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#### Abstract

We provide a general method for computing rational Chow rings of moduli of smooth complete intersections. We specialize this result in different ways: to compute the integral Picard group of the associated stack; to obtain an explicit presentation of rational Chow rings of moduli of smooth complete intersections of codimension two; to prove old and new results on moduli of smooth curves of genus $\leq 5$ and polarized K 3 surfaces of degree $\leq 8$.


## Introduction

The investigation of rational Chow rings of moduli spaces, whose first instances can be traced back to the the work of Schubert on Grassmannians, is a domain that has been quite active in the last years.

Among the most relevant results in this area, we have the determination of the Chow ring of $\bar{M}_{3}$, the moduli space of stable curves of genus three, by Faber [14], and the computation by several different authors of the Chow ring of $M_{g}$, the moduli space of smooth curves of genus $g$, for $2 \leq g \leq 9[6,15,18,20]$.

Let $0<r<n$ and let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers: in this paper, we study rational Chow rings of the moduli stacks $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ of smooth complete intersections of $r$ hypersurfaces of degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (see Definition 1.1 for a rigorous definition).

Our interest in the Chow ring of these moduli stacks stems from the fact that they can be used to gather information on the Chow ring of other moduli spaces, e.g. moduli of curves of low genus or moduli of polarized K3 surfaces of low degree (see Remark 1.2 for more on this). Moreover, stacks of complete intersections have already been the subject of some study, e.g. in the series of work by Benoist [3-5] or in [2] when $\mathbf{d}=(2,2)$.
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## Main result

The main technical result of this paper is the following Theorem, which concerns a stack denoted $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})$ and from which all the statements on $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ are deduced.

We are aware that at first sight this Theorem might not strike the reader as very explicit; for this reason, the remainder of the Introduction will be dedicated to explain its applications.

Theorem We have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R .
$$

The ideal of relations $R$ is generated by cycles of the form

$$
\sum_{0 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \leq s} \gamma_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{r}^{a_{r}} \cdot \pi_{*}\left(C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)
$$

where the coefficients in front of $\gamma_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{r}^{a_{r}}$ are obtained via $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-equivariant integration on a flag variety of some specific cycles $C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)$. Moreover, in degree 1 the presentation above holds with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients.

The generators appearing above are certain symmetric functions in $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$, and the proof of this result is based on a vast generalization of a method introduced in [16]. A presentation for the Chow ring of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ can then be obtained by simply adding the relation $c_{1}=0$. First quick applications of the Theorem are:
(1) the computation of the rational Chow ring of $M_{5}$ (Proposition 2.10), already determined by Izadi: this computation is based on the fact that the stack of smooth, non-trigonal curves of genus five is isomorphic to $\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,2,2)$.
(2) the computation of the rational Chow ring of an open subset of $K_{8}$, the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree eight (Proposition 2.11). This turns out to be trivial, hence all the non trivial cycles on $K_{8}$ of codimension $>0$ come from certain Noether-Lefschetz divisors.

The results above are obtained by applying localization formulas, implemented with Mathematica. Let us remark that once fixed $n$ and $\mathbf{d}$ the rational Chow ring of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ can be explicitly worked out by applying the same method.

## Integral Picard groups and Benoist's formula

Our Theorem can also be used to compute integral Picard groups. For instance, we prove the following.

Theorem Suppose that the base field has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd. Then:

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(d, \ldots, d)\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}, \quad N=\frac{\binom{n+1}{r} r d^{r}(d-1)^{n-r+1}}{\operatorname{mcm}(n+1, r d)}
$$

More generally, in Theorem 3.6 we are able to determine the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ for every $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$. Observe that the formula above, specialized to the case $\mathbf{d}=(2,2)$, recovers the main result of [2].

A second application consists of the following: consider a product of projective spaces of the form $\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{r}\right)\right)$; inside this variety there is a divisor whose points correspond to tuples of homogeneous forms ( $\left[f_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[f_{r}\right]$ ) such that the projective scheme defined by the equations $f_{1}=f_{2}=\cdots=f_{r}=0$ is singular.

The multidegree of this divisor has been computed in [4] by Benoist using some toric geometry and results of Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky. It turns out that the computation of this multidegree is equivalent to the computation of the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$. We do this in Theorem 3.5, thus providing a different proof of Benoist's formula.

Theorem ([4]) Suppose that the base field $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd. Let

$$
d_{1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}}<d_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+r_{2}}<\cdots<d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell-1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}
$$

be positive integers and set $e_{i}=d_{i}-1$. Define $a_{j, 1}=\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j-1}+1}+\cdots+\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq\left\langle a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{\ell, 1}\right\rangle /\langle F\rangle
$$

where

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{1} d_{2} \cdots \widehat{d_{i}} \cdots d_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j} e_{j}-e_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{e_{i}^{n+1}-e_{j}^{n+1}}{e_{i}-e_{j}}\right)\right) \gamma_{i}
$$

Our proof is based on Schubert calculus on a flag variety, combined with an interesting polynomial identity coming from the localization formula.

## Complete intersections of codimension two

From the main Theorem we are also able to derive a simple presentation of the Chow ring of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, the moduli stack of smooth complete intersections of codimension two.

Theorem Let $n \geq 3$ and $d_{1}>d_{2} \geq 2$ be integers such that the quantity (4.5) for $e_{i}=d_{i}-1$ is not zero. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}\right] /\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is a cycle of degree one.
If instead $d_{1}=d_{2}$ and the quantity (4.6) for $e=d_{1}-1$ is not zero, we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q} .
$$

We give two direct applications of these results:
(1) in Corollary 4.4 we compute the rational Chow ring of $M_{4}$, the moduli space of smooth curves of genus four; this ring has already been computed by Faber in [15].
(2) in Corollary 4.5 we compute the rational Chow ring of an open subset of $K_{6}$, the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree six. The points in this subset correspond to polarized K3 surfaces whose polarization is very ample.

## Outline of the paper

In Sect. 1 we define the stack $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ of complete intersections (Definition 1.1) and we give a presentation of this stack as a quotient (Proposition 1.4). In the remainder of the section we discuss the geometry of this stack.

In Sect. 2 we prove our main Theorem (Theorem 2.6) and we specialize it to two interesting cases, namely to moduli of smooth curves of genus five and to moduli of polarized K3 surfaces of degree eight.

In Sect. 3 we compute the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$ (Theorem 3.5) and $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ (Theorem 3.6).

In Sect. 4 we focus on smooth complete intersections of codimension two and we give a totally explicit presentation of the Chow ring of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ in this case (Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3). We then apply these results to moduli of smooth curves of genus four and moduli of polarized K3 surfaces of degree six.

In Appendix A we gather a couple of useful results on quotient vector bundles and Grassmannians.

## Notation and conventions

All the schemes are schemes over a base field $k$. In most of the paper, we don't need any further assumption on the base field $k$. The only assumptions are the one stated for Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

In the paper, the symbol $n \geq 2$ will always stand for the dimension of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. The integer $0<r<n$ will be the codimension of the complete intersections, and the degrees $d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$ will always be assumed to be $\geq 2$. The integers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}$ will be the ones such that $d_{1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}}<d_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+r_{2}}<\cdots<d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell-1}+1}=\cdots=$ $d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}$. We will use $d_{i}^{\prime}$ to indicate $d_{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{i}}$ and $e_{i}$ for the quantity $d_{i}-1$.

Every Chow ring is considered with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, unless otherwise stated.

## 1 Moduli of complete intersections

The main goal of this section is to give a presentation of the stack $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ of (polarized) smooth complete intersections as a quotient stack (Proposition 1.4), presentation that will be used in the next sections to perform intersection-theoretical computation.

We begin by recalling how $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ is defined (Definition 1.1) and we list some examples of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ for specific values of $\mathbf{d}$ that are of particular interest (see Remark 1.2).

Proposition 1.4 is proved by showing that a certain Hilbert scheme is isomorphic to a tower of Grassmannian bundles, that we define in 1.2. The remainder of the section is devoted to connect the equivariant Chow ring of this tower of Grassmannian bundles to the equivariant Chow ring of a much simpler object (Lemma 1.6).

### 1.1 The stack of complete intersections

Let $k$ be a field. Fix two integers $n$ and $r$ with $0<r<n$, and a sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$ with $d_{i} \leq d_{i+1}$ and $d_{i} \geq 2$ for all $i$. If $K$ is an extension of $k$, a closed subscheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ is a complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$ if it has codimension $r$, and is the scheme theoretic intersection of $r$ hypersurfaces of degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$. If $K^{\prime}$ is an extension of $K$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ is a closed subscheme, then $X_{K^{\prime}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}$ a complete intersection of type d if and only if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ is [3, Proposition 2.1.11].

We denote by $\underline{H i l b}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ the subfunctor of the Hilbert scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbb{P}^{n, k}}$ such that if $S$ is a $k$-scheme, $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}(S) \subseteq \underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbb{P}^{n} / k}(S)$ consists of closed subschemes $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n}$ that are finitely presented and flat over $S$, whose fibers are smooth complete intersections of type d. This is a smooth open subscheme of $\underline{\text { Hilb }}_{\mathbb{P}} / k$ [3, §2.2.3].

There is a natural action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ over Hilb $_{\mathrm{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}$, coming from the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$; if $R$ is a $k$-algebra, $A \in \operatorname{PGL}_{n+1}(R)$, and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n}$ is in $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathrm{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}(S) \text {, we define } A \cdot X \text { to be }}$ the inverse image of $X$ under $A^{-1}: \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n}$.

Definition 1.1 We set $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d}):=\left[\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}\right]$.
Another way of interpreting $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ is as follows. If $P \rightarrow S$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme of relative dimension $n$, a closed subscheme $X \subseteq P$ is a complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$ if for $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ a fully faithful finitely presented morphism, and $\mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n} \simeq S^{\prime} \times{ }_{S} P$ an isomorphism of $S^{\prime}$-schemes, the inverse image of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n}$, is in $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathrm{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. If $T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism and $X \subseteq P$ is a local complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$, the inverse image of $X$ in $T \times{ }_{S} P$ is also a local complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$.

An object $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})(S)$, where $S$ is a $k$-scheme, is a pair $(P \rightarrow S, X)$, where $P \rightarrow S$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme of relative dimension $n$, and $X \subseteq P$ is a smooth complete intersection of type d. A morphism $\left(P^{\prime} \rightarrow S, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(P \rightarrow S, X)$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})(S)$ is an isomorphism $\varphi: P^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\simeq} P$ of Brauer-Severi varieties over $S$, i.e. an isomorphism that on an étale cover $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$, after picking trivializations $P_{S^{\prime}}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n}$ and $P_{S^{\prime}} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n}$, is given by a projective linear isomorphism $\mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{S^{\prime}}^{n} ;$ moreover, we require this isomorphism to induce an isomorphism $\left.\varphi\right|_{X^{\prime}}: X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$.

The stack $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ was introduced by Benoist in [3]; he determines, in particular, when $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ is a separated Deligne-Mumford stack, and when it has a quasi-projective moduli space.
Remark 1.2 $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ can be thought of as a stack of polarized algebraic varieties. In many cases the polarization is uniquely determined, and in this case $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ is in fact a stack of algebraic varieties, which in several cases is of considerable geometric interest.
(1) $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{\text {PGL }}(4)$ is the open subset of $\mathscr{M}_{3}$ consisting of non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 .
(2) If $d \geq 4$, then it is well known that every smooth plane curve of degree $d$ has a unique linear $g_{d}^{2}$ (see for example [1, Exercise 18, p. 56]). This means that the natural forgetful map $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{\text {PGL }}(d) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{g}$, where $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ is the stack of smooth curves of genus $g \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(d-$ 1) $(d-2) / 2$, is injective on geometric points. One can show that this map is in fact a locally closed embedding.
$\mathscr{M}_{3}^{\text {PGL }}(2,3)$ is the stack of smooth non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 4 , while $\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,2,2)$ is the stack of curves of genus 5 that are neither hyperelliptic nor trigonal (see the discussion in $[8, \S 3]$ ).
(4)
$\mathscr{M}_{3}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(4)$ is the stack of K3 surfaces with a very ample polarization of degree 4 , $\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,3)$ is the stack of K3 surfaces with a very ample polarization of degree 6 , and $\mathscr{M}_{5}^{\text {PGL }}(2,2,2)$ is the stack of K3 surfaces with a very ample polarization of degree 8 , which do not contain a curve of arithmetic genus 1 and degree 3 : see $[9, \S 3]$.
(5) $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(2,2)$ is the stack of smooth complete intersections of two quadrics, which has been studied by Asgarli and Inchiostro in [2].
(6) If $n-r \geq 3$, then if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ is a complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$, the Picard group of $X$ is generated by the class of $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1)$. Furthermore, a simple deformation-theoretic arguments reveal that a small deformation of a complete intersection of dimension $n-r$ and type $\mathbf{d}$ is still a complete intersection of the same type. Using this, and the fact that $\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}(1)\right)=n+1$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}(1)\right)=0$, it is an exercise to show that $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$ is equivalent to the stack whose objects over a $k$-scheme $S$ are smooth proper morphisms $X \rightarrow S$, whose geometric fibers are complete intersections of dimension $n-r$ and type d.
(7) If $n-r=2$ and $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{r} \neq n+1$, one can similarly conclude that $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$ is equivalent to the stack whose objects over a $k$-scheme $S$ are smooth proper morphisms $X \rightarrow S$, whose geometric fibers are complete intersection surfaces of type $\mathbf{d}$. The point is if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ is a smooth 2-dimensional complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$, then by adjunction $\omega_{X / K} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(d_{1}+\cdots+d_{r}-n-1\right)$. Since the Picard group of $X$ is torsion-free, this determines $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1)$ uniquely. On the other hand, if $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{r} \neq n+1$ then $X$ is Calabi-Yau, and this will almost certainly fail for any possible value of $\mathbf{d}$.
 is induced by the projection $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$.

Similarly, we define $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d}):=\left[\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} / \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}\right]$.
These stacks can also be described in a spirit similar to the one above: an object of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})(S)$ can be thought of as a pair $(E, X)$, where $E$ is a locally free sheaf on $S$ of rank $n+1$, and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(E)$ is a smooth complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$.

The stack $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})$, while is not as as geometrically natural as $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$, is used in many calculations of Picard groups and Chow rings of stacks of a geometric origin (see for example [2, 8-10]).

The objects of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$ are pairs $(E, X, \varphi)$ where $E$ is a locally free sheaf on $S$ of rank $n+1$, the $S$-scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ is a smooth complete intersection of type $\mathbf{d}$ and $\varphi: \operatorname{det}(E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathscr{O}_{S}$ is an isomorphism.

### 1.2 Hilbert schemes of smooth complete intersections

As before, pick $n \geq 2$ and $0<r<n$ and let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$ be an $r$-uple of positive integers satisfying $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$. There exist positive integers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}} \\
& d_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+r_{2}} \\
& \vdots \\
& d_{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{\ell-1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define moreover $d_{i}^{\prime}:=d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{i}}$, so that $d_{1}^{\prime}<d_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<d_{\ell}^{\prime}$. Obviously, the datum ( $\left.\left\{d_{i}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{r_{i}\right\}\right)$ is equivalent to the datum of an $r$-uple $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$.

Let $\mathscr{E}_{1}:=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and let $\pi_{1}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the Grassmannian of $r_{1}$-planes in $\mathscr{E}_{1}$. Over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$ we have a tautological vector bundle $\mathscr{T}_{1} \subset \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1}$. There is a natural evaluation map of sheaves over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ given by $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The image of the composition

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathscr{T}_{1} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(-d_{1}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(-d_{1}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}}
$$

is an ideal, whose associated subscheme in $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ we denote $Y_{1}$. The fibers of $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$ are subschemes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of codimension $r_{1}$ defined by the vanishing of $r_{1}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $d_{1}^{\prime}$.

On $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$ we can consider the locally free sheaf $\mathscr{E}_{2}:=\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, which we can use to define the Grassmannian bundle $\pi_{2}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$. With a slight abuse of notation, let us denote the closed subscheme $\left(\pi_{2} \times \mathrm{id}\right)^{-1}\left(Y_{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ as $Y_{1}$.

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{2} & =\pi_{2}^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left(\pi_{2} \times \mathrm{id}\right)^{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is the tautological bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$, we can construct the map
$\mathscr{T}_{2} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(-d_{2}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(-d_{2}^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(-d_{2}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}}$ whose image is an ideal sheaf, whose associated closed subscheme is $Y_{2} \subset Y_{1} \subset \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Repeating this process for every $d_{i}^{\prime}$, we end up with a tower of Grassmannian bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\ell}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{3}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \operatorname{Spec} k \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a chain of closed subschemes $Y_{\ell} \subset \cdots \subset Y_{2} \subset Y_{1} \subset \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$.
Denote $\mathscr{Y}_{\mathbf{d}, n}:=Y_{\ell}$. By construction, the fibers of $\mathscr{Y}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ are complete intersections of type $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$.

We define $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ in $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ as the singular locus of the map $Y_{\ell} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$. This is well known to be a closed subscheme, and the restriction of $\mathscr{Y}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ over the complement of $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is a family of smooth complete intersections, hence it defines a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n} \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the Hilbert scheme of smooth complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of type d. Observe that the natural action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ defines an action of the same group on $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$. It is easy to check that (1.2) is equivariant with respect to the $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$-action on the Hilbert scheme. The same statement holds for the induced actions of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$.
Proposition 1.4 Let $G$ be either $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}, \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$. Then we have an isomorphism


$$
\mathscr{M}_{n}^{G}(\mathbf{d}) \simeq\left[\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n} / G\right]
$$

Proof We will construct an inverse to (1.2). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n} \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth complete intersections of type $\mathbf{d}$ and let $\mathscr{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of $X$. We have an injective morphism of locally free sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{I} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1 *} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{S} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the sheaf on the left has rank $r_{1}$, hence it defines a map $S \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$.
Let $X_{1} \subset \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n}$ be the complete intersection of codimension $r_{1}$ defined by the homogeneous ideal associated to the image of (1.3) and consider the inclusion

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left.\mathscr{I} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{X_{1}}\right) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Observe that $X_{1}$ is the pullback of $Y_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$ along $f_{1}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$, hence the sheaf above on the right is equal to $f_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2}=f_{1}^{*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$. By hypothesis the locally free sheaf on the left has rank $r_{2}$, so we get a map $S \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$.

Repeating this process, we eventually get a map $S \xrightarrow{f_{\ell}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ such that the pullback along this morphism of $Y_{\ell} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ coincides with $X \rightarrow S$. In particular, the image of $f_{\ell}$ is contained in the complement of $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$. Putting all together, we get a map

$$
\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}
$$

which is an inverse to (1.2).

### 1.3 Another point of view

Let us give another and possibly more explicit construction of the tower of Grassmannians in (1.1). Let us recall the definition of the integers $d_{i}^{\prime}$ : these are distinct integers such that $d_{1}^{\prime}<d_{2}^{\prime}<\ldots<d_{\ell}^{\prime}$ and for every $d_{i}$ there exists $d_{j}^{\prime}$ with $d_{j}^{\prime}=d_{i}$. In what follows, we use the shorthand notation $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to denote $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. First define $\mathscr{E}_{1, i}:=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and let $\pi_{1}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1,1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the Grassmannian of $r_{1}$-planes in $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Let $\mathscr{T}_{1} \subset \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1,1}$ be the tautological bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1,1}\right)$. We have well defined multiplication maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{T}_{1} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1,2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2,2} \\
& \mathscr{T}_{1} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1,3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2,3} \\
& \vdots \\
& \mathscr{T}_{1} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{\ell}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{1, \ell} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2, \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the vector bundles $\mathscr{E}_{2, i}$ on the right are by definition the cokernel of the multiplication map. Their fibers should be thought as vector spaces of forms of degree $d_{i}^{\prime}$ up to multiples of certain forms of degree $d_{1}^{\prime}$.

Let $\pi_{2}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2,2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1,1}\right)$ be the Grassmannian bundle of subbundles of rank $r_{2}$ in the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}_{2,2}$, and let $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ be the associated tautological bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2,2}\right)$. Then again we have well defined multiplication maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{T}_{2} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}-d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{2}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2,3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{3,3} \\
& \mathscr{T}_{2} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{4}^{\prime}-d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{2}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2,4} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{3,4} \\
& \vdots \\
& \mathscr{T}_{2} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{\ell}^{\prime}-d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{2}^{*} \mathscr{E}_{2, \ell} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}_{3, \ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can construct a Grassmannian bundle $\pi_{3}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, \mathscr{E}_{3,3}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2,2}\right)$ and repeat the process. This eventually leads to a tower of Grassmannian bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell, \ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\ell}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{3}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2,2}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1,1}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \operatorname{Spec} k . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the sheaves $\mathscr{E}_{i, i}$ appearing in (1.4) coincide with the sheaves $\mathscr{E}_{i}$ that are in (1.1) and the two towers of Grassmannian bundles are actually the same.

### 1.4 A useful construction

As before, pick $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$ be an $r$-uple of positive integers satisfying $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$ and such that $0<r<n$. Then we define

$$
V(\mathbf{d}, n):=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}\right)\right) \times \cdots \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{r}\right)\right)
$$

Observe that we can rewrite $V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ as

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\times r_{1}} \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\times r_{2}} \times \cdots \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\times r_{\ell}}
$$

so that $\mathrm{GL}_{r_{i}}$ acts by left multiplication on the $i^{\text {th }}$-factor in the decomposition above. This defines an action of the group $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}:=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{GL}_{r_{i}}$ on $V(\mathbf{d}, n)$.

Let $U(\mathbf{d}, n) \subset V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ be the open subscheme of polynomials $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ such that the $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r_{1}}$ are linearly independent in $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, the $f_{r_{1}+1}, \ldots, f_{r_{1}+f_{2}}$ are linearly independent in $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and so on. Then $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$ acts freely on $U(\mathbf{d}, n)$, and we have

$$
\left[U(\mathbf{d}, n) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}\right] \simeq \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

We denote this last space as $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n)$.
Consider the trivial Grassmannian bundle

$$
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Let $U_{2} \subset \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$ be the open subscheme consisting of pairs $([E],[F])$ such that $E \cap\left(F \cdot H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=\{0\}$. Thinking of $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$ as in 1.3, we see that there is a well defined map

$$
q_{1}: U_{2} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right), \quad([E],[F]) \longmapsto([\bar{E}],[F])
$$

where $\bar{E}$ is the image of $E$ along the quotient map $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2,2}=\mathscr{E}_{2}$. It follows from Proposition A. 1 that $U_{2}$ is an affine bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$.

The pullback of $U_{2}$ along $\pi_{3}: \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, \mathscr{E}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$ is an affine bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, \mathscr{E}_{3}\right)$. Consider the product $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}\right) \times \pi_{3}^{*} U_{2}\right.\right.$ and let $U_{3}$ be the open subscheme in this product consisting of triples ( $\left[E_{3}\right],\left[E_{2}\right],\left[E_{1}\right]$ ) such that the intersection of $E_{3}$ with the vector subspace $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}-d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot E_{2}+H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot E_{1}$ has dimension zero. In particular, we have that $U_{3}$ is an open subscheme of the product $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \times\right.\right.$ $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.$.

We have a well defined map

$$
q_{3}: U_{3} \longrightarrow \pi_{3}^{*} U_{2}, \quad\left(\left[E_{3}\right],\left[E_{2}\right],\left[E_{1}\right]\right) \mapsto\left(\left[\overline{E_{3}}\right],\left[E_{2}\right],\left[E_{1}\right]\right)
$$

where $\overline{E_{3}}$ is the image of $E_{3}$ in the vector space obtained by quotienting $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by the aforementioned vector subspace $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}-d_{2}\right)\right) \cdot E_{2}+H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{3}^{\prime}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot E_{1}$. Again by Proposition A. 1 this makes $U_{3}$ into an affine bundle over $\pi_{3}^{*} U_{2}$, hence it is also an affine bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{3}, \mathscr{E}_{3}\right)$. Repeating this process, we deduce the following.

Proposition 1.5 There exists an open subscheme $U_{\ell}$ of

$$
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

which is an affine bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$. Moreover, for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}, \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$, this affine bundle is equivariant with respect to the $G$-action on $U_{\ell}$ and the $G$-action on the target.

In the Proposition above, the $G$-action on $U_{\ell}$ is induced by the $G$-action on the product of Grassmannians,

Summarizing, we have the following fundamental diagram of $G$-schemes, when $G=$ $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ :


This will be helpful for computing equivariant Chow rings in the next sections.

### 1.5 Discriminant divisors

Let $H_{d_{i}} \subset H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be the universal hypersurface of degree $d_{i}$ and let $\mathrm{pr}_{i}$ : $V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be the projection morphism. We define a subscheme $X_{\mathbf{d}, n} \subset V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ as the (schematic) intersection $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{-1}\left(H_{d_{1}}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \mathrm{pr}_{r}^{-1}\left(H_{d_{r}}\right)$. The fiber of $X_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ over a point $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is the projective scheme defined by the homogeneous ideal $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$.

We will denote $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ the (schematic) singular locus of the morphism $X \rightarrow V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ : in particular, the points of $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ are tuples $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ such that the projective scheme in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ defined by the homogeneous ideal $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is either singular or of codimension $>r$. This divisor is invariant with respect to the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-action on $V(\mathbf{d}, n)$.

Observe that the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is free on $X_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap\left(U(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$, hence we have a well defined quotient scheme $Y_{\mathbf{d}, n}=X_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap\left(U(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}\right) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$, which can be regarded as a subscheme of $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$.

The divisor $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is invariant with respect to the $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$-action on $V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ : we denote by $D_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ the divisor in $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n)$ defined as the geometric quotient $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap U(\mathbf{d}, n) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$. The points of $D_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ are tuples of vector subspaces

$$
\left(\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r_{1}}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle f_{r-r_{\ell}+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\rangle\right)
$$

such that the projective scheme in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ defined by the homogeneous ideal $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is either singular or of codimension $>r$. Again, the divisor $D_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is invariant with respect to the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-action on the product of Grassmannians $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n)$.

The open subscheme $U_{\ell} \subset \operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n)$ is an affine bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ and $Y_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap$ $\left(U_{\ell} \times \mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ descends along this affine bundle: in this way we obtain again the subscheme $\mathscr{Y}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \subset \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$. In particular, the preimage of $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ in $U_{\ell}$ is equal to $D_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap U_{\ell}$. Putting all together, we get the following.

Lemma 1.6 Let $G$ be either $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$. Then the following diagram of $G$-schemes holds:


Again, we will need this for intersection-theoretical computations.

## 2 Chow rings of moduli of smooth complete intersections

In this section we give a presentation of the Chow ring of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{G}(\mathbf{d})$ in terms of generators and relations (Theorem 2.6), for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}, \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$. The relations are not explicit, in the sense that we do not express them via closed formulas involving the generators and the quantities $\mathbf{d}$ and $n$; nevertheless, once these values are fixed, the relations can be practically computed.

We give two quick examples of concrete computations in Propositions 2.10 and 2.11: in the first Proposition we reprove the Theorem of Izadi the Chow ring of $M_{5}$, the moduli space
of genus five curves, using Theorem 2.6; in the second one, we study the Chow ring of $K_{8}$, the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree eight.

For a quick recap of equivariant Chow groups and their properties, the reader can consult [7, §5.1].

### 2.1 A resolution of $Z_{d, n}$

Recall that we defined

$$
V(\mathbf{d}, n):=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{2}\right)\right) \times \cdots \times H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{O}\left(d_{r}\right)\right) .
$$

and that $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n} \subset V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ is the singular locus of the map $X_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ whose fiber over a point $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is the complete intersection $\left\{f_{1}=\cdots=f_{r}=0\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (see 1.5). In 2.2 we will need to compute the generators of the image of the pushforward of equivariant Chow groups

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*-1}\left(Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}(V(\mathbf{d}, n)) .
$$

For this reason, we are going to construct an equivariant resolution $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ with the property that the Chow ring of the domain admits a particularly nice presentation.

Set $s=n-r+2$ and let Mat ${ }_{n+1, s}$ be the vector space of matrices with $n+1$ rows and $s$ columns and define $\mathrm{P}_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{s}$ as the parabolic subgroup formed by those matrices whose first column is zero except for the first entry. The group $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ acts linearly on $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}$ via the formula $B \cdot Q:=Q B^{-1}$.

Let Mat ${ }_{n+1, s}^{o} \subset$ Mat $_{\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{~s}}$ be the open subscheme formed by matrices of maximal rank: then $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ acts freely on $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}^{o}$ and the quotient is isomorphic to the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ parametrizing partial flags $L \subset F$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ where $\operatorname{dim}(L)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim}(F)=n-r+2$.

Observe also that the group $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ acts on $\mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}$ via left multiplication. This action descends to the an action on the product $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$.

A point in $V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times$ Mat $_{n+1, s}$ amounts to $r$ homogeneous forms $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)=$ $d_{i}$ together with an $(n+1) \times s$ matrix

$$
Q=\left(q_{1}\left|q_{2}\right| \ldots \mid q_{s}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
q_{0,1} & q_{0,2} & \ldots & q_{0, s} \\
q_{1,1} & q_{1,2} & \ldots & q_{1, s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
q_{n, 1} & q_{n, 2} & \ldots & q_{n, s}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \subset V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times$ Mat $_{n+1, s}$ be the closed subscheme of tuples $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}, Q\right)$ satisfying the matrix equation $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\left(q_{1}\right) \cdot Q=0$, that is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\partial_{x_{0}} f_{1}\left(q_{1}\right) & \partial_{x_{2}} f_{1}\left(q_{1}\right) & \ldots & \partial_{x_{n}} f_{1}\left(q_{1}\right) \\
\partial_{x_{0}} f_{2}\left(q_{1}\right) & \partial_{x_{2}} f_{2}\left(q_{1}\right) & \ldots & \partial_{x_{n}} f_{2}\left(q_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\partial_{x_{0}} f_{r}\left(q_{1}\right) & \partial_{x_{2}} f_{r}\left(q_{1}\right) & \ldots & \partial_{x_{n}} f_{r}\left(q_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
q_{0,1} & q_{0,2} & \ldots & q_{0, s} \\
q_{1,1} & q_{1,2} & \ldots & q_{1, s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
q_{n, 1} & q_{n, 2} & \ldots & q_{n, s}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

where $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\left(q_{1}\right)$ is the Jacobian matrix associated to the form $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ evaluated at the vector $q_{1}$. We can interpret $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ as the closed subscheme of tuples $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}, Q\right)$ such that the point $\left[q_{1}\right]$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a singular point for the projective scheme defined by the homogeneous ideal $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, and the vector subspace $Q \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ contains $q_{1}$ and is contained in the kernel of the Jacobian matrix. This subscheme is $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-invariant.

The geometric quotient of $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \cap\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \operatorname{Mat}_{n+1, s}^{o}\right)$ by the (free) $\mathrm{P}_{s}$-action is a closed subscheme of $V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ which we denote $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$. By construction, the points of $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ correspond to tuples $\left(\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right), p \in E \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ such that $p$ is a singular point of the projective subscheme $\left\{f_{1}=f_{2}=\cdots=f_{r}=0\right\}$ and $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ is a projective subspace contained in the projective variety defined by the matrix equation $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)(p)$. $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ (although $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)(p)$ is not well defined, the projective variety is actually well defined). Observe that the projection on $V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ induces a map $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$.

Lemma 2.1 The pushforward morphism

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right)
$$

is surjective. Moreover the pushforward of the fundamental class $\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$ along $\operatorname{pr}_{1}: V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times$ $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s} \rightarrow V(\mathbf{d}, n)$ is equal to $\left[Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$.

Proof This follows from the fact that $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is surjective and birational.

### 2.2 Relations

From Lemma 1.6 we know that $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash D_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-equivariant affine bundle. This implies that

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash D_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right),
$$

because the pullback along an affine bundle induces an isomorphism of Chow rings. Lemma 1.6 also implies that we have an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash D_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right)
$$

because $V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash D_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$-torsor. Putting all together, we deduce

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) .
$$

The localization sequence for equivariant Chow groups tells us that we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*-1}\left(Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}(V(\mathbf{d}, n)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

so that the ideal of relations $R$ appearing in the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}(V(\mathbf{d}, n)) / R, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is generated by the pushforward of cycles in $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $R$ is equal to the image of the pushforward

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*-1}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}(V(\mathbf{d}, n))
$$

Observe that $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is an equivariant vector bundle over the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$. This implies that the generators of the equivariant Chow ring of $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ as $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}$-module are obtained by pulling back the generators of $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right)$ as $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{d}}}$-module.

Recall that the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ has a universal partial flag $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{O}^{\oplus n+1}$ of equivariant locally free sheaves, where $\mathscr{L}$ has rank 1 and $\mathscr{F}$ has rank $s$. The equivariant Chow ring of the flag variety is generated, as $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{*}$-module, by monomials in the Chern classes of $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{F} / \mathscr{L}$.

More precisely, set $\beta_{1}=c_{1}(\mathscr{L})$ and $b_{i}=c_{i}(\mathscr{F} / \mathscr{L})$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ : then the generators are given by polynomials $P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)$ and thanks to the relations in the flag variety, we can restrict ourselves to monomials that have degree $<s$ in $\beta_{1}$ and total degree $\leq r s-1$.

Consider the equivariant diagram


Then the ideal of relations $R$ appearing in (2.1) is generated by expressions of the form

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1 *} i_{*}\left(i^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)
$$

where $P$ is a monomial as described before. The projection formula readily implies that these expressions can be rewritten as

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)
$$

where $\left[\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$ is the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}$-equivariant fundamental class of $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$.

### 2.2.1 The fundamental class of $\tilde{Z}_{d, n}$

Consider the Cartesian diagram of quotient stacks


Our goal is to compute $\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$, the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-equivariant fundamental class of $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$.
In 2.1 we introduced the parabolic subgroup $\mathrm{P}_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{s}$. The quotient of the open subset Mat ${ }_{n+1, s}^{\circ} \subset$ Mat $_{n+1, s}$ of matrices of maximal rank by the natural action of $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ is the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$.

Let $\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}:=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathrm{P}_{s}$. Then we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}^{o}\right) .
$$

Moreover, there is a surjection of equivariant Chow rings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}^{*}\left(U(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1, s}^{o}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by the pullback along the open embedding. As $\left[V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \operatorname{Mat}_{n+1, s} / \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$ is a vector bundle over $\mathscr{B} \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}$, we deduce that

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times \operatorname{Mat}_{n+1, s}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right)
$$

Recall $[11, \S 3.2]$ that the Chow ring of $\mathscr{B G L}_{m}$ is isomorphic to the ring of polynomials in the Chern classes of the universal rank $m$ vector bundle $\mathscr{V}_{m} \rightarrow \mathscr{B G L}_{m}$.

The stack $\mathscr{B} \mathrm{P}_{s}$ classifies vector bundles of rank $s$ together with a subbundle of rank 1 . Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the universal vector bundle of rank $s$ on $\mathscr{B} \mathrm{P}_{s}$, let $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{F}$ be the universal vector subbundle of rank 1 , so that $\mathscr{F} / \mathscr{L}$ is a universal quotient bundle of rank $s-1$.

Then it easily follows from [11, Proposition 6] that the Chow ring of $\mathscr{B} \mathrm{P}_{s}$ is the ring of polynomials in the first Chern class of $\mathscr{L}$ and in the Chern classes of $\mathscr{F} / \mathscr{L}$. As $\mathrm{CH}^{*}(\mathscr{B}(G \times$ $H)) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{*}(\mathscr{B} G) \otimes \mathrm{CH}^{*}(\mathscr{B} H)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i \leq n+1},\left\{a_{j, k}\right\}_{j \leq \ell, k \leq r_{j}}, \beta_{1},\left\{b_{m}\right\}_{m \leq s-1}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2.1 we defined the closed subscheme $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n} \subset V(\mathbf{d}, n) \times$ Mat $_{n+1, s}$. Observe that the $\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}$-equivariant class of $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is sent to the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-equivariant fundamental class of $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ by the surjection (2.2), hence if we compute $\left[\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}$ in terms of the generators appearing in (2.3), we will also get an explicit expression for $\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$.

### 2.2.2 The fundamental class of $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{d}, n}$

We know from [11, Proposition 6] that for every connected reductive group $G$ with split maximal subtorus $T$ and every smooth scheme $X$ endowed with a $G$-action, there is an inclusion of rings $\mathrm{CH}_{G}^{*}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}(X)$ whose image corresponds to the subring of $W$ invariant element, where $W$ is the Weyl group associated to $T \subset G$. Let us remark also that, if the group $G$ is special, the inclusion above holds also with integral coefficients.

In particular, if $Y \subset X$ is a $G$-invariant variety, the image of $[Y]_{G}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}(X)$ is equal to $[Y]_{T}$; in other terms, by knowing an explicit expression for $[Y]_{T}$, one immediately get a formula for $[Y]_{G}$ by just rewriting that expression in terms of the $W$-invariant generators. We apply this argument to compute $\left[\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}}$.

Let $T_{n, r} \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ be the maximal subtorus of diagonal matrices. We have $T_{n, r} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n+1} \times$ $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{r} \times \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{s}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} T_{n, r}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{T_{n, r}}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $t_{i}$ are pulled back from $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n+1}\right)$, the $\gamma_{j}$ from $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{r}\right)$ and the $\beta_{k}$ from $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{s}\right)$. For the latters, we adopt the convention that $\beta_{k}$ is the first Chern class of the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-representation of weight -1 , as this choice is slightly more convenient for future computations.
Remark 2.2 The generators appearing in (2.3) can be rewritten in terms of the generators appearing in (2.4) as follows: the elements $c_{i}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials of degree $i$ in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}$; the elements $\alpha_{j, k}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials of degree $k$ in $\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots r_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j}}$; the elements $b_{m}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $m$ in the $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s}$ multiplied by $(-1)^{m}$, and the two $\beta_{1}$ coincide.
Computing $\left[\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]_{T_{n, r}}$ is quite easy, because $\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is a complete intersection of $T_{n, r}$-invariant hypersurfaces $H_{i, j}$ of equation

$$
F_{i, j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \partial_{x_{k}} f_{i}\left(q_{0}\right) \cdot q_{j, k}=0 .
$$

Observe that an element $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}, \nu_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)$ of $T_{n, r}$ acts on a polynomial $F_{i, j}$ as

$$
F_{i, j} \longmapsto \mu_{i} \beta_{0}^{1-d_{i}} \beta_{j}^{-1} F_{i, j}
$$

hence by [10, Lemma 2.6] the fundamental class of $H_{i, j}$ is $\gamma_{i}+\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{j}$. We deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]_{T_{n, r}}=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s}\left[H_{i, j}\right]_{T_{n, r}}=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s}\left(\gamma_{i}+\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{j}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3 Observe that this formula has the symmetries we expected it to have, i.e. is invariant with respect to the Weyl group associated to the torus $T_{n, r} \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{d}, n}$.

In particular, an explicit expression of $[\widetilde{Z}]_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}$ can be obtained by rewriting (2.5) using the generators appearing in (2.3). On the other hand, the formulation in (2.5) is quite more manageable from a computational point of view.

Expanding the expression in (2.5) we get the following.
Lemma 2.4 We have

$$
\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]=\left[\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]_{T_{n, r}}=\sum_{0 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \leq s} \gamma_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{r}^{a_{r}} \cdot C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)
$$

where

$$
C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{s-a_{i}}\left(\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right)
$$

and the $\sigma_{d}$ stand for the elementary symmetric polynomials of degree $d$.
Remark 2.5 The formula for the equivariant fundamental class in Lemma 2.4 is a priori a formula in the $\beta_{i}$. Nevertheless, it is symmetric in these variables, hence it is actually a polynomial in $\beta_{1}$ and $b_{i}=(-1)^{i} \sigma_{i}\left(\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$.

### 2.2.3 End of the computation

Recall that we have an equivariant diagram

and that the Chow ring of the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ is algebraically generated over $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}\right)\right)$ by $\beta_{1}$, the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle, and by $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}$, the Chern classes of the tautological quotient bundle. Recall also that $b_{i}=(-1)^{i} \sigma_{i}\left(\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$, i.e. the class $b_{i}$ is up to a sign the symmetric polynomial in its Chern roots $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s}$.

Recall also from 2.2.1 that $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}\right)\right)$ is the ring of polynomials in the variables $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}$ (the generators that come from $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\right)$ ) and in the $a_{j, k}$ for $j \leq \ell$ and $k \leq r_{j}$ : the latters are the elementary symmetric polynomials of degree $k$ in $\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots r_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j}}$. In other words, if we let $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{d}}:=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{S}_{r_{i}}$ be a product of symmetric groups, we can write

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{B} \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\left\{a_{j, k}\right\}\right]=\mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{d}}}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{r_{i}}$ acts on $\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{i}}$ by permutation.
With this setup in mind, we are ready to state the main result of the section.
Theorem 2.6 We have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R
$$

where the ideal of relations $R$ is generated by cycles of the form

$$
\sum_{0 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \leq s} \gamma_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{r}^{a_{r}} \cdot \pi_{*}\left(C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)
$$

for $P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)$ any monomial of degree $<s$ in $\beta_{1}$ and total degree $\leq r s-1$, and

$$
C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{s-a_{i}}\left(\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right)
$$

Proof Proposition 1.4 for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ tells us that

$$
\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d}) \simeq\left[\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) / \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\right],
$$

hence the Chow ring of the stack on the left is isomorphic to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right)$. In 2.2 we have seen that

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \backslash S_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}\left(V(\mathbf{d}, n) \backslash Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right),
$$

and that the term on the right is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{*}(V(\mathbf{d}, n)) / R & \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1},\left\{a_{j, k}\right\}_{j \leq \ell, k \leq r_{j}}\right] / R \\
& \left.\simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right]\right]_{\mathbf{d}} / R
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the ideal generated by cycles of the form

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)
$$

In the formula above $P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)$ is any monomial of degree $<s$ in $\beta_{1}$ and total degree $\leq r s-1$.

Lemma 2.4 gives us an explicit expression for $\left[\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$. Applying the compatibility formula, we deduce that the generators of $R$ are

$$
\sum_{0 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \leq s} \gamma_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{r}^{a_{r}} \cdot \pi_{*}\left(C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof.
Remark 2.7 The relations appearing in Theorem 2.6 actually hold in the integral Chow ring, but we don't know whether they still generate the integral Chow ring, although this is probably not the case.

Corollary 2.8 With the same notation as Theorem 2.6, for $G=\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{G}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{d}}} /\left(R, c_{1}\right)
$$

Proof For $G=\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$, the same argument used to prove Theorem 2.6 applies, with the only difference that $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is the ring of polynomials in $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n+1}$. For the case $G=\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$, we use [17, Lemma 5.4], which tells us that for every $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$-scheme $X$, the kernel of the natural pull-back map $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}}^{*}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}}^{*}(X)$ is torsion, hence zero when the Chow groups are taken with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

Remark 2.9 In contrast with what happens for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$, it is not true that all the relations appearing in Corollary 2.8 for $G=\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ hold true in the integral Chow ring.

### 2.3 First applications

At first glance, Theorem 2.6 may look too abstract to be useful when it comes to computing explicit descriptions of Chow rings of moduli spaces. In particular, it lacks closed formulas for the generators of the ideal of relations that only involve the values $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$ and $n$. Nonetheless, once those values are fixed, it is quite easy to compute the relations using localization formulas (see [12, Theorem 2], [10, Remark 2.4]).

Given a cycle $\xi$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right)$, where $T \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ is the subtorus of diagonal matrices, the localization formula tells us that the pushforward along $\pi_{*}: \mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is equal to

$$
\pi_{*} \xi=\sum_{p \in \mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}^{T}} \frac{i_{p}^{*} \xi}{c_{\mathrm{top}}^{T}\left(T\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right)_{p}\right)}
$$

where $i_{p}: p \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ is the inclusion of a $T$-fixed point in the flag variety.
Although the right hand side is a priori only a rational function, the theory behind localization formulas assures us that the term on the right is actually a polynomial belonging to $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n+1}\right]$.

The set of $T$-fixed points of the flag variety is in bijection with the set of pairs $\left(i_{1}, I\right)$ where $1 \leq i_{1} \leq n+1$ and $I=\left\{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$ is a subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\} \backslash\left\{i_{1}\right\}$ of length $s-1$ : to such a pair, we associate the $T$-fixed point in $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ given by the flag

$$
\left(V_{i_{1}} \subset V_{I} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}\right)=\left\langle e_{i_{1}}\right\rangle \subset\left\langle e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, \ldots, e_{i_{s}}\right\rangle \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ as a vector space.
We can regard the flag variety as the projectivization of the tautological bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1)$. In this way we see that the tangent space of the fixed point associated to $\left(i_{1}, I\right)$ is
$\operatorname{hom}\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{I} / V_{i_{1}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{hom}\left(V_{I}, \mathbb{A}^{n+1} / V_{I}\right) \simeq\left\langle e_{1}^{\vee} \otimes e_{i_{2}}, \ldots, e_{1}^{\vee} \otimes e_{i_{s}}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\ldots, e_{i_{j}}^{\vee} \otimes e_{i_{k}}, \ldots\right\rangle$
where $i_{j} \in I \cup\left\{i_{1}\right\}$ and $i_{k}$ belongs to the complement of $I \cup\left\{i_{1}\right\}$ in $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$. As $T$ acts on $e_{m}$ via multiplication by the character $t_{m}$, we deduce that

$$
c_{\mathrm{top}}^{T}\left(T\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right)_{\left(i_{1}, I\right)}\right)=\prod_{i_{m} \in I}\left(t_{i_{m}}-t_{i_{1}}\right) \prod_{\substack{i_{j} \in I \cup\left\{i_{1}\right\} \\ i_{k} \in\left(I \cup\left\{i_{1}\right\}\right)^{c}}}\left(t_{i_{k}}-t_{i_{j}}\right) .
$$

Recall that the $\beta_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, s$ are the Chern roots of the dual of the tautological bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1)$. Therefore, their restriction to the $T$-equivariant Chow ring of the fixed point associated to $\left(i_{1}, I\right)$ are exactly the Chern roots of the dual of $\left\langle e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{s}}\right\rangle$. This implies that if $q\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ is a polynomial in the $\beta_{j}$, we have $i_{p}^{*} q\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)=q\left(-t_{i_{1}}, \ldots,-t_{i_{s}}\right)$. Putting all together, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} q\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)=\sum_{\left(i_{1}, I\right)} \frac{q\left(-t_{i_{1}}, \ldots,-t_{i_{s}}\right)}{\prod\left(t_{i_{m}}-t_{i_{1}}\right) \prod\left(t_{i_{k}}-t_{i_{j}}\right)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula can be used to compute the coefficients $\pi_{*}\left(C_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) P\left(\beta_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right)\right)$ appearing in Theorem 2.6.

### 2.3.1 Moduli of curves of genus five

In [19] the authors computed the Chow ring of moduli spaces of triple covers of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. In particular, their result shows that $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(H_{3,5}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{3}\right)$, where $H_{3,5}$ is the moduli space of trigonal curves of genus five and $\lambda_{1}$ is the class of the Hodge line bundle.

The complement of $H_{3,5}$ in the moduli space $M_{5}$ of smooth curves of genus five is isomorphic to the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,2,2)$ (see Remark 1.2), whose Chow ring we can compute using Theorem 2.6. This enables us to reprove the following Theorem of Izadi (see [18]).

Proposition 2.10 (Izadi) Let $M_{5}$ be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus five. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{4}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first Chern class of the Hodge line bundle.
Proof Theorem 2.6 tells us that

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,2,2)\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{5}\right] / I .
$$

In what follows, as generators of the flag variety we use $\beta_{1}$ and $\sigma_{m}=\sigma_{m}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ instead of $\beta_{1}$ and $b_{m}$. We use the localization formula (2.6) to explicitly compute some relations in $I$. These computations are carried out using Mathematica.

In degree one, we have the relation given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 4}\right]\right)$ (we already set $c_{1}=$ $0)$ ). This gives the relation $40 a_{1,1}=0$. In degree two, we have two relations given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 4}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \beta_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 4}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \sigma_{1}\right)$. These turn out to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 48 c_{1}^{2}-24 c_{2}-56 c_{1} a_{1,1}+20 a_{1,1}^{2} \\
& 112 c_{1}^{2}-40 c_{2}-136 c_{1} a_{1,1}+40 a_{1,1}^{2}+20 a_{1,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce that $a_{1,2}=c_{2}=0$. In degree three, we compute $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 4}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \xi\right)$ for $\xi=\sigma_{2}, \beta_{1}^{2}$. These are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -112 c_{1}^{3}+88 c_{1} c_{2}-24 c_{3}+\left(184 c_{1}^{2}-48 c_{2}\right) a_{1,1} \\
& -96 c_{1} a_{1,1}^{2}+12 a_{1,1}^{3}-56 c_{1} a_{1,2}+46 a_{1,1} a_{1,2}-18 a_{1,3}=0 \\
& 48 c_{1}^{3}+72 c_{1} c_{2}-32 c_{3}+\left(56 c_{1}^{2}-36 c_{2}\right) a_{1,1} \\
& -24 c_{1} a_{1,1}^{2}+4 a_{1,1}^{3}-4 c_{1} a_{1,2}+2 a_{1,1} a_{1,2}+4 a_{1,3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with the previous relations, we deduce that $c_{3}=a_{1,3}=0$. Next we compute $\pi_{*}\left(C_{3}(0,0,0) \cdot \beta_{1}^{j}\right)$ for $j=3$ and 4 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 48 c_{1}^{4}-120 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+24 c_{2}^{2}+80 c_{1} c_{3}-32 c_{4}=0 \\
& -48 c_{1}^{5}+168 c_{1}^{3} c_{2}-96 c_{1} c_{2}^{2}-128 c_{1}^{2} c_{3}+56 c_{2} c_{3}+80 c_{1} c_{4}-32 c_{5}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we already know that all the other terms are zero, the first relation implies that $c_{4}=0$, and similarly the second one implies $c_{5}=0$. We have proved that $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5} \backslash H_{3,5}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$.

We know from [13, Theorem 2] that $\lambda_{1}^{3} \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5}\right)$. These two facts, combined with the computation of [19] and the exactness of the localization sequence

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{3}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(H_{3,5}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5} \backslash H_{3,5}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

easily implies that $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{5}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{4}\right)$.

### 2.3.2 Moduli of polarized K3 surfaces of degree eight

Let $K_{8}$ be the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree eight. There is an open subvariety $U_{8} \subset K_{8}$ whose points correspond to polarized K3 surfaces ( $X,[L]$ ) such that $L$ is very ample, and $X$ does not contain any curve of arithmetic genus 1 and degree 3. The complement of $U_{8}$ in $K_{8}$ is the union of three Noether-Lefschetz divisors, namely $D_{1,1}, D_{2,1}$ and $D_{3,1}$, where points in $D_{d, 1}$ correspond to polarized K3 surfaces containing a curve of arithmetic genus 1 and degree $d$.

As observed in Remark 1.2, if ( $X,[L]$ ) is a point of $U_{8}$, then the polarization $L$ embeds $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ as a complete intersection of three quadrics: in other words, the scheme $U_{8}$ is isomorphic to the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{M}_{5}^{\text {PGL }}(2,2,2)$, hence we can use Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 to compute the Chow ring of $U_{8}$.

## Proposition 2.11 We have $\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(U_{8}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$, hence the pushforward morphism

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{i-1}\left(\cup_{d=1}^{3} D_{d, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{i}\left(K_{8}\right)
$$

from the union of these Noether-Lefschetz divisors is surjective in degree $i>0$.
Proof In what follows, we adopt the same notation used in the proof of Proposition 2.10. We know from Corollary 2.8 that

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(U_{8}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{6}\right] /\left(c_{1}, I\right)
$$

In degree one we have the single relation given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 5}\right]$ : this is equal to $80 a_{1,1}$, hence $a_{1,1}=0$ in the rational Chow ring.

Degree two relations are given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\tilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 5}\right] \cdot \xi\right)$ for $\xi=\beta_{1}$ or $\sigma_{1}$, and usual computations with localization formulas give explicit expressions for these relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 80 c_{1}^{2}-32 c_{2}-120 c_{1} a_{1,1}+60 a_{1,1}^{2}-20 a_{1,2}=0 \\
& 200 c_{1}^{2}-56 c_{2}-300 c_{1} a_{1,1}+120 a_{1,1}^{2}+10 a_{1,2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Together, they imply that $a_{1,2}=c_{2}=0$. Next, we compute the degree three relations given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 5}\right] \cdot \xi\right)$ for $\xi=\beta_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}$. They are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -80 c_{1}^{3}+112 c_{1} c_{2}-56 c_{3}+\left(120 c_{1}^{2}-64 c_{2}\right) a_{1,1} \\
& -80 c_{1} a_{1,1}^{2}+24 a_{1,1}^{3}+20 c_{1} a_{1,2}-18 a_{1,1} a_{1,2}+14 a_{1,3}=0 \\
& -240 c_{1}^{3}+168 c_{1} c_{2}-72 c_{3}+\left(480 c_{1}^{2}-92 c_{2}\right) a_{1,1} \\
& -340 c_{1} a_{1,1}^{2}+72 a_{1,1}^{3}-80 c_{1} a_{1,2}+96 a_{1,1} a_{1,2}-63 a_{1,3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These relations, combined with the previous ones, show that $a_{1,3}=c_{3}=0$ in the Chow ring. To show that $c_{4}=0$, it is enough to prove that $\pi_{*}\left(C_{4}(0,0,0) \cdot \beta_{1}^{3}\right)$ is not zero, because we already know that all the other terms appearing in the relation given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{(2,2,2), 5}\right] \cdot \beta_{1}^{3}\right)$ are zero. After straightforward computations we get

$$
80 c_{1}^{4}-192 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+32 c_{2}^{2}+136 c_{1} c_{3}-48 c_{4}=0
$$

hence $c_{4}=0$. In the same way, the coefficient $\pi_{*}\left(C_{4}(0,0,0) \cdot \beta_{1} \sigma_{3}\right)$ turns out to be

$$
-200 c_{1}^{5}+512 c_{1}^{3} c_{2}-136 c_{1} c_{2}^{2}-464 c_{1}^{2} c_{3}+64 c_{2} c_{3}+272 c_{1} c_{4}-48 c_{5}=0
$$

hence $c_{5}=0$. Finally, we compute $\pi_{*}\left(C_{4}(0,0,0) \cdot \beta_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}\right)$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 200 c_{1}^{6}-712 c_{1}^{4} c_{2}+448 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}-24 c_{2}^{3}+664 c_{1}^{3} c_{3}-440 c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} \\
& \quad+88 c_{3}^{2}-472 c_{1}^{2} c_{4}+96 c_{2} c_{4}+200 c_{1} c_{5}-48 c_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $c_{6}=0$ and concludes the proof.

## 3 Integral Picard groups

In [4] Benoist gives beautiful formulas for the multidegree of the divisor $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ of singular complete intersections in the Hilbert scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{\mathrm{d}, n} \simeq \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$. This is equivalent to compute the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$. In this section we leverage Theorem 2.6 to compute $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})\right)$, thus giving a new proof of Benoist's formula.

Theorem 3.6 gives a presentation for the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$, the stack of smooth complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. This result, specialized to the case $\mathbf{d}=(2,2)$, recovers [2, Theorem 1.1] (see Corollary 3.7).

Let us recall some notation from Theorem 2.6: given $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$, there are integers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}} \\
& d_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+r_{2}} \\
& \vdots \\
& d_{r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{\ell-1}+1}=\cdots=d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given symbols $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$, we can subdivide them into $\ell$ subsets of the form $S_{j}=$ $\left\{\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots r_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j}}\right\}$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{r_{j}}$ acts on this subset, and we denote $a_{j, k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, r_{j}$ the elementary symmetric functions with variables in the set $S_{j}$.

If we assume that the base field $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd, we have that the pushforward of $\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]$ is equal to the fundamental class of $Z_{\mathbf{d}, n}$; otherwise, it is two times the fundamental class (see [4, Proof of Proposition 4.2] and the references contained therein). Then the following is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.6.

Proposition 3.1 Suppose that the base field $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd. Then the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$ is generated by the set $\left\{a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{\ell, 1}\right\}$ modulo the single relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_{i} \cdot \pi_{*}\left[\sigma_{s-1}\left(\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{r} \sigma_{s}\left(\left(d_{j}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{j}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{*}: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is the pushforward morphism.

From now on, we will write $e_{i}:=d_{i}-1$. Let us compute more explicitly the coefficient in front of $\gamma_{i}$ in the relation appearing in Proposition 3.1. First observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{s}\left(e_{j} \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, e_{j} \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}, \ldots, e_{j} \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right) & =\prod_{h=1}^{s}\left(e_{j} \beta_{1}+\beta_{h}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{j}=0}^{s}\left(e_{j} \beta_{1}\right)^{k_{j}} \sigma_{s-k_{j}}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{s-1}\left(e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}, \ldots, e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{s}\right) & =\sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{h=1, h \neq h^{\prime}}^{r}\left(e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{h}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{s-1}\left(k_{1}+1\right)\left(e_{i} \beta_{1}\right)^{k_{1}} \sigma_{s-1-k_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that the coefficient in front of $\gamma_{i}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k_{i} \in[s-1], k_{j} \in[s]}\left(k_{i}+1\right) e_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots e_{r}^{k_{r}} \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{\sum k_{j}}\left(\sigma_{s-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-1-k_{i}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}\right)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A priori, in the formula above we should sum over all the possible values of $k_{j}$, but it turns out that many terms are zero, as the next Lemma states.
Lemma 3.2 The terms in the sum of (3.1) are zero for $\sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}<s-1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}>n$.
Proof First observe that the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, s}$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{T}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1)$, where $\mathscr{T}$ denotes the tautological vector bundle of rank $s$. In particular, we have a factorization of $\pi$ as

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{T}) \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Spec} k .
$$

Observe moreover that the $\sigma_{m}$ appearing in (3.1) are the Chern classes of $\mathscr{T}^{\vee}$, and $\beta_{1}$ is the hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{T})$. In particular we get

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{\sum k_{j}}\left(\sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}\right)\right)=q_{*}\left(p_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{\sum k_{j}}\right) \cdot \sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}\right),
$$

and for $\sum k_{j}<s-1$ we have $p_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{\sum k_{j}}\right)=0$. This proves the first part of the Lemma.
For the second part, we have by definition that $p_{*} \beta_{1}^{d}=s_{d-s-1}(\mathscr{T})$, the $d^{\text {th }}$ equivariant Segre class of the tautological subbundle. As we already know that the term on the right in the formula above belongs to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}}^{0}(\operatorname{Spec} k) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{0}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$, we can compute the pushforward in the non-equivariant setting.

Recall that in the (non-equivariant) Chow ring of $\operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1)$ we have the relation $c(\mathscr{T}) c(\mathscr{Q})=1$, where $\mathscr{Q}$ is the tautological quotient bundle. Using the fact that the total Segre class is the inverse of the total Chern class, we deduce that $s(\mathscr{T})=c(\mathscr{Q})$. As $c_{d}(\mathscr{Q})=0$ for $d>n-s+1$, we deduce that $p_{*} \beta_{1}^{d}=0$ for $d-s+1>n-s+1$, as claimed.
Lemma 3.3 Let $q: \operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the projection map and set $d=\sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}$. Suppose that $s-1 \leq d \leq n$, then

$$
q_{*}\left(c_{d-s+1}(\mathscr{Q}) \cdot \sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}\right)=1,
$$

where $\mathscr{Q}$ is the tautological quotient bundle and $\sigma_{m}=c_{m}\left(\mathscr{T}^{\vee}\right)$.

Proof We are going to apply some basic facts of Schubert calculus. Let us first consider the case $d=s-1$ : we have to prove that $q_{*}\left(\sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}\right)=1$. The classes $\sigma_{m}$ correspond to the Schubert cycles $\sigma_{(1, \ldots, 1)}$, where $(1, \ldots, 1)=\left(1^{m}\right)$ should be thought as the Young diagram with one column and $m$ rows, and $\mathrm{CH}^{s(n+1-s)}(\operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1))$ is generated by the cycle $\sigma_{(r-1, \ldots, r-1)}$, whose associated Young diagram is a rectangle with $s$ rows and $r-1=n+1-s$ columns; this is the only Young diagram with $s(r-1)$ squares whose associated Schubert class is not zero.

The product of a Schubert cycle $\sigma_{\lambda}$ by $\sigma_{m}=\sigma_{\left(1^{m}\right)}$ can be computed using Pieri's formula: this tells us that

$$
\sigma_{\lambda} \cdot \sigma_{\left(1^{m}\right)}=\sum \sigma_{\mu}
$$

where the sum is taken over all the Young diagrams $\mu$ that can be obtained from $\lambda$ by adding $m$ squares, with the rule that one can add at most one square per row. This rule can be used to compute the product $\sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}$.

Indeed, this product will be a sum of Schubert cycles associated to Young diagrams having $s(r-1)$ squares, so to actually compute it we only have to count how many times the cycle $\sigma_{(r-1, \ldots, r-1)}$ appears, as the other Young diagrams of the same dimension yield cycles that are zero in the Chow ring.

This can be rephrased as follows: take a rectangle with $r-1$ columns and $s$ rows, and tick $s-1-k_{1}$ squares in the first column; we want to count the number of ways in which we can tick the whole rectangle with $r-1$ moves, each move consisting of ticking $s-k_{j}$ squares in such a way that at each step the ticked diagram is a Young diagram, and no more than one new square per row has been ticked (Pieri's rule). Then our claim is that it exists exactly one way to do so.

To prove existence, consider the following set of moves: each time, we tick all the squares that are below the last ticked square; if we finish the column, we move to the next column, starting from the top square and going down. In this way we are following the rules given by Pieri's formula, because to tick two squares in the same row in the same move we would need to tick at least $s+1$ squares, which never happens. As

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} s-k_{j}\right)-1=r s-1-\sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}=r s-1-s+1=(r-1) s,
$$

we will end up ticking the whole rectangle.
To show uniqueness, observe that in each move the number of columns completely ticked can raise of at most one. We only have $r-1$ moves at our disposal, and we start with zero columns completely ticked, because $s-1-k_{1}<s$. This means that at each step we have to finish exactly one column, and the only way to do so by following Pieri's rule is by following the algorithm described before.

Putting all together, this shows that $\sigma_{s-1-k_{1}} \sigma_{s-k_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{s-k_{r}}=\sigma_{\left((r-1)^{s}\right)}$, hence its pushforward along $\operatorname{Gr}(s, n+1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ is equal to 1 .

The proof in the case $d=\sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}>(s-1)$ proceeds along almost the same lines: the only difference is in the fact that instead of ticking all the squares in a rectangle, we have to tick all the squares in the Young diagram obtained by removing $d-s+1$ squares from the last row of the $s \times(r-1)$-rectangle; indeed, the Schubert class associated to this Young diagram is the only class that paired with $c_{d-s+1}(\mathscr{Q})=\sigma_{(d-s+1)}$ is not zero.

Adapting the argument used before, we conclude that there exists a unique way to tick this Young diagram following Pieri's rule, from which we get the desired conclusion.

Lemma 3.4 Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ be integers $\geq 0$, and set $d_{i}=e_{i}-1$ and $s=n-r+2$. Then for every $i=1, \ldots, r$ the following equality holds:

$$
d_{1} d_{2} \cdots \widehat{d}_{i} \cdots d_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j} e_{j}-e_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{e_{i}^{n+1}-e_{j}^{n+1}}{e_{i}-e_{j}}\right)=\sum_{k_{i} \in[s-1], k_{j} \in[s]}\left(k_{i}+1\right) e_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots e_{r}^{k_{r}}
$$

where on the right the summation is over the $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$ with $s-1 \leq \sum k_{j} \leq n$.
Proof First recall the following easy polynomial identity:

$$
\frac{t_{i}^{n+1}-t_{j}^{n+1}}{t_{i}-t_{j}}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} t_{i}^{n-k} t_{j}^{k}
$$

Let $V^{\vee}$ be the dual of the standard representation of the torus $T=\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\oplus r}$. The fixed points of the $T$-action on $\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ are those points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ where only one of the homogeneous coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ is non-zero. A basis for the tangent space of $\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ at $p_{j}$ is given by the elements of the form $\left(x_{j^{\prime}} / x_{j}\right)^{\vee}$, on which $T$ acts via the character $t_{j}-t_{j^{\prime}}$ (here the $t_{j}$ are by definition the characters of the standard representation). In particular, we deduce that

$$
c_{r}^{T}\left(T \mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)_{p_{j}}\right)=\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j}\left(t_{j}-t_{j^{\prime}}\right)
$$

If $h$ denotes the hyperplane class in $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right)$, then the restriction of $h$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{T}^{*}\left(p_{j}\right)$ is equal to $t_{j}$, because the rank one representation $\left.\mathscr{O}(1)\right|_{p_{j}}$ is generated by $x_{j}$.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow$ Spec $k$ be the natural projection. It follows then from the localization formula [12, Theorem 2] that

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} t_{i}^{n-k} h^{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} t_{i}^{n-k} t_{j}^{k}}{\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j}\left(t_{j}-t_{j^{\prime}}\right)}\right) .
$$

We can also compute the term on the left directly: indeed, by definition $\pi_{*} h^{k}$ is equal to the equivariant Segre class $s_{k-s+1}^{T}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$. Recall that the total Segre class is the inverse of the total Chern class. In our case we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{T}\left(V^{\vee}\right) & =\left(c^{T}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1-t_{j}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{k_{j} \geq 0} t_{j}^{k_{j}}\right)=\sum_{k_{j} \geq 0} t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{*}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} t_{i}^{n-k} h^{k}\right) & =\sum_{k=s-1}^{n} t_{i}^{n-k}\left(\sum_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}=k-s+1} t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}=s-1}\left(k_{i}+1\right) t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An obvious but important remark is that the $k_{j}$ in the sum above goes from 0 to $s-1$. Putting all together, this shows that the following polynomial identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{t_{i}^{n+1}-t_{j}^{n+1}}{t_{i}-t_{j}}=\sum_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}=s-1}\left(k_{i}+1\right) t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we multiply the term on the left by $\prod_{i^{\prime} \neq i}\left(t_{i^{\prime}}+1\right)$ and we evaluate in $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$, we get the left hand side of the formula that appears in the statement of the Lemma. Hence, let us multiply also the right hand side of (3.2) by this factor:

$$
\prod_{i^{\prime} \neq i}\left(t_{i^{\prime}}+1\right) \cdot \sum_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}=s-1}\left(k_{i}+1\right) t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}}=\sum_{s-1 \leq \sum k_{j} \leq s+r-2}\left(k_{i}+1\right) t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}}
$$

where the $k_{j}$ for $j \neq i$ now range from 0 to $s$, and $k_{i}$ still goes from 0 to $s-1$. Observe moreover that $s+r-2=n$; evaluating this polynomial in the $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$, we get the claimed identity.

We now have all the ingredients necessary to compute the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})$. This also gives a new proof of Benoist's formulas.

Theorem 3.5 ([4, Theorem 1.3]) Suppose that the base field $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd. Set $a_{j, 1}=\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j-1}+1}+\cdots+\gamma_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{j}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq\left\langle a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{\ell, 1}\right\rangle /\langle F\rangle
$$

where

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{1} d_{2} \cdots \widehat{d_{i}} \cdots d_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j} e_{j}-e_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{e_{i}^{n+1}-e_{j}^{n+1}}{e_{i}-e_{j}}\right)\right) \gamma_{i}
$$

Proof We computed in (3.1) a first expression for the coefficient in front of $\gamma_{i}$ inside the relation of degree one given by $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}\left(\left[\widetilde{Z}_{\mathbf{d}, n}\right]\right)$. This can be simplified thanks to Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. We deduce that $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})\right)$ is generated by the symmetric elements $\left\langle a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{\ell, 1}\right\rangle$ modulo the relation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{k_{i} \in[s-1], k_{j} \in[s]}\left(k_{i}+1\right) e_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots e_{r}^{k_{r}}\right) \gamma_{i}=0
$$

where on the right the summation is over the $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$ with $s-1 \leq \sum k_{j} \leq n$. Lemma 3.4 shows that the sums appearing above coincide with the ones given in terms of $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ that appear in the statement of the Theorem.

### 3.1 Integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$

From Theorem 3.5 we can deduce a description of the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})$. First, the integral Picard group of the tower of Grassmannian bundles

$$
\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\ell}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{3}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{2}, \mathscr{E}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \operatorname{Spec} k
$$

can be identified with the free abelian group

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \ell} \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z} \cdot\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)\right]
$$

where $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ is the pullback to $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)$ of the tautological bundle of $\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{i}, \mathscr{E}_{i}\right)$. Second, define $d_{i}^{\prime}:=d_{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{i}}$ and let $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\ell}\right)$ be a tuple such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_{i} r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and set

$$
u=\frac{\operatorname{mcm}\left(n+1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Third, define $\Lambda$ as the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \ell} \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_{i} r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Finally, let $F \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \ell}$ be the element whose $i^{\text {th }}$-entry is

$$
d_{1} d_{2} \cdots \widehat{d_{i}^{\prime}} \cdots d_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\prod_{j^{\prime} \neq j} e_{j}-e_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{e_{i}^{n+1}-e_{j}^{n+1}}{e_{i}-e_{j}}\right)
$$

We have all the elements necessary to describe the integral Picard group of $\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\text {PGL }}(\mathbf{d})$.
Theorem 3.6 There is an injective homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \ell} /\langle F\rangle
$$

whose image contains $F$, and induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\operatorname{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \Lambda \oplus\left\langle\left(u w_{1}, \ldots, u w_{\ell}\right)\right\rangle /\langle F\rangle
$$

Proof For every PGL $_{n+1}$-scheme $X$, the homomorphism of algebraic groups $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ induces a morphism of quotient stacks $\left[X / \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}\right] \rightarrow\left[X / \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}\right]$. Applying this to $X=\underline{H i l b}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ we get

$$
\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} \longrightarrow\left[\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathbf{d}, n}^{\mathrm{sm}} / \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}\right] \simeq \mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{SL}}(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow\left[{\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathbf{d}, n}}}_{\mathrm{sm}} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}\right] \simeq \mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})
$$

We can pull back line bundles along this composition, obtaining homomorphisms

This composition is injective because its kernel is isomorphic to the group of characters of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$, which is trivial. This implies that the first map is also injective.

The second map is injective for the same reason, and it is also surjective because the line bundles $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}\right)$ all admit a $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}$-linearization, as it is already clear from Theorem 3.5. This implies that the image of the pullback along the first map can be identified with the image of the pullback along the composition.

Consider the commutative square of pullbacks


Observe that the element $F$ belongs to the image of $\varphi$ because the discriminant divisor $S_{\mathbf{d}, n}$ is invariant with respect to the $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$-action. We deduce that the image of $\psi$ is equal to the image of $\varphi$ modulo $F$, or in other terms that the image of the pullback is equal to the subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ of line bundles admitting a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$-linearization, modulo $F$. A line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(r_{\ell}, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ is of the form

$$
\mathscr{L}=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)^{\otimes k_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)^{\otimes k_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathscr{T})^{\otimes k_{\ell}} .
$$

The points in the total space of $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)^{\otimes c_{i}}$ are given by pairs $\left(\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r_{i}}\right),\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\right.\right.$ $\left.f_{r_{i}}\right)^{\otimes k_{i}}$, where the $f_{j}$ are linearly independent homogeneous forms of degree $d_{i}^{\prime}$. Any $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1^{-}}$ linearization of $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ is of the following form: given an element $A$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$, it acts on a point in the total space by sending

$$
\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r_{i}}\right) \longmapsto\left(f_{1}\left(A^{-1} \underline{x}\right) \ldots, f_{r_{i}}\left(A^{-1} \underline{x}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
A \cdot\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r_{i}}\right)^{\otimes k_{i}}=\operatorname{det}(A)^{p_{i}}\left(f_{1}\left(A^{-1} \underline{x}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r_{i}}\left(A^{-1} \underline{x}\right)\right)^{\otimes k_{i}}
$$

The subtorus $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ of scalar matrices acts as

$$
\lambda \cdot\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r_{i}}\right)^{\otimes k_{i}}=\lambda^{p_{i}(n+1)} \cdot \lambda^{-r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime} k_{i}} \cdot\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r_{i}}\right)^{\otimes k_{i}} .
$$

From this we see that the subtorus $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ acts on $\mathscr{L}$ with weight

$$
(n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} p_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right) k_{i}
$$

For a given $\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{\ell}\right)$ the character above is trivial if and only if $n+1$ divides $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime}\right) k_{i}$, hence the subgroup of line bundles admitting a PGL $_{n+1}$-linearization can be identified with the the preimage of $(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$ along the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \ell} \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_{i} r_{i} d_{i}^{\prime} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\ell}\right)$ is sent to $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$, which is also the generator of the image as a subgroup. This implies that $\left(u w_{1}, \ldots, u w_{\ell}\right)$ is sent to $\mathrm{mcm}(n+$ $\left.1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1} d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{\ell} d_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and that the subgroup generated by $\left(u w_{1}, \ldots, u w_{\ell}\right)$ surjects onto the intersection of the image with $(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$. This shows that the preimage of $(n+1) \mathbb{Z}$, which coincides with the image of $\varphi$ in (3.3), is isomorphic to the sum of the subgroup generated by $\left(u w_{1}, \ldots, u w_{\ell}\right)$ and the kernel of (3.4). As the image of $\psi$ is equal to the image of $\varphi$ modulo $F$, this concludes the proof.

If we specialize the Theorem above to the case of complete intersections of codimension $r$ and type $\mathbf{d}=(d, \ldots, d)$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.7 Suppose that the base field $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$ or that $n$ is odd. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(d, \ldots, d)\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}, \quad N=\frac{\binom{n+1}{r} r d^{r}(d-1)^{n-r+1}}{\operatorname{mcm}(n+1, r d)}
$$

In particular, for $d=r=2$, we recover [2, Theorem 1.1].

## 4 The codimension two case

In this section, we compute explicitly the Chow ring of moduli of smooth complete intersections of codimension 2 (Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3).

We give two applications of this result: in the first one, we give a quick proof of Faber's result on the Chow ring of $M_{4}$, the moduli space of smooth curves of genus four (Corollary 4.4). In the second one, we compute the Chow ring of an open subset of $K_{6}$, the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree six (Corollary 4.5).

All the Chern (resp. Segre) classes of the equivariant vector bundles appearing in this section are intended to be equivariant Chern (resp. Segre) classes. In particular, we will use the writing $c_{i}(E)$ to denote the Chern class of degree $i$ of an equivariant vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, instead of the more correct but notationally heavier version $c_{i}^{G}(E)$.

### 4.1 Intersection theory on $\mathrm{FI}_{\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n + 1}}$

As $r=2$ we have $s=n$. The flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1}$ is a projective bundle over a projective space. Indeed, we have $\operatorname{Gr}(n, n+1) \simeq \mathbf{P}^{n}$, where $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ stands for the projectivization of the dual of the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$, and $\mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{T})$, the projectivization of the tautological bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. It follows from the dualized Euler exact sequence that $\mathscr{T} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)$, hence we have $\mathrm{Fl}_{n+1, n} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right)$.

In particular, the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$-equivariant Chow ring of $\mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1}$ admits the following presentation

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\beta_{1}, \xi_{1}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}\right] / I .
$$

The cycle $\beta_{1}$ is the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$, and $\xi_{1}$ is the hyperplane class of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, which coincides with the first Chern class of the tautological quotient bundle of $\operatorname{Gr}(n, n+1)$. The ideal of relations $I$ is generated by the two polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}^{n+1}-c_{1} \xi_{1}^{n}+c_{2} \xi_{1}^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n+1} c_{n+1} \\
& \beta_{1}^{n}+c_{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right) \beta_{1}^{n-1}+c_{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right) \beta_{1}^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second polynomial can be made more explicit: we have $c\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right) c(\mathscr{O}(1))=c(V)$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}(1)}\right) & =\left(1+c_{1}+\cdots+c_{n+1}\right)\left(1+\xi_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} c_{j}\right)\left(\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \xi_{1}^{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq n+1}}(-1)^{i} \xi_{1}^{i} c_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
c_{m}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{i} \xi_{1}^{i} c_{m-i}
$$

We will also need an explicit expression of the pushforward of $\beta_{1}^{a} \xi_{1}^{b}$ along the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1^{-}}$ equivariant pushforward $\pi: \mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1} \rightarrow$ Spec $k$. Using the factorization

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{P}^{n} \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Spec} k
$$

we get that $\pi_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{a} \xi_{1}^{b}\right)=q_{*}\left(p_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{a}\right) \cdot \xi_{1}^{b}\right)$.
Let $E \rightarrow X$ be an equivariant vector bundle. The total equivariant Segre class $s(E)=$ $1+s_{1}(E)+s_{2}(E)+\ldots$ is defined as the formal inverse of the total equivariant Chern class $c(E):=1+c_{1}(E)+c_{2}(E)+\ldots$ If $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the associated projective bundle, and $h$ is the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{P}(E)$, we have $s_{i}(E)=\pi_{*} h^{\mathrm{rk}(E)+i-1}$.

In particular, we have that $p_{*} \beta_{1}^{a}=s_{a-n+1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right)$ and $q_{*} \xi_{1}^{b}=s_{b-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$. In the equivariant Chow ring of $\mathbf{P}^{n}=\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ we have the relation $c\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right) c(\mathscr{O}(1))=c(V)$, which implies that $s_{i}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(1)\right)=s_{i}(V)+s_{i-1}(V) \xi_{1}$. We deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{*}\left(\beta_{1}^{a} \xi_{1}^{b}\right) & =s_{a-n+1}(V) q_{*}\left(\xi_{1}^{b}\right)+s_{a-n}(V) q_{*}\left(\xi_{1}^{b+1}\right) \\
& =s_{a-n+1}(V) s_{b-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)+s_{a-n}(V) s_{b-n+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2 Preliminary results

Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. From Theorem 2.6 we know that

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n+1}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{d}}} / R
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{d}}=\mathfrak{S}_{2}$ if $d_{1}=d_{2}$ and it is trivial otherwise, and the ideal of relations $R$ is generated by cycles of the form

$$
\sum_{0 \leq k_{1}, k_{2} \leq n} \gamma_{1}^{k_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdot \pi_{*}\left(C_{n}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \beta_{1}^{a} \xi_{1}^{b}\right)
$$

for $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq b \leq n$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)= & \sigma_{n-k_{1}}\left(\left(d_{1}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{1}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{n}\right) \\
& \cdot \sigma_{n-k_{2}}\left(\left(d_{2}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots,\left(d_{2}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To write down more explicit relations, we need to compute the symmetric polynomials in $\left(d_{i}-1\right) \beta_{1}+\beta_{j}$ in terms of $\beta_{1}$ and $\xi_{1}$. Recall that by definition the $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ are the Chern roots of the dual of the tautological bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(n, n+1) \simeq \mathbf{P}^{n}$. The class $\beta_{1}$ lives in the Chow ring of the flag variety $\mathrm{Fl}_{n, n+1}$, and it coincides with the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$, consistently with our notation.

We have

$$
\sigma_{m}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)=(-1)^{m} c_{m}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right),
$$

and we computed before the term on the right. Set $e_{i}=d_{i}-1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{m}\left(e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots, e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{n}\right) & =\sum_{|I|=m} \prod_{i_{k} \in I}\left(e_{i} \beta_{1}+\beta_{i_{k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|I|=m} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} e_{i}^{\ell} \beta_{1}^{\ell} \sigma_{m-\ell}\left(\beta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \beta_{i_{m}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} e_{i}^{\ell} \beta_{1}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{|I|=m} \sigma_{m-\ell}\left(\beta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \beta_{i_{m}}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} e_{i}^{\ell} \beta_{1}^{\ell}\left(\binom{n-m+\ell}{\ell} \sigma_{m-\ell}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} e_{i}^{\ell}\binom{n-m+\ell}{\ell}(-1)^{m-\ell} c_{m-\ell}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \beta_{1}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell}(-1)^{m+j-\ell} e_{i}^{\ell}\binom{n-m+\ell}{\ell} \beta_{1}^{\ell} \xi_{1}^{j} c_{m-\ell-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)= & \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-k_{1}} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{n-k_{1}-\ell_{1}}(-1)^{n-k_{1}+j_{1}-\ell_{1}} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}}\binom{k_{1}+\ell_{1}}{\ell_{1}} \beta_{1}^{\ell_{1}} \xi_{1}^{j_{1}} c_{n-k_{1}-\ell_{1}-j_{1}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{\ell_{2}=0}^{n-k_{2}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{n-k_{2}-\ell_{2}}(-1)^{n-k_{2}+j_{2}-\ell_{2}} e_{2}^{\ell_{2}}\binom{k_{2}+\ell_{2}}{\ell_{2}} \beta_{1}^{\ell_{2} \xi_{1}^{j_{2}} c_{n-k_{2}-\ell_{2}-j_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these computations with Theorem 2.6, we deduce the following:
Proposition 4.1 Set $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n+1}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{d}}} / I
$$

where I is generated by the following cycles: for fixed $a$ and $b$ with $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq b \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_{1}+k_{2} \leq a+b+1} \gamma_{1}^{k_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell+\mathbf{j} \leq n-\mathbf{k}} D(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \ell) c_{n-k_{1}-\ell_{1}-j_{1}} c_{n-k_{2}-\ell_{2}-j_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left[s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+a-n+1}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}+b-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)+s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+a-n}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}+b-n+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \ell):=(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}-k_{1}-k_{2}-\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{\ell_{2}}\binom{k_{1}+\ell_{1}}{\ell_{1}}\binom{k_{2}+\ell_{2}}{\ell_{2}}$.
Observe that the relations appearing above have degree $a+b+1$, so in particular the ideal of relations is generated in degree $d$ by $d$ relations.

### 4.3 Some computations

We already know that in degree one we have the single relation

$$
r_{1}=A_{1,0} \gamma_{1}+A_{0,1} \gamma_{2}
$$

For $e_{1}<e_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1,0}=\left(e_{2}+1\right) \frac{e_{2}\left(e_{2}^{n}-e_{1}^{n}\right)+n e_{1}^{n}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)}{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& A_{0,1}=\left(e_{1}+1\right) \frac{e_{1}\left(e_{1}^{n}-e_{2}^{n}\right)+n e_{2}^{n}\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right)}{\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas for $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$ we have

$$
A_{1,0}=A_{0,1}=e^{n-1}(e+1) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

In degree two, we have two relations, given by computing Proposition 4.1 for $(a, b)=(1,0)$ and $(a, b)=(0,1)$ respectively:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{2}^{(1,0)}=B_{2,0} \gamma_{1}^{2}+B_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+B_{0,2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+B_{0,0} c_{2} \\
r_{2}^{(0,1)}=C_{2,0} \gamma_{1}^{2}+C_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+C_{0,2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+C_{0,0} c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The term $B_{2,0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell+\mathbf{j} \leq n-(2,0)} D((2,0), \mathbf{j}, \ell) c_{n-2-\ell_{1}-j_{1}} c_{n-\ell_{2}-j_{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}-n+2}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)+s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+1-n}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the coefficient is non-zero only when one of the two following set of equations is satisfied

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-2 & \ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-2 \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n & \ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-2 & \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n & j_{1}+j_{2}=n-1
\end{array}
$$

After a straightforward computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{2,0} & =\left(e_{2}+1\right) \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-2-\ell_{1}}\binom{\ell_{1}+2}{\ell_{1}} \\
& =\left(e_{2}+1\right) \frac{n^{2} e_{1}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}+2 e_{2}\left(e_{1}^{n}-e_{2}^{n}\right)-n e_{1}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}^{2}-e_{2}^{2}\right)}{2\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $e_{1}<e_{2}$, whereas for $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$ we have

$$
B_{2,0}=e^{n-2}(e+1) \frac{(n-1)(n+1) n}{6} .
$$

The term $B_{0,2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell+\mathbf{j} \leq n-(0,2)} D((0,2), \mathbf{j}, \ell) c_{n-\ell_{1}-j_{1}} c_{n-2-\ell_{2}-j_{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}-n+2}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)+s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+1-n}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the coefficient is non-zero only when one of the two following set of equations is satisfied

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n & \ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-2 & \ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-2  \tag{4.1}\\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-2 & \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n & j_{1}+j_{2}=n-1 .
\end{array}
$$

After a straightforward computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0,2} & =\left(e_{1}+1\right) \sum_{\ell_{2}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{n-2-\ell_{2}} e_{2}^{\ell_{2}}\binom{\ell_{2}+2}{\ell_{2}} \\
& =\left(e_{1}+1\right) \frac{n^{2} e_{2}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}+2 e_{1}\left(e_{2}^{n}-e_{1}^{n}\right)-n e_{2}^{n-1}\left(e_{2}^{2}-e_{1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $e_{1}<e_{2}$, and for $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$ we have $B_{2,0}=B_{0,2}$. The term $B_{1,1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell+\mathbf{j} \leq n-(1,1)} D((1,1), \mathbf{j}, \ell) c_{n-1-\ell_{1}-j_{1}} c_{n-1-\ell_{2}-j_{2}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}-n+2}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n}\left(V^{\vee}\right)+s_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+1-n}(V) s_{j_{1}+j_{2}-n+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the coefficient is non-zero only when one of the two following set of equations is satisfied

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-1 & \ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-1 \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-1 & \ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-1 \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-2 & \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n & j_{1}+j_{2}=n-1 .
\end{array}
$$

After a straightforward computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1,1}= & \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-2-\ell_{1}}\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(n-\ell_{1}-1\right)+\sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-1} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-1-\ell_{1}}\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(n-\ell_{1}\right) \\
= & \left(-n e_{2} e_{1}^{n+1}-2 e_{2} e_{1}^{n+1}+n e_{1}^{n+2}+n e_{1} e_{2}^{n+1}+2 e_{1} e_{2}^{n+1}-n e_{2}^{n+2}\right) /\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{3} \\
& +\left(n e_{1}^{n+1}-e_{1}^{n+1}-n e_{2} e_{1}^{n}-e_{2} e_{1}^{n}+n e_{1} e_{2}^{n}+e_{1} e_{2}^{n}-n e_{2}^{n+1}+e_{2}^{n+1}\right) /\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $e_{1}<e_{2}$, whereas for $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$ we have

$$
B_{1,1}=\frac{(n+1) n e^{n-2}}{6}(n-1+e(n+2)) .
$$

The terms $C_{2,0}, C_{0,2}$ and $C_{1,1}$ are computed in a similar way but with $a=0$ and $b=1$. The final result for $e_{1}<e_{2}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2,0} & =e_{2}\left(e_{2}+1\right) \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-2-\ell_{1}}\binom{\ell_{1}+2}{\ell_{1}} \\
& =e_{2}\left(e_{2}+1\right) \frac{n^{2} e_{1}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}+2 e_{2}\left(e_{1}^{n}-e_{2}^{n}\right)-n e_{1}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}^{2}-e_{2}^{2}\right)}{2\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)}=e_{2} B_{2,0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0,2} & =e_{1}\left(e_{1}+1\right) \sum_{\ell_{2}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{n-2-\ell_{2}} e_{2}^{\ell_{2}}\binom{\ell_{2}+2}{\ell_{2}} \\
& =e_{1}\left(e_{1}+1\right) \frac{n^{2} e_{2}^{n-1}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}+2 e_{1}\left(e_{2}^{n}-e_{1}^{n}\right)-n e_{2}^{n-1}\left(e_{2}^{2}-e_{1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right)}=e_{1} B_{0,2} \\
C_{1,1}= & e_{1} \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-2} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-2-\ell_{1}}\left(\ell_{1}+2\right)\left(n-\ell_{1}\right)+\sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-1} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-1-\ell_{1}}\left(\ell_{1}+1\right)\left(n-\ell_{1}\right) \\
= & \frac{-3 e_{2} e_{1}^{n+1}+e_{2}^{2} e_{1}^{n}-e_{1}^{3} e_{2}^{n-1}+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{n}-n\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(-2 e_{1}^{n+1}+e_{2} e_{1}^{n}+e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{n-1}-2 e_{1} e_{2}^{n}\right)}{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{3}} \\
& +\frac{n e_{1}^{n+1}-e_{1}^{n+1}-n e_{2} e_{1}^{n}-e_{2} e_{1}^{n}+n e_{1} e_{2}^{n}+e_{1} e_{2}^{n}-n e_{2}^{n+1}+e_{2}^{n+1}}{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2,0}=e B_{2,0}=e B_{0,2}=C_{0,2} \\
& C_{1,1}=\frac{e^{n-1}}{6}\left((n+1)\left(2 n^{2}+7 n-6\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us compute the coefficient in front of $c_{d+1}$ in the relation Proposition 4.1 for $0 \leq b \leq d$ (hence $a=d-b$ ). There are eight set of equations whose resulting values for $\ell$ and $\mathbf{j}$ contribute to $c_{d+1}$. The first four sets are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll} 
\begin{cases}\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-d-1 \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a-1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b\end{cases} & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n-d-1 \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a
\end{array}\right. \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b-1
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
& \ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-d-1 \\
& \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a-1 \\
& j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b
\end{aligned} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n-d-1 \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b-1 .
\end{array}\right]
$$

The four other contributions come from the Segre classes $s_{d+1}(V)=-c_{d+1}$ and $s_{d+1}\left(V^{\vee}\right)=$ $(-1)^{d} c_{d+1}$ appearing in Proposition 4.1. The possible values for $\ell$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are the ones that satisfy one of these four systems

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
\begin{cases}\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a+d \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b\end{cases} & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a-1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n+d-b+1
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n+d-a+1 \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n-b-1
\end{array}\right. & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell_{1}+j_{1}=n \\
\ell_{2}+j_{2}=n \\
\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}=n-a \\
j_{1}+j_{2}=n+d-b .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Putting all together, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
C(d, b)_{0,0}= & (-1)^{d-1}\left(e_{2}^{b}\left(e_{2}+1\right)+e_{1}^{b}\left(e_{1}+1\right)\right) \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-d-1} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-d-1-\ell_{1}} \\
& -e_{1}^{b} e_{2}^{b} \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-b} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-b-\ell_{1}}+(-1)^{d} \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-d+b-1} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-d+b-1-\ell_{1}}  \tag{4.2}\\
& -e_{1}^{b+1} e_{2}^{b+1} \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-b-1} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-b-1-\ell_{1}}+(-1)^{d} \sum_{\ell_{1}=0}^{n-d+b} e_{1}^{\ell_{1}} e_{2}^{n-d+b-\ell_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $e_{1}<e_{2}$, after some simplifications, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(d, b)_{0,0}=\frac{e_{1}^{b}\left(e_{1}+1\right) e_{2}^{n-d}\left(e_{2}^{d+1}+(-1)^{d}\right)-e_{2}^{b}\left(e_{2}+1\right) e_{1}^{n-d}\left(e_{1}^{d+1}+(-1)^{d}\right)}{e_{1}-e_{2}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$, then after further simplifications we can rewrite (4.2) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
C(d, b)_{0,0}= & e^{n-d+b}\left(b e(e+1)\left(e^{d}+(-1)^{d}\right)-(n(e+1)+1) e^{d+1}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{d-1}((n-d)(e+1)+2 n-1) e+(-1)^{d} 2(n-d)\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, with the computations we have done so far we are able to write down an explicit formula for two quantities which will be relevant for the main result of this section.

Set $B_{0,0}:=C(1,0)_{0,0}$ and $C_{0,0}:=C(1,1)_{0,0}$. The first polynomial we consider is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{0,1}^{2} B_{2,0}-A_{1,0} A_{0,1} B_{1,1}+A_{1,0}^{2} B 02\right) C_{0,0} \\
& \quad-\left(A_{0,1}^{2} C_{2,0}-A_{1,0} A_{0,1} C_{1,1}+A_{1,0}^{2} C_{0,2}\right) B_{0,0} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

when $e_{1}<e_{2}$. Using the formulas we determined so far, we deduce an explicit expression for (4.5), which is

$$
\left(1 /\left(2\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{8}\right)\right)\left(1+e_{1}\right)\left(1+e_{2}\right) \cdot E\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)
$$

where $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 / e_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}^{-1+n}\left(-1+e_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+e_{2}\right)-\left(1+e_{1}\right) e_{2}^{-1+n}\left(-1+e_{2}^{2}\right)\right) F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(e_{1}^{-1+n}\left(-1+e_{1}^{2}\right) e_{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)-e_{1}\left(1+e_{1}\right) e_{2}^{-1+n}\left(-1+e_{2}^{2}\right)\right) G\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 / e_{1}\right)\left(1+e_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2} e_{2}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{1+n}+e_{2}^{1+n} n-e_{1} e_{2}^{n}(1+n)\right)^{2}\left(-2 e_{1} e_{2}^{1+n}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{1}^{2+n}(-1+n) n+e_{1}^{n} e_{2}^{2} n(1+n)-2 e_{1}^{1+n} e_{2}\left(-1+n^{2}\right)\right)-e_{1}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)\left(e_{2}^{1+n}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{1}^{1+n} n-e_{1}^{n} e_{2}(1+n)\right)^{2}\left(-2 e_{1}^{1+n} e_{2}+e_{2}^{2+n}(-1+n) n\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{n} n(1+n)-2 e_{1} e_{2}^{1+n}\left(-1+n^{2}\right)\right)-2\left(e_{2}^{1+n}+e_{1}^{1+n} n-e_{1}^{n} e_{2}(1+n)\right)\left(e_{1}^{1+n}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{2}^{1+n} n-e_{1} e_{2}^{n}(1+n)\right)\left(-e_{2}^{2+n}(-1+n)+2 e_{1}^{2+n} e_{2} n\right. \\
& \quad+e_{1}^{n}\left(-1+e_{2}\right) e_{2}^{2}(1+n)-e_{1}^{3} e_{2}^{n}(1+n)+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{1+n}(1+n)-e_{1} e_{2}^{1+n}\left(-1+\left(-1+2 e_{2}\right) n\right) \\
& \left.\quad-e_{1}^{1+n} e_{2}\left(1-n+3 e_{2}(1+n)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $G\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 / e_{1}\right)\left(1+e_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}\left(e_{1}^{1+n}+e_{2}^{1+n} n-e_{1} e_{2}^{n}(1+n)\right)^{2}\left(-2 e_{1} e_{2}^{1+n}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{1}^{2+n}(-1+n) n+e_{1}^{n} e_{2}^{2} n(1+n)-2 e_{1}^{1+n} e_{2}\left(-1+n^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(1 / e_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)\left(e_{2}^{1+n}+e_{1}^{1+n} n-e_{1}^{n} e_{2}(1+n)\right)^{2}\left(-2 e_{1}^{1+n} e_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{2}^{2+n}(-1+n) n+e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{n} n(1+n)-2 e_{1} e_{2}^{1+n}\left(-1+n^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+2\left(e_{2}^{1+n}+e_{1}^{1+n} n-e_{1}^{n} e_{2}(1+n)\right)\left(e_{1}^{1+n}+e_{2}^{1+n} n\right. \\
& \left.\quad-e_{1} e_{2}^{n}(1+n)\right)\left(-e_{1}^{2+n} n+e_{1}^{n} e_{2}(1+n)+e_{2}^{1+n}\left(-1+n+e_{2} n\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+e_{1}^{1+n}\left(1-n+e_{2}(2+n)\right)-e_{1} e_{2}^{n}\left(1+n+e_{2}(2+n)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second polynomial is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{1,1}-2 B_{2,0}\right) C_{0,0}-\left(C_{1,1}-2 C_{2,0}\right) B_{0,0} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $e_{1}=e_{2}=e$. After some computations, we get that this is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{e^{2 n-2}}{6}\left(e^{4} n\left(4+n-4 n^{2}-n^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+e^{3} n\left(-9-4 n+6 n^{2}+n^{3}\right)+e\left(-12+25 n+14 n^{2}-28 n^{3}-5 n^{4}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+2\left(6-8 n-7 n^{2}+8 n^{3}+n^{4}\right)+e^{2}\left(-12-4 n+15 n^{2}+10 n^{3}+3 n^{4}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.4 Main results

We are ready to state the main results of the section.
Theorem 4.2 Let $n \geq 3$ and $d_{1}>d_{2} \geq 2$ be integers such that the quantity (4.5) for $e_{i}=d_{i}-1$ is not zero. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}\right] /\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

Proof We know from Proposition 4.1 that in this case we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n+1}\right] / I .
$$

In degree 1 we have the single relation

$$
r_{1}=A_{1,0} \gamma_{1}+A_{0,1} \gamma_{2} .
$$

For $d_{1}<d_{2}$, we deduce from the relation $r_{1}$ that $\gamma_{2}=-\left(A_{1,0} / A_{0,1}\right) \gamma_{1}$. In degree 2 we have the two relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{2}^{(1,0)}=B_{2,0} \gamma_{1}^{2}+B_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+B_{0,2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+B_{0,0} c_{2} \\
r_{2}^{(0,1)}=C_{2,0} \gamma_{1}^{2}+C_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+C_{0,2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+C_{0,0} c_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting $\gamma_{2}=-\left(A_{1,0} / A_{0,1}\right) \gamma_{1}$, we get the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{B_{2,0}-\frac{A_{1,0}}{A_{0,1}} B_{1,1}+\frac{A_{1,0}^{2}}{A_{0,1}^{2}} B_{0,2} B_{0,0}}{C_{2,0}-\frac{A_{1,0}}{A_{0,1}} C_{1,1}+\frac{A_{1,0}^{2}}{A_{0,1}^{2}} C_{0,2} C_{0,0}}\binom{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{c_{2}}=0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the determinant of the matrix appearing in (4.7) is non-zero, we have $\gamma_{1}^{2}=c_{2}=0$. It is straightforward to check that this condition is equivalent to the quantity (4.5) being non-zero. We are left with proving that $c_{i}=0$ for $i \geq 3$.

Using the explicit expression obtained in (4.3), for $e_{1}<e_{2}$ we have that the solutions to $C(d, b)_{0,0}=0$, regarded as an equation in one variable $b$, are the same as the solutions to the equation

$$
e_{1}^{b}\left(e_{1}+1\right) e_{2}^{n-d}\left(e_{2}^{d+1}+(-1)^{d}\right)-e_{2}^{b}\left(e_{2}+1\right) e_{1}^{n-d}\left(e_{1}^{d+1}+(-1)^{d}\right)=e_{1}^{b} E-e_{2}^{b} F=0 .
$$

As $e_{2}>e_{1} \geq 1$, we have that $E \neq 0$, hence $\left(e_{1} / e_{2}\right)^{b}=F / E$. In particular, there is at most one integer $b$ for which this equation is satisfied.

Now we prove by induction that $c_{i}=0$ for $i \geq 3$, the first case being $i=3$ : Proposition 4.1 combined with the fact that $\gamma_{1}^{k_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{k_{2}}=0$ for $k_{1}+k_{2} \geq 3$ implies that we have three relations

$$
C(2,0)_{0,0} c_{3}=C(2,1)_{0,0} c_{3}=C(2,2)_{0,0} c_{3}=0 .
$$

We have just seen that there is at most one value of $b$ for which $C(2, b)_{0,0}=0$ : this immediately implies that $c_{3}=0$ in the rational Chow ring.

The inductive step proceeds along the same lines: assuming that $c_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, d$, using again the fact that $\gamma_{1}^{k_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{k_{2}}=0$ for $k_{1}+k_{2} \geq 3$, we get that the relations given in Proposition 4.1 are

$$
C(d, b)_{0,0} c_{d+1}=0, \quad b=0, \ldots, d
$$

The same argument used before shows that there is at most one value of $b$ for which $C(d, b)_{0,0}=0$, which readily implies that $c_{d+1}=0$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $n \geq 3$ and $d_{1}=d_{2} \geq 2$ be integers such that the quantity (4.6) for $e=d_{1}-1$ is not zero. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q} .
$$

Proof We know from Proposition 4.1 that for $d_{1}=d_{2}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(\mathbf{d})\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n+1}\right] / I .
$$

If $d_{1}=d_{2}$, the only relation in degree one is

$$
A_{1,0} \gamma_{1}+A_{0,1} \gamma_{2}=A_{1,0}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)=0
$$

which implies $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=0$. In degree two, using the fact that $B_{1,0}=B_{0,1}$ and $C_{1,0}=C_{0,1}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{2}^{(1,0)}=B_{2,0}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}\right)+B_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+B_{0,0} c_{2} \\
r_{2}^{(0,1)}=C_{2,0}\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}\right)+C_{1,1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+C_{0,0} c_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can rewrite $\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}$ as $\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)^{2}-2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$, hence we deduce the following two relations:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{1,1}-2 B_{2,0}  \tag{4.8}\\
B_{0,0} \\
C_{1,1}-2 C_{2,0}
\end{array} C_{0,0}\right)\binom{\sigma_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}{c_{2}}=0 .
$$

If the determinant of the matrix in (4.8) is non-zero, we deduce that $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=c_{2}=0$. This condition is equivalent to the quantity (4.6) being non-zero.

To show that $c_{d}=0$ for $d \geq 3$, we use the same argument of the proof of Theorem 4.2. In this case, from (4.4) we have that $C(d, b)_{0,0}=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b e(e+1)\left(e^{d}+(-1)^{d}\right)-(n(e+1)+1) e^{d+1} \\
\left.+(-1)^{d-1}((n-d)(e+1)+2 n-1) e+(-1)^{d} 2(n-d)\right)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

If $d$ is even or $e \neq 1$, then again there is at most one $b$ which solves the equation above; otherwise, for $d$ odd and $e=1$, it is straightforward to check that the expression above is non-zero. Then the induction argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 applies also here.

### 4.5 Some applications

We give two immediate applications of Theorem 4.2. In the first one, we reprove a result of Faber.

Corollary 4.4 ([15]) Let $M_{4}$ be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus four. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{4}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{3}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle.
Proof Let $H_{4}$ be the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves of genus four, regarded as a subvariety of $M_{4}$. We observed in Remark 1.2 that $M_{4} \backslash H_{4}$ is isomorphic to the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{M}_{3}^{\text {PGL }}(2,3)$, hence it follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{4} \backslash H_{4}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\gamma_{1}\right] /\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

In particular, as $\mathrm{CH}^{1}\left(M_{4}\right) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^{1}\left(M_{4} \backslash H_{4}\right)$, the Hodge class $\lambda_{1}$ must be a multiple of $\gamma_{1}$.
The Chow ring of $H_{4}$ is trivial, the latter being an open subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{2 g-1}$, and the fundamental class of $H_{4}$ is equal to a multiple of $\lambda_{1}^{3}$. These two facts, combined with the localization exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*-2}\left(H_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(M_{4} \backslash H_{4}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

tell us that the Chow ring of $M_{4}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{i}\right)$ where $i$ is either 2 or 3 . As we know from [13, Theorem 2] that $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ is not zero, we get the claimed result.

The second application concerns the coarse moduli space $K_{6}$ of polarized K3 surfaces of degree six. Here we adopt the same notation of Proposition 2.11, where we denoted the Noether-Lefschetz divisors by $D_{d, h}$.
Corollary 4.5 Let $U_{6} \subset K_{6}$ be the open subvariety parametrizing polarized $K 3$ surfaces of degree six whose polarization is very ample. Then

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{*}\left(U_{6}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}\right] /\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the Hodge line bundle and the pushforward morphism

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{i-1}\left(\cup_{d=1}^{3} D_{d, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{i}\left(K_{8}\right)
$$

is surjective for $i>1$.
Proof As observed in Remark 1.2, the coarse space of $\mathscr{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{PGL}}(2,3)$ is isomorphic to $U_{6}$. We can then apply Theorem 4.2 with $d_{1}=2, d_{2}=3$ and $n=4$. The fact that $\gamma_{1}$ is a nonzero multiple of $\lambda_{1}$ follows from [9, Proposition 4.2.6], and the claim on the pushforward morphism follows from $U_{6}$ being the complement of the union of those Noether-Lefschetz divisors in $K_{6}$.
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## Appendix A. Quotient bundles and Grassmannians

Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $n$ and let $W \subset V$ be a vector subspace of dimension $m$. For $1 \leq r \leq(n-m)$, consider the two Grassmannians $\operatorname{Gr}(r, V)$ and $\operatorname{Gr}(r, V / W)$. Let $U \subset \operatorname{Gr}(r, V)$ be the open subscheme whose points $[E]$ correspond to $r$-planes $E \subset V$ such that $E \cap W=\{0\}$ (observe that the numerical condition on $r$ implies that $U$ is not empty). There exists a well defined map

$$
q: U \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(r, V / W), \quad[E] \longmapsto[\bar{E}]
$$

where $[\bar{E}]$ is the image of $E$ in the quotient vector space $V / W$ (the rank of $[\bar{E}]$ is still $r$ because $E \cap W=\{0\}$ ).

Proposition A. 1 The map $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(r, V / W)$ defined above is an affine bundle.
Proof For this, let us look at the fiber $q^{-1}([\bar{E}])$ over a point $[\bar{E}]$ : this consists of all the $r$-planes in $V$ whose image in the quotient vector space coincides with the one of $E$. Let us fix a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ for $E$ and a basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ for $W$. There is a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}^{m r} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_{m, r} \longrightarrow q^{-1}([\bar{E}]) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \longmapsto\left(e_{1}|\ldots| e_{r}\right)+\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right) A \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix in the right hand side should be interpreted as the linear subspace spanned by the column vectors. Observe that the condition $E \cap W=\{0\}$ implies that the image of (A.1) is indeed in $U$.

We claim that (A.1) is an isomorphism. To prove that it is surjective, observe that given a point $\left[E^{\prime}\right]$ in the fiber and a basis $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime}$ for the associated subspace $E^{\prime}$, then there must exists an $r \times r$-matrix $C$ and a matrix $A$ such that

$$
\left(e_{1}^{\prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}|\ldots| e_{r}\right) C+\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right) A
$$

If we multiply on the right by $C^{-1}$, we get

$$
\left(e_{1}^{\prime \prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}^{\prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime}\right) C^{-1}=\left(e_{1}|\ldots| e_{r}\right)+\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right)\left(A C^{-1}\right)
$$

which means that $A C^{-1} \mapsto\left[E^{\prime}\right]$. This proves surjectivity.
Suppose now that there exist two different basis $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ for the same subspace $E^{\prime}$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e_{1}^{\prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}|\ldots| e_{r}\right)+\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right) A^{\prime} \\
& \left(e_{1}^{\prime \prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}|\ldots| e_{r}\right)+\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right) A^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

As both $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ span the same vector subspace, there exists an invertible matrix $C$ of rank $r$ such that

$$
\left(e_{1}^{\prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}^{\prime \prime}|\ldots| e_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) C .
$$

This readily implies that

$$
\left(e_{1} \mid \ldots e_{r}\right)(\operatorname{Id}-C)=\left(f_{1}|\ldots| f_{m}\right)\left(A^{\prime \prime} C-A^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Observe that the left hand side belongs to $E$ whereas the right hand side belongs to $W$. As $E \cap W=\{0\}$, we deduce that $C=\mathrm{Id}$, hence (A.1) is injective. This easily implies that $q^{-1}(\bar{E}) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{r m}$ and that

$$
q: U \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(r, V / W), \quad[E] \longmapsto[\bar{E}]
$$

is an affine bundle.
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