Discrimination based on changes in the physical properties of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) seeds subjected to various cultivation conditions

Piotr Zapotoczny¹ · Krystyna Żuk-Gołaszewska² · Ewa Ropelewska¹

Received: 3 July 2015 / Revised: 2 September 2015 / Accepted: 5 September 2015 / Published online: 6 October 2015
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract This study discusses relationships between the physical properties of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) seeds and the influence of selected cultivation measures (seed inoculation, chemical protection of plants) on the classification of seeds into homogeneous groups. The key variables in the modeling process were the physical properties of fenugreek seeds, including density, porosity and thousand seed weight, followed by the attributes determined by image analysis. A total of over 50 geometric parameters and 190 image texture parameters representing 10 different color channels were described. Color parameters were measured in the *Lab** color space. It was found that cultivation measures influenced the analyzed physical properties of fenugreek seeds. A classification model was developed by combining variables describing the physical properties and color parameters of seeds, and spectral values in the range of 620–700 nm. Classification accuracy reached 99–100 % in the control group and 92–93 % in the group of variables describing the physical properties and geometric parameters of seeds.

Keywords Multidimensional analyses · Fenugreek seeds · Image texture · Physical properties · Optical properties · Geometrical properties

Introduction

Botanically, fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) is an annual leguminous herb of the family Fabaceae. This species is cultivated in Europe, India, Turkey, China, Canada and Northern Africa [1–3]. The seeds have a strong aroma, and they are bitter in taste, very hard and difficult to grind [4]. Fenugreek seeds have been commonly used in traditional medicine as a laxative, digestive, and as a remedy for cough and bronchitis. They may also help control cholesterol, triglyceride as well as high blood sugar levels in diabetics. Fenugreek seeds added to cereals and wheat flour or made into gruel, given to nursing mothers, can increase breast milk production. Excess intake of fenugreek seeds by pregnant mothers may put them in risk of premature childbirth [5].

Fenugreek seeds have a high protein content of around 20–30 %, and they are rich in lysine and tryptophan. Fenugreek seeds contain 45–60 % carbohydrates, most of which are a mucilaginous fiber which is 30 % soluble and 20 % insoluble fiber. They also contain a small amount of oils (5–10 %) and pyridine alkaloids (mostly trigonelline), a few flavonoids, free amino acids, sapogenins, vitamins and volatile oils. Fenugreek seeds are a source of minerals such as copper, potassium, calcium, iron, selenium, zinc, manganese and magnesium. Potassium is an important component of cell and body fluids that helps control heart rate and blood pressure by countering the effects of sodium. Iron is essential for red blood cell production [3, 6, 7]. Apart from the chemical composition of seeds, also their physical properties are important in the design of transport and storage equipment: (1) the size and shape of seeds are important for designing of separating, harvesting, sizing and grinding machines; (2) bulk density and

✉ Ewa Ropelewska
ewa.ropelewska@uwm.edu.pl

¹ Department of Systems Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Heweliusza 14, 10-718 Olsztyn, Poland

² Department of Agrotechnology, Agricultural Production Management and Agribusiness, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, M. Oczapowskiego 8, 10-719 Olsztyn, Poland

Table 1 Experimental set containing the division into groups and number of groups

Number of experimental group (sample)		Experimental design				
I	II	A	B	C	D	E
29 (No = 1021)	B_0	0	0	0	0	0
6 (No = 1026)	A_0	0	1	2	1	2
41 (No = 1077)		0	2	0	1	0
42 (No = 1026)		0	1	1	1	2
52 (No = 1041)		0	2	2	0	2
16 (No = 1026)	A_1	1	1	1	1	1
22 (No = 1059)		1	0	2	1	1
23 (No = 1033)		1	2	1	1	0
24 (No = 1059)		1	2	2	0	2
43 (No = 1036)		1	1	0	1	2

A—seed inoculation: (0) without inoculation, (1) with *Rhizobium meliloti* inoculation

B—sowing date: (0) very early, (1) delayed by 10 days, (2) delayed by 20 days

C—row spacing: (0) 15 cm, (1) 30 cm, (2) 45 cm

D—weed control: (0) mechanical, (1) chemical

E—chemical protection against pathogens: (0) without seed treatment, during growing season—Penncozeb 80WP, (1) seed treatment, without protection during growing season, (2) Dithane M45 seed treatment, during growing season—Penncozeb 80WP

porosity affect the structural loads of machines. Our findings can contribute to expanding the existing knowledge in the field, which is an important consideration for both farmers and constructors.

The aim of this study was to develop a statistical model for the classification of fenugreek seeds into homogeneous groups based on their physical properties.

Materials and methods

Materials

The experimental materials were fenugreek seeds. Plants were grown in experimental fields at the Research Station in Tomaszkowo, Poland (53°43'N, 20°24'E), operated by the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn. The fenugreek seeds came from the field experiments, which were conducted in two seasons. The experiment was established on typical brown soil of quality class IVa with a light loam overlay. The soil was characterized by a slightly acidic pH, moderate content of phosphorus and potassium, and low levels of magnesium. Nitrogen concentration was determined at 1.13 g kg⁻¹ of soil. The following mineral fertilizers were applied: 30 kg N ha⁻¹ (urea), 30.5 kg P ha⁻¹ (46 % granular triple superphosphate) and 83 kg K ha⁻¹ (60 % potassium salt). Harvested seeds were cleaned, then dried to 12 % moisture content (± 0.5 %) and transported to the laboratory, where they were stored at 7 °C. The moisture content of seeds was measured repeatedly before the experiment. All of the analyzed physical parameters were

determined at 12 % seed moisture content. The experimental set with a division into plots is presented in Table 1.

The statistical analysis covered two experimental designs. In the first stage of the analysis, the plots were divided into 10 experimental groups. In the second stage, selected experimental groups were combined into a single data set (Table 1). The first group (B_0) consisted of seeds without *Rhizobium* inoculation or chemical protection (group 29). It was assumed that unlike in uninoculated seeds (A_0), inoculation (A_1) combined with various types of chemical protection would differentiate the physical parameters of seeds. Cultivation conditions varied with respect to seed inoculation, chemical protection and agricultural measures. Homogeneous groups of fenugreek seeds were identified based on the following variables: thousand seed weight, true density, bulk density and porosity, geometric parameters (linear dimensions, shape factors), color and surface texture.

Image analysis

The image analysis work station consisted of the EPSON PERFECTION 4490 PHOTO flatbed scanner and a graphics processing unit with the Intel Pentium D 830 processor. SILVERFAST EPSON v 6.4.3 scanning software supported full control of scanned images. The images were analyzed with the use of modified MaZda v 4.7 software [8]. An image segmentation algorithm was developed in the first stage of computer-aided analysis. Fenugreek seeds were spread on a contrasting background to facilitate the determination of the binarization threshold. The binarized image was

Fig. 1 Images from individual color channels for selected fenugreek seed. RGB—color space, R—red, G—green, B—blue, U—blue chrominance, Y—luminance, L—lightness, a^* —red/green, b^* —yellow/blue, V—red chrominance

superimposed onto the original image and scaled with calipers to the spatial resolution of the original image. A set of measured linear dimensions and shape factors was developed according to the method proposed by Zapotoczny [9]. In texture measurements, the region of interest (ROI) covered whole seeds. Images were converted to RGB, Lab* and U, V, S color channels before texture measurements. Images from selected channels are presented in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis

The data set was standardized according to the procedure described by Zielińska et al. [10]. The results were processed in Statistica 12.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, USA), Matlab Statistics Toolbox (MathWorks Co., USA) and WEKA 3.7.6 (Machine Learning Group at the University of Waikato) applications. Statistical analysis was carried out in several stages, which included the various statistical procedures. Supervised and unsupervised feature selections were carried out in order to reduce the number of variables. The smallest set of variables that ensured the high correct classification was wanted. In the next stage, the various methods of multidimensional analysis were used to search for a statistical model grouping varieties in homogeneous groups. The detailed methods of variable reduction are described in subsection. 2.3.1 Variable selection, whereas the methods of multidimensional analysis—in subsection. 2.3.2 Discriminant analysis.

Variable selection

Variables are selected by identifying a subset of parameters that verify the hypothesis at least as effectively as the

original data set. The most popular ranking methods rely on Fisher transformation, probability of misclassification with cumulative correlation coefficient and mutual information. Other ranking techniques include division and reduction algorithms, sequential search algorithms and evolutionary programming paradigms [11]. This study relied on algorithms implemented in the WEKA 3.7.6 application (Machine Learning Group at the University of Waikato): (a) *Best First*, (b) *Rank Search*, (c) *Genetic Search*, (d) *Linear Forward Selection* and (e) *Greedy Stepwise*. The applied methods were described in detail by Witten et al. [12]. Feature selection was particularly important in texture measurements because 1900 variables were calculated for each ROI. In the first step, six variables with the highest discriminating power were selected from each channel, which reduced the data set to 30 variables. Every selection method produced a set of repeated textures; therefore, the final data set was reduced once again, and all channels were combined to generate 30 variables for further analyses. The number of variables was not reduced in sets containing the remaining parameters. This approach guaranteed the achievement of the 1:10 ratio of variables to cases, indicating the presence of at least 10 cases per each explanatory (independent) variable. A model composed of color parameters and the remaining physical parameters of seeds was developed in the last stage of discriminant analysis. The above variables were combined into a single data set to improve the classification of experimental groups.

Discriminant analysis

Data are classified by assigning a given category (class) to a new object based on the knowledge derived from the

available examples. This process takes place in two steps. In the first step, a classification model is built based on the training set, whereas in the second step, the model is used to predict new cases. The model can be developed in a variety of ways, including decision trees, rough set methods, probability distribution methods, statistical models, support vector machines and neural networks [11]. In this study, the following methods were used to classify fenugreek seeds

Table 2 Sets of variables for constructing the models of discrimination

Set	Variables
1	1000 seed weight, bulk density, true density, porosity
2	Geometrical parameters
3	Lab*, YI E313, spectral components
4	Texture parameters from channels: RGB, Lab*, S, V, U
5	Combined set of variables from sets 1 and 2

Table 3 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—texture parameters

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)										
		Number of groups										
		16	22	23	24	29	41	42	43	52	6	
Naive Bayes	53	56	75	44	52	80	20	37	44	41	76	
Naïve Bayes simple	53	57	73	44	52	80	20	37	46	42	78	
Functions multilayer perceptron	52	51	69	40	51	82	28	28	52	39	84	
Meta filtered classifier	52	50	81	37	49	83	27	31	42	37	79	
Trees NBTree	50	54	69	46	43	72	26	39	47	34	73	
Discriminant analysis—forward stepwise	65	70	85	58	59	87	42	47	61	60	82	

into homogeneous groups: (a) *Naive Bayes*, *Simple Bays*, *Bayesian network classifiers*, (b) *multilayer perceptron*, (c) *meta multiclass classifier*, (d) *NBTree classifier*, (e) *PART rules*, (f) *Lazy IBk* and (g) *progressive discriminant analysis*, *backward stepwise discriminant analysis*, *forward selection and backward elimination*. The cross-validation technique was applied at $n = 10$. The choice of classifier was determined by the group of variables included in the statistical model. Groups of variables forming a single data set are presented in Table 2.

Multidimensional analyses—disjoint sets

The objective of multidimensional analyses was to build a statistical model capable of discriminating individual cases from a given experimental group into a homogeneous group based on selected variables. The models were developed separately based on selected texture variables, geometric parameters, color parameters and the remaining

Fig. 2 Distribution of cases from backward discriminant analysis

variables describing the physical properties of seeds. After performing preliminary studies, for further analyses, the variables for the season, providing more accurate classifications, were used. Multidimensional analyses evaluated the influence of agrotechnical practices on selected physical properties of seeds across experimental groups. High accuracy of classification would confirm such an influence.

Results and discussion

Image texture

The results of discrimination analyses for experimental groups are presented in Table 3. Discrimination accuracy ranged from 50 to 65 % in the analyzed groups. Such unsatisfactory results (subject to the applied discrimination method, only 20 to 42 % of seeds were correctly discriminated) could be attributed to the production technology where seeds were only treated with herbicide (group 41). Similar results (28–47 %) were noted in group 42. The smallest classification error was observed in group 29

where no treatments were applied, which could suggest that agrotechnical practices influenced the texture of the analyzed seeds. The distribution of cases from backward discriminant analysis is presented in Fig. 2.

The data set was divided as follows: plot 29 was a separate set (B_0), whereas the remaining plots (Table 1) were assigned to groups A_0 and A_1 based on the inoculation criterion. Classification accuracy ranged from 53 to 69 %, subject to the applied discrimination method (Table 4). The smallest error of 8 % was noted in group B_0 for Naive Bayes classifiers. This result is consistent with previous findings [13], and it confirms that inoculation and other treatments influenced the physical properties of fenugreek seeds.

Selected physical properties

In the next stage of the study, the influence of experimental factors on the physical properties of seeds—porosity, density and thousand seed weight—was analyzed (Table 5). The percentage of seeds correctly classified to a homogeneous group was higher than in the model developed based

Table 4 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—texture parameters

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)		
		A_0	A_1	B_0
Naive Bayes	53	49	49	92
Naive Bayes simple	53	49	49	92
Functions multilayer perceptron	65	58	69	72
Meta filtered classifier	60	56	65	51
Trees NBTree	54	46	61	49
Discriminant analysis—beck stepwise	69	60	74	80

Table 6 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—physical features

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)		
		A_0	A_1	B_0
Naive Bayes	71	75	65	87
Bayes BayesNet	77	75	78	86
Lazy IBk	84	83	86	75
Meta RandomCommittee	86	87	87	75
Rules PART	86	87	87	78
Trees NBTree	87	87	89	78
Discriminant analysis—beck stepwise	68	73	78	0

Table 5 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—physical features

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)									
		Number of groups									
		16	22	23	24	29	41	42	43	52	6
Naive Bayes	82	96	81	66	97	76	87	92	72	66	90
Bayes BayesNet	81	96	81	63	95	75	87	91	72	65	89
Lazy IBk	76	95	81	62	88	75	75	83	66	65	75
Meta RandomCommittee	78	96	85	67	89	77	78	87	66	64	76
Rules PART	80	96	84	64	95	77	82	88	69	67	81
Trees NBTree	81	96	81	63	96	75	86	91	71	79	74
Discriminant analysis—beck stepwise	73	91	75	50	81	84	76	72	61	66	79

Table 7 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—color parameters

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)									
		Number of groups									
		16	22	23	24	29	41	42	43	52	6
Naive Bayes	83	86	98	77	81	91	94	57	66	76	100
Bayes BayesNet	75	87	92	65	72	83	85	43	59	70	99
Lazy IBk	63	58	72	52	62	90	92	35	42	36	100
Meta multiclass	59	68	89	19	24	49	73	51	31	47	99
Rules PART	60	63	79	44	55	70	64	35	45	55	87
Trees NBTree	76	88	93	67	73	84	86	40	59	67	99
Discriminant analysis—beck stepwise	70	78	87	45	64	91	87	44	61	48	99

Table 8 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—color parameters

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)		
		A_0	A_1	B_0
Naive Bayes	73	59	79	98
Bayes BayesNet	72	62	76	90
Lazy IBk	75	68	77	90
Meta multiclass	67	68	78	5
Rules PART	76	60	87	77
Trees NBTree	74	63	81	74
Discriminant analysis—beck stepwise	69	69	83	0

on image texture variables. The overall accuracy of discrimination ranged from 73 to 82 %. Seeds from the variant that involved seed dressing with Dithane M45, inoculation, sowing delayed by 10 days, 30-cm spacing between rows and chemical weed control (group 16) were classified with 91–96 % accuracy regardless of the applied discrimination method.

The discriminant analysis of the second experimental design revealed that inoculation may produce varied effects. Discrimination accuracy was high, but more than 13 % of cases were incorrectly classified. In group B_0, where seeds were not subjected to any treatments, discrimination accuracy ranged from 75 to 87 % (Table 6).

Color and spectral composition

Color is an important factor in evaluations of food quality. Varieties can be discriminated based on hue and color saturation [14]. Color measurements are performed to determine the influence of temperature on thermally processed products [15–18]. This study analyzed the impact of various agricultural production systems on color components.

The yellowness index (*YI E313*) and spectral components in the range of 400–700 nm were also included in the model. Classification results for the first experimental design are presented in Table 7. The most accurately classified group (6) comprised uninoculated seeds subjected to all treatments. Classification accuracy ranged from 87 to 100 %, and satisfactory results were also noted in set 29 which was the control group relative to the remaining experimental groups. The least satisfactory results were observed in group 42 which consisted of seeds that were not inoculated with *Rhizobium meliloti*, but were dressed and treated with the herbicide and fungicide during the growing season. The above could suggest that agricultural treatments influence the color parameters of fenugreek seeds.

The results for the second experimental design are presented in Table 8. The classification error did not exceed 10 % in the control group, and it was determined at only 2 % when the Naive Bayes classifier was used.

Geometric properties

The discrimination of fenugreek seeds involved the determination of their geometric properties. Linear dimensions and shape coefficients were incorporated into the model. Classification accuracy ranged from 18 to 43 %, subject to the applied discrimination method, which could be attributed to significant variation in linear dimensions and shape factors within groups. The genetic stability of physical parameters remains unknown due to lack of varieties of fenugreek.

The small size of fenugreek seeds (seed length ranges from 3.78 to 4.01 mm) could also make it very difficult to evaluate the impact of agrotechnical practices on the geometric properties of seeds. Similar results were noted in the second experimental design where the overall discrimination accuracy reached 44–60 %. In the control group (B_0), 65–73 % of seeds were correctly discriminated only when Bayes classifiers were used, which confirms previous assumptions.

Table 9 Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—combined sets

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)									
		Number of groups									
		16	22	23	24	29	41	42	43	52	6
Discriminant analysis—progressive	93	99	91	81	91	100	99	87	92	86	100
Discriminant analysis—backward	92	100	90	79	91	99	99	87	93	86	100
Discriminant analysis—forward stepwise	93	100	89	80	91	99	99	86	93	86	100
Discriminant analysis—backward elimination	92	100	89	80	91	99	99	87	93	86	100

Fig. 3 Distribution of cases relative to canonical variables**Table 10** Multiple discriminant analysis for fenugreek—combined sets

Classification method	Total (%)	Accuracy of group classification (%)		
		A_0	A_1	B_0
Discriminant analysis—progressive	84	97	70	97
Discriminant analysis—backward	84	97	71	97
Discriminant analysis—forward stepwise	84	97	70	97
Discriminant analysis—backward elimination	84	97	71	97

Discriminant analysis—combined sets

The aim of the last stage of the analysis was to verify whether classifier effectiveness can be improved by combining sets of color variables and the remaining physical attributes, excluding geometric and texture parameters,

Fig. 4 Distribution of cases from discriminant analysis—backward elimination

into a single set. It was assumed that the overall influence of variable groups can significantly improve the classification result. The above approach was positively verified by

Table 11 Chi-square test for the canonical roots—experimental set I

Parameters of the Chi-square test for the canonical roots						
Canonical root	Value	Canonical <i>R</i>	Wilks' lambda	χ^2	df	<i>P</i>
0	17.614	0.973	0.001	54,818.450	153.000	0.000
1	9.996	0.953	0.010	33,580.460	128.000	0.000
2	1.688	0.792	0.108	16,165.840	105.000	0.000
3	0.718	0.646	0.290	8984.830	84.000	0.000
4	0.548	0.595	0.499	5056.180	65.000	0.000
5	0.249	0.446	0.772	1884.060	48.000	0.000
6	0.027	0.161	0.963	271.920	33.000	0.000
7	0.008	0.089	0.989	81.380	20.000	0.000
8	0.003	0.057	0.997	23.780	9.000	0.005

Majumdar [19]. Before analysis, the data set was divided into two separate sets: a testing set and a training set, at the 30:70 ratio. In this approach, the discriminant model is built based on a separate data set, and it is validated on cases that did not influence the discriminant model. The results of classification performed on experimental group seeds in combined sets are presented in Table 9 and Fig. 3.

The discussed procedure significantly improved discrimination accuracy, and the error did not exceed 8 % for all applied methods. Experimental groups 6 and 16 were discriminated with 100 % accuracy in all cases.

The highest classification error in the range of 14–21 % was noted for groups 23, 42 and 52, and it was quite satisfactory for the needs of the analysis. In the second experimental design, groups were classified with 70–97 % accuracy. The least satisfactory results were observed for group A_1 where seeds were subjected to inculcation and chemical protection (Table 10).

The distribution of cases relative to roots 1 and 2 is presented in Fig. 4. Experimental group A_0 was separated by a considerable distance from the control group (B_0), which could imply that one of the applied treatments was responsible for the separation of uninoculated groups.

The results noted in the first experimental design were subjected to a detailed discriminant analysis to determine variables with the greatest impact on each canonical root and variables that discriminated a given experimental group most accurately. The results of the Chi-squared test for successive roots with partial values of Wilks' lambda and canonical values are given in Table 11. Eight canonical variables were identified, seven of which were statistically significant. Based on the values of Wilks' lambda, only four canonical roots were taken into account in further analyses.

The structure of experimental factors for selected roots is presented in Table 12, the higher the value of the coefficient describing a given variable, the greater its contribution to root formation. The first canonical root was most highly influenced by color variables, including *Lab** parameters,

yellowness index *YI E313* and spectral components. The second root was developed based on the impact on thousand seed weight, bulk density, true density and porosity. The third root was determined mainly by the physical properties of seeds (density, porosity) and selected spectral components. The fourth variable was most highly influenced by *YI E313*, true density, parameter *b**, porosity and spectral components, mostly in the range of 670–680 nm.

The contribution of each variable to the formation of canonical roots has to be identified to describe every variant's influence on their discrimination (Table 13).

The first canonical variable most effectively discriminated experimental group 6 (10.02) where all chemical protection treatments were applied in the growing season. It was less successful in discriminating groups 22 and 16 where identical chemical treatments were

Table 12 Factor structure for selected canonical roots

Variable	Root 1	Root 2	Root 3	Root 4
1000 seed weight (g)	0.19	−0.92	−0.07	−0.01
Bulk density (g cm ^{−3})	−0.16	−0.23	0.82	0.06
True density (g cm ^{−3})	−0.06	0.22	0.25	−0.49
Porosity (%)	0.11	0.30	−0.61	−0.28
<i>L</i> * (−)	−0.23	0.00	0.11	−0.16
<i>a</i> * (−)	−0.21	−0.09	−0.15	0.08
<i>b</i> * (−)	−0.27	0.04	−0.01	0.42
<i>YI E313</i> (−)	−0.30	0.02	−0.10	0.51
620 nm	−0.26	−0.02	0.05	−0.05
630 nm	−0.28	−0.04	0.04	0.07
640 nm	−0.28	−0.02	0.00	−0.12
650 nm	−0.25	−0.05	−0.05	−0.12
660 nm	−0.25	−0.08	−0.11	−0.06
670 nm	−0.28	−0.09	−0.11	−0.31
680 nm	−0.29	−0.13	−0.15	−0.31
690 nm	−0.31	−0.08	−0.05	−0.06
700 nm	−0.32	−0.02	−0.05	0.15

Table 13 Impact of the experimental groups on the creation of canonical roots

	Canonical root	Number of groups									
		16	22	23	24	29	41	42	43	52	6
1		3.66	−5.80	−2.22	−0.63	−2.66	2.39	−2.94	−1.60	−0.10	10.02
2		−5.03	−4.04	0.72	1.29	−4.10	2.42	3.86	1.34	4.07	−0.64
3		−2.32	1.30	0.38	1.78	0.05	−1.14	−1.43	−0.65	0.38	1.60
4		0.08	−0.07	−0.86	0.30	0.63	1.18	−1.41	−0.38	1.30	−0.83

applied. The remaining groups were discriminated with similar accuracy, except for group 52. The first canonical root was most highly influenced by color variables, which implies that the combined effect of inoculation and all chemical treatments induced changes in the color of fenugreek seeds. A similar correlation was observed relative to groups 22 and 16. The second canonical function accurately discriminated groups 16, 22, 29, 52 and 42 from the remaining groups. The second root was determined by thousand seed weight, porosity and density. The third root was most successful in discriminating group 16 seeds, and it had least discriminating power on the control group (29). This study demonstrated that chemical protection alone as well as the combined application of chemical treatments and inoculation influenced the variables responsible for the third root. The fourth root most accurately discriminated uninoculated seeds (groups 42, 52, 41, 6) and group 23.

Conclusions

Statistical models for the classification of fenugreek seeds into experimental groups were developed based on variables representing five data sets: I physical properties, II geometric parameters, III spectral components, IV texture parameters from color channels and V physical properties (I) and geometric parameters (II). The classification of fenugreek seeds based on texture variables (IV) was least accurate (the overall classification error was 35–50 %). The statistical model based on data set II was characterized by higher discriminating accuracy (classification error of 31–47 %), but it was still insufficient to conclude whether cultivation measures led to changes in seed texture. Higher classification accuracy was achieved when the models were developed with the use of data set I variables (bulk density, true density, porosity and thousand seed weight) and data set III variables (color parameters). The model combining sets of physical properties and geometric parameters of seeds (I and II) provided the highest classification accuracy, from over 84 to 100 %. The control group (29) was discriminated with 99–100 % accuracy by all classification models, which confirms that inoculation had no effect on

changes in the physical properties and geometric parameters of seeds. The least satisfactory results were observed for experimental group A_1 (inculcation and chemical protection), where classification error reached 30 %. This implies that the influence of inoculation and protection treatment on the physical properties of seeds was ambiguous or that the applied cultivation measures could contribute to incorrect classification in 30 % of cases.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest None.

Compliance with ethics requirements This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Basu A, Basu SK, Kumar A, Sharma M, Chalghoumi R, Hedi A, Solorio-Sánchez F, Balogun MO, Hafez EE, Cetzal W (2014) Fenugreek (*Trigonella Foenum-Graecum* L.). A potential new crop for Latin America. *AJSIH* 4:145–162
2. McCormick K, Norton R, Eagles HA (2006) Fenugreek has a role in south-eastern Australian farming systems. Australian agronomy conference 13th ACC. 10–14 September, Perth Western, Australia. http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa/2006/concurrent/systems/4527_mccormickkm.htm. Accessed 07 June 2015
3. Żuk-Golaszewska K, Wierzbowska J, Bieńkowski T (2015) Effect of potassium fertilization, *Rhizobium* inoculation and water deficit on the yield and quality of fenugreek seeds. *J Elementol* 20:513–524
4. Altuntas E, Ozgoz E, Taser F (2005) Some physical properties of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graceum* L.) seeds. *J Food Eng* 71:37–435
5. Fenugreek seeds nutrition facts. <http://www.nutrition-and-you.com/fenugreek-seeds.html>. Accessed 11 May 2015
6. Akbari M, Rasouli H, Bahdor T (2012) Physiological and pharmaceutical effect of fenugreek: a review. *IOSRPHR* 2:49–53
7. Madar Z, Stark AH (2002) New legume sources as therapeutic agents. *Br J Nutr* 88(3):287–292
8. Szczypiński PM, Strzelecki M, Materka A, Klepaczek A (2009) MaZda—a software package for image texture analysis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 94:66–76

9. Zapotoczny P (2011) Discrimination of wheat grain varieties using image analysis: morphological features. *Eur Food Res Technol* 233:769–779
10. Zielińska M, Zapotoczny P, Białobrzewski I, Żuk-Golaszewska K, Markowski M (2012) Engineering properties of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) seeds. *Ind Crop Prod* 37:69–75
11. Klepaczko A (2006) Applying cluster analysis to feature selection for data classification. PhD thesis. Institute of Electronics, Technical University of Łódź
12. Witten IH, Frank E (2005) Data mining. Practical machine learning tools and techniques, 2nd edn. Elsevier, San Francisco
13. Elsheikh EAE (2001) Effect of inoculation with *Rhizobium* on the seed chemical and physical properties of legumes. *Ann Applied Biol* 63:151–163
14. Wu D, Sun D (2013) Colour measurements by computer vision for food quality control—a review. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 29:5–20
15. Aamir M, Ovissipour M, Rasco B, Juming TJ, Sablani S (2014) Seasonality of the thermal kinetics of color changes in whole spinach (*Spinacia Oleracea*) leaves under pasteurization conditions. *Int J Food Prop* 17:2012–2024
16. Chong CH, Law CL, Figiel F, Wojdyło A, Oziembłowski M (2013) Colour, phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of some fruits dehydrated by a combination of different methods. *Food Chem* 141:3889–3896
17. Erge HS, Karadeniz F, Koca N, Soyer Y (2008) Effect of heat treatment on chlorophyll degradation and color loss in green peas. *GIDA* 33:225–233
18. Zielińska M, Zapotoczny P, Alves-Filho O, Eikevik TM, Błaszczak W (2013) Microwave vacuum assisted drying of green peas using heat pump and fluidized bed: a comparative study between atmospheric freeze drying and hot air convective drying. *Dry Technol* 31:633–642
19. Majumdar S, Jayas DS (2000) Classification of cereal grains using machine vision: VI. Combined morphology, color, and texture models. *Am Soc Agric Eng* 43:1689–1694