

David I. G. Wilson · E. M. Bowman

Second-order stimuli do not always increase overall response rates in second-order schedules of reinforcement in the rat

Published online: 17 June 2004
© Springer-Verlag 2004

Psychopharmacology (2004) DOI 10.1007/s00213-004-1867-x

Two errors occurred in publication.

Abstract sentence 3 should read: “This has led some to view second-order schedules of drug reinforcement as a model of drug-seeking in addicts maintained by drug-associated stimuli”.

Introduction sentence 3 should read: “Responding during second-order schedules is said to reflect the reinforcing efficacy of drug or natural rewards and associated stimuli whilst removing some of the confounding effects on responding that are a result of receiving the reward, such as the psychomotor effects of amphetamine (Everitt and Robbins 2000).”

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00213-004-1867-x>

D. I. G. Wilson (✉) · E. M. Bowman
School of Psychology, St Mary's College, University of St Andrews,
South Street,
St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9JP, UK
e-mail: digw@st-and.ac.uk
Tel.: +44-1334461990
Fax: +44-1334463042