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#### Abstract

Efficiencies of $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ collision gases were compared in a conventional type of hexapole cell of an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). The optimum conditions [hexapole and quadrupole bias voltage $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ and collision/reaction gas flow rates] were tested for vanadium determination $\left({ }^{51} \mathrm{~V}\right)$ in chloride matrices. When the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture was used, the optimum values of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were -10.0 and -8.0 V , respectively. This set-up corresponds to the kinetic energy discrimination effect. When the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture was used, the optimum values of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were +10.0 and -7.0 V , respectively. Positive $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ values correspond to the ion kinetic energy effect, which allows the reactivity of the ions entering the collision/reaction cell with the reaction gas to be controlled. The obtained results showed that the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture is not optimal for V determination in samples containing chlorides due to the insufficient suppression of the polyatomic interference of ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. Data obtained from vanadium determination using the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture were consistent for all selected $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$concentrations, and the results were acceptable. The detection limit was comparable with detection limits obtained from ICP-MS equipped


[^0]with a dynamic reaction cell. Analyses of elements forming interfering molecules, e.g., iron $\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}\right)$, arsenic $\left({ }^{75} \mathrm{As}\right)$ and selenium $\left({ }^{80} \mathrm{Se}\right)$, were in good agreement with the certified values for both studied collision/reaction gas mixtures.
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## Introduction

Vanadium is an element found in different types of samples, e.g., sea water [1], soils [2], and biological fluids including serum and urine [3, 4]. The main advantage of mass spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ICPMS ) is the multielemental high-precision trace element determination. The problem of isobaric and polyatomic overlaps is one of the most important limitations, however, the overlaps are not as problematic as non-spectral interferences, limited matrix tolerance, etc. During vanadium determination in samples containing chlorides, there is evidence of interference in the mass-to-charge ratio 51 due to the formation of the polyatomic ion ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$[1]. A collision/reaction cell, which has been comprehensively reviewed by Tanner et al. [5], presents an effective tool for suppressing these polyatomic interferences in ICP-MS techniques.

There are two different approaches for suppressing polyatomic overlaps using a collision/reaction cell. The first, the classical approach of distinguishing the analyte and the interferent, is based on differences in axial kinetic energy. All the ions derived from the plasma are accelerated due to the expansion of the plasma in the vacuum interface and by negative voltages of ion lenses. Polyatomic ions are subjected to more collisions with the collision gas than monoatomic ions of the analytes. Therefore, the axial kinetic energy of polyatomic interference ions decreases more significantly than in the case of monoatomic ions of the analyte [6]. The interfering polyatomic ions can be eliminated using kinetic energy discrimination (KED), in other words, by creating a
potential barrier for ions with lower kinetic energy. The operating conditions of the collision cell multipole used in this approach are set for a large transmission of a wide $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ range and cannot be changed. ICP-MS instruments from Thermo Electron Corporation and Agilent use this first approach. These instruments use a radio-frequency (rf)only hexapole or octapole as rf device of the cell and are typically operated with He and/or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the collision/ reaction gas. This configuration enables kinetic energy discrimination for removal of in-cell ions by biasing the pole bias of the collision cell negatively relative to the mass analyser rod offset. This effect requires a sufficient number of collisions to create a wide difference in the axial kinetic energies of analyte and interfering ions.
The second approach, used by Perkin Elmer-SCIEX instruments (dynamic reaction cell, DRC), is based on the possibility of selectively changing the ion transmission conditions of the reaction cell (Mathieu parameters $a$ and $q$ ). This approach allows the use of relatively heavy reaction gases, such as $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, at pressures providing near-thermal conditions [5].

The determination of vanadium in chloride matrices and determination of other elements that are difficult to analyse (mainly As, Se and Fe ) using a collision cell and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as the reaction gas have been well documented in literature only for the multipole with mass bandpass [4, 7]. According to our knowledge there is no information about using $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as a reaction gas in the classical type of collision/reaction cell. The reaction gases typically used include He and/or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The optimisation of operating conditions using $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ has been studied by several authors [8-10].
The voltage of hexapole bias $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ has been shown to be a very important setup parameter [8]. Unfortunately, recommendations from literature concerning hexapole bias setup are often contradictory. Boulyga et al. [8] refer to attenuation of background ion intensities caused by argon-based molecular ions [e.g., ${ }^{41}(\mathrm{ArH})^{+},{ }^{54}(\mathrm{ArN})^{+}$, ${ }^{56}(\mathrm{ArO})^{+}$and $\left.{ }^{80}\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2}\right)^{+}\right]$using a collision cell pressurised with $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture. A reduction of three orders of magnitude in these Ar-based molecular ion intensities was observed with $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ set to 0 V , and a decrease of five orders of magnitude with $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ set to +1.6 V . The optimum $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ for multielemental determination was set at $1.5 \mathrm{~V}[8]$. At a voltage of more than +2.0 V , a strong decrease in analyte intensity was observed. The observed reduction in background ion intensities is attributed to the effect of kinetic energy discrimination. However, this interpretation seems to be problematic.
Niemelä et al. [10] presented similar results concerning hexapole bias setup used for determination of arsenic $\left({ }^{75} \mathrm{As}\right)$, iron $\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}\right)$ and selenium $\left({ }^{80} \mathrm{Se}\right)$ in samples of moss. The authors found the optimum operational conditions for the hexapole collision cell using a $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas mixture. The optimum voltage of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was found to be +4.0 V . When the voltage was set to positive values, a retardation of the ions entering the collision cell occurred and therefore the reactivity of ions present in the collision cell increased. On the other hand, Yamada et al. [6] studied mechanisms for reducing interferences in an octapole collision cell ICP-MS
and found KED as the most efficient tool. The use of KED suppressed water cluster ions formed within the cell, and KED was also found to reduce the amount of polyatomic ions that do not react with the reaction gas. Kinetic energy discrimination was obtained by setting the bias voltage of the octapole to -15 V and the bias voltage of the quadrupole $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ to -14 V .

Optimising input ion energy by applying appropriate hexapole bias potential and using kinetic energy discrimination in a hexapole cell pressurised with a mixture of $\mathrm{He} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ were studied in detail by Dexter et al. [9]. The authors confirmed that increasing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ influenced the suppression of polyatomic interferences, but this phenomenon was attributed to the initial ion kinetic energy effect (IKEE). This is based on the fact that the kinetic energy of ions entering the cell with changes in the potential between the plasma and the hexapole and thus alters the reactivity of the ions with the reaction gas in the cell. Increasing hexapole bias voltage increases the rate of exothermic reactions and increases the efficiency of $\mathrm{Ar}^{+}$ion removal by reaction with hydrogen. Under the same conditions, maximum efficiency for analyte transmission was achieved. Achieving the optimum balance between analyte sensitivity and maximum interference suppression is specific for each analytical determination [9].

The main objective of this work was to evaluate the use of $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture as the collision gas used in a classical collision cell during determination of V and other elements and to compare its efficiency with the use of $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas mixture based on $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ setup.

## Experimental

## Instrumentation of ICP-MS

A PQExCell instrument with a hexapole collision cell (Thermo Electron, Winsford, UK) was used throughout this study. For solution introduction, a Meinhard nebuliser with spray chamber cooled to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used. Operating conditions are given in Table 1. The ion lens setting,

Table 1 Instrumental and experimental conditions used
Instrument conditions

| RF power | $1,350 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reflected power | $<2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Cool gas flow | 13.1 L min |
| Auxiliary gas flow | $0.60 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ |
| Nebuliser gas flow | 0.91 L min |
| Quadrupole bias | -15.0 to 9.0 V |
| Hexapole bias | $-10.0,-5.0,0.0,+5.0,+10.0 \quad \mathrm{~V}$ |

Experimental conditions

| Sweeps | 50 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Channels per mass | 3 |
| Dwell time | 10 ms |
| No. of repeats | 3 |

nebuliser gas-flow rate and torch position of the instrument were optimised daily to obtain the maximum ${ }^{115}$ In count rate. Typical sensitivity in standard mode and in mode with collision cell was 30,000 counts $\mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ for In. Before optimising collision cell parameters, the cell was flushed with collision gas ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ ) for at least 30 min in order to obtain the equilibration state within the cell. For the comparison study of collision cell gas efficiency, two premix gases (both $99.999 \%$ ) were used; $7 \% \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in He was the first gas mixture and $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in He was the second gas mixture. Stainless steel tubing was used throughout. Flow rates of the collision/reaction gases varied between 0.5 and $8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ for the study of optimum cell conditions. Nonzero gas flow at the lowest point was used due to automatic switch of hexapole bias voltage in the unpressurised state of the collision cell.

## Reagents

For all measurements presented, quantification using multielement standard stock solution was performed (Merck VI, CertiPUR, Darmstadt, Germany). A solution containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ of V, As, Se and Fe (single-element ICP-standard solutions, CertiPUR, Merck, Germany) was prepared to obtain the analytical signal needed for collision/reaction gas flow rate optimisation and for construction of "stopping-curves" of vanadium. A solution of 0.5 M HCl (ultrapure grade, Merck, Germany) was used to obtain the comparable signal of interference on mass-to-charge ratios of 51 and 75 (due to the formation of polyatomic ions of ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$respectively).

In order to verify the optimum operational conditions of the collision cell, a standard reference material (SRM) solution, NIST 1640 (Trace Elements in Natural Water, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) with the addition of 0.5 M HCl , was analysed. All the reagents were diluted with deionised Milli-Q water (Milli-Q-Plus, Millipore, Molsheim, France).

## Methodology

For selected $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ values $(-10.0,-5.0,0.0,+5.0,+10.0 \mathrm{~V})$, the analytical signal was determined for the mass-to-charge ratio of 51 (model solution of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ), dependent on the changes in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ (from -15.0 to +9.0 V ). The model solutions were chosen to have approximately the similar analytical sensitivities. The same procedure was applied during a simulation of interferences for the mass-to-charge ratios 51 and 75 using 0.5 M HCl . The signal obtained from Ar interferences on the mass-to-charge ratios 56 and 80 (polyatomic ions ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and ${ }^{80} \mathrm{Ar}_{2}{ }^{+}$) was systematically subtracted at the appropriate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ value in order to obtain a "clean" analytical signal. The collision/reaction gas flow was set to $2.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ throughout the whole experiment. The obtained data were plotted into graphs as stoppingcurves for both tested gas mixtures. The optimum analytical conditions were selected as a compromise
between the maximum analytical signal and a minimum interference signal. Different set-up conditions for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were found for separate gas mixtures. Under these conditions, the levels of analytical and interference signals, dependent on changes in collision gas flow, were tested in the same manner. Hexapole bias voltage was changed manually on the trimmer resistor of the cell control section using a screwdriver and was monitored against ground via a multimeter.

The verification of optimum conditions was performed using SRM NIST 1640 spiked with HCl in order to simulate the chloride matrix (concentration gradient: 0.005 , $0.01,0.025,0.05,0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})$. The results were evaluated for both collision gases, different $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values and different collision/reaction gas flows (Table 2).

## Results and discussion

The results are presented in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. The first part of the experiment was focused on finding the optimum setup conditions for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ for the tested collision-gases mixtures (Figs. 1 and 2); the second part dealt with finding the optimum collision/reaction gases flows (Fig. 3). In the third part of the experiment, the optimum conditions were tested using a standard reference material spiked with HCl (Table 2). The limits of detection obtained for these two tested mixtures are given in Table 3.

Hexapole and quadrupole bias set-up

## $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the reaction gas

Signal values of the analysed mass-to-charge ratio 51 $\left(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and the interference signal $\left({ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right.$using

Table 2 Determination of V and As in NIST 1640 spiked with CI

| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | V |  | As |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | (\%) | $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | (\%) |
| $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the collision/reaction gas |  |  |  |  |
| 0.000 | $13.1 \pm 0.27$ | 101 | $24.4 \pm 0.57$ | 91.4 |
| 0.005 | $17.9 \pm 0.81$ | 138 | $25.6 \pm 0.23$ | 95.8 |
| 0.010 | $23.2 \pm 0.49$ | 178 | $26.8 \pm 0.42$ | 100 |
| 0.025 | $32.3 \pm 1.51$ | 248 | $27.1 \pm 0.61$ | 101 |
| 0.050 | $49.3 \pm 1.45$ | 379 | $26.8 \pm 0.32$ | 100 |
| 0.100 | $85.4 \pm 1.82$ | 656 | $27.2 \pm 0.38$ | 102 |
| $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as the collision/reaction gas |  |  |  |  |
| 0.000 | $13.7 \pm 0.38$ | 105 | $25.5 \pm 0.34$ | 95.5 |
| 0.005 | $13.4 \pm 0.38$ | 103 | $26.1 \pm 0.31$ | 97.7 |
| 0.010 | $13.4 \pm 0.26$ | 103 | $26.7 \pm 0.29$ | 100 |
| 0.025 | $13.5 \pm 0.30$ | 104 | $26.9 \pm 0.44$ | 101 |
| 0.050 | $13.5 \pm 0.42$ | 104 | $25.9 \pm 0.52$ | 97.0 |
| 0.100 | $13.5 \pm 0.33$ | 104 | $26.7 \pm 0.58$ | 100 |

[^1]Fig. 1a-e Signal intensities of $\mathrm{V}^{+}$(closed marks) and $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$ (open marks) at different quadrupole bias voltages $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ used as the collision/ reaction gas. Graphs a-e correspond to hexapole bias voltages $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ of $+10.0,+5.0,0.0,-5.0$ and -10.0 V , respectively. Each point represents mean $\pm$ SD $(n=3)$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=51\left(\mathrm{~V}^{+}\right) \\
& \cdots \diamond \cdots \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=51\left(\mathrm{ClO}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

0.5 M HCl ) in dependence on the hexapole and quadrupole bias set-up using $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture are summarized in Fig. 1. These graphs were constructed for selected model solutions (see Methodology); it is therefore impossible to deduce conclusions concerning signal values alone but rather the focus should be on signal trends. Figure 1a-e represents $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ values of $+10.0,+5.0,0.0,-5.0$ and -10.0 V respectively,
and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values ranging from -15.0 to +9.0 V . In general, it is possible to assume that increasing quadrupole bias potential leads to a decrease in both the analytical and the interference signal. A significant decrease in the analytical and the interference signal was observed from a certain $V_{Q}$ value. Over a specific interval of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values, the signal remained constant (plateau area) (Fig. 1a-e). Decreasing

Fig. 2a-e Signal intensities of $\mathrm{V}^{+}$(closed marks) and $\mathrm{CIO}^{+}$ (open marks) at different quadrupole bias voltages $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ used as the collision/ reaction gas. Graphs a-e correspond to hexapole bias voltages $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ of $+10.0,+5.0,0.0,-5.0$ and -10.0 V , respectively. Each point represents mean $\pm$ SD $(n=3)$
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\begin{aligned}
& \multimap \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=51\left(\mathrm{~V}^{+}\right) \\
& \cdots \diamond \cdots \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=51\left(\mathrm{ClO}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ value led to a shortening of the plateau area, therefore, a significant drop in the analytical and interference signal was observed at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>+4.0 \mathrm{~V}$. At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values ranging from -15.0 to +4.0 V , the analytical signal was almost constant (Fig. 1a). For $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+5.0 \mathrm{~V}$ the quadrupole potential "breakpoint" was already at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=0.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 1b), and for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.0 \mathrm{~V}$ a significant signal
decrease was observed already at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=-3.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 1c). The trends in the analytical signal and the interference signal were identical at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+10.0$ and +5.0 V . The plateau area in the case of the interference signal shortened according to the decrease in the hexapole bias potential. At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.0 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>-3.0 \mathrm{~V}$, it was possible to distinguish clearly between the signal of the analyte and the interference.

Fig. 3 Analytical ion intensities (closed marks) and interference ion intensities (open marks) as a function of $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (graphs a and b) and $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (graphs $\mathbf{c}$ and d) gas flow rates under found optimum conditions of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=-8.0 \mathrm{~V}$, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=-10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=-7.0 \mathrm{~V}$, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+10.0 \mathrm{~V}$, respectively. Each point represents mean $\pm$ SD $(n=3)$
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| - | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=56\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=56\left(\mathrm{ArO}^{+}\right)$ |
| --ー-ー | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=75\left(\mathrm{As}^{+}\right)$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=75\left(\mathrm{ArCl}^{+}\right)$ |
| - - - | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=80\left(\mathrm{Se}^{+}\right)$ |
| $\square-$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=80\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$ |

Above $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>-3.0 \mathrm{~V}$, the decrease in the interference signal was significantly steeper than the analytical one. When setting up $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}<0.0 \mathrm{~V}$, the interference signal steepness grew compared to the analytical signal (Fig. 1d,e). At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=$

Table 3 Detection limits ( $n g \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) for different collision/reaction gas mixtures under optimum $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and collision/reaction gas flow rate

| Analyte | Detection limit $\left(\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| ${ }^{51} \mathrm{~V}$ | 36 | 12 |
| ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 40 | 30 |
| ${ }^{75} \mathrm{As}$ | 27 | 27 |
| ${ }^{80} \mathrm{Se}$ | 30 | 11 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ LOD $=3 \rho_{\text {blank }}$
-10.0 V , the area of a constant interference signal was minimal compared to the analytical signal $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right.$ ranging from -15.0 to -12.0 V ). At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>-12.0 \mathrm{~V}$ there was a steep drop in the interference signal; on the other hand, the analytical signal remained constant at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values ranging from -15.0 to -8.0 V . At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=-5.0 \mathrm{~V}$, a slow decrease in the analytical signal was observed whilst the interference signal decreased by more than one order of magnitude (Fig. 1e). Figure 1e best demonstrates the possibility of distinguishing between the analytical signal and the interference signal. When using a $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas mixture as the collision/reaction gas, the optimum hexapole and quadrupole bias set-up is in accordance with set-ups used by other authors [6] and is related to the kinetic energy discrimination of the ions.

## $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as the collision/reaction gas

The values of the analytical signal on the mass-to-charge ratio $51\left(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right)$, together with the values of the interference signal $(0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})$ in dependence on $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ (Fig. 2a-e) and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ (values from -15.0 to +9.0 V ) using the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture as the collision/reaction gas are summarised in Fig. 2.

When using $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as the reaction gas, a greater suppression of the interference signal occurred compared to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Figs. 1 and 2). Both $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ influenced the analytical and interference signals. Decreasing the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ value led to a shortening of the plateau effect of both signals (analytical and interference) (Fig. 2a-e). The decreasing trends in the analytical and interference signals were identical for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at given $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ values, but in terms of absolute signal values a greater suppression of the interference occurred with the use of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. The biggest difference between the analytical and the interference signal was achieved at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ +10.0 V and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=-10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 2a,e). At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 2a) the analytical signal remained constant for the range of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values from -15.0 to 0.0 V . The interference signal remained constant as well but was approximately two orders of magnitude lower. At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>0 \mathrm{~V}$ a steep decrease in both signals could be observed. At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=-10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 2e), the analytical signal remained constant in the range of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values from -15.0 to -5.0 V ; the interference signal showed a decreasing trend throughout the whole range of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ values. At $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>-5.0 \mathrm{~V}$, a steep decrease in the analytical signal occurred.

As mentioned before, the most significant difference between the analytical and the interference signal was found to be at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=+10.0 \mathrm{~V}$ (Fig. 2a). This set-up has been accepted as optimal, and the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ value was set to -7.0 V because of the better stability of the both analytical and interference signals (middle of the plateau, Fig. 2a).

## Collision/reaction gas flow optimisation

The optimum collision gas flow $\left(\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$; Fig. 3a,b and $3 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ respectively) was tested for both collision/reaction gases under the optimum $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ conditions (Fig. 1 and 2). The optimum gas flow rate determination means, in this case, finding the smallest decrease in the analytical signal together with the steepest decrease in the interference signal, which could not be optimal for creating sufficient differences between the axial kinetic energy of analyte and interferent ions. For this reason, the optimum gas flow rate is often higher but the sensitivity of the analytical signal decreases strongly due to the scattering effect. The measured signals on the mass-tocharge ratios $51,56,75$ and 80 corresponded to the analytical signals ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Fe}$, As and Se ) and interference signals of polyatomic ions [ ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+},{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{16}$ $\mathrm{O}^{+},{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$and $\left.{ }^{80}\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2}\right)^{+}\right]$. Increasing the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ flow rate led to a decrease in the analytical signal of vanadium as well as to a decrease in the interference signal (Fig. 3a). When the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ flow rate was set to $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-\mathrm{i}}$, the
interference signal was even greater than the analytical signal. At $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, the decrease in the interference signal was steeper than the decrease in the analytical signal. A further increase in the flow rate led to a significant decrease in the analytical signal caused by the scattering effect (Fig. 3a).

When $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was used as the collision/reaction gas (Fig. 3c), the level of the interference signal was greater than the analytical signal at a flow rate of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. A further flow rate increase led to an even decrease in the interference signal, whilst the analytical signal slightly increased. When the gas flow rate was set to $1.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, a break point on the interference signal curve was evident (Fig. 3c). Over $1.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, the interference signal dropped very steeply. The analytical signal increased up to its maximum value corresponding to a flow rate of 2.5 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Above this value the analytical signal dropped slightly. At $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, the analytical signal reached its initial level, whilst the interference signal was approximately three orders of magnitude lower (Fig. 3c).

By comparing the two collision/reaction gas mixtures (Fig. 3) it is possible to assume that the use of the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture under optimum $V_{H}$ and $V_{Q}$ conditions leads to a better suppression of the interfering polyatomic ionsmost significantly in the case of vanadium. The optimum gas flow for the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture was found to be around $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ when the interference signal on the mass-tocharge ratio 51 was suppressed by one order of magnitude. Similar results were published by Dexter et al. [9]. After this value, the analytical signal of all monitored mass-tocharge ratios decreased strongly due to the scattering effect. In contrast, in the case of the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture, the optimum gas flow rate was found to be around $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ : during vanadium determination the interference signal was suppressed by three orders of magnitude, whilst the analytical signal remained at the initial level (Fig. 3c).

Determination of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Fe}$, As and Se under optimum conditions

Under conditions found to be optimum $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ and collision/reaction gas flow rate) for the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas mixtures, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Fe}$, As and Se (mass-to-charge ratios 51 , 56, 75 and 80 respectively) were determined in the SRM NIST 1640 with added HCl (concentration gradient from $0.0-0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$; Table 2). The results of V and As determination in dependence on chloride content are summarised in Table 2.

Table 3 summarises achieved limits of detection (LODs) for both collision/reaction gas mixtures. Limits of detection for V, Fe , As and Se presented in this work were computed as $3 \times$ SD from 10 replicate measurements of the instrumental blank containing only deionised water and are obtained from the optimum parameters of the collision/ reaction cell during V determination in the chloride matrix. The obtained LODs for V for both studied collision/ reaction gas mixtures are comparable with other published results. Nixon et al. [4] used the DRC ICP-MS instrument
and found the LOD for V to be $28 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (compared to 36 and 12 ng V L Table 3). Better LODs were obtained using the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas mixture in the case of Fe and Se (Table 3). During As determination, LODs were similar for both gas mixtures ( $27 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{As} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ ) (Table 3). These LODs are fully equivalent to those reported by Niemelä et al. [10] and Feldman et al. [11]. Other authors, e.g., Boulyga et al. [8], achieved lower LODs values for Fe , As and Se , but the method used for LOD computing was not entirely clear.

By comparing the Z -scores of the results obtained from the SRM NIST 1640 (with HCl added) analyses (Table 2), an evident difference in the efficiency of suppressing the polyatomic interference on the mass-to-charge ratio 51 $\left({ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right)$was present for both tested collision/reaction gas mixtures. When the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture was used, an overestimation of the signal on the mass-to-charge ratio 51 occurred with the increasing concentration of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. When the concentration of chlorides reached $0.005 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, the recovery of V determination was $138 \%$ (Table 2). At $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, the recovery value was $178 \%$. Further increasing the chloride concentration led to a greater signal overestimation $\left[0.1 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right.$; recovery $(\mathrm{V})=656 \%$ ] (Table 2). The use of the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas mixture was more efficient in suppressing the polyatomic interference on the mass-to-charge ratio 51 compared to the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture. The found values are in a good agreement with the certified value of $13.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ for all selected $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$concentrations (Table 2). Standard deviations computed from five measurement replicates were low and similar, which points to a very stable signal even at high $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$contents. The suppression of the chloride interference on the mass-tocharge ratio $75\left({ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right)$was sufficient (Table 2).

Other determined elements included Fe and Se on the mass-to-charge ratios $56(\mathrm{Fe})$ and $80(\mathrm{Se})$. When using the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas mixtures, the concentrations obtained were $32.8 \pm 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{L}^{-1}(n=6)$ and $33.1 \pm 0.8 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{L}^{-1}(n=6)$ respectively (certified value: $34.4 \pm 1.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ). The results obtained during Se determination were acceptable for both collision/reaction gas mixtures (Table 2).

## Conclusions

The collision/reaction cell ICP-MS is an efficient tool for suppressing polyatomic interferences. This work was focused on the use of a $7 \%$ mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in He and a $1 \%$ mixture of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in He as the collision/reaction gases. The optimum set-up conditions for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ and collision/ reaction gas flow were determined for each gas mixture $\left(\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$. Furthermore, this configuration was verified by analysing SRM NIST 1640, which contains chloride ions $\left(0.0-0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$.

It has been shown clearly that KED is not very efficient for suppression of the $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$interference due to the wide overlaps in the axial kinetic energies of analyte and interferent ions. It would require higher collision gas pressure or a heavier collision gas to ensure applicability of

KED. The charge transfer reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is not possible in a thermal environment and condensation reactions show a substantial activation barrier that requires higher collision energies to proceed. The variation in the axial kinetic energy of the ions entering the cell changes not only the collision cross sections but also influences the possible reaction channels. Exothermic reactions proceed at higher rates when the ion kinetic energies are reduced either by applying a higher gas pressure or by increasing the bias of the multipole. The results show that there is no need to operate the collision/reaction cell at highly negative $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ values when using $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ because the reaction with $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$is exothermic and has sufficiently low activation energy. Any acceleration thus reduces the reaction rate and the efficiency of the approach.

When using the gas mixture $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$, the optimum $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ conditions correspond to set-up conditions when the kinetic energy discrimination of ions effect takes place due to the formation of the potential barrier. The collision/ reaction gas flow was set to obtain a steeper decrease in the interference signal compared to the analytical signal. The results of the SRM NIST 1640 analyses show that using the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mixture under optimum conditions helped to eliminate Ar-based interferences with the mass-to-charge ratios of 56 and $80\left[{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right.$and $\left.{ }^{80}\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2}\right)^{+}\right]$and the chloride interference with the mass-tocharge ratio of $75\left({ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right)$, corresponding to the analysed isotopes ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{80} \mathrm{Se}$ and ${ }^{75} \mathrm{As}$. Based on the obtained results, it is possible to assume that the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas mixture together with kinetic energy discrimination is not suitable during V determination in chloride matrices due to the insufficient suppression of the ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ polyatomic interference.

When the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was used, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was set to positive values. By adjusting $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$, it was possible to change the initial kinetic energy of the ions entering the collision cell (IKEE effect). During optimum collision gas flow conditions, the interference signal was significantly suppressed compared to the analytical signal, which remained almost constant. The experimental results showed that the $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture, under found optimum conditions, can be used in the case of the classical multipole configuration for $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Fe}$, As and Se determination in chloride-containing samples. The detection limits for vanadium were comparable to published values obtained from DRC ICP-MS.

The presented analytical mode of ICP-MS analysis is applicable for the polyatomic interferences mentioned above. There is a high probability of unexpected signals as a result of clustering and condensation reactions, e.g., $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is known to form cluster ions with a large number of transition elements.
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