced in [RinAtt, Definition 21.1, p. 489] (the value of γ should here be understood from the context and the equation of state is given by $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$). We here refer to it as the *Bianchi class A*

development of the initial data. It is a Bianchi spacetime in the sense of Definition 1. Moreover, $a(t)$ is a diagonal matrix for every $t \in I$.

Bianchi class A developments which are not of type IX. In case the underlying unimodular Lie group is not of type IX, [RinAtt, Lemma 21.5, p. 491], [RinAtt, Lemma 21.8, p. 493] and [RinCau, Lemma 20.6, p. 218] imply that either the development is a quotient of Minkowski space (in particular, it is causally geodesically complete); or, after a suitable choice of time orientation, $t_- > -\infty$, $t_+ = \infty$ and $\theta(t) > 0$ for all $t \in I$. In what follows, we refer to the developments that are not quotients of Minkowski space as *non-Minkowski developments*. For non-Minkowski developments, the interval I in t -time corresponds to $(-\infty, \infty)$ in τ -time; $\theta(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_-$; and $\theta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_+$. This follows from [RinAtt, Lemma 22.4, p. 497] and its proof (note that the τ appearing in [RinAtt] can be assumed to coincide with the τ of the present paper; cf. the beginning of Sect. 17.1). In particular, for non-Minkowski developments, t_- is a monotone volume singularity. Finally, the non-Minkowski developments are past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically complete; cf. [RinAtt, Lemma 21.8, p. 493].

Bianchi type IX developments. Bianchi type IX developments typically recollapse in the sense that they are past and future causally geodesically incomplete. For diagonal Bianchi type IX solutions with a vanishing cosmological constant; non-negative mean pressure; and matter satisfying the dominant energy condition, this was demonstrated by Xue-feng Lin and Robert Wald in [LaW]. Here we, via [RinAtt, RinCau], appeal to this result. From now on, we therefore take for granted that $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ (in order to ensure that the mean pressure is non-negative). Due to [RinAtt, Lemma 21.6, p. 492], it then follows that there is a $t_0 \in I$ such that $\theta(t) > 0$ in (t_-, t_0) and $\theta(t) < 0$ in (t_0, t_+) . In other words, the spacetime expands; reaches a moment of maximal expansion (as measured by the volume); and then starts to contract. Moreover, due to [RinAtt, Lemma 21.8, p. 493], $t_+ < \infty$, $t_- > -\infty$, and the spacetime is future and past causally geodesically incomplete. By an argument which is essentially identical to the proof of [RinCau, Lemma 20.8, p. 219] (this lemma covers the vacuum case), it can also be demonstrated that $\theta(t) \rightarrow \mp\infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{\pm\mp}$. Finally, due to [RinAtt, Lemma 22.5, p. 498], the intervals (t_-, t_0) and (t_0, t_+) in t -time correspond to $(-\infty, \tau_a)$ in τ -time for some $\tau_a \in \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the maximal volume of the spatial hypersurfaces of homogeneity. In particular, if $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$, then Bianchi type IX developments have monotone volume singularities both to the future and to the past.

3.2. Non-exceptional Bianchi class B developments. Assuming G to be a non-exceptional Bianchi class B Lie group, the notion of initial data introduced in Definition 9 coincides with that introduced in [RadNon, Definition 1.5, p. 4]. Moreover, there is a notion of *Bianchi class B development* of the data, introduced in [RadNon, Definition 11.15, p. 71]. A Bianchi class B development is a Bianchi spacetime in the sense of Definition 1; cf. Sect. 17.2 below. Moreover, due to [RadNon, Lemma 11.16, p. 71], it either has $\theta(t) > 0$ for all $t \in I$, or it arises from initial data whose universal covering space is initial data for Minkowski space; Bianchi type I is included in the framework of [RadNon]. Restricting our attention to Bianchi class B, it is thus clear that $\theta(t) > 0$ for all $t \in I$. Due to the construction of the Bianchi class B development, (t_-, t_+) corresponds to $(-\infty, \infty)$ in τ -time; $t_- > -\infty$; $t_+ = \infty$; $\theta(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_-$; and $\theta(t) \rightarrow \theta_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_+$ for some constant $\theta_\infty \geq 0$. The reader interested in a justification of these statements is referred to [RadNon, Subsection 11.5, pp. 66-67] (the τ appearing in [RadNon] can be assumed

to coincide with the τ of the present paper; cf. the beginning of Sect. 17.2). Note, in particular, that t_- is a monotone volume singularity. Finally, note that a Bianchi class B development with $\rho = 0$ or with $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ is past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically incomplete; cf. [RadNon, Lemma 11.20, p. 74].

3.3. Silence. To determine whether the singularity is silent or not is, in general, quite complicated. For example, Charles Misner suggested in 1969 that Bianchi type IX singularities might not be silent; cf. [Mis]. He also suggested that the non-silent nature might have important implications in physics. However, it was only 47 years later that relevant results were obtained. In fact, in [Bre], Bernhard Brehm demonstrated that generic Bianchi type VIII and IX vacuum spacetimes have silent monotone volume singularities; here generic means that the relevant subsets of initial data have full measure. For this reason, silence is part of the assumptions in the general results that we formulate. However, we also demonstrate that the assumptions are satisfied for large classes of Bianchi developments.

3.4. Maximality. Next, we clarify in which sense the Bianchi class A and B developments described above are maximal. In the non-vacuum setting, i.e. if $\rho > 0$, the spacetime Ricci curvature contracted with itself tends to infinity in the incomplete directions of causal geodesics, assuming $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ in the case of Bianchi class B and $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ in the case of Bianchi class A. In the case of Bianchi class A, this statement follows from [RinAtt, Lemma 22.3, p. 497] and the above observations concerning causal geodesic incompleteness. In the case of Bianchi class B, it follows from [RadNon, Lemma 12.1, p. 81] and the above observations concerning causal geodesic incompleteness. In these settings, the Bianchi developments are thus inextendible as C^2 -manifolds, and in this sense maximal; cf., e.g., the proof of [RinCau, Lemma 18.18, pp. 204–205]. In the Bianchi class A vacuum developments, the Kretschmann scalar, defined to be the contraction of the spacetime Riemann tensor with itself, blows up in the incomplete directions of causal geodesics, except if the development is non-generic in the following sense: it is a quotient of Minkowski space; it is a flat Kasner solution; or it is a locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type II, VIII or IX solution. This follows from [RinCau, Theorem 24.12, p. 258]. Generic Bianchi class A vacuum developments are thus maximal in the sense that they are C^2 -inextendible; cf. [RinCau, Lemma 18.18, p. 204]. In the case of Bianchi class B vacuum developments, the same inextendibility holds, except if the development belongs to one of the following non-generic types: it is a plane wave solution or it is a locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VI₋₁ vacuum development. This follows from [RadNon, Theorem 1.11, p. 5]. To conclude: all the developments of interest are such that they have monotone volume singularities and are C^2 -inextendible. Since all the developments are Bianchi spacetimes in the sense of Definition 1, and since Bianchi spacetimes are globally hyperbolic, cf. Lemma 44 below, it is tempting to say that the relevant Bianchi developments are the maximal globally hyperbolic developments (or maximal Cauchy developments) of the initial data. In the vacuum setting, this is certainly true. However, it is important to note that in the case that $\gamma < 1$, it is not clear that the underlying initial value problem is well posed; cf., e.g., [FaR, p. 211]. In that case, it is therefore not clear that it is possible to argue as in, e.g., [CaG] in order to demonstrate the existence of a maximal globally hyperbolic development.

4. Convergence Results I

Theorem 7 ensures that u_σ is bounded. Next, we provide conditions ensuring convergence.

4.1. Leading order asymptotics. In order to deduce that u_σ converges, it is sufficient to make slightly stronger assumptions than those appearing in Theorem 7.

Proposition 12. *Given that the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied, assume, in addition, that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \langle \tau \rangle^2 d\tau < \infty. \tag{26}$$

Then, if u is a smooth solution to (2), there is a $u_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ with the property that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$ and $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow -\infty} \|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} = 0.$$

Remark 13. For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the notation $\langle \xi \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}. \tag{27}$$

Remark 14. The proof of this proposition is to be found in Sect. 14.1 below. Note also that under the assumptions of the proposition, $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ corresponds to $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$; cf. Remark 8.

Next, we give an example of the implications of this result.

Example 15 (Leading order asymptotics; cf. Sect. 14.1 below for a justification of the statements made in this example). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume, moreover, that G is a unimodular Lie group and that $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$. Let (M, g) be the corresponding Bianchi class A development; cf. Sect. 3.1. Focusing on the non-Minkowski developments, we can assume the time orientation to be such that t_- is a monotone volume singularity. Assume now that φ_0 is a bounded function of t only. Assume, finally, that there are C_0 and $0 < \lambda_0, \alpha_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \leq C_0 \exp(-2\lambda_0 \langle \tau \rangle^{\alpha_0}) \tag{28}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Note that this assumption implies that the monotone volume singularity is silent; cf. (24). Then, if u is a smooth solution to (2), there is a $u_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ with the property that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$ and $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a constant $C_{K,l}$ such that

$$\|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \tau \rangle^{2-\alpha_0} e^{-2\lambda_0 \langle \tau \rangle^{\alpha_0}} \tag{29}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. In particular, the left hand side of this inequality converges to zero as $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$.

Turning to the assumptions, the conditions on φ_0 can be relaxed; the interested reader is referred to the proof. However, the main assumption here is that (28) hold. Consider non-Minkowski Bianchi type I, II, VI₀ and VII₀ vacuum developments. Assume the corresponding monotone volume singularity to be silent (this only excludes a subset of the locally rotationally symmetric developments, in case they exist for the given Bianchi type). Then (28) holds with $\alpha_0 = 1$. Turning to Bianchi type VIII and IX

vacuum developments, there are unpublished results by Bernhard Brehm demonstrating that (28) holds generically. In fact, there is a subset \mathcal{G} of the set of Bianchi type IX vacuum initial data such that the following holds: \mathcal{G} has full measure, and for a solution corresponding to initial data in \mathcal{G} , there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ with the property that (28) holds for all $\tau \leq 0$, $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_0 = 1/6$ (in fact, for any $\alpha_0 < 1/5$ such a statement holds). The statement concerning Bianchi type VIII is similar.

4.2. *Complete asymptotics.* Strengthening the assumptions of Proposition 12 slightly yields complete asymptotics.

Proposition 16. *Given that the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied, assume, in addition, that (26) holds, and that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \langle \tau' \rangle [|\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau')| + \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau')\|] \exp\left(-\int_{\tau'}^0 [q(\tau'') - 2]d\tau''\right) d\tau' d\tau < \infty.$$

Then, if u is a smooth solution to (2), there are $u_0, u_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ such that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$ and $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow -\infty} \|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} = 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow -\infty} \|u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1 \cdot \sigma - u_0\|_{C^l(K)} = 0.$$

Remark 17. The proof of this statement is to be found in Sect. 14.2 below.

Next, we give an example illustrating that the conditions are satisfied for generic Bianchi class A vacuum solutions.

Example 18 (Complete asymptotics; cf. Sect. 14.2 below for a justification of the statements made in this example). Given that the assumptions stated at the beginning of Example 15 are satisfied, assume, in addition, that there are C_0 and $0 < \lambda_0, \alpha_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q - 2)d\tau'\right) \leq C_1 \exp(-2\lambda_0\langle \tau \rangle^{\alpha_0}) \tag{30}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Then the conclusions of Proposition 16 hold. In fact, if u is a smooth solution to (2), there are $u_0, u_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ with the property that for each compact set K and $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a constant $C_{K,l}$ such that (29) and

$$\|u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1 \cdot \sigma - u_0\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \tau \rangle^{3-2\alpha_0} e^{-2\lambda_0\langle \tau \rangle^{\alpha_0}} \tag{31}$$

hold for all $\tau \leq 0$.

As in Example 15, the assumptions concerning φ_0 can be relaxed. Consider non-Minkowski Bianchi type I, II, VI₀ and VII₀ vacuum developments. Assume the corresponding monotone volume singularity to be silent (this only excludes a subset of the locally rotationally symmetric developments, in case they exist for the given Bianchi type). Then the assumptions are satisfied with $\alpha_0 = 1$. Turning to Bianchi type VIII and IX vacuum developments, there are unpublished results by Bernhard Brehm demonstrating that (30) holds generically. In fact, there is a subset \mathcal{G} of the set of Bianchi type IX vacuum initial data such that the following holds: \mathcal{G} has full measure, and for a solution corresponding to initial data in \mathcal{G} , there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ with the property that (30) holds for all $\tau \leq 0$, $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_0 = 1/6$ (in fact, for any $\alpha_0 < 1/5$ such a statement holds). The statement concerning Bianchi type VIII is similar.

5. Convergence Results II

Recall the deceleration parameter q introduced in (13). Considering Theorem 7 and Proposition 16, it is clear that the difference $q - 2$ plays an important role in the analysis. It is also of interest to note that for large classes of solutions, $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. The following result is therefore of interest.

Proposition 19. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that it only depends on t . Assume that there are constants C_0 and $\eta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$|q(\tau) - 2| + \gamma \bar{s}(\tau) + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)| + \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \leq C_0 e^{\eta_0 \tau} \tag{32}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Then there is a constant $\eta_1 > 0$ such that the following holds. Given a smooth solution u to (2), there are $u_a, u_b, u_i \in C^\infty(G)$, $i = 0, 1$, such that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$, there are constants $C_{K,l}$, depending on $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, K and u , such that

$$\|u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} + \|u(\cdot, \tau) - u_1\tau - u_0\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \tau \rangle e^{\eta_0 \tau} \tag{33}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$ and such that

$$\|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_b\|_{C^l(K)} + \|u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_b\sigma - u_a\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle e^{\eta_1 \sigma} \tag{34}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$.

Remarks 20. The proof is to be found in Sect. 13.3 below. In (33), it is assumed that u is considered to be a function of the time coordinate τ introduced in Definition 2. In (34), it is assumed that u is considered to be a function of the time coordinate σ introduced in connection with (5). Note also that, under the assumptions of the proposition, σ and τ are effectively related by an affine transformation; cf. Sect. 13.3 below, in particular (83). Therefore, the asymptotics with respect to the τ -time and the σ -time are effectively the same.

Remark 21. For generic Bianchi type IX orthogonal perfect fluids with $1 \leq \gamma < 2$, q does not converge; cf., e.g., [RinAtt]. In particular, the proposition does not apply in that case. However, as illustrated by Proposition 16 and Example 18, the asymptotics can nevertheless be the same with respect to the σ -time, even though the error estimate might be worse. In Sect. 6 below, we also consider the case that q converges to a limit different from 2.

5.1. Applications to stiff fluids. Proposition 19 applies in several different situations. We begin by considering stiff fluids.

Example 22 (Stiff fluids). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume, moreover, that G is not of type VI $_{-1/9}$; that $\rho_0 > 0$; and that $\gamma = 2$ (i.e., that the fluid is stiff). The reason we exclude Bianchi type VI $_{-1/9}$ is that we are unaware of any results concerning the asymptotics of Bianchi type VI $_{-1/9}$ spacetimes. Let (M, g) be the Bianchi class A/Bianchi class B development corresponding to the given initial data; cf. Sects. 3.1 and 3.2. If G is not of Bianchi type IX, the development can be time oriented so that t_- is a monotone volume singularity and if G is of Bianchi type IX, t_- and t_+ are both monotone volume singularities; cf.

Sects. 3.1 and 3.2. Fix a φ_0 depending only on t . Fix, moreover, a monotone volume singularity, say t_- , and assume that there is a $t_0 \in I$ and constants C_φ and $\eta_\varphi > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi_0(t)| \leq C_\varphi [\det a(t)]^{-1+\eta_\varphi} \tag{35}$$

for all $t \in (t_-, t_0)$. Then the conditions of Proposition 19 are fulfilled, so that the conclusions apply to solutions to (2) in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- . We justify this statement in Sect. 15.1 below.

Even though it is of interest to study the Klein–Gordon equation on stiff fluid backgrounds, it is worth pointing out that there are several full non-linear results concerning Einstein’s equations in the stiff fluid setting.

Remark 23 (Full non-linear results). There is a construction of solutions to the Einstein-stiff fluid equations with prescribed asymptotics by Lars Andersson and Alan Rendall; cf. [AaR]. Note, however, that this construction is restricted to the case that $\bar{K}^i_j := \bar{k}^i_j/\theta$ converges to a positive definite matrix, a restriction we do not impose in Example 22. There is also a proof of full non-linear stability of Big Bang formation in the Einstein-stiff fluid setting by Igor Rodnianski and Jared Speck; cf. [RaSLBB, RaSBB]. This result concerns perturbations of isotropic Bianchi type I solutions. In particular, the behaviour of solutions is such that \bar{K} is asymptotically close to the identity multiplied by 1/3. In [SpeBB], Jared Speck also demonstrates past and future global non-linear stability of Big Bang/Big Crunch formation in the case of isotropic Bianchi type IX solutions. In particular, \bar{K} is again asymptotically close to a multiple of the identity.

5.2. Bianchi class A developments, non-stiff fluids. Next, we apply Proposition 19 to Bianchi class A non-stiff fluids. In the oscillatory setting, q is not expected to converge. For that reason, we exclude Bianchi types VIII and IX from the current discussion. This leaves Bianchi types I, II, VI₀ and VII₀. To begin with, we consider the vacuum setting.

Example 24 (Non-oscillatory Bianchi class A vacuum developments). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume that G is a unimodular Lie group and that $\rho_0 = 0$; i.e., the data are Bianchi class A vacuum initial data. Assume, moreover, that G is neither of type VIII or IX. Let (M, g) be the corresponding Bianchi class A development, cf. Sect. 3.1, and assume that it is not a quotient of Minkowski space. Then, by an appropriate choice of time orientation, the development is past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically complete. Moreover, the incomplete direction corresponds to a monotone volume singularity, say, t_- . Assume this monotone volume singularity to be silent. Finally, fix a φ_0 depending only on t , and assume that there is a $t_0 \in I$ and constants C_φ and $\eta_\varphi > 0$ such that (35) holds for all $t \in (t_-, t_0)$. Then the conditions of Proposition 19 are fulfilled, so that the conclusions apply to solutions to (2) in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- . We justify this statement in Sect. 15.2 below.

Remark 25. There are some Bianchi class A vacuum developments that can be extended through Cauchy horizons; cf., e.g., [RinCau, Theorem 24.12, p. 258]. One example is the flat Kasner solution, which is discussed in greater detail in Sect. 7 below. In Example 24, these developments are excluded by the requirement that the monotone volume singularity be silent; cf. Sect. 17.1 below for details.

Next, we consider Bianchi class A orthogonal perfect fluids with $2/3 < \gamma < 2$. Again, we exclude Bianchi types VIII and IX for reasons mentioned above. However, we also exclude Bianchi type VI₀, since we are unaware of any appropriate results in the literature. On the other hand, we expect the relevant conclusions concerning solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation to hold in the Bianchi type VI₀ setting as well.

Generic Bianchi class A solutions. Unfortunately, the above exclusions are not enough. In order to explain why, it is of interest to note that in the Bianchi class A orthogonal perfect fluid setting, there is a formulation of the equations due to Wainwright and Hsu, cf. [WaH]. The formulation involves scale free variables Σ_{\pm} , N_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, and Ω ; we describe some of their properties in Sect. 17.1 below. Note, however, that the variables are also introduced in [RinAtt, p. 487]. In the Wainwright–Hsu formulation of the equations, there are fixed points F and $P_i^+(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, defined as follows (cf. [RinAtt, Definition 4.1, p. 417]).

Definition 26. The critical point F is defined by the condition that $\Omega = 1$; $\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_- = 0$; and $N_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In case $2/3 < \gamma < 2$, the critical point $P_1^+(II)$ is defined to be the type II point with $\Sigma_- = 0$; $N_1 > 0$; $\Sigma_+ = (3\gamma - 2)/8$; and $\Omega = 1 - (3\gamma - 2)/16$.

Remark 27. Combining the requirement that $P_1^+(II)$ be a type II point with the requirement that $N_1 > 0$ implies that $N_2 = N_3 = 0$. Moreover, the Hamiltonian constraint, cf., e.g., [RinAtt, (11), p. 415], together with the requirements stated in the definition determines the value of N_1 uniquely.

Remark 28. The fixed points $P_i^+(II)$, $i = 2, 3$, are defined analogously; cf. [RinAtt, Definition 4.1, p. 417].

Note that the fixed point F corresponds to the isotropic Bianchi type I solutions. Moreover, in the case of both F and $P_i^+(II)$, the deceleration parameter is constant. However, the relevant constant is different from 2, assuming $\gamma < 2$. In particular, it is thus clear that Proposition 19 does not apply. In addition to the fixed points introduced in Definition 26, there are solutions converging to them. Let \mathcal{P}_{II} and \mathcal{P}_{VII_0} be the subsets of Bianchi type II and VII₀ initial data such that the corresponding solutions converge to one of the $P_i^+(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then \mathcal{P}_{II} consists of points (in a state space of dimension 3); and \mathcal{P}_{VII_0} is a C^1 -submanifold of dimension 1 (in a state space of dimension 4); cf. [RinAtt, p. 417] for a justification. In particular, the set of solutions converging to one of the $P_i^+(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, is clearly non-generic.

Next, let \mathcal{F}_I , \mathcal{F}_{II} and \mathcal{F}_{VII_0} be the subsets of Bianchi type I, II and VII₀ initial data respectively such that the corresponding solutions converge to F . Then \mathcal{F}_I consists of the point F (in a state space of dimension 2); \mathcal{F}_{II} is a C^1 -submanifold of dimension 1 (in a state space of dimension 3); and \mathcal{F}_{VII_0} is a C^1 -submanifold of dimension 2 (in a state space of dimension 4); cf. [RinAtt, p. 417] for a justification. Again, it is clear that the set of solutions converging to F is non-generic.

Due to the above observations, it is convenient to refer to Bianchi type I, II and VII₀ initial data that do not belong to any of \mathcal{F}_I , \mathcal{F}_{II} , \mathcal{F}_{VII_0} , \mathcal{P}_{II} or \mathcal{P}_{VII_0} as *generic*. We return to a discussion of non-generic initial data in Sect. 6 below. However, we here focus on the generic case.

Example 29 (Generic Bianchi type I, II and VII₀ developments). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume, moreover, that G is a unimodular Lie group of type I, II or VII₀; that $\rho_0 > 0$; and that $2/3 < \gamma < 2$. Assume, finally, that the initial data are generic in the sense described

immediately prior to the statement of the present example. Let (M, g) be the corresponding Bianchi class A development; cf. Sect. 3.1. Then, by an appropriate choice of time orientation, the development is past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically complete. Moreover, the incomplete direction corresponds to a monotone volume singularity, say, t_- . Assume the monotone volume singularity to be silent (this only excludes a subset of the locally rotationally symmetric developments). Finally, fix a φ_0 depending only on t , and assume that there is a $t_0 \in I$ and constants C_φ and $\eta_\varphi > 0$ such that (35) holds for all $t \in (t_-, t_0)$. Then the conditions of Proposition 19 are fulfilled, so that the conclusions apply to solutions to (2) in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- . We justify this statement in Sect. 15.2 below.

5.3. Bianchi class B developments, non-stiff fluids. As a final application of Proposition 19, we consider non-exceptional Bianchi class B developments in the non-stiff fluid setting. We restrict our attention to the case that $0 \leq \gamma < 2/3$. The reason for this is that there are results in this setting due to [RadNon]. It would be of interest to extend the results of [RadNon] to $2/3 \leq \gamma < 2$. However, we do not attempt to do so here.

Example 30 (Non-exceptional Bianchi class B developments). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume, moreover, that G is a non-exceptional Bianchi class B Lie group and that $0 \leq \gamma < 2/3$. Let (M, g) be the corresponding Bianchi class B development; cf. Subsection 3.2. Then, by an appropriate choice of time orientation, the development is past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically complete. Moreover, the incomplete direction corresponds to a monotone volume singularity, say, t_- . Assume this volume singularity to be silent. Assume, moreover, that the development is not a locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VI₋₁ development. Finally, fix a φ_0 depending only on t , and assume that there is a $t_0 \in I$ and constants C_φ and $\eta_\varphi > 0$ such that (35) holds for all $t \in (t_-, t_0)$. Then the conditions of Proposition 19 are fulfilled, so that the conclusions apply to solutions to (2) in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- . We justify this statement in Sect. 15.2 below.

6. Convergence Results III

Due to the results of Sect. 5, it is clear that solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation on non-oscillatory Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid backgrounds quite generally have the asymptotics described in Proposition 19. However, for Proposition 19 to be applicable, the deceleration parameter q introduced in (13) has to converge to 2. On the other hand, even in the generic oscillatory Bianchi type VIII and IX vacuum settings, the asymptotics with respect to σ -time are as described in Proposition 19, though the estimate concerning the error term is worse; cf. Example 18. This is true in spite of the fact that q does not converge in the oscillatory setting. Finally, in the analysis in Sect. 5.2, we exclude a non-generic subset. In the corresponding Bianchi developments q converges, but to a $q_\infty \neq 2$. In the present section, we therefore consider the case that q converges to a number different from 2. We also apply the corresponding result to the Klein–Gordon equation on backgrounds corresponding to the non-generic subset excluded in Sect. 5.2.

Proposition 31. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{p}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$*

be such that it only depends on t . Assume that there are constants C_0 and $\eta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\gamma_{\bar{g}}(\tau) + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)| + \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \leq C_0 e^{\eta_0 \tau} \tag{36}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Assume, moreover, that there is a $q_\infty < 2$ such that $q(\tau) \rightarrow q_\infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ and such that

$$\int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - q_\infty] d\tau'$$

converges as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Finally, let η_c be defined by

$$\eta_c := \frac{\eta_0}{2 - q_\infty}. \tag{37}$$

If $\eta_c \leq 1$, then, given a smooth solution u to (2), there is a function $u_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ such that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$, there are constants $C_{K,l}$, depending on $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, K and u , such that

$$\|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle^{-\eta_c} \tag{38}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$. If $\eta_c > 1$, then, given a smooth solution u to (2), there are $u_i \in C^\infty(G)$, $i = 0, 1$, such that for every compact subset $K \subseteq G$, there are constants $C_{K,l}$, depending on $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, K and u , such that

$$\langle \sigma \rangle \|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} + \|u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1 \sigma - u_0\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle^{1-\eta_c}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$.

Remark 32. The proof is to be found in Sect. 13.4 below.

Remark 33. In Proposition 19, we consider the case that q converges to 2. In Proposition 31, we consider the case that q converges to $q_\infty < 2$. Naively, it is then of interest to ask what happens if q converges to $q_\infty > 2$. Note, however, that if $\Lambda \geq 0$ and $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$ (the latter inequality follows from the dominant energy condition), then Remark 41 below implies that $q - 2 \leq 3\gamma_{\bar{g}}$. In other words, $q \leq 2$ for all Bianchi types except IX. Moreover, as was noted in the comments following the statement of Theorem 7, $\gamma_{\bar{g}}$ converges to zero even in the case of Bianchi type IX orthogonal perfect fluid developments. If q converges, the limit should thus belong to the disc of radius 2. In Proposition 19 we consider convergence to the boundary, and in Proposition 31 we consider convergence to the interior.

Remark 34. In case $\eta_c \leq 1$, the asymptotics are incomplete. On the other hand, inserting the information that (38) holds into the equation (strictly speaking, the estimate (38), as well as an integrated version of it, should be inserted into (68) below) yields improved asymptotics; cf. the proof in Sect. 13.4 below.

Next, we consider the non-generic subset excluded in Sect. 5.2.

Example 35 (Non-generic Bianchi class A developments). Let $(G, \bar{g}, \bar{k}, \rho_0)$ be Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid initial data in the sense of Definition 9. Assume that G is unimodular and that $\rho_0 > 0$. If G is not of type IX, assume that $2/3 < \gamma < 2$. If G is of type IX, assume that $1 \leq \gamma < 2$. Let (M, g) be the corresponding Bianchi class A development; cf. Sect. 3.1. If G is of type IX, the corresponding development has a monotone volume singularity both to the future and to the past. Fix, in that case, one monotone volume singularity, say t_- . If G is not of type IX, then the development can be assumed to be past causally geodesically incomplete and future causally geodesically complete. Moreover, t_- is a monotone volume singularity. Fix a bounded φ_0 depending only on t . Next, we consider two cases:

- Assume the asymptotics in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- to be such that the Wainwright-Hsu variables converge to one of the $P_i(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then the conditions of Proposition 31 are satisfied with $q_\infty = (3\gamma - 2)/2$ and $\eta_0 = 3q_\infty/2$. In particular, if $\gamma \leq 6/5$, then $\eta_c \leq 1$ and the first conclusion of the proposition holds. If $6/5 < \gamma < 2$, then the second conclusion holds.
- Assume the asymptotics in the direction of the monotone volume singularity t_- to be such that the Wainwright-Hsu variables converge to the fixed point F . Then the conditions of Proposition 31 are satisfied with $q_\infty = (3\gamma - 2)/2$ and $\eta_0 = 2q_\infty$. In particular, if $\gamma \leq 10/9$, then $\eta_c \leq 1$ and the first conclusion of the proposition holds. If $10/9 < \gamma < 2$, then the second conclusion holds.

We justify the above statements in Sect. 16 below.

Remark 36. Isotropic Bianchi type I backgrounds are also discussed in [AFaF]. Note that these backgrounds correspond to the fixed point F itself. In that case, the metric coefficients are explicit functions of proper time. In [AFaF], the question of blowup of solutions is also addressed. We return to this topic in Sect. 8 below.

7. A Non-silent Example

In order to contrast the asymptotics derived in the present paper with the non-silent setting, it is of interest to describe the asymptotics of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation in the case of a flat Kasner background. Here, we therefore consider

$$g_{\text{FK}} := -dt \otimes dt + t^2 dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + dz \otimes dz \tag{39}$$

on $M_{\text{FK}} := \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, \infty)$. In this case the Klein–Gordon equation $\square_g u - m^2 u = 0$ (where m is a constant) can be written

$$t \partial_t (tu_t) - u_{xx} - t^2 u_{yy} - t^2 u_{zz} + m^2 t^2 u = 0.$$

On the other hand, the logarithmic volume density corresponding to (39) is $\tau = \ln t/3$. With respect to this time coordinate, the Klein–Gordon equation takes the form

$$u_{\tau\tau} - 9u_{xx} - 9e^{6\tau} u_{yy} - 9e^{6\tau} u_{zz} + 9m^2 e^{6\tau} u = 0.$$

When analysing the asymptotics in the direction $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$, it is convenient to divide a given solution, say u , into two parts: $u = u_a + u_b$, where

$$u_a(x, y, z, \tau) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x', y, z, \tau) dx';$$

here we think of \mathbb{T}^3 as being $[0, 2\pi]^3$ with the ends identified. Due to arguments similar to those presented in [Rin, Example 4.19, pp. 41–42] and [Rin, Example 4.20, pp. 42–43], there are functions $u_{a,i} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $i = 0, 1$, such that

$$\partial_\tau u_a - u_{a,1}, \quad u_a(\cdot, \tau) - u_{a,1}\tau - u_{a,0}$$

converge to zero exponentially in any C^k norm. In fact, there is a homeomorphism between the initial data to x -independent solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation and the functions $u_{a,i}$, $i = 0, 1$.

Turning to u_b , an argument similar to that presented in [Rin, Section 5.5, pp. 56–57] ensures that there is a unique corresponding $U_b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with the following properties: U_b solves the equation $u_{\tau\tau} - 9u_{xx} = 0$;

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U_b(x', y, z, \tau) dx' = 0$$

for all τ ; and

$$\partial_\tau u_b - \partial_\tau U_b, \quad u_b - U_b$$

converge to zero exponentially in any C^k norm as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. In fact, there is a homeomorphism in the C^∞ -topology corresponding to the map from the initial data for u_b to the initial data for U_b .

Returning to the solution u to the Klein–Gordon equation, it follows that there are functions $u_{a,i} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}), i = 0, 1$, and a $U_b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, solving the equation $U_{\tau\tau} - 9U_{xx} = 0$ and with zero mean value in the x -direction, such that

$$\partial_\tau u - \partial_\tau U_b - u_{a,1}, \quad u - U_b - u_{a,1}\tau - u_{a,0}$$

converge to zero exponentially with respect to any C^k -norm. Moreover, there is a homeomorphism (in the C^∞ -topology) taking the initial data of u to $u_{a,0}, u_{a,1}$ and the initial data for U_b . One particular consequence of the above observations is that u_τ does, in general, not converge. Moreover, σ and τ are, in the present setting, related by an affine transformation, so that u_σ also does not converge in the present setting.

8. Blow Up of Solutions

In [AFaF], the authors discuss the question of blow up of solutions to the wave equation on certain Bianchi type I backgrounds; cf. Remark 36. It is conceivable that the arguments presented in the present paper could also be used to derive blow up criteria. However, we here focus on conclusions that follow from [Rin]. The reason for this is that applying the methods of [Rin] give an indication of what it would be desirable to prove more generally. Here we restrict ourselves to the special case of the Klein–Gordon equation (1) on non-flat Kasner backgrounds (where we allow $m = 0$ as well as purely imaginary m). In particular, we assume the background metric to be given by

$$g = -dt \otimes dt + \sum_{i=1}^n t^{2p_i} dx^i \otimes dx^i$$

on $\mathbb{T}^n \times (0, \infty)$, where $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the p_i are constants satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 = 1$$

and the non-flatness condition corresponds to the requirement that $p_i < 1$ for all i . Letting $\tau := -\ln t$, the Klein–Gordon equation on these backgrounds can be written

$$u_{\tau\tau} - \sum_{i=1}^d e^{2\beta_i\tau} u_{ii} + m^2 e^{-2\tau} u = 0 \tag{40}$$

on $M_{\text{con}} := \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, where m is a constant and $\beta_i = p_i - 1 < 0$. The reader interested in a justification of this statement is referred to [Rin, Example 4.20, pp. 42–43]. Note that the choice of time coordinate is such that $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the singularity. Let μ be the smallest of the $-\beta_i$. Then, given s and a smooth solution u to (40), there is a constant

C_s (depending only on s , the coefficients of the equation and the solution); a constant N (depending only on the coefficients of the equation); and functions $v_\infty, u_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot, \tau) \\ u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} v_\infty \tau + u_\infty \\ v_\infty \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{(s)} \leq C_s \langle \tau \rangle^N e^{-2\mu\tau} \tag{41}$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$. Note that the v_∞ appearing in (41) corresponds to the A_{Kasner} appearing in [AFaF, Theorem 1.1]. Due to [Rin, Example 4.20, pp. 42–43], there is a homeomorphism (with respect to the C^∞ -topology) taking $[u(\cdot, 0), u_\tau(\cdot, 0)]$ to (v_∞, u_∞) , let us denote it by Ψ_∞ . However, this statement can be improved substantially. In fact, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, Ψ_∞ extends to a bounded linear map

$$\Psi_{\infty,s,\epsilon} : H^{(s+1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(s-1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H^{(s)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(s)}(\mathbb{T}^n); \tag{42}$$

cf. Sect. 17.3 below for a justification of this statement. Note, in particular, that even if $u_\tau(\cdot, 0)$ only belongs to $H^{(s-1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, it follows from the continuity of $\Psi_{\infty,s,\epsilon}$ that

$$v_\infty = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \in H^{(s)}(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

In other words, the limit of u_τ is in general more regular than the initial datum for u_τ , and the gain is, essentially, half a derivative. Let $\Phi_\infty := \Psi_\infty^{-1}$. Then, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, Φ_∞ extends to a bounded linear map

$$\Phi_{\infty,s,\epsilon} : H^{(s+1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(s+1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H^{(s+1)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(s)}(\mathbb{T}^n); \tag{43}$$

cf. Sect. 17.3 below for a justification of this statement. Combining (42) and (43) yields the conclusion that the regularity properties of both $\Psi_{\infty,s,\epsilon}$ and $\Phi_{\infty,s,\epsilon}$ are essentially optimal (up to the loss of an ϵ).

L^2 -blow up. Turning to blow up criteria, there is, for every $\epsilon > 0$, a subset, say \mathcal{A}_ϵ , of the set of smooth initial data. This set is open with respect to the $H^{(1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(-1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology and dense with respect to the C^∞ -topology. Moreover, if u is a solution arising from initial data in \mathcal{A}_ϵ , then the corresponding v_∞ is such that $\|v_\infty\|_{L^2} > 0$, and $\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. The reader interested in a justification of these statements is referred to Sect. 17.3 below. For comparison, note that [AFaF, Theorem 1.2] yields an open criterion ensuring that $\|v_\infty\|_{L^2} > 0$. However, the openness is with respect to the $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology on initial data. It is of course not necessary to restrict one’s attention to smooth initial data; we encourage the interested reader to derive the relevant statements in lower regularity.

C^1 -blow up. Next, let us formulate a criterion ensuring C^1 -blow up of the solution. First, let \mathcal{C} be the subset of $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $d\varphi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ for every \bar{x} such that $\varphi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then there is, for every $\epsilon > 0$, a subset, say \mathcal{B}_ϵ , of the set of smooth initial data. This set is open with respect to the $H^{((n+3)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{((n+1)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology and dense with respect to the C^∞ -topology. Moreover, if u is a solution arising from initial data in \mathcal{B}_ϵ , then the corresponding v_∞ belongs to \mathcal{C} . In particular, $\Sigma_\infty := v_\infty^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{T}^n . Moreover, if $\bar{x} \notin \Sigma_\infty$, then $|u(\bar{x}, \tau)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. If, on the other hand, $\bar{x} \in \Sigma_\infty$, then $|\bar{d}u(\bar{x}, \tau)|_{\bar{g}_0} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. Here \bar{d} is the spatial d -operator; in other words, we consider u to be a function of the spatial variable only and calculate the differential on \mathbb{T}^n . Moreover, \bar{g}_0 is the standard Riemannian metric on \mathbb{T}^n . The conclusion is thus that at a given $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{T}^n$, either u blows up, or the spatial derivative of u blows up. Again, it is of course not necessary to restrict one’s attention to smooth initial data.

Comparison. Comparing (in the special case of (40)) the methods and results of [AFaF] and the present paper with those of [Rin], it is clear that the methods used in the first two papers have an advantage in that one would expect them to be more robust. On the other hand, it is unclear how to use energy-type methods to prove continuity results such as (42). In order to emphasize the importance of this continuity, note the following:

- The limit of u_τ determines the blow up of solutions.
- Using the results of [Rin], the limit of u_τ is roughly half a derivative more regular than the initial data for the first derivatives.
- Due to (42) and (43), the gain of half a derivative can be expected to be, essentially, optimal.

It would of course be desirable to obtain continuity as in (42) using energy-type methods. We leave this as an open problem. The interested reader is also encouraged to apply the methods of [Rin, Chapter 8] to the Klein–Gordon equation on other Bianchi type I backgrounds.

9. Geometric Background Material

In this section, we collect background information concerning the geometry that will be of importance in what follows.

9.1. The Raychaudhuri equation. In the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour in the direction of the singularity, $\Sigma^i_j := \bar{\sigma}^i_j/\theta$ and $\dot{\theta}/\theta^2$ play a central role; here we use the notation introduced in (10) and Latin indices are raised and lowered with \bar{g} . In the present subsection, we focus on the second of these objects.

Lemma 37. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime satisfying the Einstein equations (8). Then*

$$-3\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\theta^2} = 1 + q, \tag{44}$$

where we use the notation introduced in (11) and (13).

Remark 38. With respect to the time coordinate τ , (44) can be written

$$\theta' = -(1 + q)\theta, \tag{45}$$

where $\theta' := \partial_\tau\theta$.

Proof. Note, first of all, that

$$\bar{k}_{ij} := \bar{k}(e_i, e_j) = \langle \nabla_{e_i} \partial_t, e_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \dot{a}_{ij}, \tag{46}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := g$. Raising indices with a^{ij} , this relation implies that $\dot{a}_{mj} = 2a_{mi}\bar{k}^i_j$. It can also be calculated that

$$\partial_t \bar{k}_{lm} = 2\bar{k}^i_l \bar{k}_{mi} - (\text{tr}_{\bar{g}} \bar{k}) \bar{k}_{lm} + R_{lm} - \bar{R}_{lm},$$

where R_{lm} and \bar{R}_{lm} are the lm 'th components of the Ricci curvatures of the spacetime and hypersurface respectively; cf., e.g., [RinSta, (25.12), p. 438]. On the other hand, (46) yields $\dot{a}^{jl} = -2\bar{k}^{jl}$. Combining these two observations yields

$$\partial_t \bar{k}^j_m = -(\text{tr}_{\bar{g}} \bar{k}) \bar{k}^j_m + a^{jl} R_{lm} - a^{jl} \bar{R}_{lm}.$$

In particular,

$$\dot{\theta} = -\theta^2 + R_{00} + S - \bar{S},$$

where R_{00} is the 00 component of the spacetime Ricci curvature and S and \bar{S} are the scalar curvatures of the spacetime and hypersurface respectively. Taking the trace of (8) yields

$$S = 4\Lambda - \text{tr}T = 4\Lambda + T_{00} - a^{ij} T_{ij}, \tag{47}$$

where $T_{\alpha\beta} := T(e_\alpha, e_\beta)$. Combining this observation with (8) yields

$$R_{00} + S = T_{00} + \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}S = \frac{3}{2}(\rho - \bar{\rho} + 2\Lambda),$$

where we used the terminology introduced in (9). Summarising,

$$\dot{\theta} = -\theta^2 - \bar{S} + 3\Lambda + \frac{3}{2}(\rho - \bar{\rho}). \tag{48}$$

On the other hand, the Hamiltonian constraint reads

$$\frac{1}{2}[\bar{S} - \bar{k}^{ij} \bar{k}_{ij} + (\text{tr}_{\bar{g}} \bar{k})^2] - \Lambda = \rho.$$

This equality can be rewritten

$$\bar{S} = \bar{\sigma}^{ij} \bar{\sigma}_{ij} - \frac{2}{3}\theta^2 + 2\Lambda + 2\rho. \tag{49}$$

Combining this observation with (48) yields the conclusion of the lemma. \square

9.2. Geometric estimates. Before proceeding, it is of interest to develop some intuition concerning the matrix with components $2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma_m^i$. The reason for this is that this object appears in the basic energy estimate, cf. (70) below.

Lemma 39. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. Assume, moreover, that g solves (8), that $\rho \geq 0$ and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Then for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in I$ such that $\theta(t) \neq 0$,*

$$(2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma_m^i) a^{mj} v_i v_j \geq -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\bar{S}_+}{\theta^2} a^{ij} v_i v_j, \tag{50}$$

where \bar{S}_+ is the positive part of the spatial scalar curvature \bar{S} ; i.e., $\bar{S}_+ := \max\{\bar{S}, 0\}$.

Remark 40. Only Bianchi type IX is consistent with $\bar{S} > 0$. In other words, only if the universal covering group of the Lie group G appearing in Definition 1 is isomorphic to $\text{SU}(2)$ can \bar{S} be strictly positive. For a proof of this statement, see, e.g., [RinSta, Appendix E].

Proof. Note, to begin with, that the Hamiltonian constraint can be written

$$1 = \frac{3}{2} \Sigma^{ij} \Sigma_{ij} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\bar{S}}{\theta^2} + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_\rho; \tag{51}$$

cf. (49). On the other hand, since $\rho, \Lambda \geq 0$, we know that $\Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_\rho \geq 0$. As a consequence, Σ satisfies the estimate

$$\Sigma^i_j \Sigma^j_i = \Sigma^{ij} \Sigma_{ij} \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\bar{S}_+}{\theta^2} = \frac{2}{3} + \gamma_{\bar{S}} \tag{52}$$

where $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$ is defined by (21) and we used the fact that Σ is symmetric. Note that Σ can be considered to be an element of $\text{Hom}(TG)$. Moreover, this element is symmetric with respect to the inner product defined by \bar{g} . In particular, there are thus real eigenvalues $\lambda_l, l = 1, 2, 3$, and corresponding eigenvectors v_l such that $\Sigma^i_k v_l^k = \lambda_l v_l^i$ (no summation on l). Moreover, if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$, then

$$\lambda_1 a_{ij} v^i v^j \leq a_{ij} \Sigma^i_k v^k v^j \leq \lambda_3 a_{ij} v^i v^j.$$

Note also that the matrix with components $\Sigma^k_j \Sigma^j_i$ has eigenvectors v_l , but eigenvalues λ_l^2 . Thus (52) implies that

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} + \gamma_{\bar{S}}. \tag{53}$$

The sum of the λ_l 's vanishes, since Σ is trace free. We therefore focus on

$$\lambda_+ := \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), \quad \lambda_- := \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3).$$

Using this terminology, the estimate (53) can be written

$$\lambda_+^2 + \lambda_-^2 \leq 1 + \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{\bar{S}}.$$

In particular, $9\lambda_+^2 = 4\lambda_+^2 \leq 4 + 6\gamma_{\bar{S}}$. Similarly,

$$9\lambda_-^2 = (\lambda_+ + \sqrt{3}\lambda_-)^2 = \lambda_+^2 + 2\sqrt{3}\lambda_+\lambda_- + 3\lambda_-^2 \leq 4(\lambda_+^2 + \lambda_-^2) \leq 4 + 6\gamma_{\bar{S}}.$$

The estimate for λ_3 is similar, so that

$$\begin{aligned} 3\Sigma^i_m a^{mj} v_i v_j &= 3a_{il} \Sigma^i_m (a^{mj} v_j) \cdot (a^{lk} v_k) \leq 3\lambda_3 a_{ij} (a^{ik} v_k) (a^{jl} v_l) = 3\lambda_3 a^{ij} v_i v_j \\ &\leq (2 + 3\gamma_{\bar{S}}/2) a^{ij} v_i v_j. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma^i_m) a^{mj} v_i v_j \geq -3\gamma_{\bar{S}} a^{ij} v_i v_j / 2.$$

The lemma follows. \square

There is a related upper bound on q which we simply state as a remark.

Remark 41. Due to the definition of q and (51), it follows that

$$q = 2 + 3 \frac{\bar{S}}{\theta^2} + \frac{3}{2} (\Omega_{\bar{p}} - \Omega_\rho - 2\Omega_\Lambda).$$

Here, we are mainly interested in the case that $\Lambda \geq 0$ and $\rho \geq \bar{p}$ (note that the latter inequality follows from the dominant energy condition). In that case,

$$q - 2 \leq 3\gamma_{\bar{S}}.$$

9.3. *Partial integration.* The analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of solutions is based on a study of energies. In order to evaluate how the energies evolve, we need to integrate by parts. In that context, the following simple observation is useful.

Lemma 42. *Let G be a connected Lie group, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and h be a left invariant Riemannian metric on G . Then there is a constant c_X such that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$,*

$$\int_G X(f)\mu_h = 2c_X \int_G f\mu_h. \tag{54}$$

Moreover,

$$c_X = \xi_G(X),$$

where $\xi_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by (15). In particular, if G is a unimodular Lie group, then $c_X = 0$.

Remark 43. The notation $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$ signifies that f is a smooth function from G to \mathbb{R} with compact support.

Proof. Note, to begin with, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G X(f)\mu_h &= \int_G \mathcal{L}_X(f\mu_h) - \int_G f\mathcal{L}_X\mu_h \\ &= \int_G d[i_X(f\mu_h)] - \int_G f(\operatorname{div}_h X)\mu_h = - \int_G f(\operatorname{div}_h X)\mu_h, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we used Cartan’s magic formula and Stokes’s theorem. Next, let $\{\bar{e}_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame of the Lie algebra (relative to h). Then

$$\operatorname{div}_h X = \sum_i h(\nabla_{\bar{e}_i}^h X, \bar{e}_i) = \sum_i h(\bar{e}_i, [\bar{e}_i, X]) = -\sum_i h(\bar{e}_i, \operatorname{ad}_X \bar{e}_i) = -2\xi_G(X),$$

where ∇^h is the Levi-Civita connection associated with h . In particular, $c_X := \xi_G(X)$ is a constant, and the statements of the lemma follow. \square

9.4. *Causal structure.* As a first step in the study of the Klein–Gordon equation, we wish to know that there is a unique solution corresponding to initial data specified on a hypersurface G_t . The following results ensure that this is the case.

Lemma 44. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. Then (M, g) is globally hyperbolic, and each $G_t, t \in I$, is a Cauchy hypersurface.*

Proof. The statement follows by an argument which is essentially identical to that presented at the end of the proof of [RinCau, Proposition 20.3, p. 215]; cf. [RinCau, p. 217]. \square

Due to this observation, we can solve the Klein–Gordon equation on a Bianchi spacetime. In fact, the following result holds.

Corollary 45. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime and $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $i = 0, 1$. Then, given $u_i \in C^\infty(G)$, $i = 0, 1$, and $t_0 \in I$, there is a unique smooth solution to*

$$\square_g u + \varphi_0 u = \varphi_1, \tag{56}$$

$$u(\cdot, t_0) = u_0, \tag{57}$$

$$u_t(\cdot, t_0) = u_1. \tag{58}$$

Assume, moreover, that the u_i have compact support and that for every compact interval $J \subset I$, the support of $\varphi_1|_{G \times J}$ is compact. Then the solution to (56)–(58) is such that for every compact interval $J \subset I$, the support of $u|_{G \times J}$ is compact.

Proof. That there is a unique smooth solution to (56)–(58) follows, e.g., from [RinCau, Theorem 12.19, p. 144]. Next, let $J \subset I$ be a compact interval and assume, without loss of generality, that $t_0 \in J$. Let

$$K := \text{supp}\varphi_1|_{G \times J} \cup (\text{supp}u_0 \times \{t_0\}) \cup (\text{supp}u_1 \times \{t_0\}).$$

Then, due to the global hyperbolicity of (M, g) ,

$$K_1 := J^+(K) \cap G_{t_0}$$

is compact; cf., e.g., [RinSta, Lemma 21.6, p. 361]. Let $U_1 \subseteq G$ be the open subset such that $K_1 = (G - U_1) \times \{t_0\}$. Defining t_a and t_b by $J = [t_a, t_b]$, let, moreover,

$$K_2 := J^-(K_1) \cap J^+(G_{t_a}).$$

Note that K_2 is a compact subset of $G \times [t_a, t_0]$; cf., e.g., [RinSta, Lemma 21.6, p. 361].

Assume that $p \in G \times [t_a, t_0]$ does not belong to K_2 . We then wish to prove that $p \in D^-(U_1 \times \{t_0\})$. Assume, to this end, that γ is a future inextendible causal curve through p that does not meet $U_1 \times \{t_0\}$. Since G_{t_0} is a Cauchy surface, there is an s_0 in the domain of definition of γ such that $\gamma(s_0) \in G_{t_0}$. Since γ does not meet $U_1 \times \{t_0\}$, we conclude that $\gamma(s_0) \in K_1$. In particular, $p \in J^-(K_1)$, so that $p \in K_2$. This is a contradiction. Thus $p \in D^-(U_1 \times \{t_0\})$.

Next, we wish to prove that φ_1 vanishes in $D^-(U_1 \times \{t_0\}) \cap J^+(G_{t_a})$. Assume, to this end, that p belongs to this set and that $\varphi_1(p) \neq 0$. Then $p \in K$, and every future inextendible curve through p intersects K_1 . In particular, there is a future inextendible causal curve which does not intersect $U_1 \times \{t_0\}$. Thus $p \notin D^-(U_1 \times \{t_0\})$, a contradiction.

Combining the above observations with [RinCau, Corollary 12.14, p. 141] and [RinCau, Remark 12.15, p. 141] yields the conclusion that the support of $u|_{G \times [t_a, t_0]}$ is contained in K_2 . The argument concerning $u|_{G \times [t_0, t_b]}$ is similar, and the corollary follows. \square

Consider a silent geometry; i.e., assume that (6) is satisfied. Then the following holds.

Lemma 46. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime. Assume t_- to be a silent monotone volume singularity. Then for every compact $K_0 \subseteq G$, there is a compact subset K_1 of G such that*

$$J^-(K_0 \times \{t_0\}) \subseteq K_1 \times (t_-, t_0]. \tag{59}$$

Similarly, for every compact $K_0 \subseteq G$, there is a compact subset K_1 of G such that

$$J^+(K_0 \times (t_-, t_0]) \cap J^-(G_{t_0}) \subseteq K_1 \times (t_-, t_0]. \tag{60}$$

In particular,

$$K_0 \times (t_-, t_0] \subseteq D^-(K_1 \times \{t_0\}). \tag{61}$$

Proof. If $\lambda_{\min}(t) > 0$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $a(t)$, then $\|a^{-1/2}(t)\| = [\lambda_{\min}(t)]^{-1/2}$; note that a is a symmetric matrix. In particular, if γ is a causal curve such that $\gamma(t) = [\bar{\gamma}(t), t]$ and the $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^i$ are defined by $\dot{\gamma} = \partial_t + \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^i e_i$, then $0 \geq -1 + a_{ij} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^i \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^j$. As a consequence,

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t)|_h \leq \|a^{-1/2}(t)\|.$$

In particular, the length of $\bar{\gamma}|_{(t_-, t_0]}$ with respect to h (defined by (18)) is bounded. Since h is a complete Riemannian metric, it follows that if $K_0 \subset G$ is a compact set, then there is a compact subset K_1 of G such that (59) holds. The proof of the second statement is similar. In order to prove (61), let $p \in K_0 \times (t_-, t_0]$ and let γ be a future inextendible causal curve through p . Since G_{t_0} is a Cauchy hypersurface, γ intersects G_{t_0} . The intersection point belongs to the left hand side of (60). Thus, due to (60), the intersection point belongs to $K_1 \times \{t_0\}$. To conclude: every future inextendible causal curve through p intersects $K_1 \times \{t_0\}$. Thus $p \in D^-(K_1 \times \{t_0\})$ and (61) follows. \square

10. Conformal Rescaling

The analysis is simplified by writing down the Klein–Gordon equation with respect to a conformally rescaled metric. In fact, in analogy with the arguments presented in [Rin, Section 1.3, pp. 8–13], it is convenient to multiply the metric with the mean curvature squared. It is also convenient to change the time coordinate. In what follows, we use the following rescaling.

Lemma 47. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Then $\tau : I_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$ is a diffeomorphism, where $I_0 := (t_-, t_0)$, $\mathcal{I}_0 := (-\infty, \tau_0)$ and $\tau_0 := \tau(t_0)$. Moreover, if $\hat{g} := \theta^2 g/9$, then \hat{g} is a Lorentz metric on $M_0 := G \times I_0$ and*

$$\hat{g} = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + \hat{a}_{ij}(\tau) \xi^i \otimes \xi^j, \tag{62}$$

where $\hat{a}_{ij} = \theta^2 a_{ij}/9$.

Remark 48. In the statement of the lemma, we use the terminology introduced in Definitions 1 and 2.

Proof. Note that $d\tau = (\theta/3)dt$ and that $\theta > 0$ on I_0 . The lemma follows. \square

11. The Klein–Gordon Equation on a Bianchi Spacetime

Next, let us formulate the Klein–Gordon equation with respect to the conformally rescaled metric introduced in Lemma 47.

Lemma 49. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Then, on M_0 introduced in Lemma 47, the equation*

$$\square_g u + \varphi_0 u = \varphi_1 \tag{63}$$

can be written

$$-u_{\tau\tau} + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i[e_j(u)] + (q - 2)u_\tau - 2X_0(u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 u = \hat{\varphi}_1, \tag{64}$$

where q is defined by (13),

$$X_0 := \xi_G^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^{il} \gamma_{lj}^j e_i, \tag{65}$$

ξ_G is given by (15), the constants γ_{jk}^i are defined by $[e_j, e_k] = \gamma_{jk}^i e_i$ and $\hat{\varphi}_i := 9\theta^{-2} \varphi_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Here the operator \sharp is calculated with respect to \check{g} , where \check{g} is the metric induced on G_τ by \hat{g} ; i.e., for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\check{g}(\xi_G^\sharp, Y) = \xi_G(Y).$$

Remark 50. In the statement, we use the terminology introduced in Lemma 47 as well as in Definitions 1 and 2.

Remark 51. The unimodular Lie groups are characterised by the property that $X_0 = 0$. In particular, for Bianchi class A, $X_0 = 0$ and for Bianchi class B, $X_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\Omega = \theta/3$. It can then be calculated that

$$\Omega^{-2} \square_g u = \square_{\hat{g}} u - 2\hat{g}(\text{grad}_{\hat{g}} \ln \Omega, \text{grad}_{\hat{g}} u).$$

Here,

$$\hat{g}(\text{grad}_{\hat{g}} \ln \Omega, \text{grad}_{\hat{g}} u) = \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \ln \Omega \partial_\beta u = -\partial_\tau \ln(\theta/3) u_\tau = (1 + q) u_\tau,$$

where we appealed to (45) in the last step. Next, if $\{e_\alpha\}$ is the frame consisting of $e_0 := \partial_\tau$ combined with the $\{e_i\}$, then

$$\square_{\hat{g}} u = \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha [e_\beta(u)] - \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha e_\alpha(u),$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha$ is defined by the relations

$$\hat{\nabla}_{e_\alpha} e_\beta = \hat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^\gamma := \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$$

and $\hat{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with \hat{g} . Note that

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0_{\alpha\beta} = -\langle \hat{\nabla}_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, e_0 \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \hat{g}$. In particular, $\hat{\Gamma}^0_{00} = 0$ and

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \hat{a}_{ij}.$$

On the other hand, (46) can be written $\dot{a}_{mj} = 2a_{mi} \bar{k}^i_j$, so that

$$\partial_\tau a_{mj} = 6a_{mi} \left(\Sigma^i_j + \frac{1}{3} \delta^i_j \right).$$

Combining this observation with (45) yields

$$\partial_\tau \hat{a}_{mj} = 6\hat{a}_{mi} \left(\Sigma^i_j - \frac{1}{3} q \delta^i_j \right). \tag{66}$$

Thus

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0 = -\hat{\Gamma}_{00}^0 + \hat{a}^{ij}\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 = \hat{a}^{ij}\frac{1}{2} \cdot 6\hat{a}_{il}\left(\Sigma^l_j - \frac{1}{3}q\delta^l_j\right) = -3q,$$

where we used the fact that $\Sigma^j_j = 0$. Next, note that

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^i = \hat{a}^{ij}\langle\hat{\nabla}_{e_\alpha}e_\beta, e_j\rangle.$$

Thus

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{00}^i = \hat{a}^{ij}\langle\hat{\nabla}_{e_0}e_0, e_j\rangle = -\hat{a}^{ij}\langle e_0, \hat{\nabla}_{e_0}e_j\rangle = -\hat{a}^{ij}\langle e_0, \hat{\nabla}_{e_j}e_0\rangle = 0.$$

Moreover, the Koszul formula yields

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \hat{a}^{il}\langle\hat{\nabla}_{e_j}e_k, e_l\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hat{a}^{il}(-\gamma_{kl}^m\hat{a}_{jm} + \gamma_{lj}^m\hat{a}_{km} + \gamma_{jk}^m\hat{a}_{lm}),$$

where the constants γ_{jk}^i are defined by $[e_j, e_k] = \gamma_{jk}^i e_i$. In particular, it can thus be computed that

$$\hat{\Gamma}^i = \hat{a}^{jk}\hat{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \hat{a}^{il}\gamma_{lj}^i.$$

In order to calculate which vector field the $\hat{\Gamma}^i$ correspond to, let $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $Y = Y^l e_l$ and compute

$$\langle\hat{\Gamma}^i e_i, Y\rangle = \hat{a}^{il}\gamma_{lj}^i\hat{a}_{im}Y^m = \gamma_{lj}^i Y^l.$$

On the other hand, if we define $\xi_{G,i} := \xi_G(e_i)$ (so that $\xi_G = \xi_{G,i}\xi^i$), then

$$\xi_{G,i} = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr ad}_{e_i} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ij}^j.$$

Thus

$$\xi_G(Y) = \xi_{G,i}\xi^i(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ij}^j Y^i = \frac{1}{2}\langle\hat{\Gamma}^i e_i, Y\rangle.$$

Thus, defining X_0 by the first relation in (65), the second relation in (65) follows. Moreover, $\hat{\Gamma}^i e_i = 2X_0$. To conclude,

$$-\hat{\Gamma}^\alpha e_\alpha(u) = 3qu_\tau - 2X_0(u).$$

Combining the above observations yields the conclusion of the lemma. \square

11.1. *Additional change of time coordinate.* The form of the Eq. (64) indicates that it would be of interest to change time coordinate according to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = C_\sigma \exp\left(-\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \tag{67}$$

for some $C_\sigma > 0$. In fact, with respect to such a time coordinate, (64) takes the form

$$-u_{\sigma\sigma} + \check{a}^{ij}e_i[e_j(u)] - 2\check{X}_0(u) + \check{\varphi}_0 u = \check{\varphi}_1, \tag{68}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \check{a}^{ij} &:= C_\sigma^{-2} \exp\left(2\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \hat{a}^{ij}, & \check{\varphi}_0 &:= C_\sigma^{-2} \exp\left(2\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \hat{\varphi}_0, \\ \check{X}_0 &:= C_\sigma^{-2} \exp\left(2\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) X_0, & \check{\varphi}_1 &:= C_\sigma^{-2} \exp\left(2\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \hat{\varphi}_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if σ satisfies (5), then

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{3}(\det a)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = [\theta(0)]^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_\tau^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right), \tag{69}$$

where we appealed to (4), (5) and (45). In particular, a time coordinate σ satisfying (5) is of the desired type. In the applications, the following observation is of interest.

Lemma 52. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{p}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Assume that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$, where $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$ is given by (21). Then $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$ corresponds to $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$.*

Remark 53. The assumption $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ is automatically fulfilled for all Bianchi types except IX.

Proof. Due to Remark 41, we know that $q - 2 \leq 3\gamma_{\bar{S}}$. Combining this estimate with the assumptions, it is clear that $(q - 2)_+ \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$. In particular, (67) implies that $d\sigma/d\tau \geq c_0$ for all $\tau \leq 0$ and some $c_0 > 0$. Thus, using the fact that $\sigma(0) = 0$ (cf. the requirement following (5)),

$$-\sigma(\tau) \geq -c_0\tau$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$, so that $\sigma(\tau) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. On the other hand, due to (67), the only way for σ to tend to $-\infty$ is if $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. The lemma follows. \square

12. The Basic Energy

Next, we analyse how the energy (17) evolves over time. Assume, to this end, $\varphi_1|_{G \times J}$ to have compact support for every compact interval $J \subset I$. Next, fix an f with the properties stated in connection with (17) and let h be given by (18). Given a solution u to (64) corresponding to initial data at $\tau = 0$ that are compactly supported on G , define $\mathcal{E}[u]$ by (17); recall that we, without loss of generality, can assume $\tau_0 > 0$, cf. Remark 3. Note that, due to Corollary 45, $\mathcal{E}[u]$ is well defined, smooth, and we are allowed to differentiate under the integral sign. In what follows, we tacitly consider the constituents of $\mathcal{E}[u]$, as well as $\mathcal{E}[u]$ itself, as depending on τ as opposed to t .

Lemma 54. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Let $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $i = 0, 1$, be given and assume $\varphi_1|_{G \times J}$ to have compact support for every compact interval $J \subset I$. Let h be given by (18) and fix an \mathfrak{f} with the properties stated in connection with (17). Let u be a solution to (63) corresponding to initial data that are compactly supported on G . Finally, define $\mathcal{E}[u]$ by (17), where all the constituents are considered to be functions of τ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}[u] &= \int_G \left[(q - 2)[u_\tau^2 + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) e_j(u)] + (2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma_m^i) \hat{a}^{mj} e_i(u) e_j(u) \right] \mu_h \\ &\quad + \int_G \left[\hat{\varphi}_0 u u_\tau - \hat{\varphi}_1 u_\tau + \mathfrak{f}' \mathfrak{f} u^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 u u_\tau \right] \mu_h. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Remark 55. In the statement, we use the terminology introduced in Lemma 47 and Definitions 1 and 2.

Remark 56. Considering (70), it is clear that the estimate (50) is of interest. Assume, therefore, that g solves (8), that $\rho \geq 0$ and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Then the second term in the integrand (on the first line of the right hand side of (70)) is non-negative for all the Bianchi types except IX (since $\bar{S} \leq 0$ for all the Bianchi types except IX); cf. (50). In the Bianchi type IX cases of interest here, the positive part of the scalar curvature, say \bar{S}_+ , is such that \bar{S}_+/θ^2 decays exponentially as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Returning to (70), it is then clear that the second term in the integrand (on the first line of the right hand side) does not contribute to any significant growth of the energy as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$.

Proof. Time differentiating $\mathcal{E}[u]$ yields

$$\partial_\tau \mathcal{E}[u] = \int_G \left[u_\tau u_{\tau\tau} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \hat{a}^{ij}) e_i(u) e_j(u) + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) e_j(u_\tau) + \mathfrak{f}' \mathfrak{f} u^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 u u_\tau \right] \mu_h.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) e_j(u_\tau) \mu_h &= \int_G e_j \left[\hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) u_\tau \right] \mu_h - \int_G \hat{a}^{ij} e_j [e_i(u)] u_\tau \mu_h \\ &= \int_G 2c_j \hat{a}^{ij} e_i(u) u_\tau \mu_h - \int_G \hat{a}^{ij} e_j [e_i(u)] u_\tau \mu_h \\ &= \int_G 2X_0(u) u_\tau \mu_h - \int_G \hat{a}^{ij} e_j [e_i(u)] u_\tau \mu_h, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i := \xi_G(e_i)$ and we appealed to (54); cf. Lemma 42 and the proof of Lemma 49. Combining this observation with (64) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}[u] &= \int_G \left[u_\tau \left(u_{\tau\tau} - \hat{a}^{ij} e_j [e_i(u)] + 2X_0(u) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \hat{a}^{ij}) e_i(u) e_j(u) \right] \mu_h \\ &\quad + \int_G \left[\mathfrak{f}' \mathfrak{f} u^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 u u_\tau \right] \mu_h \\ &= \int_G \left[(q - 2) u_\tau^2 - (3\Sigma_m^i - q\delta_m^i) \hat{a}^{mj} e_i(u) e_j(u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 u u_\tau - \hat{\varphi}_1 u_\tau \right] \mu_h \\ &\quad + \int_G \left[\mathfrak{f}' \mathfrak{f} u^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 u u_\tau \right] \mu_h, \end{aligned}$$

where we appealed to (66). The lemma follows. \square

Next, we give an example of an estimate of \mathcal{E} that follows from the above calculations.

Corollary 57. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ be given and let $\varphi_1 := 0$. Let h be given by (18) and fix an \mathfrak{f} with the properties stated in connection with (17). Assume, moreover, that $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$, given by (21), satisfies $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1((-\infty, 0])$ and that there is a function $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ such that $|\hat{\varphi}_0| \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}} \mathfrak{f}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Then there is a constant C_0 such that for every smooth solution u to (64) corresponding to compactly supported initial data,*

$$\mathcal{E}[u](\tau) \leq C_0 \mathcal{E}[u](0) \exp \left[2 \int_{\tau}^0 [2 - q(\tau')] d\tau' \right]$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$.

Remark 58. In the statement, we use the terminology introduced in Lemma 47 and Definitions 1 and 2.

Remark 59. The constant C_0 only depends on $\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$, $\|\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$ and $\|\gamma_{\bar{S}}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$.

Proof. Combining Lemmas 39, 54, Remark 41 and the assumptions, it follows that

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{E} \geq 2(q - 2)\mathcal{E} - \gamma_{\text{tot}} \mathcal{E}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$, where $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \in L^1((-\infty, 0])$. Moreover, $\|\gamma_{\text{tot}}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$ only depends on $\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$, $\|\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$ and $\|\gamma_{\bar{S}}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0]}$. The lemma follows. \square

13. Higher Order Energies

In order to estimate higher order energies, we apply e_K to (64), where K is a vector field multiindex; cf. Definition 6. We then appeal to Lemma 54. To be allowed to do so, we need to calculate the commutator of e_K with the operator defined by the left hand side of (64).

13.1. Commutators. To begin with, we compute the commutator of e_k and $\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^{ij} e_k e_i e_j &= \hat{a}^{ij} [e_k, e_i] e_j + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_k e_j = \hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{ki}^l e_l e_j + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i [e_k, e_j] + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j e_k \\ &= \hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{ki}^l \gamma_{lj}^m e_m + \hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{ki}^l e_j e_l + \hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{kj}^l e_i e_l + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j e_k \\ &= \hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{ki}^l \gamma_{lj}^m e_m + 2\hat{a}^{ij} \gamma_{ki}^l e_j e_l + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j e_k. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Next, we calculate the commutator $[e_K, \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j]$.

Lemma 60. *Let G be a 3-dimensional Lie group, $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra and \hat{a}^{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, be the components of a symmetric matrix. Given a vector field multiindex K with $|K| \geq 1$, there are constants $c_{K,ij}^{K_1}$ and $c_{K,j}^{K_2}$ (for all vector field multiindices K_1 and K_2 with the property that $|K_1|, |K_2| = |K|$) such that*

$$\hat{a}^{ij} e_K e_i e_j = \sum_{|K_1|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,ij}^{K_1} e_{K_1} + \sum_{|K_2|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,j}^{K_2} e_i e_{K_2} + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j e_K. \tag{72}$$

Remark 61. The constants $c_{K,i,j}^{K_1}$ and $c_{K,j}^{K_2}$ only depend on i, j, K_1, K_2, K and the structure constants γ_{ij}^k .

Proof. Note that, due to (71), (72) holds for $|K| = 1$. Assume, inductively, that there is an $l \geq 1$ such that (72) holds for $|K| \leq l$. Given a vector field multiindex K such that $|K| = l + 1$, there are K_1 and i_1 such that $e_K = e_{i_1} e_{K_1}$. Appealing to the inductive assumption,

$$\hat{a}^{ij} e_K e_i e_j = \sum_{|K_a|=|K_1|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K_1,ij}^{K_a} e_{i_1} e_{K_a} + \sum_{|K_b|=|K_1|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K_1,j}^{K_b} e_{i_1} e_i e_{K_b} + \hat{a}^{ij} e_{i_1} e_i e_j e_{K_1}. \tag{73}$$

The first term on the right hand side is already of a form consistent with the inductive hypothesis. Since

$$\hat{a}^{ij} c_{K_1,j}^{K_b} e_{i_1} e_i e_{K_b} = \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K_1,j}^{K_b} \gamma_{i_1 i}^l e_l e_{K_b} + \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K_1,j}^{K_b} e_{i_1} e_i e_{K_b}$$

and both of the terms appearing on the right hand side of this equality are of a form consistent with the inductive hypothesis, the second term appearing in (73) can be handled. In order to demonstrate that the last term on the right hand side of (73) can be rewritten in the desired form, it is sufficient to appeal to (71). To conclude, the inductive hypothesis holds for all $l \geq 1$. \square

Computing $[e_K, X_0]$. Finally, before applying e_K to the equation, we need to compute the commutator of e_K and X_0 . Note, to this end, that

$$e_k X_0 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^{il} \gamma_{ij}^j \gamma_{ki}^m e_m + X_0 e_k, \tag{74}$$

where we appealed to (65). We wish to prove that, given a vector field multiindex K , there are constants $d_{K,i,j}^{K_1}$ (for all vector field multiindices K_1 with $|K_1| = |K|$ and all $i, j = 1, 2, 3$) such that

$$e_K X_0 = \sum_{|K_1|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} d_{K,i,j}^{K_1} e_{K_1} + X_0 e_K. \tag{75}$$

Due to (74), we know that (75) holds for $|K| = 1$. Assuming (75) to hold for $|K| = l \geq 1$, it can be demonstrated that it holds for $|K| = l + 1$; the argument is similar to, but simpler than, the proof of Lemma 60. Thus (75) holds for all $|K| \geq 1$.

13.2. Higher order energy estimates. Fixing a vector field multiindex K and applying e_K to (64) yields (assuming φ_0 to only depend on t)

$$-(e_K u)_{\tau\tau} + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j (e_K u) + (q - 2)(e_K u)_{\tau} - 2X_0(e_K u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 e_K u = \hat{\varphi}_{1,K}, \tag{76}$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}_{1,K} := \left[\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j, e_K \right] u + 2[e_K, X_0]u + e_K(\hat{\varphi}_1). \tag{77}$$

Lemma 62. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Let $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $i = 0, 1$, be given and assume $\varphi_1|_{G \times J}$ to have compact support for every compact interval $J \subset I$. Assume, moreover, $\hat{\varphi}_0$ to only depend on τ . Let h be given by (18) and fix an \mathfrak{f} with the properties stated in connection with (17). Given a*

smooth solution u to (64) corresponding to initial data that are compactly supported on G , define $\mathcal{E}_l[u]$ by (20). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}_l[u] &= \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} (q - 2) [(e_K u)_\tau^2 + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_K(u) e_j e_K(u)] \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [(2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma_m^i) \hat{a}^{mj} e_i e_K(u) e_j e_K(u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 e_K(u) (e_K u)_\tau] \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} \sum_{|K_1|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,ij}^{K_1} e_{K_1}(u) (e_K u)_\tau \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} \sum_{|K_2|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,j}^{K_2} e_i e_{K_2}(u) (e_K u)_\tau \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [-e_K(\hat{\varphi}_1) (e_K u)_\tau + \mathfrak{f}'[e_K(u)]^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 e_K(u) (e_K u)_\tau] \mu_h, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where $c_{K,ij}^{K_1}$ and $c_{K,j}^{K_2}$ are constants only depending on i, j, K_1, K_2, K and the structure constants γ_{ij}^k .

Remark 63. In the statement, we use the terminology introduced in Lemma 47 and Definitions 1 and 2.

Proof. We wish to apply Lemma 54 to (76). In order to be allowed to do so, we need to verify that the function corresponding to φ_1 in Lemma 54 satisfies the stated requirements. However, this follows from the assumptions of the present lemma and Corollary 45. Appealing to Lemma 54, it can be calculated that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}_l[u] &= \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} (q - 2) [(e_K u)_\tau^2 + \hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_K(u) e_j e_K(u)] \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [(2\delta_m^i - 3\Sigma_m^i) \hat{a}^{mj} e_i e_K(u) e_j e_K(u) + \hat{\varphi}_0 e_K(u) (e_K u)_\tau] \mu_h \\ &+ \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [-\hat{\varphi}_{1,K} (e_K u)_\tau + \mathfrak{f}'[e_K(u)]^2 + \mathfrak{f}^2 e_K(u) (e_K u)_\tau] \mu_h. \end{aligned}$$

We need to consider the term $-\hat{\varphi}_{1,K} (e_K u)_\tau$ appearing in the integrand more carefully. Due to (72), (75) and (77),

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{\varphi}_{1,K} (e_K u)_\tau &= \sum_{|K_1|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,ij}^{K_1} e_{K_1}(u) (e_K u)_\tau \\ &+ \sum_{|K_2|=|K|} \hat{a}^{ij} c_{K,j}^{K_2} e_i e_{K_2}(u) (e_K u)_\tau - e_K(\hat{\varphi}_1) (e_K u)_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two observations yields the desired result. \square

Due to (78), we obtain a result analogous to Corollary 57.

Corollary 64. *Let (M, g) be a Bianchi spacetime with a monotone volume singularity t_- . Assume that g solves (8); that $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$; that $\rho \geq 0$; and that $\Lambda \geq 0$. Let $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that it only depends on t and let $\varphi_1 := 0$. Let h be given by (18) and fix an \mathfrak{f} with the properties stated in connection with (17). Assume that $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$, given by (21), satisfies $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$; and that there is a function $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ such that $|\hat{\varphi}_0| \leq \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\varphi}} \mathfrak{f}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Finally, assume that there is a function $\mathfrak{f}_a \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ such that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\| \leq \mathfrak{f}_a \mathfrak{f}$.*

where \hat{a}^{-1} is the matrix with components \hat{a}^{ij} . Then there is a constant C_l such that for every smooth solution u to (64) corresponding to compactly supported initial data,

$$\mathcal{E}_l[u](\tau) \leq C_l \mathcal{E}_l[u](0) \exp \left[2 \int_{\tau}^0 [2 - q(\tau')] d\tau' \right] \tag{79}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$.

Remark 65. The constant C_l only depends on l , the structure constants associated with $\{e_i\}$, $\|f\|_{L^1(-\infty,0]}$, $\|f_{\hat{\varphi}}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0]}$, $\|f_a\|_{L^1(-\infty,0]}$ and $\|\gamma_{\bar{g}}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0]}$.

Remark 66. Under the assumptions of the lemma,

$$\int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} (e_K u)_{\sigma}^2 \mu_h \leq 2C_{\sigma}^{-2} C_l \mathcal{E}_l[u](0) \tag{80}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$; cf. (67).

Proof. Consider (78). Under the conditions of the present corollary, we wish to demonstrate that the right hand side can be estimated from below by

$$2(q - 2)\mathcal{E}_l - \bar{\gamma}_{\text{tot}} \mathcal{E}_l, \tag{81}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_{\text{tot}}$ is an element of $L^1(-\infty, 0]$. All the terms on the right hand side of (78), except the third and fourth lines, can be estimated as in the proof of Corollary 57. Consider the third line on the right hand side of (78). Since the $c_{K,i j}^{K_1}$ are constants, we need to estimate

$$|\hat{a}^{ij} e_{K_1}(u)(e_K u)_{\tau}| \leq \|\hat{a}^{-1}\| \cdot |e_{K_1}(u)(e_K u)_{\tau}| \leq f_a \frac{1}{2} [f^2 |e_{K_1}(u)|^2 + |(e_K u)_{\tau}|^2].$$

This is an estimate of the desired type. Next, consider the fourth line on the right hand side of (78). We need to estimate

$$\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_{K_2}(u)(e_K u)_{\tau} = \sum_l \hat{b}^{il} \hat{b}^{lj} e_i e_{K_2}(u)(e_K u)_{\tau},$$

where \hat{b}^{ij} are the components of the square root of \hat{a}^{-1} . Note that

$$|\hat{b}^{lj}| \leq \|\hat{a}^{-1}\|^{1/2} \leq f_a^{1/2} f^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} (f + f_a)$$

and that

$$|\hat{b}^{il} e_i e_{K_2}(u)| \leq \left[\sum_l \hat{b}^{il} e_i e_{K_2}(u) \hat{b}^{jl} e_j e_{K_2}(u) \right]^{1/2} \leq \left[\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_{K_2}(u) e_j e_{K_2}(u) \right]^{1/2}.$$

Thus the fourth line on the right hand side of (78) can be estimated as desired. \square

13.3. *Limits in a model case.* Finally, we are in a position to prove Proposition 19.

Proposition 19. The idea of the proof is to appeal to Corollary 64, Sobolev embedding and the Eq. (64). Note, however, that Corollary 64 only applies to solutions corresponding to initial data with compact support, a restriction we do not impose here. The first step of the argument is therefore to demonstrate that we can “localise” the solution u .

Localising the solution u . Let U be an open subset of G with compact closure K . Assume, moreover, U to be diffeomorphic to the ball of radius 1 and center 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 and denote the diffeomorphism by ψ . Let $U_0 = \psi^{-1}[B_{1/2}(0)]$. Then U_0 has compact closure contained in U . Due to the fact that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ decays exponentially, it is clear that

$$\int_{t_-}^{t_a} \|a^{-1/2}\| dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{3}{\theta} \|a^{-1/2}\| d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^0 \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\|^{1/2} d\tau < \infty,$$

where $\tau(t_a) = 0$. We are thus allowed to appeal to Lemma 46. In particular, there is a compact subset K_1 such that

$$K \times (t_-, t_0] \subseteq D^-(K_1 \times \{t_0\}); \tag{82}$$

cf. (61). Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ be such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K_1$. Let u_a be the solution to (63) corresponding to the initial data given by $\chi u(\cdot, t_0)$ and $\chi u_t(\cdot, t_0)$. Then, due to (82); [RinCau, Corollary 12.14, p. 141]; and [RinCau, Remark 12.15, p. 141]; the functions u and u_a coincide in $K \times (t_-, t_0]$. If we want to analyse the asymptotic behaviour of u in K as $t \rightarrow t_-$, we might thus as well consider u_a .

Appealing to the energy estimates. In order to justify that we are allowed to appeal to Corollary 64, note that if we define $f(\tau) := e^{\eta_0 \tau/2}$, then f has the properties stated in connection with (17). Choosing $f_{\hat{\varphi}}(\tau) = f_a(\tau) = C_0 e^{\eta_0 \tau/2}$, we are then allowed to apply Corollary 64 to u_a . Since $q - 2$ is integrable, there are thus constants C_l such that

$$\mathcal{E}_l[u_a](\tau) \leq C_l \mathcal{E}_l[u_a](0)$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Introducing

$$\mathcal{F}_l[u_a] := \frac{1}{2} \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} [e_K(u_a)]^2 \mu_h,$$

it can be calculated that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{F}_l &= \int_G \sum_{|K| \leq l} e_K(u_a) e_K(\partial_\tau u_a) \mu_h \geq -2 \mathcal{F}_l^{1/2}[u_a] \mathcal{E}_l^{1/2}[u_a] \\ &\geq -2 C_l^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_l^{1/2}[u_a](0) \mathcal{F}_l^{1/2}[u_a]. \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate, it can be demonstrated that

$$\mathcal{F}_l^{1/2}[u_a](\tau) \leq \mathcal{F}_l^{1/2}[u_a](0) + C_l^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_l^{1/2}[u_a](0) |\tau|$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. In particular, there are constants $D_{a,l}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_l^{1/2}[u_a](\tau) \leq D_{l,a} \langle \tau \rangle$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$.

Appealing to the equation. With the above information at hand, it is of interest to return to (76). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j (e_K u_a)\|_{L^2(G)} + \|X_0(e_K u_a)\|_{L^2(G)} \\ & + \|[\hat{a}^{ij} e_i e_j, e_K] u_a\|_{L^2(G)} + \|[e_K, X_0] u_a\|_{L^2(G)} \leq E_{a,l} \langle \tau \rangle e^{\eta_0 \tau} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$ and $|K| \leq l$. Here

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(G)} := \left(\int_G |\phi|^2 \mu_h \right)^{1/2}$$

for every $\phi \in C_0(G)$. Moreover, due to the boundedness of $\mathcal{E}_l[u_a]$ for $\tau \leq 0$ and (32),

$$\|(q - 2)(e_K u_a)_\tau\|_{L^2(G)} \leq E_{a,l} e^{\eta_0 \tau}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$ and $|K| \leq l$. Combining these observations with (32) and (76) yields the conclusion that

$$\|(e_K u_a)_{\tau\tau}\|_{L^2(G)} \leq E_{a,l} \langle \tau \rangle e^{\eta_0 \tau}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$ and $|K| \leq l$. Combining this observation with Sobolev embedding yields the conclusion that

$$\|\partial_\tau^2 u_a(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^l(U_0)} \leq E_{a,l} \langle \tau \rangle e^{\eta_0 \tau}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Thus (33) holds.

In order to prove (34), note, to begin with, that

$$\exp\left(-\int_\tau^0 (q - 2) d\tau'\right) = C_\sigma^{-1} C_a [1 + O(e^{\eta_0 \tau})]$$

for some $C_a > 0$, where C_σ is the constant appearing in (67); cf. (69). Thus there is a constant C_b such that

$$-\sigma(\tau) = -C_a \tau - C_b + O(e^{\eta_0 \tau}),$$

where we used the fact that $\sigma(0) = 0$; cf. the requirement following (5). In particular,

$$\sigma(\tau) = C_a \tau + C_b + O(e^{\eta_0 \tau}). \tag{83}$$

Combining these observations with (67) and (33) yields (34). The proposition follows. \square

13.4. *Limits when q does not converge to 2.* Next, we prove Proposition 31.

Proposition 31. We begin by changing to the time coordinate σ introduced in (5). Due to the assumptions, there is a constant c_q such that

$$\int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - 2]d\tau' = \int_{\tau}^0 (q_{\infty} - 2)d\tau' + \int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - q_{\infty}]d\tau' = (2 - q_{\infty})\tau - c_q + o(1).$$

Inserting this information into (67) yields

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = C_{\sigma} \exp[-(2 - q_{\infty})\tau + c_q][1 + o(1)];$$

note that (69) is satisfied. Integrating this relation yields

$$\sigma(\tau) = -\frac{C_{\sigma}}{2 - q_{\infty}} e^{-(2 - q_{\infty})\tau + c_q} [1 + o(1)]$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. For future reference, it is of interest to note that the above computations yield the existence of a constant $c_a > 0$ such that

$$\langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle = c_a e^{-(2 - q_{\infty})\tau} [1 + o(1)]$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Due to this relation, it can be deduced that there is a constant c_b such that

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{2 - q_{\infty}} \ln \langle \sigma \rangle + c_b + o(1).$$

In particular,

$$\exp\left(2 \int_{\tau}^0 (q - 2)d\tau'\right) = O(\langle \sigma \rangle^{-2}).$$

Returning to (68) and appealing to (36), it is clear that

$$\|\check{a}^{-1}\| + |\check{\varphi}_0| \leq C \langle \sigma \rangle^{-2 - \eta_c}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$ and some constant $C > 0$; here η_c is given by (37). The same holds for the coefficients of \check{X} .

Due to (36), it is possible to choose exponentially decaying functions f , $f_{\hat{\varphi}}$ and f_a in such a way that the conditions of Corollary 64 are satisfied. Thus (80) holds (for a suitably localised solution; cf. the proof of Proposition 19). Combining this observation with (68); the estimate for the coefficients of this equation (described above); and arguments similar to those presented in the proof of Proposition 19 yields the conclusion that a suitably localised solution (such as u_a in Proposition 19) satisfies

$$\|e_L \partial_{\sigma}^2 u_a\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle^{-1 - \eta_c}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$ and all vector field multiindices L satisfying $|L| \leq l$. Appealing to Sobolev embedding (for, potentially, infinitely many different localisations u_a) yields the conclusion that there is a $u_1 \in C^{\infty}(G)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset G$ and every $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a constant $C_{K,l}$ such that

$$\|u_{\sigma}(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1\| \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle^{-\eta_c}$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$. Thus the first conclusion of the proposition holds. If $\eta_c > 1$, this estimate can be integrated in order to yield the second conclusion. \square

14. Proofs I

The purpose of the present section is to prove the statements made in Sect. 4. We begin with Proposition 12 and the statements made in Example 15.

14.1. Conditional results yielding convergence of the σ -derivative. In the present subsection, we prove Proposition 12 and the statements made in Example 15.

Proposition 12. By assumption, the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. In particular, we are thus allowed to use the conclusions of that theorem, such as (25). Combining (25); localisations as in the proof of Proposition 19; and Sobolev embedding, it is clear that, given a solution u , a compact set $K \subseteq G$ and an $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a constant $C_{K,l}$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, \sigma)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \sigma \rangle$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$. Inserting this information into (68), it is clear that

$$\|u_{\sigma\sigma}[\cdot, \sigma(\tau)]\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \exp\left(2 \int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \mathfrak{f}^2(\tau) \langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle, \tag{84}$$

where we appealed to (22) and the fact that if $X_0 = X_0^i e_i$, then there is a constant C such that $|X_0^i| \leq C \|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$, cf. (65). Integrating (84) from σ_0 to $\sigma_1 \leq 0$ yields

$$\|u_{\sigma}(\cdot, \sigma_1) - u_{\sigma}(\cdot, \sigma_0)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \mathfrak{f}^2(\tau) \langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle d\tau, \tag{85}$$

where we used (67) and τ_0, τ_1 correspond to σ_0, σ_1 respectively. In order to proceed, note that (67) yields

$$-\sigma(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^0 C_{\sigma} \exp\left(-\int_{\tau'}^0 (q-2)d\tau''\right) d\tau'; \tag{86}$$

recall that $\sigma(0) = 0$ due to the requirements made in connection with (5). Inserting this information into (85), it is clear that we need to estimate two integrals. First, we need to verify that

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \mathfrak{f}^2(\tau) d\tau$$

is bounded as $\tau_0 \rightarrow -\infty$. However, this is obvious due to the fact that $(q-2)_+ \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ and the fact that (26) holds; recall that under the present circumstances, Remark 41 applies. Next, we need to estimate

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \mathfrak{f}^2(\tau) \int_{\tau}^0 \exp\left(-\int_{\tau'}^0 (q-2)d\tau''\right) d\tau' d\tau. \tag{87}$$

Note, to this end, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \int_{\tau}^0 \exp\left(-\int_{\tau'}^0 (q-2)d\tau''\right) d\tau' \\ &= \int_{\tau}^0 \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^{\tau'} (q-2)d\tau''\right) d\tau' \leq C|\tau| \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$, where we used the fact that $(q - 2)_+ \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$. Due to this observation, the expression (87) can be estimated by

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} C \langle \tau \rangle f^2(\tau) d\tau$$

which is finite as $\tau_0 \rightarrow -\infty$; cf. (26). To conclude, the expression on the right hand side of (85) converges to a finite number as $\tau_0 \rightarrow -\infty$. Combining this observation with (85) yields the conclusion of the proposition. \square

Next, we justify the statements made in Example 15. Before turning to the details, note the following. If $\lambda_0, \kappa_0 > 0$ and $0 < \kappa_1 \leq 1$, then there is a constant C_κ (depending only on λ_0, κ_0 and κ_1) such that

$$\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \langle \tau \rangle^{\kappa_0} e^{-\lambda_0 \langle \tau \rangle^{\kappa_1}} d\tau \leq C_\kappa \langle \tau_b \rangle^{\kappa_0+1-\kappa_1} e^{-\lambda_0 \langle \tau_b \rangle^{\kappa_1}} \tag{88}$$

for all $\tau_a \leq \tau_b \leq -1$. We leave the verification of this statement to the reader. In what follows, we also appeal to the general observations concerning Bianchi class A developments made in Sect. 17.1 below.

Example 15. We wish to apply Proposition 12. To this end, we first need to verify that the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. Due to (28), the monotone volume singularity t_- is silent. Moreover, by assumption, g solves (8); $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$; $\rho \geq 0$; $\Lambda \geq 0$; and $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ only depends on t . Next, we have to verify that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$. In the case of all Bianchi class A types but IX, $\gamma_{\bar{S}} = 0$, and there is nothing to prove. However, the Bianchi type IX case requires an argument. That $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ can be verified directly without appealing to (28). However, since the corresponding argument is somewhat more involved, we here rely on (28). In order to prove the integrability of $\gamma_{\bar{S}}$, note that combining the arguments presented in Sect. 17.1 below with [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] yields

$$\partial_\tau \hat{a}^{11} = 2(q + 2\Sigma_+) \hat{a}^{11}, \quad \partial_\tau (N_2 N_3) = 2(q + 2\Sigma_+) N_2 N_3, \tag{89}$$

$$\partial_\tau \hat{a}^{22} = 2(q - \Sigma_+ - \sqrt{3}\Sigma_-) \hat{a}^{22}, \quad \partial_\tau (N_1 N_3) = 2(q - \Sigma_+ - \sqrt{3}\Sigma_-) N_1 N_3, \tag{90}$$

$$\partial_\tau \hat{a}^{33} = 2(q - \Sigma_+ + \sqrt{3}\Sigma_-) \hat{a}^{33}, \quad \partial_\tau (N_1 N_2) = 2(q - \Sigma_+ + \sqrt{3}\Sigma_-) N_1 N_2. \tag{91}$$

Moreover, $\hat{a}^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. In particular, since the N_i all have the same sign in the case of Bianchi type IX, there are constants $C_{a,i} > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$, such that

$$\hat{a}^{11} = C_{a,1} N_2 N_3, \quad \hat{a}^{22} = C_{a,1} N_1 N_3, \quad \hat{a}^{33} = C_{a,1} N_1 N_2.$$

In particular, all the $N_i N_j, i \neq j$, are integrable due to (28). On the other hand, (109) below implies that

$$\gamma_{\bar{S}} \leq N_1 N_2 + N_2 N_3 + N_3 N_1.$$

Combining these observations yields the conclusion that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$.

In order to verify (22), note that since $q \geq 0$ in the present setting, it is clear that $e^{\tau\theta}$ is bounded from below by a positive constant for $\tau \leq 0$. Combining this observation with the fact that φ_0 is bounded, it is clear that $e^{-2\tau} |\hat{\varphi}_0|$ is bounded for $\tau \leq 0$. In particular, there is thus a constant $C_{\text{tot}} > 0$ such that if we let

$$f(\tau) := C_{\text{tot}} \exp(-\lambda_0 \langle \tau \rangle^{\alpha_0}), \tag{92}$$

then (22) holds for all $\tau \leq 0$. Note also that f has the properties stated at the beginning of Sect. 2.4. To conclude, the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied.

Finally, in order to apply Proposition 12, we need to verify that (26) holds. However, this is an immediate consequence of (92). In order to justify (29), note that the proof of Proposition 12 yields the conclusion that

$$\|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma_1) - u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma_0)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} C\langle\tau\rangle f^2(\tau) d\tau$$

where τ_0, τ_1 correspond to σ_0, σ_1 respectively. Combining this estimate with (88) and (92) yields (29).

Finally, in order to prove the statements in Example 15 concerning Bianchi type I, II, VI₀ and VII₀ vacuum solutions, it is sufficient to combine (89)–(91) with the conclusions of [RinCau]. In order to justify this statement in greater detail, note that if (Σ_+, Σ_-) converges to one of the points in (108), then the corresponding monotone volume singularity is not silent; this is justified in Sect. 17.1 below. In particular, this situation is excluded by the assumptions. Due to [RinCau, Propositions 22.15, 22.16 and 22.18] and [RinCau, Lemma 22.17, p. 240], the only possibility that remains is that the solution converges to a point on the Kasner circle different from the points in (108). Due to the observations made in connection with (107), this implies that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. In particular, (28) holds with $\alpha_0 = 1$. □

14.2. Conditional results yielding full asymptotics. The purpose of the present subsection is to prove Proposition 16 as well as the statements made in Example 18. In what follows, we appeal to the general observations concerning Bianchi class A developments made in Sect. 17.1 below.

Proposition 16. In analogy with the argument justifying (84), it can be demonstrated that

$$\|u_{\sigma\sigma}[\cdot, \sigma(\tau)]\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \exp\left(2 \int_{\tau}^0 (q - 2) d\tau'\right) [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle\sigma(\tau)\rangle. \tag{93}$$

Integrating this estimate from σ_0 to σ_1 , where $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma_1) - u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma_0)\|_{C^l(K)} \\ & \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q - 2) d\tau'\right) [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle\sigma(\tau)\rangle d\tau; \end{aligned}$$

here τ_i corresponds to $\sigma_i, i = 0, 1$. Due to the proof of Proposition 12, we obtain convergence as $\tau_0 \rightarrow -\infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\sigma(\cdot, \sigma_1) - u_1\|_{C^l(K)} \\ & \leq C_{K,l} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q - 2) d\tau'\right) [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle\sigma(\tau)\rangle d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this estimate from σ_a to σ_b , where $\sigma_a \leq \sigma_b \leq 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\cdot, \sigma_b) - u(\cdot, \sigma_a) - u_1(\sigma_b - \sigma_a)\|_{C^l(K)} \\ & \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\ & \quad \cdot \exp\left(-\int_{\tau_1}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) d\tau_1 \\ & \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} \exp\left(\int_{\tau}^{\tau_1} (q-2)d\tau'\right) [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle d\tau d\tau_1, \end{aligned}$$

where τ_a and τ_b correspond to σ_a and σ_b respectively. Combining this estimate with the fact that $(q-2)_+ \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ (note that the integrability of $(q-2)_+$ follows from Remark 41 and the fact that the conditions of Theorem 7 are fulfilled) yields

$$\|U(\cdot, \sigma_b) - U(\cdot, \sigma_a)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle d\tau d\tau_1, \tag{94}$$

where

$$U(\cdot, \sigma) := u(\cdot, \sigma) - u_1 \cdot \sigma.$$

In order to estimate $\langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle$, note that (86) yields

$$|\sigma(\tau)| \leq C_{\sigma} \int_{\tau}^0 \exp\left(-\int_{\tau'}^0 (q-2)d\tau''\right) d\tau' \leq C|\tau| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right),$$

where we used the fact that $(q-2)_+ \in L^1(-\infty, 0]$ and the fact that $\sigma(0) = 0$; cf. the requirement following (5). To conclude,

$$\langle \sigma(\tau) \rangle \leq C\langle \tau \rangle \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right)$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Inserting this information into (94), it is clear that we need to estimate

$$\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} [\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| + |\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)|] \langle \tau \rangle \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) d\tau d\tau_1. \tag{95}$$

By assumption, this expression converges as $\tau_a \rightarrow -\infty$. In particular, $U(\cdot, \sigma)$ converges in $C^l(K)$ for every compact $K \subset G$ and every $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there is a function u_0 with the properties stated in the proposition. \square

Next, we prove the statements made in Example 18.

Example 18. Due to the assumptions in Example 18, we know that φ_0 is bounded. We begin by using this information in order to estimate the contribution of φ_0 to the integral (95). Note, to this end, that

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) = 9\theta^{-2}|\varphi_0| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right).$$

Since θ satisfies (45), this equality implies

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) = 9\theta^{-2}(0)|\varphi_0| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 3q d\tau'\right). \tag{96}$$

On the other hand, due to (89)–(91), it is clear that

$$\partial_{\tau} \det \hat{a}^{-1} = 6q \det \hat{a}^{-1}.$$

Combining this equality with (96) and the assumption that φ_0 is bounded yields

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) \leq C(\det \hat{a}^{-1})^{1/2} \leq C\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|^{3/2}. \tag{97}$$

Due to the assumptions, the proof of Proposition 16, (97) and the above observations, it follows that

$$\|U(\cdot, \sigma_b) - U(\cdot, \sigma_a)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 (q-2)d\tau'\right) d\tau d\tau_1 \tag{98}$$

for all $\sigma_a \leq \sigma_b \leq 0$. Due to (30) and two applications of (88), it follows that

$$\|U(\cdot, \sigma_b) - U(\cdot, \sigma_a)\|_{C^l(K)} \leq C_{K,l} \langle \tau_b \rangle^{3-2\alpha_0} e^{-2\lambda_0(\tau_b)^{\alpha_0}}$$

for all $\sigma_a \leq \sigma_b \leq 0$. Thus (31) holds.

Finally, we need to justify the statements in Example 18 concerning Bianchi type I, II, VI₀ and VII₀ vacuum solutions. However, the only additional complication in the present setting compared with the statements of Example 15 is that we need to estimate the second factor on the left hand side of (30). Note, to this end, that due to the proof of Example 15, we know that the Wainwright-Hsu variables converge to a point on the Kasner circle different from the points in (108). Considering the equations for the N_i , note that $N'_i = f_i(q, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)N_i$ for some function f_i ; cf. [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]. Since the solution converges to the Kasner circle, $f_i(q, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)$ converges to a number. Moreover, the form of the f_i immediately implies that, on the Kasner circle, the only way for f_i to equal zero is if the relevant point on the Kasner circle is one of the points appearing in (108). In other words, in the limit point, f_i is either strictly positive or strictly negative. If f_i is strictly negative in the limit and $N_i \neq 0$, then $|N_i|$ tends to infinity exponentially. This is not consistent with the fact that the solution converges to a point on the Kasner circle. If $N_i \neq 0$, the limit of f_i must thus be positive. In other words, all the N_i converge to zero exponentially. Combining this observation with the Wainwright-Hsu equations, cf. [RinAtt, pp. 414–415], it follows that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. The second factor on the left hand side of (30) is thus bounded. The statements of the example follow. \square

15. Proofs II

The purpose of the present section is to justify the statements made in Examples 22, 24, 29 and 30. To do so, we need to prove that Proposition 19 applies in the situations considered in these examples. The proofs are based on results concerning the asymptotics of Bianchi class A and non-special Bianchi class B developments obtained in [RinAtt, RadNon, RadSti]. We make some general observations concerning the relevant developments in Sects. 17.1 and 17.2 below. These observations follow from [RadNon, RinAtt] and form the basis of the analysis of the present section. We begin by considering the stiff fluid case, which is defined by the condition that $\gamma = 2$.

15.1. *The stiff fluid case.* In the case of orthogonal stiff fluids, there are results concerning the asymptotics of Bianchi class A solutions (cf., e.g., [RinAtt]) and the asymptotics of non-special Bianchi class B solutions (cf., e.g., [RadSti]). The present analysis is based on these references. However, since the details are somewhat different in the two cases, we treat them separately.

Bianchi class A. We need to demonstrate that Proposition 19 applies. To this end, we combine [RinAtt] with the general observations collected in Sect. 17.1. The asymptotic behaviour is described in terms of the Wainwright-Hsu variables Σ_{\pm} , N_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, and Ω ; cf. Sect. 17.1. Due to [RinAtt, Theorem 19.1, p. 478], these variables converge to a type I point. Say that the corresponding value of (Σ_+, Σ_-) is (s_+, s_-) . Then, due to [RinAtt, Theorem 19.1, p. 478], $s_+^2 + s_-^2 < 1$. Moreover, due to [RinAtt, Theorem 19.1, p. 478], there are additional restrictions for Bianchi types II, VI₀, VII₀, VIII and IX. In order to explain the restrictions, note that the N_i satisfy $N'_i = f_i(q, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)N_i$ for some function f_i ; cf. [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]. The restrictions on (s_+, s_-) appearing in [RinAtt, Theorem 19.1, p. 478] correspond to the following requirement: if the development is such that N_i is non-zero, then $f_i(q, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)$ converges to a strictly positive number. In particular, the N_i thus decay to zero exponentially. Combining this observation with the Hamiltonian constraint (cf. [RinAtt, (11), p. 415]) yields the conclusion that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. Since S/θ^2 is a quadratic polynomial in the N_i , cf. (109) below, it is also clear that $\gamma_{\tilde{s}}$ decays exponentially. Turning to the restrictions on φ_0 , note first that since $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially, $e^{3\tau}\theta(\tau)$ converges to a positive number as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$; recall that $\theta' = -(1+q)\theta$. In particular there is a positive constant, say C_{θ} , such that $e^{3\tau}\theta(\tau) \geq C_{\theta}^{-1}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. On the other hand, $\det a(\tau) = e^{6\tau}$ by the definition of τ . Combining these observations with the assumption (35) yields

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)| = 9[\theta(\tau)]^{-2}|\varphi_0(\tau)| \leq 9C_{\theta}^2C_{\varphi}e^{6\tau}(e^{6\tau})^{-1+\eta_{\varphi}} \leq 9C_{\theta}^2C_{\varphi}e^{6\eta_{\varphi}\tau} \tag{99}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Thus $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially. Next, since $s_+^2 + s_-^2 < 1$, the comments made in connection with (107) below imply that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. Thus Proposition 19 applies and we have verified the statements made in Example 22 for Bianchi class A developments.

Bianchi class B. Turning to the non-exceptional Bianchi class B developments, we again need to demonstrate that we can apply Proposition 19. To this end, we combine [RadSti] with the general observations collected in Sect. 17.2. The asymptotic behaviour is described in terms of the variables introduced by Hewitt and Wainwright and denoted by Σ_+ , $\tilde{\Sigma}$, Δ , N_+ , \tilde{A} and Ω ; cf. Sect. 17.2.

Due to [RadSti, Proposition 4.3, p. 9], there are $s \in (-1, 1)$ and $\tilde{s} \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} (\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Delta, N_+, \tilde{A})(\tau) = (s, \tilde{s}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Moreover, $s^2 + \tilde{s} < 1$. Using the terminology of [RadSti], solutions thus converge to an element of the so-called *Jacobs set* \mathcal{J} ; cf. [RadSti, Definition 1.2, p. 4]. Next, [RadSti, (4), p. 3] yields

$$q = 2(1 - \tilde{A} - \tilde{N}),$$

where \tilde{N} is given by (111) below. In particular, it is thus clear that q converges to 2. Combining this observation with the fact that $s > -1$, it follows that \tilde{A} converges to zero exponentially; cf., e.g., [RadSti, (3), p. 3]. Turning to Δ and N_+ , note that there are three

possibilities as far as the limit as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ is concerned; a given solution converges to a point in one of the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_- &:= \mathcal{J} \cap \{(1 + \Sigma_+)^2 < 3\tilde{\Sigma}\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_0 &:= \mathcal{J} \cap \{(1 + \Sigma_+)^2 = 3\tilde{\Sigma}\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_+ &:= \mathcal{J} \cap \{(1 + \Sigma_+)^2 > 3\tilde{\Sigma}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If the limit point is in $\mathcal{J}_- \cup \mathcal{J}_0$, then \tilde{A} , Σ_+ , $\tilde{\Sigma}$, \tilde{N} , q , Δ and N_+ all converge exponentially towards their limiting values; cf. [RadSti, Proposition 5.1, pp. 10–11]. Assume now that the limiting point is in \mathcal{J}_+ . Returning to [RadSti, (3), p. 3], it is clear that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta \\ N_+ \end{pmatrix}' = B \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \\ N_+ \end{pmatrix}, \tag{100}$$

where

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} B(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(s+1) & 2\tilde{\Sigma} \\ 6 & 2(s+1) \end{pmatrix} =: B_\infty. \tag{101}$$

In particular, the real parts of the eigenvalues of B_∞ are strictly positive if the limit point is in \mathcal{J}_+ . Combining the above observations with, e.g., the results of [IOaP] yields the conclusion that Δ and N_+ converge to zero exponentially. To conclude, \tilde{A} , \tilde{N} , N_+ , Δ and $q - 2$ converge to zero exponentially. This implies that Σ_+ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ converge to their limits exponentially. Due to the observations made at the end of Sect. 17.2, it is thus clear that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. In the case of Bianchi class B, the scalar curvature of the spatial hypersurfaces of homogeneity is negative, so that $\gamma_{\tilde{S}} = 0$; cf., e.g., [RinSta, Appendix E]. Finally, in order to estimate $\hat{\varphi}_0$, we can proceed as in the Bianchi class A case. Thus Proposition 19 applies and we have verified the statements made in Example 22 for non-exceptional Bianchi class B developments.

15.2. The non-stiff fluid case. Next, we consider Bianchi orthogonal perfect fluid developments with a linear equation of state $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$, where $\gamma < 2$. In the case of Bianchi class A, we focus on vacuum and equations of state with $2/3 < \gamma < 2$. In the case of Bianchi class B, we focus on vacuum and equations of state with $0 \leq \gamma < 2/3$. In both cases, the restrictions arise from the results available in the literature. As before, we need to verify that Proposition 19 applies. We begin by considering vacuum Bianchi class A.

Non-oscillatory Bianchi class A vacuum developments. Recall the analysis concerning vacuum Bianchi type I, II, VI₀ and VII₀ developments presented in the proofs of Examples 15 and 18; cf. Sects. 14.1 and 14.2. Due to this analysis, $q - 2$ and $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converge to zero exponentially. Moreover, $\gamma_{\tilde{S}} = 0$ for the Bianchi types of interest here. Finally, the assumptions of Example 24 combined with an analysis similar to that presented in the stiff fluid setting, cf. (99), imply that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially. Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 24 follow.

Generic Bianchi type I, II and VII₀ developments. Next, we prove the statements made in Example 29. In the case of all the relevant Bianchi types, $\gamma_{\tilde{S}} = 0$. In what follows, we therefore do not comment on the corresponding condition in Proposition 19. We consider the different Bianchi types separately and we start with generic Bianchi type I perfect fluid developments with $2/3 < \gamma < 2$.

Bianchi type I. According to [RinAtt, Proposition 8.1, p. 428], $(\Sigma_+, \Sigma_-, \Omega)$ converges to $(s_+, s_-, 0)$, with $s_+^2 + s_-^2 = 1$ (note that the fixed point F has been removed by assumption). If (s_+, s_-) equals one of the points appearing in (108), then the monotone volume singularity is not silent; cf. Sect. 17.1. Since this is incompatible with the assumptions, we can assume the limit (s_+, s_-) to be different from the points appearing in (108). By the arguments presented in connection with (108), it follows that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. Turning to Ω , it converges to zero exponentially due to [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] and the fact that $q \geq 2$ in the limit. Combining this observation with the constraint, [RinAtt, (11), p. 415], implies that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. Finally, that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially follows from (99). Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 29 follow in the case of Bianchi type I.

Bianchi type II. In the case of Bianchi type II, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $N_1 > 0$, $N_2 = 0$ and $N_3 = 0$. In this case, Σ_- is either always zero or never zero (since the conditions $\Sigma_- = 0$ and $N_2 = N_3$ define an invariant set). Let us first consider the case that $\Sigma_- = 0$. According to [RinAtt, Proposition 9.1, p. 428], there are then three possibilities: the solution converges to F ; the solution equals $P_1^+(II)$; or (Ω, Σ_+, N_1) converges to $(0, -1, 0)$. The first two possibilities are incompatible with the assumption of genericity. The third possibility is incompatible with the requirement of silence; cf. Sect. 17.1. Assume that $\Sigma_- \neq 0$. Then, according to [RinAtt, Proposition 9.1, p. 428], the solution converges to a point in $\mathcal{K}_2 \cup \mathcal{K}_3$. Here, the \mathcal{K}_i are given by [RinAtt, Definition 6.1, p. 421]. In particular, $(\Omega, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-, N_1)$ converges to $(0, s_+, s_-, 0)$, where $s_+^2 + s_-^2 = 1$ and (s_+, s_-) is different from the points appearing in (108). By the arguments presented in connection with (108), it then follows that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. Since q converges to 2, it is clear that Ω converges to zero exponentially; cf. [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]. Since the solution converges to a point in $\mathcal{K}_2 \cup \mathcal{K}_3$, $s_+ < 1/2$, so that N_1 converges to zero exponentially. Combining these observations with the constraint, [RinAtt, (11), p. 415], yields the conclusion that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. Finally, that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially follows from (99). Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 29 follow in the case of Bianchi type II.

Bianchi type VI₀. Since we lack an appropriate reference on the asymptotic behaviour of Bianchi type VI₀ non-vacuum orthogonal perfect fluid developments with $\gamma < 2$, we are not in a position to analyse the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the Klein–Gordon equation on such backgrounds.

Bianchi type VII₀, the locally rotationally symmetric case. In the case of Bianchi type VII₀, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $N_1 = 0$, $N_2 > 0$ and $N_3 > 0$. It is of interest to first consider the locally rotationally symmetric subcase; i.e., to assume that $N_2 = N_3$ and $\Sigma_- = 0$. Note that in this case, the constraint, [RinAtt, (11), p. 415], reads

$$\Omega + \Sigma_+^2 = 1. \tag{102}$$

Due to [RinAtt, Proposition 10.1, p. 430], there are three possibilities. Either the solution converges to $\Sigma_+ = 1$ on the Kasner circle; the solution converges to F ; or Σ_+ converges to -1 . Convergence to F has been excluded by the condition of genericity. If Σ_+ converges to -1 , then the monotone volume singularity is not silent; cf. the comments made at the end of Sect. 17.1. Again, this case is thus excluded by the assumptions. Let us therefore assume that Σ_+ converges to 1. Then q converges to 2, so that Ω converges to zero exponentially due to [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]. Combining this observation with (102) yields the conclusion that Σ_+ converges to 1 exponentially. In particular, q converges to 2 exponentially. Since q converges to 2 and (Σ_+, Σ_-) converges to $(1, 0)$, the observations made in connection with (108) imply that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially.

Finally, that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially follows from (99). Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 29 follow in the case of locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VII₀ developments.

Bianchi type VII₀, the general case. Due to [RinAtt, Proposition 10.2, p. 431], generic and non-locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VII₀ solutions (with $N_1 = 0$, $N_2 > 0$ and $N_3 > 0$) converge to a point in \mathcal{K}_1 . Recalling that \mathcal{K}_1 is the subset of the Kasner circle with $\Sigma_+ > 1/2$, it is clear that N_2 , N_3 and Ω converge to zero exponentially, so that we can argue as in the locally rotationally symmetric setting; cf. [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]. This completes the proof of the statements made in Example 29.

Bianchi class B. In the case of Bianchi class B, we are mainly interested in solutions converging to the *Kasner parabola*; cf. [RadNon, Definition 1.15, p. 8]. The Kasner parabola is defined to be the set of points with

$$(\Omega, \Delta, \tilde{A}, N_+) = (0, 0, 0, 0),$$

so that $\Sigma_+^2 + \tilde{\Sigma} = 1$; cf. (110) and (111) below. Moreover, its elements are fixed points. In addition to the Kasner parabola, there are some solutions that converge to a plane wave equilibrium point; cf. [RadNon, Definition 1.17, p. 8]. There are also some solutions that converge to the fixed point, say F_B , characterised by

$$(\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Delta, \tilde{A}, N_+) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Next, the points Taub 1 and Taub 2 (denoted T1 and T2) are of special importance. Here T1 and T2 are defined by the conditions

$$(\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Delta, \tilde{A}, N_+) = (-1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma}, \Delta, \tilde{A}, N_+) = (1/2, 3/4, 0, 0, 0)$$

respectively.

Due to the fact that the results of [RadNon] are restricted to either vacuum or $0 \leq \gamma < 2/3$, we also restrict our attention to these two cases. Combining [RadNon, Proposition 4.2, pp. 19–20], [RadNon, Proposition 4.4, p. 21], [RadNon, Proposition 5.1, p. 23] and [RadNon, Proposition 6.1, p. 26], there are the following possibilities as far as the asymptotics are concerned:

- The solution coincides with the fixed point T1.
- The solution coincides with the fixed point F_B .
- The solution converges to a plane wave equilibrium point.
- The solution converges to a point on the Kasner parabola different from T1.

If the solution coincides with the fixed point T1, it is clear that $2 + 2\Sigma_+ = 0$ for the entire solution. In particular, the function \hat{f}_1^1 introduced in Sect. 17.2 is constant; cf. (114) and [RadNon, (6), p. 7]. Thus the corresponding monotone volume singularity is not silent. By arguments presented in connection with (114), a solution that converges to a plane wave equilibrium point is such that the corresponding monotone volume singularity is not silent. Next, let us assume that the solution is the fixed point F_B . This can only happen in the non-vacuum setting, and then $q < 0$, $\Sigma_+ = 0$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} = 0$; cf. [RadNon, (6), p. 7]. In particular, \hat{f}_1^1 and the matrix with components \hat{f}_B^A are unbounded as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus, the corresponding monotone volume singularity is not silent. What remains is to consider a solution converging to a point on the Kasner parabola different from T1.

Convergence to a point strictly between T1 and T2. Assuming the solution to converge to T2 or to a point on the Kasner parabola to the left of T2, [RadNon, Proposition 6.2, p. 26]

applies and yields the conclusion that $(\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma})$ converges exponentially to its limiting value, say (s, \tilde{s}) . Moreover, $\Omega, \tilde{A}, N_+, \Delta, \tilde{N}$ and $q - 2$ converge to zero exponentially. Assume now that $-1 < s < 1/2$. Then, by observations made in Sect. 17.2 (cf., in particular, the conclusions following (119)), it follows that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. Next, note that $\gamma_{\tilde{s}} = 0$ for all Bianchi class B developments. Finally, that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially follows from (99). Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 30 follow in the case of convergence to a point on the Kasner parabola strictly between T1 and T2.

Convergence to T2. Assume the solution to converge to T2. Then $\Omega, \tilde{A}, N_+, \Delta, \tilde{N}$ and $q - 2$ converge to zero exponentially, as before. Thus, due to the observations made at the end of Sect. 17.2 below, the solution is a locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VI₋₁ solution, a case which is excluded by the assumptions.

Convergence to a point to the right of T2. Assume that the Wainwright-Hsu variables of the solution converge to a point to the right of T2. Then $(\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma})$ converges to, say, (s, \tilde{s}) , where $s > 1/2$. Moreover, as in the stiff fluid case, Δ and N_+ satisfy the Eq. (100), where B satisfies (101). Since, $s > 1/2$, the eigenvalues of the right hand side of (101) both have positive real part. Thus, due to, e.g., the results of [IOaP], the functions Δ and N_+ converge to zero exponentially. Next, since q converges to 2 and Σ_+ converges to s , it follows from [RadNon, (5), p. 6] that \tilde{A} converges to zero exponentially. In addition, [RadNon, (11), p. 7]; the fact that q converges to 2; and the fact that $\gamma < 2$ imply that Ω converges to zero exponentially. Finally, since \tilde{A} and N_+ converge to zero exponentially, it follows that \tilde{N} converges to zero exponentially; cf. [RadNon, (7), p. 7]. Combining these observations with [RadNon, (15), p. 16] yields the conclusion that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. Next, by observations made in Sect. 17.2 (cf., in particular, the conclusions following (119)), it follows that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. Moreover, $\gamma_{\tilde{s}} = 0$. Finally, that $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially follows from (99). Thus Proposition 19 applies and the statements made in Example 30 follow in the case of convergence to a point on the Kasner parabola strictly to the right of T2.

16. Proofs III

The purpose of the present section is to prove the statements made in Example 35. We need to consider two cases; either that the Wainwright-Hsu variables of the solution converge to $P_i^+(II)$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$; or that they converge to the fixed point F .

Convergence to $P_i^+(II)$. Consider the fixed points $P_i^+(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since the different points are related by a symmetry (cf. [RinAtt, p. 415]), it is sufficient to focus on $P_1^+(II)$; cf. Definition 26. Consider a solution converging to $P_1^+(II)$. Then q converges to $q_\infty = (3\gamma - 2)/2$, Σ_+ converges to $s_+ = (3\gamma - 2)/8$, Σ_- converges to zero and Ω converges to $\Omega_0 := 1 - (3\gamma - 2)/16$. Since N_2 and N_3 converge to zero and N_1 converges to a strictly positive number, it is clear from (109) that \tilde{s} eventually becomes negative. This means that there is a T such that $\gamma_{\tilde{s}}(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \leq T$. Next, note that $q \rightarrow q_\infty < 2$ (since $\gamma < 2$). Moreover, since $\Omega' = 2(q - q_\infty)\Omega$, cf. [RinAtt, (9), p. 414], and Ω converges to a strictly positive number, it is clear that

$$\int_\tau^0 [q(\tau') - q_\infty] d\tau$$

converges as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. In particular,

$$\int_{\tau}^0 q(\tau')d\tau = \int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - q_{\infty}]d\tau - q_{\infty}\tau = -q_{\infty}\tau + O(1). \tag{103}$$

Next, note that [RinAtt, (9), p. 414], combined with the fact that N_1 converges to a strictly positive number implies that

$$\int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - 4\Sigma_+(\tau')]d\tau'$$

converges as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus

$$\int_{\tau}^0 \Sigma_+(\tau')d\tau' = \int_{\tau}^0 \left[\Sigma_+(\tau') - \frac{1}{4}q(\tau') \right] d\tau' + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\tau}^0 [q(\tau') - q_{\infty}]d\tau' - \frac{1}{4}q_{\infty}\tau.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\tau}^0 \Sigma_+(\tau')d\tau' = -\frac{1}{4}q_{\infty}\tau + O(1). \tag{104}$$

Next, note that N_2 and N_3 converge to zero exponentially; this follows from [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] and the assumed convergence to $P_1(II)$. Thus S_- introduced on [RinAtt, p. 415] converges to zero exponentially. Combining this information with [RinAtt, (9), p. 414]; the fact that q converges to $q_{\infty} < 2$; and the fact that Σ_- converges to zero implies that Σ_- converges to zero exponentially. Due to (103); (104); the exponential convergence of Σ_- to zero; and (89)–(91), it is clear that

$$|\hat{a}^{11}(\tau)| \leq Ce^{3q_{\infty}\tau}, \quad |\hat{a}^{22}(\tau)| \leq Ce^{3q_{\infty}\tau/2}, \quad |\hat{a}^{33}(\tau)| \leq Ce^{3q_{\infty}\tau/2}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. In particular, $\|\hat{a}^{-1}(\tau)\| \leq Ce^{3q_{\infty}\tau/2}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Next, note that

$$\theta^{-2}(\tau) = \theta^{-2}(0) \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^0 2[1 + q(\tau')]d\tau'\right) \leq Ce^{2(1+q_{\infty})\tau}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Since φ_0 is bounded, we conclude that $|\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)| \leq Ce^{2(1+q_{\infty})\tau}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Summarising, it is clear that Proposition 31 applies with $\eta_0 = 3q_{\infty}/2$. The statements in Example 35 concerning solutions converging to one of the $P_i(II)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, follow.

Convergence to F. Recall the critical point F introduced in Definition 26. Consider a development such that the corresponding Wainwright–Hsu variables converge to F . Then q converges to $q_{\infty} := (3\gamma - 2)/2$; cf. the formula at the bottom of [RinAtt, p. 414]. Note that $q_{\infty} > 0$ since $\gamma > 2/3$. Since Σ_+ and Σ_- converge to zero, [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] implies that the N_i converge to zero exponentially. In particular, the S_{\pm} introduced on [RinAtt, p. 415] converge to zero exponentially. The only way for the above to be consistent with [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] is that the Σ_{\pm} converge to zero exponentially; note that $q_{\infty} < 2$ since $\gamma < 2$. Combining this observation with the constraint, [RinAtt, (11), p. 415], yields the conclusion that Ω converges exponentially to 1 and q converges exponentially to q_{∞} . Returning to [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] with this information in mind yields the conclusion that there is a constant C such that

$$|N_i(\tau)|^2 + |\Sigma_+(\tau)| + |\Sigma_-(\tau)| + |\Omega(\tau) - 1| + |q(\tau) - q_{\infty}| \leq Ce^{2q_{\infty}\tau} \tag{105}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Next, since \bar{S}/θ^2 is given by (109), it is clear that $\gamma_{\bar{S}} \leq Ce^{2q_{\infty}\tau}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Moreover, (89)–(91) imply that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\| \leq Ce^{2q_{\infty}\tau}$ for all $\tau \leq 0$. Finally,

$$|\hat{\varphi}_0(\tau)| \leq 9\theta^{-2}(\tau)|\varphi_0(\tau)| \leq Ce^{2(q_{\infty}+1)\tau}$$

for all $\tau \leq 0$. Due to the above, it is clear that Proposition 31 applies with $\eta_0 = 2q_{\infty}$. The statements in Example 35 concerning solutions converging to F follow.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to acknowledge the support of the Göran Gustafsson Foundation for Research in Natural Sciences and Medicine. This research was funded by the Swedish research council, dnr. 2017-03863.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

17. Appendix

17.1. General observations, Bianchi class A developments. In this subsection, we collect some general observations concerning Bianchi class A orthogonal perfect fluid developments. The main source of the statements made here is [RinAtt].

In the case of Bianchi class A orthogonal perfect fluid developments, the matrix a appearing in (3) is diagonal; cf. [RinAtt, Lemma 21.2, p. 488]. Moreover, the Wainwright-Hsu variables Σ_{\pm} , N_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, and Ω introduced in [WaH] can be used to analyse the asymptotics of solutions. These variables are also introduced in [RinAtt, p. 487]; see also [RinCau, p. 233] for a presentation in the vacuum setting. Note that the θ appearing in [RinAtt] is the mean curvature of the spatial hypersurfaces of homogeneity, so that it coincides with the θ appearing in the present paper. Since the time coordinate τ appearing in [RinAtt] satisfies [RinAtt, (137), p. 487] and the τ appearing in the present paper satisfies the same relation (cf. the proof of Lemma 47), the two τ ’s can be assumed to coincide. Finally, since $\theta' = -(1 + q)\theta$ both in the present paper and in [RinAtt], it is clear that the q appearing in [RinAtt] coincides with the q appearing in the present paper.

Next, consider \hat{a}_{ij} . Due to (66), it can be calculated that

$$\partial_{\tau}\hat{a}^{ij} = 2\left(q\delta_l^i - 3\Sigma_l^i\right)\hat{a}^{lj}. \tag{106}$$

In the cases of interest here, $\Sigma_l^i = 0$ if $i \neq l$ and $\hat{a}^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$; cf. [RinAtt, Lemma 21.2, p. 488]. However, when comparing the present paper with [RinAtt] some care is required when referring to indices. The reason for this is that we use a fixed frame (which is not orthonormal) in the present paper, whereas the indices appearing in [RinAtt] refer to an orthonormal frame. On the other hand, the orthonormal frame appearing in [RinAtt] is of the form $\bar{e}_0 = \partial_t$ and $\bar{e}_i = a_i e_i$ (no summation on i), where $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is the frame appearing in the present paper; cf. [RinAtt, Lemma 21.2, p. 488]. Moreover, the a_i are strictly positive functions of time only. In particular, the Σ_{22} appearing in [RinAtt] is different from the Σ_{22} appearing in the present paper. On the other hand, Σ_2^2 and Σ_3^3

of the present paper coincide with Σ_{22} and Σ_{33} of [RinAtt]. Using the terminology of the present paper, the Σ_{\pm} variables introduced in [RinAtt, (138), p. 487] thus satisfy

$$\Sigma_+ = \frac{3}{2}(\Sigma_2^2 + \Sigma_3^3), \quad \Sigma_- = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\Sigma_2^2 - \Sigma_3^3).$$

Assume now that q converges to a limit, say q_{∞} , and that $\Sigma_{\pm}(\tau) \rightarrow s_{\pm}$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Then

$$(q - 3\Sigma_1^1, q - 3\Sigma_2^2, q - 3\Sigma_3^3) \rightarrow (q_{\infty} + 2s_+, q_{\infty} - s_+ - \sqrt{3}s_-, q_{\infty} - s_+ + \sqrt{3}s_-). \tag{107}$$

If all the components of the vector on the right hand side are strictly positive, it then follows from (106) that $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially. We are mainly interested in the case that $q_{\infty} = 2$ and $s_+^2 + s_-^2 \leq 1$. In that setting, the only way that one of the components of the vector on the right hand side of (107) can be ≤ 0 is that one of the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(s_+, s_-) = (-1, 0), \quad (s_+, s_-) = (1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2), \quad (s_+, s_-) = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2). \tag{108}$$

Next, it is of interest to note that

$$\frac{\bar{S}}{\theta^2} = -\frac{1}{2}(N_1^2 + N_2^2 + N_3^2) + N_1N_2 + N_2N_3 + N_3N_1; \tag{109}$$

cf., e.g., [RinCau, Lemma 19.11, p. 209]. This expression can only be strictly positive in the case of Bianchi type IX. Finally, note that if $q_{\infty} > -1$ and φ_0 is bounded, then $\hat{\varphi}_0$ converges to zero exponentially; this is due to the fact that $\theta' = -(1 + q)\theta$.

The non-stiff and non-silent Bianchi class A setting. Considering (108) and the adjacent text, it is clear that the points in (108) play a special role. Assume that (Σ_+, Σ_-) converges to one of these points. By applying the symmetries of the equations (cf. [RinAtt, p. 415] and [Wah]), we can assume (Σ_+, Σ_-) to converge to $(-1, 0)$. Due to [RinAtt, Proposition 3.1, p. 416], it then follows that the corresponding solution is contained in the invariant set characterised by $\Sigma_- = 0$ and $N_2 = N_3$ (assuming $2/3 < \gamma < 2$). Since q is bounded from below by $2\Sigma_+^2$, it is clear that q , in the limit, is bounded from below by 2. Combining this information with [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] implies that Ω converges to zero exponentially (in the non-stiff fluid setting). Thus q converges to 2. Combining this information with [RinAtt, (9), p. 414] again yields the conclusion that N_1, N_1N_2 and N_1N_3 converge to zero exponentially. On the other hand, the constraint [RinAtt, (11), p. 415] implies that

$$\Omega + \Sigma_+^2 + \frac{3}{4}(N_1^2 - 4N_1N_2) = 1.$$

Combining this equality with previous observations implies that $\Sigma_+ + 1$ converges to zero exponentially and that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially. In particular, $q + 2\Sigma_+$ is integrable. On the other hand,

$$\partial_{\tau}\hat{a}^{11} = 2(q - 3\Sigma_1^1)\hat{a}^{11} = 2(q + 2\Sigma_+)\hat{a}^{11}.$$

In particular, \hat{a}^{11} thus converges to a strictly positive number as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. Consequently, the singularity is not silent; cf. (24).

17.2. *General observations, Bianchi class B solutions.* In the case of non-exceptional Bianchi class B solutions, there are variables introduced by Hewitt and Wainwright, cf. [HaW], that can be used to describe the asymptotics; cf. also [RadNon]. The relevant variables are denoted Σ_+ , $\tilde{\Sigma}$, Δ , N_+ , \tilde{A} and Ω . For future reference, it is of interest to note that the Hamiltonian constraint is equivalent to

$$\Omega + \Sigma_+^2 + \tilde{\Sigma} + \tilde{A} + \tilde{N} = 1, \tag{110}$$

where

$$\tilde{N} := \frac{1}{3}(N_+^2 - \kappa \tilde{A}) \tag{111}$$

and κ is a parameter associated with the Lie group. In order see why this is the case, note that on [RadNon, p. 65], it is pointed out that the Hamiltonian constraint is equivalent to [RadNon, (56), p. 60]. By a simple change of variables, the latter equality is equivalent to [RadNon, (65), p. 61]. Normalising according to [RadNon, (67)–(68), p. 62] reproduces (110). Turning to the time coordinates, note that the θ appearing in [RadNon] is the mean curvature of G_t . Thus the θ appearing in [RadNon] coincides with the θ appearing in the present paper. Since the time coordinate τ appearing in [RadNon] is related to proper time according to [RadNon, (69), p. 62], it is clear that the τ appearing in [RadNon] can be chosen to coincide with the time coordinate τ appearing in the present paper. Finally, due to [RadNon, (70), p. 62], it is clear that the deceleration parameter q appearing in [RadNon] coincides with the q appearing in the present paper; $\theta' = -(1 + q)\theta$ both in [RadNon] and in the present paper, so that, since τ and θ coincide, the two q 's coincide. To conclude: the τ , θ and q appearing in [RadNon] are the same as the objects τ , θ and q appearing in the present paper. Note also that, in the case of Bianchi class B, the scalar curvature of the spatial hypersurfaces of homogeneity is negative, so that $\gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = 0$.

The causal structure. In the applications, we need to estimate $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$. Note, to this end, that the frame used to describe the metric in [RadNon, Subsection 11.6, pp. 67–72] is orthonormal and denoted by $\{e_\alpha\}$, where $e_0 = \partial_t$. However, it is constructed using a basis $e_0, \tilde{e}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\tilde{e}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, is a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Due to [RadNon, pp. 69–70],

$$e_A = f_A^B \tilde{e}_B, \quad e_1 = f_1^1 \tilde{e}_1$$

for $A, B \in \{2, 3\}$ (in what follows, capital Latin indices range from 2 to 3), where the f_A^B and f_1^1 satisfy the following initial value problems:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1^1 &= \left(\bar{\sigma}_A^A - \frac{1}{3}\theta \right) f_1^1, & f_1^1(0) &= 1, \\ \partial_t f_A^C &= \left(-\bar{\sigma}_A^B - \frac{1}{3}\theta \delta_A^B + \Omega_1 \epsilon_A^B \right) f_B^C, & f_A^C(0) &= \delta_A^C. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\bar{\sigma}_A^B$ denotes the shear; cf. $\bar{\sigma}_{ij}$ introduced in (10). Here Ω_1 is a gauge quantity which can be chosen freely; in what follows, we choose it to equal 0. Let h_1^1 and h_A^B be such that

$$h_1^1 f_1^1 = 1, \quad h_A^B f_B^C = \delta_A^C.$$

Next, let $\{\tilde{\xi}^i\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ and define

$$\xi^1 := h_1^1 \tilde{\xi}^1, \quad \xi^A := h_B^A \tilde{\xi}^B,$$

$A = 2, 3$. Then $\{\xi^i\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_i\}$. In particular, the spacetime metric can be written

$$g = -dt \otimes dt + \sum_i \xi^i \otimes \xi^i = -dt \otimes dt + (h_1^1)^2 \tilde{\xi}^1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}^1 + \sum_A h_B^A h_C^A \tilde{\xi}^B \otimes \tilde{\xi}^C.$$

In particular, writing g in the form (3) (with ξ^i replaced by $\tilde{\xi}^i$), the matrix with components a_{ij} is block diagonal (with $a_{1A} = a_{A1} = 0$), and

$$a^{11} = (f_1^1)^2, \quad a^{AB} = \sum_C f_C^A f_C^B.$$

In particular,

$$\hat{a}^{11} = 9\theta^{-2}(f_1^1)^2, \quad \hat{a}^{AB} = 9\theta^{-2}\sum_C f_C^A f_C^B.$$

It is therefore of interest to introduce

$$\hat{f}_1^1 := 3\theta^{-1} f_1^1, \quad \hat{f}_B^A := 3\theta^{-1} f_B^A$$

and to calculate

$$\partial_\tau \hat{f}_1^1 = (1 + q)\hat{f}_1^1 + (3\Sigma_A^A - 1)\hat{f}_1^1 = (q + 3\Sigma_A^A)\hat{f}_1^1, \tag{112}$$

where

$$\Sigma_A^B := \theta^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_A^B.$$

At this stage it is important to note that we here use the index conventions of [RadNon] (as opposed to those of the present paper). In particular, the indices refer to an orthonormal frame, so that they are raised and lowered with the Kronecker delta. Similarly,

$$\partial_\tau \hat{f}_B^A = (q\delta_B^C - 3\Sigma_B^C)\hat{f}_C^A. \tag{113}$$

Before proceeding, it is of interest to note that $\Sigma_A^A = 2\Sigma_+/3$; this is a consequence of [RadNon, (52), p. 59] and [RadNon, (67), p. 62]. Thus

$$\partial_\tau \hat{f}_1^1 = (q + 2\Sigma_+)\hat{f}_1^1. \tag{114}$$

Note that $\tilde{A}^{1/2}$ satisfies the same equation, cf. [RadNon, (5), p. 6], and that $\tilde{A} > 0$ in Bianchi class B. Thus \hat{f}_1^1 is a strictly positive multiple of $\tilde{A}^{1/2}$. In particular, \hat{f}_1^1 converges to zero exponentially if and only if \tilde{A} converges to zero exponentially.

The plane wave solutions. In the case of Bianchi class B, the so-called *plane wave equilibrium points* are equilibrium points characterised by [RadNon, Definition 1.17, p. 8]. In particular, a solution that converges to a plane wave equilibrium point is such that the limit of \tilde{A} is strictly positive. Combining this fact with the observations made following (114), it is clear that the corresponding monotone volume singularity is not silent.

Convergence of the normalised shear. Before proceeding, it is of interest to verify that Σ_B^C converges. Note that, due to the constructions described in [RadNon, Subsection 11.6, pp. 67–72], the equation [RadNon, (54), p. 59] is satisfied. Moreover, the $\bar{\sigma}_{AB}$ and n_{AB} can be retrieved from solutions to these equations using [RadNon, (52), p. 59].

If $\tilde{\sigma}_{AB}$ is defined by [RadNon, (52), p. 59], let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} := \tilde{\sigma}_{AB}/\theta$. Then [RadNon, (54), p. 59] implies that

$$\partial_\tau \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} = \frac{3}{\theta^2} \partial_t \tilde{\sigma}_{AB} + (1 + q) \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} = (q - 2) \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} - 2N_+ \tilde{N}_{AB} \pm 2\tilde{A}^{1/2} \tilde{N}_{AB},$$

where $\tilde{N}_{AB} = \tilde{n}_{AB}/\theta$, ${}^* \tilde{N}_{AB} = {}^* \tilde{n}_{AB}/\theta$, $N_+ = n_+/\theta$ and we use the notation introduced in [RadNon, (52)–(53), p. 59]. Note that if N_+ and \tilde{A} converge to zero exponentially, then $\tilde{N} = 3\tilde{N}^{AB} \tilde{N}_{AB}/2$ converges to zero exponentially; cf. (111), [RadNon, (58), p. 60] and [RadNon, (67), p. 62]. Since ${}^* \tilde{N}_{AB} = \tilde{N}_A^C \epsilon_{CB}$, cf. [RadNon, (53), p. 59], it follows that ${}^* \tilde{N}_{AB}$ converges to zero exponentially. Assuming additionally that $q - 2$ converges to zero exponentially, it is clear that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{AB}$ converges exponentially. On the other hand, due to [RadNon, (52), p. 59] and [RadNon, (67), p. 62], $\Sigma_{AB} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} + \Sigma_+ \delta_{AB}/3$. Assuming, in addition to the above, that Σ_+ converges exponentially, it follows that Σ_{AB} converges exponentially.

Convergent asymptotics, $q \rightarrow 2$. Before discussing convergence, note that the state space associated with the Hewitt-Wainwright variables is compact; cf. [RadNon, (7)–(9), p. 7]. Assume now that N_+ , \tilde{A} and $q - 2$ converge to zero exponentially. Then \tilde{N} converges to zero exponentially due to [RadNon, (7), p. 7]. Combining these observations with [RadNon, (5), p. 6] yields the conclusion that $(\Sigma_+, \tilde{\Sigma})$ converges exponentially to, say, (s, \tilde{s}) . Due to the exponential convergence of the variables and the above discussion of the convergence of Σ_{AB} , it is clear that Σ_{AB} converges exponentially to a limit, say S_{AB} . Next, due to [RadNon, (11), p. 7], it is also clear that Ω converges exponentially to 0 if $\gamma < 2$ and that if $\gamma = 2$ and Ω is initially positive, then Ω converges exponentially to a strictly positive number. In either case, Ω converges exponentially to a limit, say Ω_0 . Finally, due to [RadNon, (8), p. 7], Δ converges to zero exponentially. Considering (114), it is clear that if $s > -1$, then \hat{f}_1^1 converges to zero exponentially. Turning to (113), it is of interest to calculate the eigenvalues of $3S_B^C/2$ in terms of s and \tilde{s} . Say that the eigenvalues of the matrix with components $3S_B^C/2$ are λ_\pm . Then

$$s = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \Sigma_+(\tau) = \frac{3}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \Sigma_A^A(\tau) = \lambda_+ + \lambda_- \tag{115}$$

It can also be computed that

$$\Sigma^{AB} \Sigma_{AB} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{AB} \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB} + \frac{2}{9} \Sigma_+^2 = \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\Sigma} + \frac{2}{9} \Sigma_+^2,$$

where we use the notation introduced in [RadNon, Subsection 11.2, pp. 57–62]. In particular,

$$\lambda_+^2 + \lambda_-^2 = \frac{9}{4} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \Sigma^{AB} \Sigma_{AB} = \frac{3}{2} \tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2} s^2. \tag{116}$$

Combining (115) and (116) yields

$$\lambda_\pm = \frac{s}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3\tilde{s}}^{1/2}}{2}. \tag{117}$$

Moreover, taking the limit of the Hamiltonian constraint yields

$$\Omega_0 + \tilde{s} + s^2 = 1. \tag{118}$$

Note that $\lambda_+ \geq \lambda_-$. Assuming $\lambda_+ \geq 1$ yields the conclusion that

$$\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\tilde{s}^{1/2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 = s^2 + \tilde{s} + 1 - 2\lambda_+ \leq -\Omega_0, \tag{119}$$

where we appealed to (117) and (118). To conclude, the only way for an eigenvalue of the matrix with components $3S_B^C/2$ to be ≥ 1 is if $s = 1/2$, $\tilde{s} = 3/4$ and $\Omega_0 = 0$. To summarise: if N_+ , \tilde{A} and $q - 2$ converge to zero exponentially; $s > -1$; and $(s, \tilde{s}, \Omega_0) \neq (1/2, 3/4, 0)$, then $\|\hat{a}^{-1}\|$ converges to zero exponentially as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$.

Bianchi VI₋₁. Due to the above, what remains to be considered is the case that $\gamma \in [0, 2)$, $s = 1/2$ and $\tilde{s} = 3/4$. However, due to [RadNon, Section 7, pp. 40–41], convergence to the corresponding point on the Kasner parabola implies that the solution is a locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi type VI₋₁ solution.

17.3. Blow up criteria. The purpose of the present subsection is to justify the statements made in Sect. 8. In order to do so, we apply the results of [Rin, Chapter 8] to (40). We therefore need to verify that the conditions of [Rin, Proposition 8.1, pp. 91–92] and [Rin, Proposition 8.9, p. 93] are satisfied.

Applicability of the results of [Rin]. To begin with, we need to verify that [Rin, Definition 7.8, p. 82] is satisfied. This verification partially overlaps with [Rin, Example 4.20, pp. 42–43]. However, for the benefit of the reader, we provide a complete justification here. The metric associated with (40) is given by

$$g_{\text{con}} = -d\tau \otimes d\tau + \sum_{r=1}^R e^{-2\bar{\beta}_r \tau} \bar{g}_r \tag{120}$$

on M_{con} , where M_{con} is defined in connection with (40). Here the $\bar{\beta}_r$ are distinct and defined so that the set of $\bar{\beta}_r$'s equals the set of β_i 's. We also order the $\bar{\beta}_r$'s so that $\bar{\beta}_1 < \dots < \bar{\beta}_R < 0$. Let d_r equal the number of β_i 's such that $\beta_i = \bar{\beta}_r$. Then \bar{g}_r is the standard metric on \mathbb{T}^{d_r} . We denote by \bar{g} and \bar{k} the metric and second fundamental form induced on $\bar{S}_\tau := \mathbb{T}^d \times \{\tau\}$ by g_{con} . Comparing (40) with [Rin, (1.2), p. 4], it is clear that $g^{00} = -1$; $d = 0$; $a_r = e^{-\bar{\beta}_r \tau}$; $\alpha = 0$; $\zeta(\tau) = m^2 e^{-2\tau}$; and $f = 0$. Moreover,

$$\bar{k} = -\sum_{r=1}^R \bar{\beta}_r e^{-2\bar{\beta}_r \tau} \bar{g}_r. \tag{121}$$

Letting $U := \partial_\tau$, it is clear that U is the future directed unit normal to the hypersurfaces \bar{S}_τ (with respect to the metric g_{con}). Moreover,

$$\mathcal{L}_U \bar{k} = \sum_{r=1}^R 2\bar{\beta}_r^2 e^{-2\bar{\beta}_r \tau} \bar{g}_r. \tag{122}$$

For future reference, it is of interest to note that

$$\bar{k} \geq -\bar{\beta}_R \bar{g}.$$

The first step in verifying that [Rin, Definition 7.8, p. 82] is satisfied is to verify that $(M_{\text{con}}, g_{\text{con}})$ is a canonical separable cosmological manifold in the sense of [Rin, Definition 1.18, p. 11]. However, this follows immediately from (120). Next, we need to verify that (40) is C^2 -balanced. Note, to this end, that ∂_τ is future uniformly timelike in the sense of [Rin, Definition 3.1, p. 32]. Moreover, it is clear that α and ζ are C^1 -future bounded in the sense of [Rin, Definition 3.8, p. 34]. Since $d = 0$, the conditions on \mathcal{X} and the shift vector field are void. Finally, in order to verify that the second fundamental

form is C^1 -bounded, it is sufficient to recall that \bar{k} and $\mathcal{L}_U \bar{k}$ satisfy (121) and (122); cf. [Rin, Definition 3.4, p. 32]. Due to [Rin, Definition 3.8, p. 34], it is thus clear that (40) is C^2 -balanced.

The condition that the shift vector field be negligible (cf. [Rin, Definition 7.3, p. 81]) is void since $d = 0$. Next, note that \bar{k} is diagonally convergent with $\beta_{\text{Ri},r} = \bar{\beta}_r$, where κ_d can be chosen to be as large as desired; cf. [Rin, Definition 7.3, p. 81]. The condition that \bar{g} be C^2 -asymptotically diagonal (cf. [Rin, Definition 7.3, p. 81]) is void since $d = 0$ in our case.

Considering [Rin, Definition 7.5, p. 82], it is clear that the main coefficients are convergent with $\alpha_\infty = 0$; $\zeta_\infty = 0$; $C_{\text{mn}} = |m|^2$; and $\kappa_{\text{mn}} := 2$ (note that since $d = 0$, the conditions on X^j are void). Finally, considering [Rin, Definition 7.6, p. 82], it is clear that the equation is asymptotically non-degenerate with $Q = R$. To conclude, (40) is geometrically non-degenerate, diagonally dominated, balanced and convergent; i.e., definition [Rin, Definition 7.8, p. 82] is satisfied. It is also clear that $f = 0$ and $\bar{\beta}_Q < 0$. In particular, it follows that [Rin, Propositions 8.1 and 8.9, pp. 91–93] are applicable to (40).

Continuity properties of the asymptotic maps. As a preparation to stating the conclusions, note that

$$A_\infty := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{123}$$

cf. the statements of [Rin, Propositions 8.1 and 8.9, pp. 91–93]. As a consequence, the $\kappa_{\text{sil},+}$ appearing in the statements of the propositions vanishes; note that $\kappa_{\text{sil},+}$ is defined to be the largest real part of an eigenvalue of A_∞ ; cf. the statements of the propositions and [Rin, Definition 4.3, p. 38]. Moreover, in our case, $\beta_{\text{rem}} = -\bar{\beta}_R$. Due to the form of A_∞ , it is clear that if $0 < \beta \leq \beta_{\text{rem}}$, then the first generalised eigenspace in the β , A_∞ -decomposition of \mathbb{C}^2 , say E_β , equals \mathbb{C}^2 , irrespective of the choice of β ; cf. [Rin, Definition 4.7, pp. 39–40]. Next, note that $\kappa_{q,\pm}$ is given by [Rin, (7.24), p. 83], so that $\kappa_{q,\pm} = \pm \bar{\beta}_q/2$ in our case. In particular, $s_{h,\beta,+} = 0$ if $0 < \beta < -\bar{\beta}_q/2$ for all $q \in \{1, \dots, R\}$; cf. [Rin, (8.3), p. 91]. Fixing such a β , as well as an $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_{\epsilon,\beta}$, depending only on ϵ, β , the spectra of the \bar{g}_r and the coefficients of the equation; and a numerical constant N such that for every smooth solution u to (40), there are smooth functions v_∞ and u_∞ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(\cdot, \tau) \\ u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} v_\infty \tau + u_\infty \\ v_\infty \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{(s)} \\ & \leq C_{\epsilon,\beta} \tau^N e^{-\beta\tau} [\|u_\tau(\cdot, 0)\|_{(s+\epsilon)} + \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{(s+1+\epsilon)}] \end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, fixing $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and choosing $\beta = -\epsilon \bar{\beta}_R/2$, it is clear that $s_{h,\beta} = -1/2 + \epsilon/2$; cf. [Rin, (8.6), p. 92]. Applying [Rin, Proposition 8.1, pp. 91–92] with this β and with ϵ replaced by $\epsilon/2$ then yields a constant C_ϵ such that for every smooth solution u to (40), the corresponding v_∞ and u_∞ satisfy

$$\|u_\infty\|_{(s)} + \|v_\infty\|_{(s)} \leq C_\epsilon [\|u_\tau(\cdot, 0)\|_{(s-1/2+\epsilon)} + \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{(s+1/2+\epsilon)}]$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Letting Ψ_∞ be the map introduced in Sect. 8, it is thus clear that Ψ_∞ extends to a bounded linear map as in (42). Next, note that $s_{h,-} = 1/2$; cf. [Rin, (8.8), p. 93] and the above. Appealing to [Rin, Proposition 8.9, p. 93], in particular [Rin, (8.7), p. 93] then yields the conclusion that Φ_∞ extends to a bounded linear map as in (43).

Criteria guaranteeing L^2 -blow up. Using the continuity of $\Psi_{\infty,0,\epsilon}$, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon := \Psi_{\infty,0,\epsilon}^{-1}[(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) - \{0\}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)] \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$$

is a subset of $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ which is open with respect to the $H^{(1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(-1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology. Due to the homeomorphism between initial data and asymptotic data (in the C^∞ -topology) and the linearity of the map Ψ_∞ , it is straightforward to verify that \mathcal{A}_ϵ is dense with respect to the C^∞ -topology. To conclude, the subset of smooth initial data such that $\|v_\infty\|_2 > 0$ is open with respect to the $H^{(1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(-1/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology and dense with respect to the C^∞ -topology. Returning to (124), it is also clear that if u is a solution corresponding to initial data in \mathcal{A}_ϵ , then $\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Criteria guaranteeing C^1 -blow up. Next, note that choosing $s_0 := n/2 + 1 + \epsilon/2$ yields a continuous map

$$\Psi_{\infty,s_0,\epsilon/2} : H^{((n+3)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{((n+1)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

On the other hand, due to Sobolev embedding, $H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ embeds continuously into $C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Denote the corresponding map Υ . Next, define $\mathcal{C}_{(s_0)}$ and \mathcal{C}_1 as follows. First, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_1$ if and only if $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $d\varphi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ for every $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Second, let $\mathcal{C}_{(s_0)} := \mathcal{C}_1 \cap H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Note that $\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_{(s_0)}$. Moreover, \mathcal{C}_1 is an open subset of $C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$; we leave the verification of this statement to the reader. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{(s_0)}$ is an open subset of $H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon := \Psi_{\infty,s_0,\epsilon/2}^{-1}[\mathcal{C}_{(s_0)} \times H^{(s_0)}(\mathbb{T}^n)] \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Then \mathcal{B}_ϵ is open with respect to the $H^{((n+3)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{((n+1)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology. In order to verify that \mathcal{B}_ϵ is dense, note that there is a smooth pair of functions $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_0)$ on \mathbb{T}^n such that $\Psi_{\infty,s_0,\epsilon/2}(\psi) = (1, 0)$. Assume that $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Letting $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ be the image of ϕ under $\Psi_{\infty,s_0,\epsilon/2}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, it is clear that

$$\Psi_{\infty,s_0,\epsilon/2}(\phi + \delta\psi) = \varphi + \delta \cdot (1, 0).$$

Since the measure of the set of irregular values of a smooth function is zero, it is clear that the set of δ such that $\varphi_1 + \delta \in \mathcal{C}_{(s_0)}$ has full measure; this is due to Sard’s theorem; cf., e.g., [Lee, Theorem 6.10, p. 129]. In particular, it is thus clear that ϕ is in the closure of \mathcal{B}_ϵ . To conclude, \mathcal{B}_ϵ is open with respect to the $H^{((n+3)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \times H^{((n+1)/2+\epsilon)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -topology and dense with respect to the C^∞ -topology. The demonstration of the remaining statements in Sect. 8 is left to the reader.

References

- [AFaF] Alho, A., Fournodavlos, G., Franzen, A.T.: The wave equation near flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker and Kasner Big Bang singularities (**preprint**). [arXiv:1805.12558](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.12558)
- [ARen] Allen, P., Rendall, A.D.: Asymptotics of linearized cosmological perturbations. *J. Hyperbol. Differ. Equ.* **7**(2), 255–277 (2010)
- [AaR] Andersson, L., Rendall, A.D.: Quiescent cosmological singularities. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **218**, 479–511 (2001)
- [Bac] Bachelot, A.: Wave asymptotics at a cosmological time-singularity (**preprint**). [arXiv:1806.01543](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.01543)
- [Bre] Brehm, B.: Bianchi VIII and IX vacuum cosmologies: almost every solution forms particle horizons and converges to the Mixmaster attractor (**preprint**). [arXiv:1606.08058](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.08058)

- [CaG] Choquet-Bruhat, Y., Geroch, R.: Global aspects of the Cauchy problem in general relativity. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **14**, 329–335 (1969)
- [FaR] Friedrich, H., Rendall, A.D.: The Cauchy problem for the Einstein equations. In: *Einstein's Field Equations and Their Physical Implications*. Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 540, pp. 127–223. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- [HaW] Hewitt, C.G., Wainwright, J.: A dynamical systems approach to Bianchi cosmologies: orthogonal models of class B. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10**, 99–124 (1993)
- [IOaP] Ilchmann, A., Owens, D.H., Prätzel-Wolters, D.: Sufficient conditions for stability of linear time varying systems. *Syst. Control Lett.* **9**, 157–163 (1987)
- [KraEtal] Krasinski, A., Behr, C.G., Schücking, E., Estabrook, F.B., Wahlquist, H.D., Ellis, G.F.R., Jantzen, R., Kundt, W.: The Bianchi classification in the Schücking–Behr approach. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **35**(3), 475–489 (2003)
- [Lee] Lee, J.M.: *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2013)
- [LaW] Lin, X.-F., Wald, R.: Proof of the closed-universe-recollapse conjecture for diagonal Bianchi type-IX cosmologies. *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 3280–3286 (1989)
- [Pet] Lindblad Petersen, O.: The mode solution of the wave equation in Kasner spacetimes and redshift. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **19**, no. 4, Art. 26 (2016)
- [Mis] Misner, C.W.: Mixmaster universe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22**, 1071–1074 (1969)
- [RadNon] Radermacher, K.: Strong Cosmic Censorship in orthogonal Bianchi class B perfect fluids and vacuum models (**preprint**). [arXiv:1612.06278](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.06278)
- [RadSti] Radermacher, K.: Orthogonal Bianchi B stiff fluids close to the initial singularity (**preprint**). [arXiv:1712.02699](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.02699)
- [RinAtt] Ringström, H.: The Bianchi IX attractor. *Annales Henri Poincaré* **2**, 405–500 (2001)
- [RinCau] Ringström, H.: *The Cauchy Problem in General Relativity*. European Mathematical Society, Zürich (2009)
- [RinSta] Ringström, H.: *On the Topology and Future Stability of the Universe*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013)
- [Rin] Ringström, H.: Linear systems of wave equations on cosmological backgrounds with convergent asymptotics (**preprint**). [arXiv:1707.02803](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02803)
- [RaSLBB] Rodnianski, I., Speck, J.: A regime of linear stability for the Einstein–Scalar field system with applications to nonlinear big bang formation. *Ann. Math.* **187**, 65–156 (2018)
- [RaSBB] Rodnianski, I., Speck, J.: Stable big bang formation in near-FLRW solutions to the Einstein–Scalar Field and Einstein–Stiff fluid systems (**preprint**). [arXiv:1407.6298](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6298)
- [SpeBB] Speck, J.: The maximal development of near-FLRW data for the Einstein-scalar field system with spatial topology S^3 (**preprint**). [arXiv:1709.06477](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.06477)
- [WaH] Wainwright, J., Hsu, L.: A dynamical systems approach to Bianchi cosmologies: orthogonal models of class A. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, 1409 (1989)

Communicated by P. Chrusciel